



February 28, 1982

Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, 525 Superior Street, Victoria, B.C. V8V 1T7.



Dear Sirs,

3

Enclosed please find our report on the Lillyburt Project.

This report has been prepared by Mr. B. McKinstry, an employee of Crows Nest Resources Limited.

Mr. B. McKinstry, M.Sc., graduated in Geology from Carleton University, Ottawa in 1971. Prior to graduation, Mr. McKinstry worked as an assistant for a major mining firm and after graduation as a geologist with a mining firm, a research assistant at Carleton University and as a geologist with a consulting firm. Mr. McKinstry has been employed by Crows Nest Resources Limited as a Senior Geologist since 1981.

Mr. McKinstry's work was carried out under the supervision of our District Manager, British Columbia, Mr. Frank Martonhegyi.

I consider the aforementioned geologists to be well qualified to undertake the responsibilities they were assigned on this project. I am satisfied that the attached report has been competently prepared and justly represents the information obtained from this project.

Yours very truly,

H.G. Rushton, P. Geol., Vice-President - Exploration.

BMcK/ch

t{

t. 1 of 5

GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMERT



Differences at the sector sector 27.

# LILLYBURT PROJECT 1981 GEOLOGICAL REPORT VOLUME I

Kootenay Land District, British Columbia B.C. Coal Licence Numbers: 4080-4089 inclusive Group Number: 243 Owner: Shell Canada Resources Limited Operator: Crows Nest Resources Limited

NTS 82G/7

Longitude: 114° 37' West Latitude: 49° 22' North Exploration Period: June - September, 1981 Report Prepared by: B. McKinstry, Senior Geologist February, 1982

5/0Ja.1

# GEOLOGICAL BRANCH ASSESSMENT BEPORT

407

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			PAGE
DLUME UNE			
LIST	OF ILI	LUSTRATIONS	111
LIST	OF TAE	BLES	iv
LIST	OF API	PENDICES	v
LIST	OF PHO	OTOS	vi
	SUMMAR	RY	vii
1.0	INTRO	DUCTION	1
	1.1	Location and Access	1
	1.2	Geography and Physiography	1
	1.3	Environmental Considerations	2
	1.4	Tenure of Land and Coal Rights	3
2.0	WORK	DONE	3
	2.1	Summary of Previous Work	3
	2.2	Scope and Objectives of 1981 Exploration	4
	2.3	Work Accomplished, 1981	5
	2.4	Costs of Work Done, 1981	8
3.0	GEOL	DGY	8
	3.1	Regional Geology	8
	3.2	Lillyburt Stratigraphy	9
	3.3	Structural Geology	12
	3.4	Coal Geology	13

٠

<u>V0</u>

<u>c</u>r

the second s

5/DJa.2

C

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

# PAGE

1

4.0	COAL RESERVES	15
5.0	COAL QUALITY	15
6.0	GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY RESULTS	16
7.0	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK	16
8.0	BIBLIOGRAPHY	18

•

# VOLUME TWO

APPENDICES I TO IX INCLUSIVE

VOLUME THREE

APPENDIX X

5/DJa.3

 $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 

# - ii -

### - iii -

## LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

٠

- ENCLOSURE 1 LOCATION MAP OF THE LILLYBURT PROJECT, B.C. 1:250,000
- ENCLOSURE 2 ACCESS MAP, LILLYBURT PROJECT 1:50,000
- ENCLOSURE 3 INDEX MAP TO COAL LICENCES, LILLYBURT PROJECT 1:50,000
- ENCLOSURE 4 REGIONAL GEOLOGICAL COMPILATION MAP 1:50,000
- ENCLOSURE 5 TYPICAL STRATIGRAPHIC SECTION, LILLYBURT, B.C. 1:1000

+

# LIST OF TABLES

- TABLE IBOREHOLE AND GEOPHYSICAL LOG INFORMATION, LILLYBURT,<br/>19811981Der K-Lilly and Er(3) A
- TABLE II
   PROXIMATE COAL QUALITY ANALYTICAL DATA ON A HOLE BY HOLE

   BASIS, SEAMS A-E
   Record and an analytical data on a hole by hole
- TABLE IIIPROXIMATE COAL QUALITY ANALYTICAL DATA ON A SEAM BY SEAMBASIS, SEAMS A-Eaction of and States

٠

# LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX I	Rotary Cutting Descriptions: LBR-303 LBR-307 LBR-304 LBR-308 LBR-305 LBR-309
	Diamond Drill Core Descriptions: LBD-300 LBD-302 LBD-300A LBD-306 LBD-301 BD-301 LBD-301
APPENDIX II	Rotary Cutting Analyses: LBR-304, 305, 309 LBR-201 to 204, 206-215, 217 inclusive
	Diamond Drill Core Analyses: LBD-300A, 301, 302
APPENDIX III	Traverse Survey Map
APPENDIX IV	Geology of Lillyburt, 1981, 1:5000
APPENDIX V	Cross-sections, Lillyburt, 1981 A-A' B-B' C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
	Longitudinal section, Lillyburt, 1981 D-D'
APPENDIX VI	Structure Contour Map: Base of Seam C, Lillyburt, 1:5000 Base of Seam A, Lillyburt, 1:5000
APPENDIX VII	Stratigraphic correlation section, Lillyburt, 1981)
APPENDIX VIII	B.C. Coal Licences Tenure Standing
APPENDIX IX	Application to Extend Term of Licence/Summary of Expenditures
APPENDIX X	Geophysical Logs: LBD-300A to LBD-302 inclusive LBR-303 to LBR-305 inclusive LBD-306, LBR-308, LBR-309

4

5/DJa.6

:

 $\left( \right)$ 

#### LIST OF PHOTOS 8(()A

- Photo 1 View Northeast to Lillyburt from Lodgepole Property.
- Photo 2 View Northwestward of Lillyburt, S.E. B.C.
- Photo 3 View of Lillyburt surface features, looking towards Mount Borsato.
- Photo 4 Reclaimed Rotary Hole Site LBR-303
- Photo 5 Reclaimed Rotary Hole Site LBR-309
- Photo 6 Reclaimed and Reseeded Access Road to Rotary Hole LBR-305
- Photo 7 Ingersoll-Rand 1700 Rotary Rig
- Photo 8 Longyear 44 Diamond Drill Rig
- Photo 9 Flathead Fault Gouge, LBD-306
- Photo 10 Flathead Fault Zone, LBD-300A
- Photo 11 Brecciated Core, LBD-302



ENCLOSURE

÷



- vii -

#### SUMMARY

The Lillyburt coal property is located within British Columbia coal licences 4080 through 4089 inclusive, covering some 2459 hectares of land. The licences are located in the northeast end of the Flathead River Valley in southeastern B.C. (Enclosure 1). Access to the property is obtained via the Corbin Valley forestry access road from the Corbin coal loading facilities (15 kilometers) or via the Lodgepole forestry access road from Morrissey Station on the main C.P.R. railway line (45 kilometers). Total rail distance to Roberts Bank, Vancouver, is approximately 1150 kilometers.

The coal licences have been held since 1978 by Shell Canada Resources Limited with operations carried out by its wholly-owned subsidiary, Crows Nest Resources Limited. Exploration to date has included 25 rotary holes, 4 Diamond Drill holes, numerous backhoe trenches, geological mapping, ground control and location surveys and photogrammetric mapping.

Geology within the area of interest is dominated by normal faults to the north, east, and south and by a thrust fault to the west. Drilling data indicate Jurassic-Cretaceous Fernie, Kootenay and Cretaceous Blairmore stratigraphy have been folded into an open, asymmetric syncline with axial plane trending east-west, and fold axis plunging eastward. Thickness of the coal-bearing member of the Kootenay Formation is 300 meters on the south limb

of this syncline but reduced to 140 meters on the north limb. Within the coal-bearing member, there are five seams of economic interest totalling 21 meters in aggregate thickness. All seams exceed 1 meter; with the thickest averaging 10 meters, being the third seam in an ascending order from the Fernie-Kootenay contact.

Total indicated resources of coal underlying the east block of the property are estimated to be some 130 million tonnes. Geological in place "reserves" are calculated to be 24.8 million tonnes with an overburden ratio of 3.8 cubic meters rock per tonne coal.

Analyses from rotary cuttings and drill core for 1979, 1980 and 1981 drilling indicate coal at Lillyburt to be medium volatile bituminous. A tabulated breakdown of quality characteristics for each seam is included in this report.

DREHOLE

300 - 300 A

No. ICENCE

4084

4083

301

302 306



4083

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Location and Access NTS 82G/7

The Lillyburt Coal Prospect is located in and near the Flathead River Valley in the Front Range of the Rocky Mountains of southeastern British Columbia. (Photo #1)

The prospect is 40 and 15 kilometers from the nearest railway points at Morrissey Station and the Corbin Mine Loop respectively. In addition, it is 60 kilometers by logging and forestry access roads from the towns of Sparwood and Fernie. (Enclosure #2). The port of Vancouver is approximately 1150 kilometers by rail from the property. Most of the project area has been extensively logged providing a dense network of roads throughout the property. (Photo #2). These roads have been utilized for drilling access and backhoe trenching.

#### 1.2 Geography and Physiography

Topography in the area is of relatively moderate relief ranging from 1480 meters near the Flathead River increasing to 1720 meters at the northern boundary. (Photo #3) The Flathead River forms a natural boundary to the south while Squaw Creek bisects the



property into east and west halves. Extensive logging operations have removed a substantial percentage of forest vegetation. The abandoned townsite of Flathead is located on Coal Licence #4087 within the property.

#### 1.3 Environmental Considerations

Extensive logging operations in previous years has greatly facilitated access within the property. Past policy has been to direct drill and trench activity onto log landings or old logging roads whenever possible. This procedure has allowed for minimal environmental disturbance throughout most of the property. In 1981, 4 diamond holes and 3 rotary holes were drilled, 4 km of previous logging roads were re-opened, 5 km of existing road were utilzed and 2 km of new road constructed. Total surface disturbance was approximately 4.5 hectares. Environmental procedures included the installation of mud sumps and settling ponds on drill sites as required and maintenance of erosion control along road access and drill sites. All 1981 drill sites were either recontoured or levelled. (Photos 4 & 5). Those sites and access roads unsuitable for public use were seeded and fertilized. In addition, the access road to hole 305 was

5/0Ja.11

recontoured to original slope condition, seeded and fertilized. (Photo 6). A separate detailed report on reclamation work performed within the property is being submitted to the B.C. Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources concurrently. [Densmore, (1982)].

### 1.4 Tenure of Land and Coal Rights

The Lillyburt Coal Property consists of 10 B.C. Coal Licences, held by Shell Canada Resources Limited, a wholly-owned subsidiary of SCRL. The licences (occupying some 2459 hectares of land) were acquired by SCRL in 1978 and have been recently grouped (#243). Enclosure #3 details the position of license boundaries with respect to topographic features.

#### 2.0 WORK DONE

#### 2.1 Summary of Previous Work

In 1978, aerial photography and ground control surveys were done on photogrammetric topographic maps which were constructed at a scale of 1:5000 with five-meter contour intervals.



Reconnaissance geological mapping at a scale of 1:5000 was initiated in 1979. Preliminary rotary drilling was carried out on the property in three localities totalling 571 meters. In addition, three backhoe trenches and six hand trenches were dug for a total length of 30 meters.

During the 1980 field season, an additional nineteen vertical rotary holes were drilled throughout the property totalling 3388 meters. Three backhoe trenches were excavated along the north limb of the syncline for a total distance of 275 meters. Reconnaissance geological mapping continued at the scale of 1:5000.

## 2.2 Scope and Objectives of 1981 Exploration

Preliminary results from previous years (1979, 1980) suggest significant geological in-situ reserves exist within the Lillyburt coal prospect. Objectives for the 1981 exploration period included:

(a) completion of geological mapping of the property at 1:2000 scale.

- 4 -

÷

k 4

- (b) expansion of the drilling program to further delineate the structure and geology of the property and obtain additional coal samples for quality analysis.
- (c) utilization of different surface geophysical techniques to delineate coal horizons, overburden depth and faulting.

#### 2.3 Work Accomplished, 1981

Three rotary holes and four diamond holes were drilled throughout the property within the period June 27th and September 2, 1981. Total drilling amounted to 2194 meters. The rotary holes (LBR-303 to LBR-305 inclusive) were drilled with an Ingersoll-Rand 1700 reverse circulation rig (Photo #7) while the diamond drill holes (LBD-300A to LBD-302 and LBD-306) were completed using a Longyear 44 (Photo #8). All rotary cuttings and diamond drill core were logged and their descriptions are included with this Report (Appendix I). Coal intersections were sampled and were sent for analysis to the Crows Nest Resources Laboratory, Fernie, B.C. and Loring Laboratories, Calgary, Alberta. Holes were geophysically logged by Roke Oil Enterprises Ltd., Calgary, Alberta and Davies Exploration Logging Ltd., Calgary, Alberta. Roke logs were run at a general scale of 1:1000 and a detail scale of 1:20 and by Davies at a general scale of 1:200 and a detail scale of 1:20. Table I indicates the types of logs utilized in each borehole.

