HAT CREEK RECLAMATION STUDIES 1981: AN ASSESSMENT OF TRACE ELEMENTS IN SOILS AND VEGETATION

\_\_\_\_\_

Prepared for

British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority

September 1982

Monenco Consultants Pacific Ltd. 8th Floor 1199 West Pender Street Vancouver, British Columbia V6E 2Rl

.

604H-E044



# MONENCO CONSULTANTS PACIFIC LTD.

1199 WEST PENDER STREET 8TH FLOOR VANCOUVER. B C V6E 2R1 TELEPHONE: (604) 687-0331 TELEX: 04-53347

20 September 1982 BCH 7972-7

B.C. Hydro & Power Authority 555 West Hastings St. Vancouver, B.C. V6B 4T6

Attention: Dr. F.G. Hathorn

Gentlemen:

س ا

6

7

しのメオーロ

А

Documentatio



We are pleased to present this final report on the assessment of trace element hazards in the reclamation of various waste materials from the proposed Hat Creek Mine. Concerns raised in your review of the draft report have been addressed. The information contained in this report represents a "state of knowledge" for most of the elements dealt with. There are, despite this, significant areas of uncertainty that result principally from the lack of standardization of sampling techniques and analytical methods in the literature.

Insofar as it is currently possible to ascertain, there are no unexpected or unusual conclusions to be drawn from this study. Zinc and boron deficiencies are evident in most of the materials listed, but these deficiencies also occur commonly throughout central British Columbia. Deficiencies of manganese and molybdenum were also noted. The only element occurring at toxic concentrations was boron in the fly ash. This is a common potentially toxic element in fly ash, but it has been observed that levels of boron decrease rapidly as ash surfaces are leached.

We have found this project to be both challenging and interesting, and we trust that you will find that it fulfills your requirements and expectations.

|--|

Yours very truly,

S.W. Behie, Ph.D., P.Eng. Manager Environmental Division per:

A.E.A. Schumacher Chief Agrologist

## PREFACE

This report fulfills client purchase order number 159816.

Major professional expertise in the preparation of this report has been provided by Peter R. Guy, Senior Biologist (literature review), Philip J. Burton, Senior Plant Ecologist (statistical analysis), and J. Cameron Bateman, Biologist (field sampling). Project Manager was Alexander E.A. Schumacher, Chief Agrologist. Interpretations, conclusions and recommendations were made by the project team, and are in part based on consultations with Canada Agriculture researchers.

### ABSTRACT

Random samples of substrate material and grass and legume shoots were collected from reclamation trial plots and nearby rangeland at Hat Creek, British Columbia. The waste materials sampled included fly ash, baked clay, colluvium, gritstone and coal waste. On fly ash, baked clay and the Houth Meadows rangeland, separate plant samples were taken of roots, leaves and seed stalks. All samples were analyzed for their total contents of As, Be, B, Cd, Cr, Co, Cu, F, Pb, Mn, Hg, Mo, Ni, Se, Sn, U, V, Zn. The significance of concentration differences among waste materials and among different plant parts was statistically evaluated for each element.

The mean and range of element concentrations are compared to those documented from an extensive review of the literature. Particular attention is paid to the ranges of concentrations documented as normal for soils and plants, and to the critical levels at which deficiency and toxicity symptoms appear in plants and livestock which feed on such plant material. Reference was also made to local experience in known toxic levels and deficiencies of trace elements for plants and animals.

Although several elements had plant and soil concentrations outside the ranges previously reported as normal, only boron was found in levels toxic to plant growth, and only on fly ash. No elements were found to be at levels toxic to animals, but because of the copper to molybdenum ratios present, deficiencies or toxicities of these two elements may occur in cattle fed solely on vegetation from any of the waste materials (and from Houth Meadows rangeland as well). A number of materials also have deficiencies of B, Mn, Mo or Zn for proper plant or livestock growth. Rated in order of the least to greatest number and severity of toxicities and deficiencies are baked clay, coal waste, Houth Meadows topsoil, gritstone, Trench A topsoil and colluvium.

Alfalfa concentrated B, Cr, Mn, Mo and Ni more than did crested wheatgrass; conversely, crested wheatgrass accumulated Cu. B and Cd were concentrated by plants, to levels of up to ten times the total concentration found in the soil. Distribution within plants typically showed higher concentrations in the roots, while concentrations in the leaves and seed stalks are lower and are not significantly different from each other (except for boron, which tends to accumulate in inflorescences).