TABL	E	Ι
------	---	---

BOREHOLE	LOGGER	LOGS RUN
300 A 301 302 303 304 305 306 307	Roke " " Davies Roke -	Gamma ray-Neutron, Gamma ray-sidewall Densilog Gamma ray-Neutron, Gamma ray-sidewall Densilog Gamma ray-Neutron, Gamma ray-sidewall Densilog Gamma ray-Neutron, Gamma ray-sidewall Densilog Focused Beam, Gamma ray-Neutron, Gamma ray-sidewall Densilog Density detail, Gamma ray-Neutron, Density-Caliper-Resistivity- Gamma Focused beam, Gamma ray-Neutron, Gammarian-sidewall Densilog None
308 309	Roke Roke	Gamma ray-Neutron, Gamma ray-sidewall Densilog Focused Beam, Gamma ray-Neutron, Gamma ray-sidewall Densilog

5/DJa.33

.

.

Drill holes were systematically logged through the rods using the neutron-neutron and natural gamma tool. The drill rods were then removed, and an attempt to log the open hole was made using the natural gamma, caliper and high resolution density tool. Open hole logging was not always successful due to caving problems in large seams, leading to varying unique geophysical log types for each hole.

- 6 -

Assay results for the 1980 and 1981 drilling program are submitted with this report (Appendix II). Chapter five is a detailed consideration of these results.

Access road upgrading, and drill site preparation were accomplished with a caterpiller D8-K bulldozer. A John-Deere extendable was used to emplace and later remove several culverts.

All survey control in the Lillyburt area is based on the Crows Nest Control Network, using the results established in the fall of 1979. The stations used were "SQUAW", "ROB", and "LB112Z." All topographic control has been adjusted using the results of a CNRL ground survey, 1981. From these stations, six drill holes and nine control points for photogrammetric mapping were surveyed. Conventional survey methods using both a 1-second and 20 second

theodolite and electronic distance measuring equipment were used to obtain survey data. All calculations were done in the UTM system with distances being reduced to plane and bearings referenced to 117°W. The relative accuracy of the traverses was 1/10,000 or better (Appendix III).

Inadvertently, survey coordinates for LBD-301 were not measured during the field season. The coordinates have been obtained from photo and map evidence and are as follows:

## LBD-301

NORTHING:	5470598.0
EASTING:	671855.0
ELEVATION:	1531.0 m

The easting and northing coordinates are considered accurate to within five meters and the spot elevation to within two meters.

Drill sites and reopened access roads were fertilized and seeded. Due to previous logging operations, access to most drill sites involved minimal surface disturbance.

Separate from the exploration program, a rectangular grid of cut lines was constructed for geophysical purposes. Shell Mineral Resources used this grid to conduct EM-34, EM-31 and DC resistivity surveys.

- 8 -

#### 2.4 Costs of Work Done, 1981

Total expenditure as of December 31, 1981, for the 1981 field season was \$535,082. A detailed summary of expenditures can be found in Appendix IX.

#### 3.0 GEOLOGY

#### 3.1 Regional Geology

The Lillyburt Coal Prospect is located in the Flathead Valley graben structure as outlined by Price (1965). The property is bounded by the south-dipping Flathead normal fault to the north and east and a north-dipping normal fault located in the Flathead River to the south (Enclosure #4). Westward, coal-bearing strata are confined by the Squaw Thrust. Strata to the north and south of the property consist of Cambrian to Pennsylvanian carbonates. Shales of the Jurassic Fernie Formation are dominant west of the Squaw Thrust. Precambrian Purcell sandstones, argillites and limestones outcrop to the east of the property. Thrusting and



## **GEOLOGICAL LEGEND**

#### CRETACEOUS

Blairmore Group

## JURASSIC - CRETACEOUS

**Kootenay Group** 

Fernie Formation

.

Spray River Group

#### PENNSYLVANIAN

**Rocky Mountain Formation** 

#### **MISSISSIPPIAN**

**Rundle Group + Banff Formation** 

Palliser + Alexo Formations

## Fairholme Group

#### CAMBRIAN

Elko + Flathead Formations

#### PRECAMBRIAN

#### **Purcell Group**

geological contact (approximate)

#### thrust fault (approximate) teeth on upthrust side

#### gravity fault (approximate) solid circle on downthrown side





ENCLOSURE 5

7

{

(\* \_\_\_\_

associated folding are dominant structural features within the strata surrounding the Lillyburt prospect but are of minimum importance within the property. However, due to the influence of the Flathead normal fault, high angle normal faulting and strike-slip faulting at a local scale dominate the geology within the property. (Appendix IV)

#### 3.2 Lillyburt Stratigraphy

Coal at Lillyburt occurs in the upper Jurassic to Lower Cretaceous coal bearing member (Mist Mountain Formation) of the Kootenay Group. Underlying and overlying the Kootenay Group is the Jurassic Fernie Group and Cretaceous Blairmore Group respectively.

Dark grey and black shales, siltstones and occasional sandstones of the Fernie Group crop out on the west end of the property on both sides of the Flathead River. Due to the recessive nature of these rocks, exposures are of limited extent.

Evidence from drill hole LBD-301 suggests a thickness greater than 330 meters for Kootenay strata in the southern portion of the property. This thickness may be reduced to 175 meters in the north, close to the Flathead normal fault (LBD-300A). A typical columnar section for the property is detailed in Enclosure #5. The Kootenay Group sequence extends from the basal sandstone

- 9 -

(Morrissey Formation) to the base of the Cadomin conglomerate of the Blairmore Group. Drilling has detailed five coal measures of greater than one meter in thickness. Between these seams are siltstones, sandstones and shales typical of the Mist Mountain Formation.1

The Morrissey Formation, underlying the coal measures, is primarily a sandstone sequence. The sandstone is salt and pepper in colour, equigranular, and well sorted with occasional coaly fragments. The lowermost section is fine grained but the unit coarsens upward to a distinctive 10 meter thick medium grained, well indurated sandstone at the top. (The contact between the Morrissey and Mist Mountain Formations is abrupt with a 2-5 meter coal seam (seam 'A') overlying the medium grained sandstone.)

The Mist Mountain Formation is a complex succession of sediments of deltaic and fluvial origin. It is approximately 300 meters thick. The lower 125 meters consist of carbonaceous siltstone, coal, shale, carbonaceous mudstone and fine grained sandstone. The sediments repeatedly fine upward from sandstone to mudstone or coal. The number of coal seams varies from 6 to 10 and range in thickness from 0.1 to 25 meters. This lower section is considered

1

ť,

[Stratigraphic nomenclature is that of Gibson, (1979)].

by the writer to represent a wave dominated deltaic environment [Horne & Ferm, (1978)]. The upper 175 meters of section is dominated by sandstone. Again, fining upward units are common but occasional coarsening upward sandstone beds have been observed. Sandstones tend to be medium grained but grain size variability ranges from fine to coarse. Interbedded with these sandstone units are sandy siltstones, mudstones, and silty coal. Coal seams are much less abundant and thicknesses are less than 2 meters. The coal occurs in a zone, or as inconsistent lenses in the succession. This upper section of the Mist Mountain Formation is interpreted to be representative of a fluvial environment, shoreward of the deltaic complex.

It is considered by the writer that the Elk Formation, which overlies the Mist Mountain Formation in other localities, is stratigraphically absent at Lillyburt due to depositional variation.

Thus, the Cadomin conglomerate, the basal member of the Cretaceous Blairmore Group unconformably overlies the Mist Mountain Formation. Thickness of this unit varies from 10-15 meters and is characterized by its resistance to weathering and blocky outcrop nature. The conglomerate is framework-supported, with the majority of clasts consisting of round to sub-round black chert and white quartzite pebbles and cobbles. Overlying the conglomerate is a monotonous succession of mudstones, siltstones and fine grained sandstones. Although these rocks weather recessively, the dark red and apple green colour of the mudstones make this a distinctive mappable unit.

### 3.3 Structural Geology

Lillyburt, located within the Flathead Valley graben structure, is characterized by small and large scale gravity faulting (Appendix V). The Flathead normal fault is the predominant structure in the area, separating Jurassic-Cretaceous Kootenay and Cretaceous Blairmore strata from surrounding Pre-Cambrian to Pennsylvanian carbonates to the north and east. Displacement on this fault has been estimated to be approximately 1200 meters near the Flathead townsite [Price, (1965)]. Associated with this major structure are several strike-slip faults and normal faults sub-parallel to the main fault system. Local scale disruption within the Kootenay stratigraphy is in the order of tens of meters. The southern margin of the property is again defined by a large scale gravity fault which juxtaposes Jurassic Fernie shales against Pennsylvanian and Mississippian carbonates. Displacement and attitude of this structure is as yet unknown. The western boundary of the property is defined by the Squaw Thrust which has transposed Jurassic Fernie strata over Cretaceous Blairmore

rocks. A subsidiary thrust may be present within Squaw Creek. Its existence is postulated on the basis of repetition of a Fernie-Kootenay succession from west to east across the property. Within the major structural block, east of Squaw Creek and north of the Flathead River, the coal-bearing sedimentary rocks have been folded into a open asymmetric, east-plunging syncline.

Borehole LBD-300A successfully cored the Flathead Fault zone and terminated in carbonaceous limestones of the Mississippi Banff Formation. The fault zone is approximately 40 meters wide at this locality. Brecciation and alteration are so intense, it is virtually impossible to recognize rock-type. (Photos #9 and 10). Geological constraints suggest the fault zone dips 60° southward. Subsidiary faulting within the property is consistently high angle (55-75°), and normal in sense. Fault traces are curvilinear, and displacements range from 5 to 50 meters. Recognition of faults is best determined from geophysical log traces (Appendix VII) and brecciation within diamond drill core (Photo #11). This is best exemplified with the loss of section apparent in borehole LBD-302.

### 3.4 Coal Geology

1980-81 rotary and diamond drilling has defined five seams of economic interest at Lillyburt. Geophysical log interpretation suggests seam E, the highest seam in the sequence, is more of a

coal zone. Shale and carbonaceous siltstone partings up to one meter thick separate the coal bands from each other. The zone can be up to 15 meters thick but individual coal bands are less than one meter thick. Seam D. 50 meters below seam E in section, has a very consistent geophysical signature from drill hole to drill hole, and averages one meter thick. This seam may stratigraphically lens out or be faulted out on the eastern half of the south limb of the syncline (i.e. compare LBD-301 and LBR-214 geophysical logs ... Appendix VII). Seam C is the thickest seam on the property, attaining an aggregate thickness of 35 meters at one locality (LBD-302). However thickness tends to vary from 10 to 15 meters. A prominent 13-meter split is evident on the east end of the south limb (LBR-206) but lenses out westward over a 1200 meter strike length (LBD-301). Aside from this parting, contamination of the seam is slight. In an unfaulted section, seam C is located 75 meters downsection from seam D. A further 40 meters downsection is seam B. It is from one to two meters thick, shaley, commonly with a siltstone parting, and is sometimes difficult to distinguish from other discontinuous coal seams common in this interval. Thirty to forty meters further downsection and conformably lying upon the Morrissev Formation sandstone is seam A. This seam varies from 2.5 - 8.5 meters thick and can be quite shaley. The seam has been used extensively as a correlation tool and datum level (Appendix VI[].

#### 4.0 COAL RESERVES

The estimates of in-situ coal reserves and resources are considered to be unchanged from those calculated in 1980, [McKinstry, (1980)].

#### 5.0 COAL QUALITY

Enclosed with this report are trench and drillhole coal analyses obtained during the 1980 and 1981 exploration field season (Appendix II). Tables 2 and 3 have been drafted to better illustrate the proximate analytical characteristics on a seam by seam basis. Volatile matter increases upsection from an average 26% (DAF basis) in seam A to 32% (DAF basis) in Seam E. Free Swelling Index values are consistently below 2.0 in seams A, B and C. Seam C constitutes 43% of the reserve estimate for the south limb of the syncline. This seam is strongly oxidized with FS1 Values rarely greater than 1.0. Raw ash content averages 40% but beneficiation at 1.60 specific gravity reduces this value to approximately 13% with yields between 40% and 50%. The sulphur content is very low (0.3-0.5%) and neat content averages 7250 Kcal/Kgm. Table 2 is a detailed account of analyses in each borehole. Table 3 summarizes this borehole data on a seam by seam basis.

SEAM A

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-201	169.0-177.5 (6.5M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.51 1.36	39.0 19.9	19.7	59.0	1.5		40	
LBR-207	95.5- 96.0 (.5M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.0 .86	56.4 19.6	22.1	57.4	1.5		23	
	97.0-101.0 (4.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.85 .70	25.9 16.5	22.3	60.5	4.5		63	
	101.0-105.0 (4.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.71 .89	33.4 14.1	23.4	61.6	6.0		52	
	97.0-105.0 (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.64 1.84	30.1 14.8	23.0	60.3	4.0	.60	58	7114
LBR-208	88.0-96.0 (8.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.73 .63	45.8 18.7	21.5	59.1	3.0		35	
LBR-209	179.0-184.0 (5.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.04 .74	63.4 21.8	20.7	56.7	1.0		16	
LBR-211	74.5-75.5 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.59 .94	62.1 26.2	18.9	53.9	1.0		8	
	75.5-76.5 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.55 1.16	47.1 25.0	21.7	52.1	1.0		22	
	76.5-77.5 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.56 1.60	54.1 39.8	18.4	40.1	0.5		3	

1A/CZe.2

1 ---

SEAM A (Cont'd)

٠.,

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-211	77.5-78.5 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.52 1.3	57.6 29.3	21.5	47.9	1.0		10	
	74.5-78.5 (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.54 1.38	55.0 26.7	21.3	50.6	1.0	.52	12	5964
LBR-212	138.0-140.0 (2.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.55 1.54	48.1 27.8	22.9	47.7	1.0		23	
	140.0-141.0 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.74 1.89	32.8 18.2	23.0	56.9	1.5		51	
	141.0-143.0 (2.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.55 1.61	52.4 30.9	20,3	47.2	1.0		8	
	138.0-143.0 (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	2.43 1.44	43.1 22.1	22.7	53.7	1.0	.53	30	6355
LBR-213	34.0-35.0 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.8 .92	70.8 15.4	23.9	59.8	4.5		2	
	35.0-36.0 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.23 1.08	38.1 19.0	22.4	57.5	1.0		41	
	36.0-37.0 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.52 1.25	27.3 16.1	23.6	59.0	3.5		58	
	37.0-38.0 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.63 1.40	21.5 13.9	26.1	58.6	7.5		59	

1A/CZe.3

ΪN.
SEAM A (Cont'd)

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-213	34.0-38.0 (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.95 2.2	42.5 19.2	23.5	55.1	3.0	.52	41	6587
LBR-214	252.5-253.0 (.5M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.96 .32	54.4 16.9	21.8	60.95	1.0		23	
	253.0-259.0 (6.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.96 .60	44.0 15.8	23.1	60.5	3.5		47	
	252.5-259.0 (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.59 1.07	47.0 16.5	22.4	60.0	2.5	.51	41	6985
LBD-301	300.1-303.2 (3.1M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.84 .62	50.8 17.6	20.0	61.8	1.0 1.5		23	
LBD-302	√302.59-304.15 (1.56M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.84 1.01	46.5 16.3					17	
	304.15-304.81 (.66M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.85 1.04	21.8 13.5					71	
WE IG HTED AVERAGE	302.59-304.81 (2.22M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.84 1.02	39.2 15.5					33	
LBR-304	175.3-176.8 ✓ (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.29 .75	36.6 13.7	22.4	63,15	7.5	.59	37	7369
	∫ <sup>176.8-177.7</sup> (.9M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G)	.59 .78	41.4 18.4	21.0	59.8	7.0	.58	12	7076

.

1

1A/CZe.4

Ċ.

SEAM A (Cont'd)

HOLE #	SAMPLE Internal	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-304 WEIGHTED AVERAGE	175.3-177.7 (2.4M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	_4 _76	38.4 15.5	21.9	61,85	7.5	- 58	11	7250
LBR-305	189.0-190.5 / (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.82 .96	50.2 15.5	20.4	63.1	1.5	.55	20	7090
	(190.5-192.0 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G)	.76 1.04	45.1 15.4	21.4	62.4	4.0	-55	39	7116
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	(3.OM)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.79 1.1	47.7 15.4	20.8	62.7	2.0	•55	30	7104
						,				

ñ

SEAM	В
------	---

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-201	133.0-135.7 (2.7M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.47 1.80	37.4 12.5	20.0	65.7	3.5		51	
LBR-206	204.7-206.2 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.84 1.01	28.2 15.8	19.6	63.6	0.0		72	
LBR-207	57.0-59.0 (2.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.88 .74	37.0 15.4	20.3	63.6	1.0		60	
	63.0-66.0 (3.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.82 .62	32.9 22.9	19.1	57.4	1.5		59	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE	(5.OM)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.84 .67	34.5 19.9	19.6	59.85	1.5		59	
LBR-208	52.0-54.0 (2.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.69 .64	29.9 15.2	18.8	65.3	1.5		70	
LBR-209	126.0-130.0 (4.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.94 1.38	49.5 18.4	21.1	59.1	1.5		44	
LBR-214	213.0-214.0 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.14 .46	57.0 18.1	20.8	. 60.7	1.0	i	31	
	218.0-220.0 (2.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.29 .48	68.0 14.9	19.6	64.9	1.0		24	
LBR-215	28.0-30.0 (2.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.83 .70	48.5 18.6	19.1	61.6	1.0		24	

٤

•

## SEAM\_B (Cont'd)

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-215	30.0-33.0 (3.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.92 1.25	43.9 12.5	20.8	65.45	1.0		48	
	33.0-34.0 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.17 .50	73.5 18.9	20.4	60.2	5.0		4	
	34.0-35.0 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.15 .65	83.6 17.4	21.3	60.7	7.5		2	
	28.0-35.0 (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.49 .85	63.4 19.8	19.4	59.9	1.0	.51	18	6726
LBD-301	272.5-273.5 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.92 .65	18.7 9.35	19.3	70.7	1.0		70	
LBD-302	√252.4-253.0 (.6M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	1.23 1.34	15.7 9.0					84	
	256.0-256.5 (.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	1.19 1.05	40.0 14.8					43	
LBR-304	138.1-138.7 / (.6M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	•64 •74	63.2 14.3	20.1	64.9	1.5	<b>.</b> 57	16	7287

1A/CZe.7

.

SEAM C

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LB-2	174.2-175.4 (1.2M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.61 .67	33.46 7.50	23.51	68,32	1.0 3.0		58	
	175.4-192.2 (16.8M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.32 .97	40.29 11.59	22.51	64.93	1.0 1.5		49	
	192.2-195.0 (2.8M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.51 .77	36.42 13.89	21.03	64.31	1.0 1.0		55	
WE IG HTED AVERAGE	(20.8M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.36 .64	39.4 11.7	22.4	65.26	1.0 1.5		50	
LB-3	4.0-52.0 (48.0M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.72 .79	28.29 9.27	22.4 22.83	65.0 67.11	1.0 1.0		42	
LBR-201	65.0-74.5 (9.5M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.47 3.28	27.7 10.8	20,7	65.22	1.0		53	
LBR-203	99.5-104.5 (5.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.74 .99	77.56 18.14	21.30	59.57	1.0		8	
	104.5-107.0 (2.5M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.63 3.13	<b>49.85</b> 18.43	20.9	57.5	1.0		33.5	
	99.5-107.0M (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.47 2.71	63.40 15.15	21.73	60.41	1.0	.32	18	7009
LBR-207	16.0-21.0 (5M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.76 2.37	36.12 28.40	20.06	49.17	1.0		63	

1A/CZe.8

L,

· · · 、

### <u>SEAM C (Cont'd)</u>

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-207	21.0-25.0 (4M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.82 1.82	61.05 18.01	21.26	58.91	1.5		24	
	25.0-27.0 (2M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.78 .70	58.54 19.85	20.95	58.5	3.5		24	
	16.0-27.0 (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.6 3.37	52.85 23.58	20.36	52.7	1.0	.34	41	6179
LBR-212	23.5-25.5 (2.0M)	RAW WAH (1.62 S.G.)	.52 1.80	32.25 16.68	21.41	60.11	0.5		57	
	25.5-26.5 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.66 .70	57.2 11.48	25.0	62.79	3.0		30	
	23.5-26.5 (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.31 1.19	22.15 14.95	22.8	61.07	1.0	.38	45	7036
LBR-214	158.0-160.5 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.52 1.22	46.87 16.05	22.5	60,19	1.0		40	
	160.5-166.0 (5.5M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.68 1.72	52.60 11.03	22.75	64.5	1.0		37	
	166.0-168.0 (2M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.78 1.42	65.14 27.07	22.05	49.46	1.0		16	
	168.0-172.5 (4.5M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.06 1.04	85.5 22.8	20.8	55.4	1.0		2	

.

1A/CZe.9

×,

SEAM C (Cont'd)

.

•

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-214	172.5-177.0 (4.5М)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.82 1.01	51.8 16.2	21.3	61.45	1.0		20	
- - -	177.0-179.0 (2M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.08 1.03	37.8 15.8	20.9	62.3	1.0		28	
	158.0-179.0 (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.67 1.46	43.8 13.9	21.8	62.8	1.0	•28	32	7135
LBD-301	220.9-222.5 (1.6M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.72 .84	34.5 8.2	23.1	67.8	1.0 3.5		54	
	222.5-225.2 (2.7M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.67 1.33	18.7 9.2	20.9	68.6	1.0 1.0		75	
	225.2-225.5 (.3M)	RAW	.87	82.7			0.0			
	225.5-230.7 (5.2M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.74 1.63	34.2 12.3	21.3	64.7	1.0 1.5		51	
	220.9-230.7 (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.71 1.64	36.5 11.8	21.7	64.8	1.0	.28	52	7600
LBR-304	√59.7-61.3 (.6M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.67 2.96	36.4 9.2	20.5	67.3	1.0	.26	46	7502
	61.3-62.5 (1.2M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.75 3.22	34.0 9.1	21.7	65.9	1.5	•35	53.0	7493

1A/CZe.10

ι

· •

### SEAM C (Cont'd)

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-304	∫ 62.5-63.4 (.9M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.74 2.14	20.0 9.2	20.7	68.0	1.0	.22	68	7505
	/ 63.4-64.3 (.9M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.66 .61	70.6 15.3	19.9	64.1	1.5	.54	15	7174
	√64.3-64.9 (.6M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.68 1.92	20.3 7.1	22.3	68.7	1.0	.22	73	7757
	✓ 64.9-66.4 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.67 2.74	25.9 8.75	21.5	67.0	1.0	.22	56	7510
	66.4-67.05 (.65M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.70 2.04	35.0 12.8	20.4	64.8	1.0	.24	39	7220
	67.05-68.6 (1.55M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.83 1.41	32.0 8.1	21.2	69.4	•2	.22	40	7701
	68.6-70.1 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.79 1.3	36.1 12.0	19.3	67.3	.5	.21	38	7745
	√70.1-71.6 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.46 1.3	32.4 13.5	19.4	65.8	.5	.25	41	7220
	J71.6-73.15 (1.55M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.61 1.88	31.6 11.3	20.5	66.3	1.0	.32	44	7406
	73.15-74.7 (1.55M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.64 1.16	20.5 12.2	19.7	66.9	1.0	.33	60	7334

1A/CZe.11

SEAM C (Cont'd)

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-304	/74.7-76.2 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.73 1.89	22.0 12.0	19.9	66.2	1.0	.33	59	7340
	√76.2-77.7 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.74 1.85	27.6 12.0	20.5	65.6	1.0	.31	43	7317
	77.7-79.25 (1.55M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.65 1.53	24.5 11.5	19.6	67.3	1.0	.31	48	7428
	/79.25-80.8 (1.55M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.70 .73	56.8 13.0	21.3	64.9	4.0	.39	21	7339
WE IG HTED AVERAGE	(21.1M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.69 1.75	32.4 11.2	20.45	66.6	1.0	.30	47	7434
LBR-305	/94.8-96.3 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.83 .28	58.2 15.8	21.8	62.1	1.5	.31	22	7032
	v 96.3-97.8 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.79 1.58	48.1 12.9	21.2	64.3	2.0	<b>.</b> 27	38	7162
	/97.8-99.1 (1.3M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.58 1.49	51.1 12.5	21.3	64.7	1.5	.27	33	7209
	/99.1-100.6 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.56 2.0	43.2 9.3	21.6	67.2	1.5	.28	40	7520
	/100.6-102.1 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.55 1.69	39.1 10.0	21.2	67.1	1.5	.23	41	7442

٠

# <u>SEAM C (Cont'd)</u>

-

.

HOLE #	SAMPLE Internal	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-305	102.1-103.6 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.59 1.05	55.9 15.0	19.8	64.1	1.5	.18	23	7004
	103.6-105.1 / (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.65 1.3	33.6 12.4	18.4	67.8	1.5	.17	59	7311
	105.1-106.7 / (1.6M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.74 1.26	60.6 13.1	19.4	66.2	1.0	.16	14	7223
	106.7-108.2 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.85 1.70	39.1 10.9	19.7	67.7	1.0	.20	46	7386
	108.2-109.7 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.70 1.3	51.1 13.9	19.3	65.5	1.0	.19	25	7128
	109.7-111.2 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.57 1.2	32.2 12.1	19.4	67.3	1.5	.25	31	7291
	/111.2-112.8 (1.6M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.63 .92	44.9 14.8	19.2	65.0	1.5	.24	27	7083
	/112.8-114.3 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.68 1.02	38.4 12.8	19.7	66.5	1.5	.26	42	7261
	114.3-115.8 (1.5M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.81 1.02	40.3 13.1	19.8	66.1	1.5	.29	45	7241
	/115.8-116.4 (.6M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.81 .92	63.0 14.3	19.7	65.1	1.5	.30	23	7105

1A/CZe.13

i.