(iii)

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

Letter of Transmittal	(i)
Preface	(ii)
Abstract	(iii)
Table of Contents	(iv)

- PART 1 INTRODUCTION
- PART 2 METHODOLOGY
- PART 3 RESULTS
- PART 4 DISCUSSION
- PART 5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

REFERENCES

- APPENDIX A 1981 LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS
- APPENDIX B COMPARISON OF MEANS AND CONFIDENCE LIMITS WITH PUBLISHED VALUES FOR ALFALFA, CRESTED WHEATGRASS AND SOILS

PART 1

INTRODUCTION

# PART 1 - INTRODUCTION

# CONTENTS

		PAGE
1.1	BACKGROUND	1-1
1.2	OBJECTIVES	1-3

## 1.1 BACKGROUND

The Hat Creek Thermal Project will involve the mining of coal from a large open pit mine to serve a mine-mouth thermal plant. Over 35 years of operation, 340 million tonnes of coal and 430 million cubic metres of waste will be removed from the pit. The waste materials will be stored at two disposal areas: Houth Meadows and Medicine Creek Valley. The waste dumps will be reclaimed to productive land uses, primarily agricultural forage production and wildlife habitat (British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority 1981).

B.C. Hydro has been conducting investigations into the revegetation potential of the waste materials since 1977. These investigations were initiated in order to develop and refine methods for land reclamation at Hat Creek. Assessments of plant growth in terms of biomass production, cover build-up, plant health and maintenance of species composition and soil nutrient status are summarized by Monenco Consultants Pacific Limited (1981) and Monenco Consultants Pacific Limited (1982). This report addresses another aspect of mine waste reclamation, that of potential trace element hazards.

Mineral deposits and mining wastes have higher concentrations of elements than those found in the biosphere. Many of these elements are required in small amounts by plants and animals, but high concentrations could, if sufficient, prove toxic. Different species respond differently to equal levels of elements, and the zone of concentration between deficiency and toxicity is often very narrow. Many studies have dealt with the responses of organisms to exceptionally high element concentrations, but in many cases the "normal" background concentration under which organisms appear to function normally is not known.

Determination of normal concentrations and critical levels for different plant and animal species is required before the hazard of any abnormal concentrations can be assessed.

Sampling of soils, mine waste and plants at Hat Creek for abnormal concentrations of trace elements has been a continuing part of the environmental studies conducted in conjunction with the Hat Creek Thermal Generation Development Project.

The 1978 study (E.C. Hydro 1979) found that, except for the fly ash plots, all trace element data were within the range of values normally found in natural soils. The levels of arsenic, boron, and copper were found to be higher in the fly ash, while the fluorine level was much lower. Comparative studies were done of total and extractable element assays, with acidic or miltiple water extractions being performed to estimate the amount of trace elements that could potentially be available to plants. The fly ash and coal waste materials showed higher concentrations of some acid extractable elements (including arsenic, boron, copper and molybdenum) than the other soil materials. Gritstone also had higher

levels of extractable elements than the other materials, which implies that it is easily weathered and that trace elements are readily released. Vegetation was collected from the colluvium, glacial gravel and fly ash test plots, and analyzed for trace elements. On the colluvium and glacial gravel plots, the concentrations of trace elements in vegetation were similar and it was decided that all were within the range normally found in the natural environment (B.C. Hydro 1979). Element levels in legumes were generally higher than those in grasses. Some elements such as arsenic, tin, and selenium were below their detection limits in both grasses and legumes. Topsoil appeared to have no major effect on trace element concentrations in vegetation growing on the colluvium plot. Only boron and molybdenum were more concentrated in the vegetation than in the waste material, while zinc and cadmium showed vegetation concentrations of about one half that found in the waste material. Trace element concentrations in vegetation grown on the fly ash plot were different from those on the colluvium and glacial gravel The levels of arsenic, boron, copper, molybdenum and selenium plots. were greater than in the vegetation on the other plots, and also greater than found in natural vegetation growing in the Hat Creek area. On the other hand, manganese levels in fly ash vegetation were considerably lower (B.C. Hydro 1979).

The 1979 sampling program was expanded to include analysis of selected radionuclide concentrations (B.C. Hydro 1980). In terms of the elements tested for in 1979 and 1981, most of the trace element levels in vegetation were similar to those found in the 1978 survey, although the levels of cadmium and mercury appeared to be significantly greater. The average concentration of cobalt in vegetation grown on the gritstone and bentonitic clay plots was six times the average concentration in vegetation from the colluvium, glacial gravel and fly ash plots, and concentrations were variable in plants growing on coal waste. Boron levels were found to be higher in legumes than in all other species at The trace element concentrations in the all of the Aleece Lake plots. Aleece Lake spoil materials and native soils were also generally similar to levels found in the 1978 survey. Concentrations of fluorine and uranium were consistently lower in 1979 than in 1978 in the colluvium, glacial gravel and fly ash plots, but showed no change in the native soils. In general, trace element concentrations in the colluvium and glacial gravel were similar to those in the native soils near the Aleece Lake plots and elsewhere in the region. Levels of arsenic were higher in all of the waste materials than in the native soils. In fly ash, the levels of boron, copper, molybdenum, and uranium were higher, while fluorine and manganese levels were lower than in the native soils (B.C. Hydro 1980). It was also found that roots of plants generally contained higher levels of trace elements than did the spikes and above ground portions; only boron tended to be concentrated in the shoots.