SEAM C (Cont'd)

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-305 WEIGHTED AVERAGE	(21.6M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.69 1.26	45.9 12.8	20.1	65.84	1.5	.24	34	7231
LBR-309	/22.0-23.0 (1M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.95 .65	49.2 15.3	20.0	64.0	1.5	-49	28	7104
	(1M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.86 .69	43.0 10.7	20.1	68.5	1.0	.44	45	7552
WE IGHTED AVERAGE	(2M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.90 .67	46.1 13.0	20.0	66.33	1.0	.46	36	7328
LBR-209	52.0-78.5 (26.5M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.74	39.3 14.4	23.6	60.8	1.0	.32	53	7073

## SEAM C (UPPER)

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-206	113.0-114.0 (1.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.17 .73	77.5 17.1	26.6	55.5	3.5		10	
	114.0-117.0 (3.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.94 .77	57.4 12.9	26.0	60.3	3.0		32	
	117.0-123.0 (6.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.84 1.0	36.6 13.7	24.6	60.7	1.0		56	
	113.0-123.0 (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.43 1.11	46.1 12.9	24.9	61.0	1.5	.31	45	7280
LBR-209	52.0-56.0 (4.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.95 1.37	21.4 14.7	24.8	59.1	1.5		68	
	56.0-58.0 (2.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	•63 •85	26.6 13.9	22.9	62.3	1.0		71	
	58.0-61.0 (3.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.76 .72	29.1 13.5	24.7	61.0	1.5		62	
	61.0-64.0 (3.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.76 .55	35.7 14.1	24.5	60.8	1.5	:	53	
	64.0-69.0 (5.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.99 .92	54.2 16.7	22.9	59.4	1.0		30	
WE IG HTED Average	(52-69M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.86 .92	35.5 14.9	23.9	60.2	1.5		53	

· · · · · · ·

1A/CZe.15

¢,

SEAM C (UPPER - Cont'd)

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-210	120.0-125.0 (5.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.90 1.47	52.4 11.1	25.5	61.9	3.5		38	
	125.0-128.0 (3.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.83 1.22	26.1 13.9	23.0	61.9	1.0		74	
	128.0-131.0 (3.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.81 2.08	30.2 11.7	23.3	62.9	1.0		64	
	120.0-131.0 (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.38 1.09	26.1 11.5	24.3	63.0	1.0	.29	53	7386
LBD-302	√ <sup>187.02-187.4</sup> (.38M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	1.42 1.63	56.3 8.1					23	
	√187.4-192.0 (4.6M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	1.34 1.59	22.9 10.1					68	
	√192.0-193.45 (1.45M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	1.25 2.53	27.7 10.8					54	
	√193.45-194.35 (.9M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	1.17 1.33	28.5 11.1					57	
	/194.35-196.37 (2.02M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	1.08 2.23	28.9 10.4					55	
	<sup>J</sup> 196.37-198.4 (2.03M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	1.04 1.23	32.5 14.7					51	

.

SEAM C (UPPER - Cont'd)

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBD-302	198.4-199.6	RAW	1.02	76.0						
	187.02-198.4 (WEIGHTED AVERAGE)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	1.22 1.74	27.85 11.1					58	
					1					
										·
									i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	

.

.

### SEAM C (LOWER)

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-206	140.0-150.25 (10.25M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.82 1.05	60.6 20.5	22.6	55.8	1.5			
LBR-209	69.0-71.5 (2.5M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.76 2.33	88.3 20.4	20.9	56.3	1.0			
	71.5-73.5 (2.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	1.04 .88	52.2 15.8	22.8	60.5	1.0			
	73.5-75.5 (2.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.95 .75	56.6 17.4	21.7	60.2	1.5			
5	75.5-78.5 (3.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.73 .79	46.0 17.2	21.6	60.4	1.0			
(WT.AVERAGE)	69.0-78.5 (9.5M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.85 1.21	60.7 17.8	21.7	59.3	1.0			
LBR-210	131.0-134.0 (3.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.71 4.19	22.6 10.5	23.0	62.3	1.0			
	134.0-139.0 (5.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.91 .97	40.2 16.2	21.8	61.0	1.0			
(COMPOSITE)	131.0-139.0 (8.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.97 2.9	29.3 11.6	21.9	63.6	1.0	.28	65	7266
✓ LBD- 302	207.5-209.83 (2.33M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.79 1.05	40.8 16.5					32	

1A/CZe.18

SEAM C (LOWER - Cont'd)

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
√ LBD 302	√209.83-210.11 (.28M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.95 1.15	38.4 19.7					35	
	210.11-216.75 (6.64M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.95 1.31	28.7 10.6					58	
(WT.AVERAGE)	207.5-216.75 (9.25M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.91 1.24	32.0 12.4					51	
									1	

4

SEAM D	
--------	--

	HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC . VALUE
X	LB-2	138.9-139.6 (.7M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.57 .58	59.2 17.5	23.0	58.9	1.0 5.5		32	
	LBR-201	23.5-25.5 (2.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.40 1.16	25.95 9.9	23.7	65.2	7.0		64	
	LBD-301	146.8-147.35 (.55M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.72 1.04	46.0 16.2	21.5	61.2	1.0 2.0		33	
	✓ LBR-304	27.4-28.65 (1.25M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.61 1.65	41.3 8.5	23.7	66.2	5.0	.77	39	7731
				•							
											ب

SEAM	Ε
------	---

HOLE #	SAMPLE INTERNAL	ANALYSIS TYPE	% MOISTURE	% ASH	% V.M.	% F.C.	FSI	% S	% YIELD	CALORIFIC VALUE
LBR-210	66.0-69.0 (3.0M)	RAW WASH (1.62 S.G.)	.92 .76	49.7 18.2	23.4	57.6	1.5		36	
LBD-301	87.4-88.25 (.85M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.82 .71	52.8 18.3	25.6	55.4	2.0 8.0		38	
	88.25-89.36 (1.11M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.96 .97	82.1 11.3	25.7	62.0	0.0 8.5		4	
	89.36-90.45 (1.09M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.75 .71	22.0 10.2	27.3	61.8	7.5 8.5		72	
	90.45-91.35 (.9M)	RAW	•8	87.4			0.0			
	91.35-92.14 (.79M)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.56 1.21	34.6 14.3	24.8	59.7	6.5 8.5		52	
	87.4-92.14 (COMPOSITE)	RAW WASH (1.6 S.G.)	.63 .67	69.9 15.4	28.7	55.2	8.0	.68	18	7294
							1			
							į			
							:			

(1,1,1)

TABLE	3
	_

SEAM	ਸ01 F #	MOIST	URE (%)	ASH (%)		V M (9)	) F.C.(%)	F	SI	SULPI	4UR(%)	VI51D(%)	CALORIFIC
SEAM		(Raw)	(Float)	(Raw)	(Float)	¥•M•( <i>1</i> 6)	Г • • • ( /а )	(Raw)	(Float)	(Raw)	(Float)	11CLU(%)	(Kcal/Kg)
A	LBR-201	.51	1.36	39.0	19.9	19.7	59.0		1.5			40	
	LBR-207	.64	1.84	30.1	14.8	23.0	60.3		4.0		.60	58	7114
	LBR-208	.73	.63	45.8	18.7	21.5	59.1		3.0			35	
	LBR-209	1.04	.74	63.4	21.8	20.7	56.7		1.0			16	5
	LBR-211	.54	1.38	55.0	26.7	21.3	50.6		1.0		.52	12	5964
	LBR-212	2.43	1.44	43.1	22.1	22.7	53.7		1.0		.53	30	6355
•	LBR-213	.95	2.2	42.5	19.2	23.5	55.1		3.0		.52	41	6587
	LBR-214	.59	1.07	47.0	16.5	22.4	60.0		2.5		.51	41	6985
	*S.G. =	1.62 FOF	THE ABOVE	ALL R	ESULTS ARE	Ουότεο Ο	N AN AIR D	RY BASI	 \$.				
	TOTAL AVERAGE	.93	1.33	45.7	20.0	21.8	56.82		2.0		.54	34	6601
	LBD-301	.84	.62	50.8	17.6	20.0	61.8	1.0	1.5			23	
	LBD-302	.84	1.02	39.2	15.5							33	
	LBR-304	.40	.76	38.4	15.5	21.9	61.85	1	7.5		.58	11	7259
	LBR-305	.79	1.10	47.7	15.4	20.8	62.7		2.0		.55	30	7104
	*S.G. =	1.60 FO	THE ABOVE	ALL R	ESULTS AR	ο συστέρ	N AN AIR D	RY BASI	\$ <b>.</b>				
	TOTAL AVERAGE	.72	.88	44.0	16.0	20.9	62.1		3.5		.56	24	7187

•

SEAM HO	HOLE #	MOIST	'URE (%)	ASH (%)		V M (%)	F ( /%)	FS	SI	SULP	HUR(%)	VIFID(%)	
3LAN		(Raw)	(Float)	(Raw)	(Float)	••••• (%)	1.0(%)	(Raw)	(Float)	(Raw)	(Float)	1100(%)	(Kcal/Kg)
В	LBR-201	.47	1,80	37.4	12.5	20.0	65.7		3.5			51	
	LBR-206	.84	1.01	28.2	15.8	19.6	63.6		0.0			72	
	LBR-207	.84	.67	34,5	19.9	19.6	59.85		1.5			59	
	LBR-208	.69	.64	29,9	15.2	18.8	65.3		1.5			70	
	LBR-209	.94	1.38	49.5	18.4	21.1	59.1		1.5			44	
	LBR-214	1.29	.48	68.0	14.9	19.6	64.9		1.0			24	
	LBR-215	.49	.85	63.4	19.8	19.4	59.9		1.0		.51	18	6726
	*S.G. =	1.62 FO	THE ABOVE.	ALL RI	SULTS ARE	QUOTED O	AN AIR DI	Y BASI:	<b>5.</b>				
	TOTAL AVERAGE	.79	.84	44.4	16.6	19.7	62.6		1.5		.51	48	6726
	LBD-301	.92	.65	18.7	9.35	19.3	70.7		1.0			70	
	LBD-302	1.19	1.05	40.0	14.8			1				43	
	LBD-304	.64	.74	63.2	14.3	20.1	64.9		1.5		.57	16	7287
				ł									
	*S.G. =	1.60 0	R THE ABOVE	ALLR	ESULTS ARI	QUOTED OI	AN AIR D	NY BASI:	 5. 				
	TOTAL AVERAGE	.92	.81	40.6	12.8	19.7	66.7		1.5		.57		7287

٠

1A/CZd.3

. <sup>...</sup>.

SEAM	HOLE #	MOISTURE (%)		ASH (%)		V M (9)	E C (%)	FS1		SULPHUR(%)			CALORIFIC
		(Raw)	(Float)	(Raw)	(Float)	V = M = ( /o )	F.U.(%)	(Raw)	(Float)	(Raw)	(Float)	TIELU(%)	(Kcal/Kg)
C	LB-2	.36	.64	39.4	11.7	22.4	65.26	1.0	1.5			50	
	LB-3	.72	.79	28.3	9.3	22.8	67.1	1.0	1.0			42	
	LBR-201	.47	3.28	27.7	10.8	20.7	65.2		1.0			53	
	LBR-203	.47	2.71	63,4	15.1	21.7	60.4		1.0		.32	16	7009
	LBR-207	1.6	3.37	52.8	23.6	20.4	52.7		1.0		.34	41	6179
	LBR-209	.74	1.1	39.3	14.4	23.6	60.8		1.0		.32	53	7073
	LBR-212	1.3	1.19	22.1	14.9	22.8	61.1		1.0		.38	45	7036
	LBR-214	.67	1.46	43.8	13.9	21.8	62.8		1.0		.28	32	7135
	*S.G. =	1.62 FO	THE ABOVE	ALL RI	ESULTS ARE	QUOTED OI	N AN AIR D	RY BASI	ļ <b>Ş.</b>				
	TOTAL AVERAGE	.79	1.8	39.6	14.2	22.0	61.9	1.0	1.0		.33	41	6886
	LBD-301	.71	1.64	36.5	11.8	21.7	64.8		1.0		.28	52	7600
	LBR-304	.69	1,75	32.4	11.2	20.45	66.6		1.0		.30	47	7434
	LBR-305	.69	1.26	45.9	12.8	20.1	65.8		1.5		.24	34	7231
	LBR-309	.90	<b>.</b> 67	46.1	13.0	20.0	66.3		1.0		.46	36	7328
	*S.G. =	1.60 FO	THE ABOVE	ALL RI	I ESULTS ARI	E QUOTED O	N AN AIR D	RY BASI	ļ. <b>Ş.</b>				
	TOTAL AVERAGE	.80	1.33	40.2	12.2	20.5	66.0		1.0		.32	42	7398

-

1A/CZd.4

.

SEAM	HOLE #	MOISTURE (%)		ASH (%)		V M (9)	E C (9)	FSI		SULPHUR(%)			CALORIFIC
		(Raw)	(Float)	(Raw)	(Float)	V + M + ( />)	Г.С.( <i>%</i> )	(Raw)	(Float)	(Raw)	(Float)	TIELU(%)	(Kcal/Kg)
	LBR-206	1.43	1.11	46.1	12.9	24.9	61.0		1.5		.31	45	7280
	LBR-209	.86	.92	35.5	14.9	23.9	60.2		1.5			53	
	LBR-210	1.38	1.09	26.1	11.5	24.3	63.0		1.0		.29	53	7386
	LBD-302	1.22	1.74	27.85	11.1							58	
	*S.G. = S.G. =	1.62 FOF 1.60 FOF	<pre>LBR-206, 1 R LBD-302</pre>	BR-209 /	AND LBR-2	10							
	TOTAL	ALL AI	ALYSES ON A	AN AIR DI	RY BASIS.								
	AVERAGE	1.22	1.21	33.9	12.6	24.4	61.8		1.5		.30	52	7333
C	LBR-206	.82	1.05	60.6	20.5	22.6	55.8		1,5				
	LBR-209	<b>.</b> 85	1.21	60.7	17.8	21.7	59.3		1.0				
	LBR-210	.97	2.9	29.3	11.6	21.9	63.6		1.0	1	.28	65	7266
	LBD-302	.91	1.24	32.0	12.4						ļ	51	
	*S.G. = S.G. =	1.62 F0 1.60 F0	1 R LBR-206, R LBD-302	LBR-209	AND LBR-2	10							
	TOTAL	ALL A	NALYSES ON	AN AIR D	RY BASIS								
	AVERAGE	.88	1.6	45.6	15.6	22.1	60.7		1.0		.28	58	7266
				1				1		[			

,

1A/CZd.5

. . .

SEAM	HOLE #	MOISTURE (%)		ASH (%)		V M (%)		FSI		SULPHUR(%)		VIFID(%)	
		(Raw)	(Float)	(Raw)	(Float)	¥•PI•(#)	F•U•(%)	(Raw)	(Float)	(Raw)	(Float)		(Kcal/Kg)
D	LB-2	.57	•58	59.2	17.5	23.0	58.9	1.0	5.5			32	
	LBR-201	.40	1.16	25.95	9.9	23.7	65.2		7.0			64	
	LBD-301	.72	1.04	46.0	16.2	21.5	61.2	1.0	2.0			33	
	LBR-304	.61	1.65	41.3	8,5	23.7	66.2		5.0		.77	39	7731
	*S.G. = S.G. =	1.62 FO 1.60 FO	LB-2 AND I R LBD-301 A LYSES ON AN	BR-201 ND LBR-30 AIR DRY	94 BASIS								
	TOTAL AVERAGE	•57	1.10	43.1	13.0	23.0	62.9	1.0	5.0		.77	42	7731
E	LBR-210	.92	.76	49.7	18.2	23.4	57.6		1.5			36	
	LBD-301	.63	.67	69.9	15.4	28.7	55.2		8.0		.68	18	7294
	*S.G. = S.G. =	 1.62 FOI 1.60 FOI	R LBR-210 R LBD-301				1						
		ALL ANA	YSES ON AN	AIR DRY	BASIS							1	
	1	·	l	1		L		F	1	1			

1A/CZd.6

 $\zeta_{\cdot}$ 

#### 6.0 GEOPHYSICAL SURVEY RESULTS

As previously mentioned, a rectangular cut-line grid was constructed for geophysical survey purposes across the property. Shell Mineral Resources Limited conducted an EM-31, EM-34 and DC resistivity survey over the grid using various dipole configurations. Preliminary results suggest the E-M survey techniques could discern resistivity contrast between Kootenay-Blairmore, and Kootenay - carbonate strata respectively. However, the methods failed to detect (a) resistivity contrast between overburden and rock, or (b) specific coal horizons in the Kootenay Group. A more detailed report of survey results will be submitted at a later date.

#### 7.0 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK

Further exploration work on the Lillyburt project should consider the following:

- (a) Drilling to define or enhance:
  - i) Coal Quality.
  - ii) Structure.
  - iii) Stratigraphy.
  - iv) Overburden Depth and Ratio.

- (b) Geological Mapping (Scale 1:2000):
  - Detailed mapping of all outcrops on property licences
     4082 and 4085 inclusive.
- (c) Geotechnical:
  - i) Study of hydrology; installation of piezometers.
  - ii) Geotechnical core logging.
- (d) Geophysical Surveys:
  - i) Continued testing of surface geophysical methods such as electromagnetics and seismics to
    - (a) obtain overburden depth profiles
    - (b) delineate structures
    - (c) map significant geological contacts (i.e.
       Fernie-Kootenay contact, Morrissey sandstone,
       Cadomin conglomerate, carbonate Kootenay
       strata contact)
- (e) Bulk Sampling

obtain a representative coal sample from seam C.

#### 8.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Bethune, P. de, 1936. Un cas d'involution de nappes du second genre dans les Montagnes Rocheuses du Canada (geologie des environs du Flathead Townsite, Colombie Britannique): Memoires de L'Institut Geologique de l'Universite de Louvain, Tome X, p. 151-197.
- Densmore, B., 1982. CNRL Reclamation Report for Lillyburt, S.E. British Columbia.
- D'Orsay, M., 1979. Geological Report on the Lillyburt Project, Coal Licence No's: 4080-4089 inclusive, Kootenay District, Map Reference 82G7.
- Dowling, D.B., 1915. Coal Fields in British Columbia: Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 69, 350p.
- Gibson, D.W., 1977. Sedimentary facies in the Jura-Cretaceous Kootenay Formation, Crowsnest Pass area, southwestern Alberta and southeastern British Columbia: Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology, v.25, p. 767-791.
- Gibson, D.W., 1979. The Morrissey and Mist Mountain Formations newly defined litho stratigraphic units of the Jura-Cretaceous Kootenay Group, Alberta and British Columbia. Bulletin of Canadian Petroleum Geology, v.27 p. 183-208.
- Horne, J.C. and Ferm, J.C., 1978. Carboniferous depositional environments: eastern Kentucky and southern West Virginia; University of South Carolina, Dept. of Geology, Columbia, South Carolina. 151p.
- MacKenzie, J.D., 1916. Geology of a portion of the Flathead coal area, British Columbia: Geological Survey of Canada, Memoir 87, 53p.
- McKinstry, B.W., 1980. Report on Coal Licences 4080 to 4089 inclusive, Kootenay Land District, British Columbia.
- McMechan, R.D., 1981. Stratigraphy, sedimentology, structure and tectonic implications of the Oligocene Kishenehn Formation, Flathead Valley graben, southeastern British Columbia. Queen's University PhD dissertation, Kingston, Ontario. 327p.
- Price, R.A., 1965. Flathead Map Area, British Columbia and Alberta, Geological Survey Canada Memoir 336. 221p.
- Robertson, W.F., 1909. Report of the Ministry of Mines, British Columbia, p. 175.
- Rose, B., 1918. Crowsnest and Flathead coal areas, British Columbia: Geological Survey of Canada, Summary Report 1917, Part C, p. 28C-35C.



Photo #1 A view of the Lillyburt coal prospect looking northeastward from Lodgepole coal property. The thicker arrow indicates the general area of exploration interest. NKP indicates the location of North Kootenay Pass.

1

Photo #2 A view of Lillyburt looking northwestward towards Squaw Creek. This photo illustrates the valley setting of the property and the extent of previous logging activity.

K.



Photo #3 Another view of the property illustrating topographical relief. Mount Borsato is to the left of center in the photo.

.

Photo #4 A reclaimed rotary drillhole site at LBR-303. Logging slash is distributed about the site afterward to facilitate regrowth.

•

5/DJa.28

÷

¥



Photo #5 Another reclaimed rotary drillhole site at LBR-309. Rotary hole LBR-207 is located near the white targeting material to the right of center in the photo. This drillhole was used as a control point in aerial photography.

.

 $\hat{z}$ 

Photo #6 The access road to LBR-305 was recontoured and reseeded due to its proximity to the Flathead logging road.

.

:



Photo #7 The Ingersoll-Rand 1700 drilling rig was used for all 1981 rotary holes. Visible in the photo are the rig and pipe trucks, a pickup with spare casing and coal samples.

Photo #8 The Longyear 44 was used to drill all 1981 HQ core holes. To the left of the drilling shack is the mud tank and sump pit, with a water reserve tank in the background.

ģ.

÷

•



Photo #9 Although LBD-306 did not successfully core the Flathead Fault, it did penetrate 10 meters of the zone. This photo illustrates the gouge nature of the core. Notice the small white fragment of limestone in Box 42.

> 24

-

Photo #10 LBD-300A successfully cored the Flathead Fault, revealing it to be a zone 40 meters thick. The gouge is very calcareous and this is seen in the photo with numerous thin white veinlets of calcite throughout the core. A specimen of this core, if left uncovered to the elements would decompose to mud in less than a week. The zone is very carbonaceous at this locality.

÷


Photo #11 The term 'breccia' as opposed to 'gouge' is best exemplified in this photo. The silty sandstone has been strongly fragmented with the fragments rotated and suspended in a silty matrix. This texture was commonly observed where loss of section was apparent on geophysical log traces.

÷.

L.

## CROWS NEST RESOURCES LIMITED (Exploration)

B.C. COAL LICENCES TENURE STANDING

e -----

BLOCK: LILL

I.I LLYBURT

YEAR: 1981

GROUP: # 243 LILLYBURT DATE: DECEMBER 1981

PROJECT:

	LICENCE		A	Q/ADM	REN	TALS		F	REQUIRE	MENT	WORK		BU	IDGET	EXP	POTL	
NO.	LEGAL DESCRIPTION	AREA Total AC/HA.	YEAR	FEES	ANNUAL \$	TOTAL TO NEXT ANN. \$ 10 <sup>3</sup>	EXPIRED \$ 10 <sup>3</sup>		INT YEAR	PRE-FI	JLFILMENT	ANNIVERSARY DATE	CURR AFE	ENT YEAR	TOTAL \$ 10 <sup>-3</sup>	SHELL CLASS	REMARKS
10 LIC		2479	78	300	12,395	49.5	80.5	3	30,987.5	7 3/4.	847.793	DECEMBER 31	-	-	977.7	Y	THE LICENCES ARE
4080	LOT 1662	259														ŀ	IN COOD STANDING
4081	LOT 1663	259															UNTIL DECEMBER 31.
4082	LOT 10333	259	l														1988 WITH AN EXCEE
4083	LOT 1661	259															CREDIT OF \$41.99 F
4084	LOT 1660	259															THE SUBSFOLENT TER
4085	LOT 7750	259															
4086	LOT 7749 & 7754	259															
4087	LOT 1659	259															
4088	LOT 7752	259															
4089	LOT 7753	187														[	
														i i i			
														1			
			<b>_</b>														
														,			
				WORK DONE	1978-79	1980	1981							;			
				\$	74,372.	318,891	535,082										
																ľ	· · · ·····
															····-·	1	

			APPENDIX

\_

\_



.

Province of British Columbia

a source of the second s

÷

÷.

- Ceth

Į

Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources

## APPLICATION TO EXTEND TERM OF LICENCE

LESLIE GRAMANTIK	agent for SHEL	L CANADA RESOURCES LIMITED
P.O. BOX 100	CALG	ARY (Addres)
ALBERTA	TZP	285
····· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Valid FMC No	207568
hereby apply to the Minister to extend TEN LICENCES, GROUP #243,	the term of Coal Licence(s) No(s) 2479 HECTARES	4080 TO 4089 INCL.
for a further period of one year.		
2. Property name LILLYBURT, KOC	DTENAY LAND DISTRICT	
3. I am allowing the following Coal Licent	ce(s) No(s), to forfeit N/A	
4. I have performed, or caused to be perfo	ormed, during the period JANUAR	Y 1981
DECEMBER 31st		if at least \$ 535, 082, 00.
on the location of coal licence(s) as foll	ows:	
CATEGORY OF WORK		
	Licence(s) No{s}.	Apportioned Cost
Geological mapping	4083, 4084	
Surveys: Geophysical	4083, 4084	13,250
Geochemical		
Other		* • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Road construction	4083	11,185.
Surface work	4083, 4084	800.
Underground work	· · · · · · <del>.</del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del>.</del>
Drilling	4083, 4084	350,033.
Logging, sampling, and testing	4083, 4084	92,416.
Reclamation	4083, 4084	
Other work (specify)	_	<u></u>
Off-property costs	GEOLOGICAL REPORT	10,530.
5. I wish to apply \$, 535,082.00	, of this value of work on Coal Licence	(s) No(s)
4089 INCL.		
6. Lurish to any cash in live of work in th	e amount of \$ N/A	
7. The work performed on the location(s) REPORT WILL BE SUBMITTED	is detailed in the attached report entiti IN 90 DAYS	ed THE GEOLOGICAL
		••••••
Dec. 22 mel &	! <i>.</i>	(Deun cut)

ASSISTANT LANDMAN (Position)

(FORMS AND REPORT TO BE SUBMITTED IN DUPLICATE)

APPENDIX IX

- .

.

· · · · · ·

۰.

.

ł

<u>1</u>

ふうないゆう

•

...**.** 

. 7

•

.

GEOLOGICAL MAPPING	Yes <sup>y</sup>	· No 다		
	Area (Hectares)		Scale	Duration
Reconnaissance Detail: Surface	495	i 200	0	08 MAN DAYS
Underground *Other (specify)	SURVEYING GEOF	HYSICAL CUT L	INES	**********
			Total Cost	\$.30.704
GEOPHYSICAL/GEOCHEMI	CAL SURVEYS Yes			
Method	ĒĒĒĢĪKO MĀGNĒJ	τ¢		
Topographic				
Other (specify)				
			Total Cost	\$.13.250
ROAD CONSTRUCTION	LS Yes 1	DI No D		
On Licence(s) No(s), 4083		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Access to DRILL	. HOLE. 305			▲ 11 1 <u>85</u>
			l otal Cost	\$ . 4 + 1 4 6 4
SURFACE WORK	Yes	<u> Ио</u> Ц	Death	Cast
Trenching				
Seam Tracing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
"Other (specify)	NE CUTTING			
	••••••		Total Cort	e 800.
		-		••••••
UNDERGROUND WORK	Yes I Maximum	,,] No.ĕf No.af		
No Taat Adias	o, of Adits Length	Holes	Total Metres	Cost
Lear units				
*Other workings	,			
*Other workings			Total Cost	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
*Other workings			Total Cost	\$
*Other workings	Yes >	逆 No ロ No. of	Total Cost	\$
*Other workings	Yes > Hole Size	⊠ No ⊡ No.of Hotes	Total Cost	\$
*Other workings	۲es ک Hale Size 9,8çm.	No. of Holes	Total Metres	<b>C</b> ost 270,034
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Powarte eiceute	Yes ک Hole Size 9,8çm, 13,3cm	<sup>™</sup> No □ No. of Holes 	Total Cost Total Metres 1201	Cost 270,034 79,999
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Reverse circula *Other (specify)	Yes ) Hole Size بې ۶,8cm tion 13,3cm	No. of Holes	Total Cost Total Metres 1201 993	<b>C</b> ost 270,034 79,999
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Reverse circula *Other (specify)	Yes > Hole Size 	Mo □ No. of Holes 	Total Cost Total Metres 1201	<b>C</b> ost 270,034
*Other workings	۲es ک Hole Size 	INo. of Hotes 	Total Cost Total Metres 1201	<b>C</b> ost 270,034 79,999
*Other workings	Yes > Hole Size 	No. of Holes	Total Cost Total Metres 1201 993 Total Cost	\$
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Reverse circula *Other (specify) ContractorSDS ANI Where is the core stored? LOGGING, SAMPLING ANI	Yes > Hole Size 	<ul> <li>№ Do Do Holes</li> <li>4</li></ul>	Total Cost Total Metres 1201 993 Total Cost	\$
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Reverse circula *Other (specify) Contractor SDS ANI Contractor SDS ANI Where is the core stored? UOGGING, SAMPLING ANI Lithology: Drill samples Logs: Gamma-neutro	Yes > Hole Size 	<ul> <li>No. of Holes</li> <li>4</li> <li>6</li> <li>6</li> <li>75</li> <li>75</li> <li>8</li> <li>725</li> <li>8</li> <li>725</li> </ul>	Total Cost Total Metres 1201 993 Total Cost Ulk samples	\$ Cost 270,034  79,999  \$ 350,033  \$
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Reverse circula *Other (specify) Contractor	Yes > Hole Size 	<ul> <li>№ No</li> <li>№ No</li> <li>4</li> <li>6</li> <li>6</li> <li>9</li> <li>9</li></ul>	Total Cost Total Metres 1201 993 Total Cost vik samples	<b>C</b> ost 270,034 79,999 <b>s</b> 350,033 <b>s</b> 60,073
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Reverse circula *Other (specify) ContractorSDS_ANI ContractorSDS_ANI Where is the core stored? LOGGING, SAMPLING ANI Lithology: Drill samples Logs: Gamma-neutro Other (specify)FOCU Testing: Proximate anal Carbonization	Yes → Hole Size 	<ul> <li>№ No</li> <li>№ No</li> <li>№ No</li> <li>№ No</li> <li></li></ul>	Total Cost Total Metres 1201 993 Total Cost Total Cost ulk samples (ashability [ asticity [	<b>C</b> ost 270,034 79,999 <b>\$</b> 350,033 <b>\$</b> 60,073 <b></b>
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Reverse circula *Other (specify) ContractorSDS ANI Where is the core stored? Where is the core stored? UOGGING, SAMPLING ANI Lithology: Drill samples Logs: Gamma-neutro *Other (specify)FOC Testing: Proximate anal Carbonization *Other (specify)	Yes > Hole Size 	<ul> <li>No. of Holes</li> <li>4</li> <li>6</li> <li>5</li> <li>725</li> <li>8</li> <li>725</li> <li>8</li> <li>725</li> <li>8</li> <li>725</li> <li>74</li> </ul>	Total Cost Total Metres 1201 993 Total Cost Total Cost ulk samples	<b>C</b> ost 270,034 79,999 <b>\$</b> 350,033 <b>\$</b> 60,073 <b>\$</b> 32,343 <b>•</b> 12
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Reverse circula *Other (specify) ContractorSDS ANI Where is the core stored? LOGGING, SAMPLING ANI Lithology: Drill samples Logs: Gamma-neutro *Other (specify)FOC Testing: Proximate anal Carbonization *Other (specify)	Yes > Hole Size 	<ul> <li>No. of Hotes</li> <li></li></ul>	Total Cost Total Metres 1201 993 Total Cost ulk samples (ashability asticity TOTAL COS	Cost 270,034 79,999 \$ 350,033 \$ 60,073 \$ 60,073 \$
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Reverse circula *Other (specify) ContractorSDS ANI ContractorSDS ANI Where is the core stored? LOGGING, SAMPLING ANI Lithology: Drill samples Logs: Gamma-neutro Other (specify)FOCU Testing: Proximate anal Carbonization *Other (specify)RES] OTHER WORK (specify deta RECU	Yes > Hole Size 	<ul> <li>№ No</li> <li>№ No</li> <li>№ No</li> <li></li></ul>	Total Cost Total Metres 1201 993 Total Cost ulk samples	Cost 270,034 79,999 \$ 350,033 \$ 350,033 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Reverse circula *Other (specify) Contractor SDS ANI Where is the core stored? LOGGING, SAMPLING ANI Lithology: Drill samples Logs: Gamma-neutro *Other (specify) FOC Testing: Proximate anal Carbonization *Other (specify) RESI OTHER WORK (specify deta RECI	Yes > Hole Size 	<ul> <li>No. of Holes</li> <li>4</li> <li>6</li> <li>3</li> <li>3</li> <li>3</li> <li>4</li> <li>4</li> <li>5</li> <li>4</li> <li>6</li> <li>6</li> <li>7</li> <li>8</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li>     &lt;</ul>	Total Cost Total Metres 1201 993 Total Cost ulk samples Lashability asticity TOTAL COS Total Cost	<b>C</b> ost 270,034 79,999 <b>\$</b> 350,033 <b>\$</b> 60,073 <b>\$</b> 60,073 <b>\$</b> .32,343 <b>\$</b> .32,343 <b>\$</b> .32,343 <b>\$</b> .17,906 .10,530 <b>\$</b> .28,436 
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Reverse circula *Other (specify) Contractor	Yes > Hole Size 	23 No □ Hotes 4	Total Cost Total Metres 1201 993 Total Cost Ulk samples (ashability E sticity E Total Cost Total Cost On-property costs Off-property costs Off-property costs	Cost 270,034 79,999 \$ 350,033 \$ 350,033
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Reverse circula *Other (specify) Contractor SDS ANI Contractor SDS ANI Where is the core stored? LOGGING, SAMPLING ANI Lithology: Drill samples Logs: Gamma-neutro Other (specify) FOCU Testing: Proximate anal Carbonization *Other (specify) RES] OTHER WORK (specify deta RECU	Yes > Hole Size 	<ul> <li>№ No</li> <li>№ No</li> <li>№ No</li> <li></li></ul>	Total Cost Total Metres 1201 993 Total Cost Total Cost ulk samples Cashability asticity TOTAL COS Total Cost On-property costs Off-property costs Total Expenditures	Cost 270,034 79,999 \$ 350,033 \$ 350,033 \$
*Other workings DRILLING Core: Diamond Wireline Rotary: Conventional Reverse circula *Other (specify) Contractor SDS ANI Where is the core stored? LOGGING, SAMPLING ANI Lithology: Drill samples Logs: Gamma-neutro *Other (specify) FOC Testing: Proximate anal Carbonization *Other (specify) RESI OTHER WORK (specify deta RECI RECI	Yes > Hole Size 	<ul> <li>No. of Holes</li> <li>4</li> <li>6</li> <li>5</li> <li>723</li> <li>8</li> <li>723</li> <li>723</li> <li>724</li> <li>744</li> </ul>	Total Cost Total Metres 1201 993 Total Cost Ulk samples Ulk samples Total Cost Total Cost Total Cost On-property costs Off-property costs Total Expenditures Col J	Cost 270,034 79,999 \$ 350,033 \$ 350,033 \$ 32,343 \$ 350,033 \$ 350,

\*A full explanation of other work is to be included.

MANAGER ACCOUNTING C.N.R.L. (Position) )

2



![](_page_80_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### MEMORAND, UM

DATE : FEBRUARY 24, 1982

T 0 : CROWS NEST RESOURCES LIMITED (C.N.R.L.)

FROM : SHELTECH CANADA

SUBJECT: LILLYBURT (4151-H) - S.E. BRITISH COLUMBIA

All survey control in the Lillyburt area is based on the photo control network completed in the summer of 1981. The two stations used were 'V59C1' and 'U59C1' (formerly 'ROB').

From these stations three offset traverse points and six drill holes were surveyed.

Conventional survey methods using a 1" theodolite and electronic distance measuring equipment were used to obtain survey data. All calculations were done in the UTM system with distances being reduced to plane and bearings referenced to 117°W. The results were given to C.N.R.L. personnel in both tabular and map form.

Apmetton

A. L. Melton

RB/cm

s729

![](_page_82_Figure_0.jpeg)

672 000	672 500	673 000		673 500	674 000	•		<sup>1</sup> 674 500			- - -	000 5/9 5 473 50
									· · ·		-	
					STATION	DEEEDENCE	PHI A ZIMI (TH	DISTANCE	DESCRIPTION	NORTHING	EASTING	
					DH 203	1 2 2	80 <sup>°</sup> 304 <sup>°</sup> 208°	15.60 28.30 10.10	STUMP STUMP TREE	5471555.5 5471568.7 5471543.9	672374.3 672335.4 672354.2	
•					DH 200	1 2 3	350° 280° 170°	14.90 15.10 11.70	STUMP STUMP TREE	5470338.0 5470326.9 5470312.8	673057.5 673065.2 673702.1	
					DH 205	1 2 3	112° 200° 302°	29.50 48.80 45.00	TREE DEAD FALL ROCK	5470054.4 5470019.6 5470089.3	673073.8 673029.8 673008.3	
					DH 215	1 2 3	306 ° 142 ° 354 °	49.00 41.20 39.20	BLAZE ON TREE BLAZE ON STUMP BLAZE ON DEAD TREE	5471012.2 5470950.9 5471022.3	671347.2 671412.2 671382.8	5473 (
		•			DH 207	1 2 3	20 ° 96 ° 208 °	15.40 33.90 47.85	ROCK DEAD FALL DEAD FALL	5470366.4 5470348.4 5470309.7	671631.1 671659.6 671603.4	
					T 58D1	1 2 3	302 <sup>0</sup> 78 <sup>0</sup> 176 <sup>0</sup>	7.40 17.00 18.10	TREE Stump Stump	5471571.9 5471571.6 5471551.9	672231.9 672254.8 672239.3	
					T 59C1	1 2 3	350° 258° 142°	29.50 38.00 21.10	DEAD TREE DEAD FALL <b>PILE</b> TREE	5469577.8 5469540.8 5469532.1	670975.3 670943.3 670993.4	
					V 59C1	1 2 3	240° 100 <sup>●</sup> 42°	21.00 11.70 17.30	DEAD FALL STUMP ROCK	5489845.0 5469853.4 5469868.3	674291.4 674321.1 674321.2	
CL 4080	CL 4081				V 58C1	1 2 3	180° 268° 12°	14.90 27.50 13.50	STUMP ROCK DEAD TREE	5471914.6 5471928.5 5471942.7 /	674522.4 674494.9 674525.2	5 472
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		U 59C1	1 2 3	153° 41° 304°	9.10 5.10 5.70	DEAD FALL STUMP STUMP	5468903.6 5468915.7 5468915.2	672763.3 672763.4 672755.2	
	N.T.S. TR 80-1C TR 80-1B 353°15'40" 36.55	N L S										

![](_page_83_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_84_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_85_Figure_0.jpeg)

407 3

![](_page_85_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_86_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Figure_0.jpeg)

ND					407 1/2
			40		and all the of the
TYPE		GEOLOGICAL CONTACT (defined, approximate)		K-SHE	2 - Lingburg
RATE		THRUST FAULT (approximate) TEETH ON UPTHRUST SIDE			
(coarse grained) (medium grained)	,_1	GRAVITY FAULT (defined, approximate) SOLID CIRCLE ON DOWNTHROWN SIDE, ARROWS INDICATE RELATIVE MOVEMENT	De	EXPLORATIO	ces Limite
(fine grained)	·	SYNCLINE (approximate; dip unknown; plunge indicated)		LILLYBURT S.E. B.C.	
	$- \bigcirc -$	COAL SEAM TRACE			
CLAYSTONE	AL -	CROSS SECTION LINE		CEOLOGY N	
	0 302	BOREHOLE		GEOLOGI IV	AF
	×O	ROCK OUTCROP, AREAL EXTENT.			
	Ĭ	TRENCH	N.T.S82G/7		UT
	1 1	REDDING (inclined vertical)	AUTHOR: B. McKINSTRY	SCALE: 1:5000	ENCLOSURE
	~ ~	BEDDing (menned, verical)	DATE: 82-02	REVISED:	DRAWING NO
	~	SHEAR ZONE	To Accompany		
	In 1	JOINTING (inclined, vertical)			

![](_page_88_Picture_3.jpeg)

# and the second ··· • . 11**4° 37' 30**" 5472000N -

•

FLATHEAD FAULT SEAM 'A' NOT PRESENT

![](_page_89_Figure_6.jpeg)

FIATHED

. • --• 114° 37' 30" — 5472000 N 5472000 N · \_ ه 

![](_page_90_Figure_2.jpeg)

•

-

、

![](_page_91_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_91_Figure_5.jpeg)

To Accompany

K-Lillybort SF(1)A

![](_page_92_Picture_1.jpeg)

ASSESSMENT REPORT

GEOPHYSICS FOR COAL EXPLORATION

EM & DC RESISTIVITY SURVEYS

Kootenay Land District, British Columbia B.C. Coal Licence Numbers: 4080-4089 inclusive Group Number: 243 Owner: Shell Canada Resources Limited Operator: Crows Nest Resources Limited

NTS 82G/7

Longitude: 114° 37' West Latitude: 49° 22' North Exploration Period: June - September, 1981 Report Prepared by: B. McKinstry, Senior Geologist Submitted: February, 1982 Addendum Prepared by Andrea Allison, Geophysicist Submitted: December, 1982

2/Fic.1

![](_page_93_Picture_0.jpeg)

March 15, 1983

Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources 525 Superior Street Victoria, B.C. V8V 1T7

Dear Sirs:

Enclosed please find our report on the results of the geophysical survey done at Lillyburt during the summer of 1981.

The results of this work were not available at the time of submission of the 1981 Lillyburt Geological Report, but are being filed now as an addendum to that Report. For reference purposes, the Lillyburt Location Map, Index Map and Geological Compilation Map from the 1981 Geological Report are included in this addendum as appendix II.

The geophysical survey work and the present addendum were conducted and prepared by Andrea Allison, an employee of Shell Canada Resources Limited, Minerals Division, for Crows Nest Resources Limited.

Ms. Allision received a B.Sc. in Physics from Loyola-Concordia University, Montreal in 1974 and an M.Sc. from U.B.C. in 1977. She worked as a geophysicist with Shell Canada Resources from 1977 to 1982.

I consider the aforementioned person to be well qualified to undertake the responsibilities assigned on this project. I am satisfied that the attached report has been competently prepared and justly represents the information obtained from this project.

Yours very truly,

pt. g. furto

H.G. Rushton, P. Geol. Vice President, Development

BMcK/md

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

ł

LIST OF FIGURES		i
LIST OF ENCLOSURE	s	ii
INTRODUCTION		
Historical Present Stu	dy	1 1
OPERATION		2
DATA ACQUISITION		5
INTERPRETATION		7
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>		8
APPENDIX I	Specification Sheet for EM31 and EM34-3	9
APPENDIX II	Lillyburt Location Map, Coal License Index Map and Geological Compilation Map	10

t

2/FIc.2

Ĺ

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	NO.	1	Schematic Diagram of the Dipole - Dipole Array	3
FIGURE	NO.	2	DC Resistivity Schlumberger Soundings Geometry	4
FIGURE	NO.	3	LILLYBURT 1981 Geophysical Cut Lines	6

## 2/FIc.3

(

LIST OF ENCLOSURES

ENCLOSURE	A - FIGURE NO. 4	- Lillyburt Line No. 1 EM 34 and EM 31 Survey Profiles of Apparent Resistivity vs Station Number
	- FIGURE NO. 5	- Lillyburt Line No. 2 EM 34 and EM 31 Survey Profiles of Apparent Resistivity vs Station Number
	- FIGURE NO. 6	- Lillyburt Line No. 3 EM 34 and EM 31 Survey Profiles of Apparent Resistivity vs Station Number
ENCLOSURE	B - FIGURE NO. 7	- Lillyburt Line No. 4 EM 34 and EM 31 Survey Profiles of Apparent Resistivity vs Station Number
	- FIGURE NO. 8	- Lillyburt Line No. 5 EM 34 and EM 31 Survey Profiles of Apparent Resistivity vs Station Number
	- FIGURE NO. 9	- Lillyburt Line No. 6 EM 34 and EM 31 Survey Profiles of Apparent Resistivity vs Station Number
ENCLOSURE	C - FIGURE NO. 10	- Lillyburt Line No. 7 EM 34 and EM 31 Survey Profiles of Apparent Resistivity vs Station Number
	- FIGURE NO. 11	- Lillyburt Line No. 8 EM 34 and EM 31 Survey Profiles of Apparent Resistivity vs Station Number
	- FIGURE NO. 12	<ul> <li>Lillyburt Line No. 9</li> <li>EM 34 and EM 31</li> <li>Survey Profiles of Apparent Resistivity vs Station Number</li> </ul>

4/FIc.

, í

6

(

1

ENCLOSURE D - FIGURE NO. 13 - Lillyburt Line No. 1 Schlumberger Soundings Profiles of Apparent Resistivity (AB ) d≈5M vs Distance \_ = L 2 ) - FIGURE NO. 14 - Lillyburt Line No. 2 Schlumberger Soundings Profiles of Apparent Resistivity (AB) d=5M vs Distance \_\_\_ ≈ L ( 2 ) - FIGURE NO. 15 - Lillyburt Line No. 8 Schlumberger Soundings Profiles of Apparent Resistivity (AB ) d=5M \_\_\_ = L 2 vs Distance ) ENCLOSURE E - FIGURE NO. 16 - Lillyburt - Schlumberger Sounding About DDH 304 Expansion Direction - 28° East of North Profile of Apparent Resistivity vs Distance (AB ) = L 2 ) - FIGURE NO. 17 - Lillyburt Line No. 1 Resistivity and IP Pseudo Section Dipole - Dipole Array Freg - 0.25 HZ and 2.0 HZ a = 25 Metres - FIGURE NO. 18 - Lillyburt Line No. 5 Resistivity and IP Pseudo Section Dipole - Dipole Array Freq - 0.25 HZ and 2.0 HZ a = 25 Metres ENCLOSURE F - FIGURE NO. 19 - Plan Map of Lillyburt Area - FIGURE NO. 20 - Schlumberger Soundings LCO-00 - FIGURE NO. 21 - Schlumberger Soundings LC 0+65S - FIGURE NO. 22 - Schlumberger Soundings LC 2-20S - FIGURE NO. 23 - Schlumberger Soundings LC 3+60S - FIGURE NO. 24 - Schlumberger Soundings LC 0-23

#### INTRODUCTION

## Historical

In 1980 a study was undertaken of non-seismic geophysical methods applied to exploration for coal. A complete report of this research is in Jones, 1981. In particular, Jones studied the application of geophysical methods to solving the following fundamental problems in coal exploration.

- 1) The determination of the depth of overburden.
- 2) The determination of seam thickness.
- The establishment of seam continuity.
- 4) The location of the seam edge.

The first part of the report was a theoretical examination and literature search of the following methods: gravity, magnetics, resistivity, induced polarization (IP) and electromagnetics (EM).

As a second step, DC Resistivity surveys were conducted on three properties; Merritt, Lillyburt and Blackfoot. The operations report is given in Fudge, 1980 and an interpretation of the data is in Jones, 1981. The analysis of the data at Merritt showed limited success in determining the depth of the overburden. Frank Jones states that the resistivity technique was capable of the accurate determination of the depth of the overburden only if sufficient geophysical control (such as a focussed electric log) was available for calibration.

## Present Study

In 1981 this research was applied to coal exploration at Lillyburt. Three techniques; DC Resistivity, Electromagnetics (EM) and Induced Polarization (IP) were used to determine:

- 1) The depth of overburden.
- 2) Fault contacts and/or basement lithology changes.

The DC Resistivity and Electromagnetics (EM) methods measure the Resistivity of the subsurface. The data is presented in the form of apparent resistivity values. Apparent resistivity is defined as the resistivity of a uniform earth which would have produced the observed voltage reading with the given signal current.

Ĺ

In order for these methods to succeed for the above purpose the "rocks" must possess certain physical characteristics. In order to determine the depth of overburden there must be a sufficient resistivity contrast between the overburden and the material below. Also, the resistivity must be constant throughout each individual layer. In order to be able to detect faults and/or lithology changes there must again be a sufficient resistivity contrast between the rocks on both sides of the contact.

A general description of the geophysical equipment and survey geometry will be given followed by a detailed discussion on data acquisition, data presentation and interpretation of the results.

#### OPERATION

The EM method used was the magnetic induction method. Current flow is induced in the ground by the varying magnetic field of a vertical or horizontal magnetic dipole transmitter. A magnetic dipole transmitter consists of a loop antenna through which an alternating electric current is forced; similarly, a magnetic dipole receiver is a loop antenna in which an electromotive force is measured in the presence of a varying magnetic field.

The EM equipment used was the Geonics EM 34-3 and EM 31. Appendix I gives a list of the instrument specifications.

In this method the exploration depth is mainly increased by increasing the distance between transmitter and receiver dipoles. The EM 31 was used to sample the very shallow overburden. The EM 34-3 was used to sample increasing depths by increasing the cable length from 10 to 20 to 40 meters.

The depth of overburden was thought to be less than approximately 30 m. Therefore, measurements with the 40 m cable separation should be sampling the basement material.

DC Resistivity and IP measurements were taken using the Dipole-Dipole array. A schematic diagram of the array geometry is in Figure #1. Current is injected through the current electrodes and the resulting potential difference between the voltage electrodes measured. For our survey an electrode separation of 25 m (a = 25 m) was used.

DC Resistivity measurements were also taken by means of Schlumberger soundings. A schematic diagram of the array geometry is in Figure #2. When the current electrodes are close together (i.e. AB/2 = L small) shallow layers only contribute to the resistivity profile. With increasing L the apparent resistivity has a contribution from greater depths.

í

![](_page_100_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_101_Figure_0.jpeg)

### DATA ACQUISITION

Nine lines, with a total length of 11 km. were surveyed at Lillyburt. These are shown in Figure 3; Lillyburt 1981 Geophysical Cut Lines.

The preparation of geophysical cut lines involved flagging 25 m intervals and placing pickets at 100 m intervals. Because these intervals were chained before brush was cut along the lines, there is a possible inaccuracy of a few metres in station locations. In addition the field crew believes that there was possibly a mis-survey of pickets and suggest only an accuracy of  $\pm$  50 meters. To correct these problems in future work, they recommend the recording of station numbers for each picket and also that the bush be cut prior to the chaining of flag and picket locations.

Figures 4 through 12 show the EM31 and EM34 results for lines 1 to 9 respectively. Profiles of apparent resistivity versus station number are plotted. The EM31 and EM34 give conductivity values, but for comparison with dipole-dipole and schlumberger sounding DC resistivity measurements the apparent resistivity in ohm-meters is plotted. Both horizontal coplanar (vertical dipole) and vertical coplanar (horizontal dipole) measurements were taken.

Figure 13 shows the Schlumberger soundings taken on Line #1. Figure 14 shows the Schlumberger soundings taken on Line #2 and Figure 15 those taken on Line #8. Figure 16 shows the Schlumberger sounding taken about LB-R-304.

Figure 17 shows the dipole-dipole array resistivity and IP measurements taken over part of Line #1; Figure 18 shows the results for Line #5.

![](_page_103_Picture_0.jpeg)

WITHOR C LANCEL	SCALE: 1: 10.000	ENCLOSURE No :
DATE: MEY 1987	REVISED	DRAWING NO. LUL QAD

#### INTERPRETATION

As mentioned in the Introduction, some DC resistivity work was done over Lillyburt in 1980 (Fudge, 1980). Figure 19 shows the location of the work.

Schlumberger soundings were expanded about LC 0-00, LC 0+65S, LC 2-20S, LC 3+60S, and LD 0-23. These are the points labelled SPL-1, SPL-2, SPL-3, SPL-4, and SPL-5 on Figure 19.

From the apparent resistivity profiles an attempt was made to deduce the resistivity layering. For this, inverse computer modelling using the program from the package rented from Phoenix Geophysics was carried out. This program fits apparent resistivity data obtained over a layered earth.

The basic procedure is to put in initial guesses for resistivities and layer thicknesses and then the program iterates through to a 'best' fit with the observed data.

The model parameters are listed in Figures 20, 21, 22, 23 and 24 for stations LC 0-00, LC 0+65S, LC 2+20S, LC 3+60S and LD 0+23S respectively. The comparison of model results with observed data is also shown.

One of the main purposes of this year's survey was to determine overburden depth over the valley floor.

Consider Figure #5: the EM profiles for Line #2. Look at station 13+00S which is situtated on the valley floor. The EM31 profile shows an apparent resistivity of 100 ohm-meters. However, the EM34-3 profiles for both the 20 m and 40 m coil separations also give an apparent resistivity of 100 ohm-meters. Therefore, there is no contrast in resistivity between the overburden and underlying siltstones and sandstones.

The EM results are however able to determine fault contacts. Figure 4 shows the EM profiles for Line #1. There is a fault contact at 5+50S. Permian limestones are on one side of the fault with Cretaceous - Jurassic shales, siltstones and coals on the south side.

This fault can also be located on the other lines.

Line	#2	5+50S	(8+00\$)	?)				
Line	#4	2+00S	-					
Line	#5	2+005						
Line	#6	4+00S						
Line	<b>#</b> 7	2+005						
Line	#8	7+505						
Line	<b>#</b> 9	3+50S -	8+00S	(gradual	decrease	in	resistivit	y)

2/FIc.12

Fudge, D.T. and Company, 1980 "Preliminary Report of Resistivity Test Profiles on Western Canadian Coal Deposits" Report to Crows Nest Resources Limited.

Jones, F.P. 1981

"Non-Seismic Geophysics Applied to Exploration for Coal" Report to Shell Canada Resources Limited.

2/FIc.13

## APPENDIX I

ſ

Specification Sheet for EM 31 and EM 34-3.

2/FIc,14

![](_page_107_Picture_0.jpeg)

# EM31

The Geonics EM31 provides a measurement of terrain conductivity without contacto the ground using a patented inductive electromagnetic technique. The ingument is direct reading in millimhos per meter and surveys are carried out simply by traversing the ground.

en permit an estimate of a layered earth.

The advantages of the EM31 are the speed with which surveys can be carried out, the ability to precisely measure small changes in conductivity, and the continuous adout which provides a previously unobtainable lateral-resolution.

# Specifications

		-
MEASURED QUANTITY	Apparent conductivity of the ground in meter.	millimhos per
SHMARY FIELD SOURCE	Self-contained dipole transmitter	
EXSOR	Self-contained dipole receiver	
TERCOL SPACING	3.66 meters	
OPETATING FREDUENCY	9.8 KHz	
DWER SUPPLY	B disposable alkaline "C" cells (approx. 20 tinuous use)	) hrs life con-
CONDUCTIVITY RANGES	3, 10, 30, 100, 300, 1000 mmhos/meter	
YEASUREMENT PRECISION	±2% of full scale	
EASUREMENT ACCURACT	±5% at 20 millimhos per meter	
HOISE LEVEL	<0.1 millimhos per meter	
DPERATOR CONTROLS	• Made Switch	
	Conductivity Range Switch     Phoning Potentionneller	
A second se	Coarse Inphase Compensation	
-	Fine Inphase Compensation	
THE Y	Boom : 4.0 meters extended	
a da anticipada da seconda seconda da seconda seconda da seconda da seconda da seconda da seconda da seconda da se Seconda da seconda da se	1.4 meters stored	<b>GELPHYS</b>
	Shipping Crate: 155 x 42 x 28 cm	155.8712 6
TEIGKT	Instrument Weight 9 kom	CALGARY
1	Shipping Weight : 23 kgm	
<b>A</b>		

![](_page_107_Picture_8.jpeg)

## EM34-3

Operating on the same principles as the EM31, the EM34-3 is designed to achieve a substantially increased depth of exploration and a readily available vertical conductivity profile.

The underlying principle of operation of this patented non-contacting method of measuring terrain conductivity is that the depth of penetration is independent of terrain conductivity and is determined solely by the instrument geometry i.e. the intercoil spacing and coil orientation. The EM34-3 can be used at three fixed spacings of 10, 20, or 40 meters and in the vertical coplanar (as shown) or horizontal coplanar mode. In the vertical coplanar (as shown) or horizontal coplanar mode, in the vertical coplanar mode, the instrument senses to approx. 0.75 of the intercoil spacing. In the horizontal coplanar mode, the instrument can sense to 1.5 times the intercoil spacing. For the horizontal coplanar mode, however, coil misalignment errors are more serious than in the vertical mode so greater care must be exercised to achieve the maximum 60 meter depth.

Simple operation, survey speed and straight forward data interpretation makes the EM34-3 a versatile and cost effective tool for the engineering geophysicist.

## **Specifications**

	MEASURED OUANTITY	Apparent conductivity of the ground in millimhos per meter
	PRIMARY FIELD SOURCE	Self-contained dipole transmitter
	SENSOR	Self-contained dipole receiver
	REFERENCE CABLE	Lightweight, 2 wire shielded cable 🔷 🗣
	INTERCOIL SPACING &	● 10 meters at 6.4 kHz ● 20 meters at 1.6 kHz ● 40 meters at 0.4 kHz
	POWER SUPPLY	Transmitter : 8 disposable 17 cells Receiver : 8 disposable 17 cells
	CONDUCTIVITY BANGES	3, 10, 30, 100, 300 mmhos/meter
	MEASUREMENT PRECISION	±2% of full scale deflection
	MEASUREMENT ACCURACY	±5% at 20 millimhos per meter
	NDISE LEYEL	< 0.2 millimhas per metar
	DIMENSIONS	Receiver Consola : 19.5 x 13.5 x 26cm Transmitter Console : 15 x 8 x 26cm Coits : 63cm diameter
-C HS L21	WEIGHTS ON CO. LTD TA STREE ERTA T2H 247	Receiver Console : 3.1 kg Receiver Coil : 3.2 kg Transmitter Console : 3.0 kg Transmitter Coil : 6.0 kg Shipping Weight : 41. kg
### APPENDIX II

1

Lillyburt Location Map, Coal License Index Map and Geological Compilation Map.

2/FIc.15

l





#### GEOLOGICAL LEGEND

#### CRETACEOUS.

Blairmove Group

#### JURASSIC CRETACEOUS

AC providence of the second

#### JURASSIC

Finnin Ponya attan

#### TRIASSIC

Spray Fliver Ghowp

#### PENNSYLVANIAN

Rocky Mountain Formation

#### MISSISSIPPLAM

Rundle Group + Banff Formation.

#### DEVONIAN

Palline + Alexo formations Palline Group

#### CAMBRIAN

#### PRECAMBRIAN

Purcell Group

gaclogical contact (approximate)

thrust fault (approximate) teeth on upbhrust side

solid circle on downthrown side

FIG. HELL- LIUMburd & (1)A Crows Nest Nessurans Limited Explanation

Appendix 2



антион (ACKINISTRY) вслія: 1:50 (ССО) Биссовине но оли: 82:02 Лечивно 82:12 ракина но А.А.-822

		alian di Sean Meri													******								a nangunang		ann ann ann	enner ageom	-		22.130	0
	SECTION O/C TO 2500					SECTION 2500 TO 3100				SECTION 3100 TO 3300				SECTION 3300 TO 3500				SECTION 3300 IO 3000				)   =	SECTION SYDE TO 4500							
SEAM	A	B	С	D	E	A	В	C	D	E	A	В	C	D	E	<u>A</u>	8	<u> </u>	D	E	<u>A</u>	В	C		E	<u>A</u>	D			<u> </u>
COAL AVERAGE THICKNESS (m)	6.70	- 2.2	24.6	1.5		5.06	274	21.81	2.86	6.17	4.45	3.13	18.92	3.32	6.95	4.32	3.12	17.7	3.12	5.67	4.88	2.56	16.57	2.42	4.51	5.46	2.09	15.4	1.8	4.3
STRIKE LENGTH OF INFLUENCE (m)	500	425	325	250		1500	1375	1150	950	775	<b>1937</b> .5	1950	1700	1475	1325	2087.5	2100	1850	1700	1500	2225	2112.5	1887.5	1775	1612.5	1240	<b>1237</b> .5	1187.5	n50	1100
DIP SLOPE LENGTH (m)	330	200	120	50		560	560	560	560	560	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	200	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400	400
VOLUME OF COAL (×10 <sup>3</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )	1106	187	959	19		4250	2110	14046	1522	2678	172.4	1221	6433	979	1842	1804	1310	6549	1061	1701	4343	2163	12510	1718	2909	2708	1035	7315	828	1892
MASS OF COAL (×10 <sup>3</sup> TONNES)	1659	280.5	1438.5	28.5		6375.5	3165	21069	2283	4017	2586	<b>183</b> 1.5	9649.5	1486.5	2763	2706	1965	9823.5	1591.5	2551.5	6514.5	3244.5	1876.5	2577	4363.5	4062	<b>1552</b> .5	10972.5	1242	2838
	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>												WA	STE	 	<b>B</b> . :	cor	A L												
			NOC	TO 250	<u> </u>		SECTIO	N 2500		0		SECTIO	N 3100	і то 330	10	<u> </u>	SECTIO	1 N 3300	TO 350	1 D0	+	SECTIO	N 3500	TO 39	00		SECTIO	N 3900	TO 43	00
AREA	71938 349044				589207 687390							730632 413051																		
STRIKE LENGTH BETWEEN SECTIONS (m)			330 560				200 200					n dan Kurk Nga Kurk		<b>400</b>					••••••											
VOLUME (×10 <sup>6</sup> m <sup>3</sup> )			23.7					195.5					117.8					137.5		-1 -			2 <b>92.3</b>	et en en en en en Sector en en en en Sector en	a na san san san s Canadan ang taong san sa Canadan ang taong san			165.2		
	ΤΟΤΑΙ	. COA	L = 86	1922	m <sup>3</sup> O	R 1333	383 TC	ONNES			4 <b>4.</b>																Å		19999944 444994	
																								K-SH	ELL-	illybu	xt 80	হ হোঁন		€ (   )
	TOTAL	WAST (L	E & C( ESS) C(	DAL = DAL =	932 × 88.9	(10° m <sup>3</sup> ) × 10 <sup>6</sup> n × 10 <sup>6</sup>	"3 "3				•												Cro	ws A	lest   E X 1	Reso LORA	UTCE: T I O N	s Lin	nited	1
			040.1	RATIO OVERBURDEN TO COAL IS $843.1 \times 10^6 / 88.9 \times 10^6 = 9.5 \times 1 \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^3$ OR $843.1 \times 10^6 / 133.38 \times 10^6 = 6.32 \times 10^{-3}$ TONNE									GEOLOGICAL IN SITU																	
		1			·		·														• •	R	ESC	DUR		CAL		ATI	ON!	S
																						AUTHO	R: B.McK	INSTRY	SCALE			ENCLOS	IRE NO :	5
																							and the second	and the second se						

















EM 34 ~ 3 40M CABLE ---- HORIZONTAL DIPOLE

------

700 500  $ho_{a}$  (ohm – m) ł

١.

رياريد ميسمو بجهران الرادان المعترب

• •

• • .

• • .

٠+.

الالبية الالمتحج الت

الاستعرار الريداعين ستعمل متراجبته معرفهم فتعرب

300



/5 (2) K-SHELL-Lillyburt 8p(11)A FIGURE NO. 4 Crows Nest Resources Limited EXPLORATION LILLYBURT LINE NO. 1 EM 34 AND EM 31 SURVEY PROFILES OF APPARENT RESISTIVITY VS STATION NUMBER AUTHOR: A.ALLISON SCALE: DATE: REVISED: To Accompany ENCLOSURE No : DRAWING No: HD-101D

50 100 150 200 metres 0

SCALE

-----



.....

· .

.



.

· · ·

•





•





.

- 1400 - 1200

EM 34 - 3 20M CABLE ----- VERTICAL DIPOLE



800 ρ<sub>a</sub> (Ω-m) 600

1000

400

200

0



ρ. ( Ω-m)

800 - 600 - 400





---- HORIZONTAL DIPOLE





EM 31

---- HORIZONTAL DIPOLE

....

4400 - 4000 - 3600 - 3200

- 1200

800

-4800

- 2800 - 2400  $P_a$  (ohm – m)

- 2000 - 1600



.



``



1

DRAWING No: HE-101B To Accompany



.

.

•

## $\rho_a$ (ohm – m)

₽a (ohm – m)

. . . .

· ·

- 1200 - 1000 - 800 - 600  $P_a (ohm - m)$ - 400- 200

-

.

SCALE

0 50 100 150 200 metres

FIGURE NO. 11 K-SHELL-Lilluburt 81(11)A

ENCLOSURE No :

RAWING NO: HE-101

K-SHELL-Lillyburt 81(11)A

Crows Nest Resources Limited EXPLORATION

LILLYBURT LINE NO. 8 EM 34 AND EM 31 SURVEY PROFILES OF APPARENT RESISTIVITY

V\$ STATION NUMBER

THOR: A ALLISON SCALE: TE: REVISED: Accompany -





K-SHELL, Lillsburt 8, 1/11)A FIGURE NO. 12 Crows Nest Resources Limited EXPLORATION LILLYBURT LINE NO. 9

EM 34 AND EM 31 SURVEY PROFILES OF APPARENT RESISTIVITY VS STATION NUMBER

AUTHOR: A. ALLISON SCALE: DATE: REVISED: To Accompany ENCLOSURE No : DRAWING No: HF-101

•



العربة متقيتات الربيعة العامر الرام

8



 $\rho_{a}$  (ohm – m)





1

1.00



,

.

4

· · · ·

{



-

		107 <sup>%</sup>					
K-SHEL	Lilly burt 811	FIGURE NO. 16					
Crows Nest Resources Limited EXPLORATION							
LILLYBURT							
SC SC	HLUMBERGER SOU	NDING					
	ABOUT DDH 304						
EXPANSION DIRECTION – 28° EAST OF NORTH PROFILE OF APPARENT RESISTIVITY							
	VS DISTANCE $\left(\frac{AB}{2}\right)$ =	L )					
AUTHOR: A ALLISON	SCALE:	ENCLOSURE No :					
DATE:	REVISED	DRAWING No: HD-101 B					
To Accompany							





0+00 0+25s CR2002

n = 1 . n = 2 n = 3

> 0+00 0+25S CR2003

n = 1 n = 2 n = 3



4 + 50\$ 4 + 75\$ 5 + 00\$ 5 + 25\$ 5 + 50\$ 5 + 75\$	6 + 00S 6 + 25S 6 + 50S
28 88 64 62 132 180 36 68 52 103 146 76	n = 1 $\rho_a$ n = 2 OHM – METRES
264 39 51 93 127 83 4 4+50S $4+75S$ $5+00S$ $5+25S$ $5+50S$ $5+75S$	8 n = 3 (APPARENT RESISTIVITY) 6 + 00\$ 6 + 25\$ 6 + 50\$
0.0 0.2 0.0 0.5 0.8 0.0 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.0 0.7	n = 1 n = 2 % FE
4 0.0 0.5 0.6 0.0 0.3 0.4	n = 3 (% FREQUENCY EFFECT)
	Crows Nest Resources Limited EXPLORATION
	LILLYBURT LINE NO. 5 RESISTIVITY AND IP PSEUDO SECTION DIPOLE – DIPOLE ARRAY FREQ – 0.25 HZ AND 2.0 HZ a = 25 METRES
	407 5/5 16 AUTHOR: A. ALLISON SCALE: ENCLOSURE No: DATE: REVISED: DRAWING NO: HC-101A













MN = 5m x - OBSERVED DATA • – MODEL DATA

MODEL USED FOR CALCULATIONS

900 → 885.2 m ± 4.4%	7. <del>-→ 6m</del> ± 7.1%
350 → 492 Ω m ± 2.1%	18- <del></del> 27m ± 2.3%

RPCT = 4.2%





x - OBSERVED DATA o -- MODEL DATA

MODEL USED FOR CALCULATIONS

500 → 366 ໑ m	± 3.6%	† 6 → 18 m †	±	7. <b>3</b> %

RPCT = 5.8% **RPCT = RELATIVE PERCENT** 



# 

MN = 5m



...

MN = 5m • – OBSERVED DATA x – MODEL DATA

MODEL USED FOR CALCULATIONS

	•
1200 1418.cz m ± 11.4%	6 5.5m ± 8.2%
	<b>•</b>

275 → 292 s m ± 3.0%

RPCT = 12.6% RPCT = RELATIVE PERCENT