The 1981 trace element sampling program is a continuation of the 1978 and 1979 programs, with samples taken from the Aleece Lake and Trench A reclamation trial plots. Materials sampled include fly ash, coal waste, baked clay, gritstone, colluvium, topsoil, native soil (Houth Meadows) and plant tissue from the vegetation growing on these materials. Emphasis in 1981 was placed on the determination of variability, the compilation of critical element concentrations reported in the literature, and the determination of the probability that values could be beyond acceptable limits.

Eighteen of the 23 elements selected for study in 1978 were again analyzed in 1979 and 1981. These elements were arsenic (As) beryllium (Be), boron (B), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr), cobalt (Co), copper (Cu), fluorine (F), lead (Pb), manganese (Mn), mercury (Hg), molybdenum (Mo), nickel (Ni), selenium (Se), tin (Sn), uranium (U), vanadium (V), and zinc (Zn).

### 1.2 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of the 1981 trace element assessment program are the following:

- 1. To review literature on trace element concentrations found in plants and soils to determine for each element:
  - a) the range and mean concentrations of each element in natural soils and in agronomic plant species (particularly alfalfa and crested wheatgrass);
  - b) the concentrations in plants at which toxicity symptoms appear;
  - c) the concentrations in plants at which deficiency symptoms appear;
  - d) the concentrations in soil which are toxic to plant health or plant growth;
  - e) the concentrations in soil which represent deficiencies to plant needs for health and growth;
  - f) concentrations in plants which are toxic to livestock or at which toxic levels may accumulate in animal tissue;
  - g) concentrations in plants at which deficiencies may occur in livestock; and
  - whether deficiencies or toxicities of each element can be overcome in livestock through the use of mineral diet supplements;
- \*2. To determine the concentrations of 18 trace elements in different waste materials and in native soil at Hat Creek, and in the tissue of crested wheatgrass and alfalfa growing on these substrates:
  - a) to test whether element concentrations are significantly different from those found in undisturbed rangeland soils and plants; and
  - b) to determine whether elements are concentrated in the leaf, root or seed stalk portions of plants; and

3. Based on the findings of the literature review and the sampling program, to determine the frequency and likelihood of element concentrations being at levels such that plant growth or livestock health is adversely affected.

In addition, results are related to the findings of the 1978 and 1979 investigations wherever possible, in order to facilitate generalizations, to summarize the results to date and to base conclusions on a broader data base. PART 2

METHODOLOGY

# PART 2 - METHODOLOGY

# CONTENTS

2.1	SAMP1	LING	2-1
	211	TRACE ELEMENT CONCENTRATIONS	2-1
	212	TRACE ELEMENT DISTRIBUTION	2-3
<b>.</b>	1 4 7 01		<b>1</b> . <b>1</b>
2.2	LABOR	KATURI ANALISIS	2~3
	221	VEGETATION PROCEDURES	2-3
	222	SOIL PROCEDURES	2-4
2.3	DATA	ANALYSIS	2~5

# FIGURES

# Figure

100

2-1	Hat Creek Valley	2-2
-----	------------------	-----

### 2.1 SAMPLING

### 211 TRACE ELEMENT CONCENTRATIONS

Soil and plant tissue samples were collected from the following reclaimed waste materials during the week of 29 June to 3 July 1981:

Fly ash	(Aleece Lake)
Coal waste	(Trench A)
Baked clay	(Trench A)
Sandstone (gritstone)	(Trench A)
Colluvium	(Trench A)
Topsoil	(Trench A)

Native soil samples were also obtained from a nearby pasture (Houth Meadows) to provide control data from a site not disturbed by excavation. These locations are shown in Figure 2-1.

Sampling locations at each site were determined by cardinal coordinates generated from a random numbers table. Four samples were taken of the soil or spoil material, and four samples were taken of plant shoots from each substrate type. Soil samples were composite samples of three subsamples collected from a 0-15 cm depth within 0.5 m of the randomly selected sample pcint. A minimum of two kilograms of substrate material was collected using a water-rinsed spade. Samples were placed in labelled heavy plastic bags and sent to Chemex Labs Ltd. in Vancouver for analysis.

Composite plant shoot samples were also collected from each substrate type, and were composed of three subsamples of the top two-thirds of plants within a 0.5 m radius of the randomly selected Sample locations were selected using a separate set of sample point. random number coordinates and did not correspond to the soil sampling locations. Two species were sampled when possible: crested wheatgrass (Agropyron cristatum) and drylander alfalfa (Medicago media). As the preferred species could not be found in the immediate vicinity, Kentucky bluegrass (Poa pratensis) was substituted for crested wheatgrass and yellow locoweed (Oxytropis sp.) was substituted for alfalfa on the native soil at Houth Meadows. Later in the summer, some alfalfa shoot samples were obtained from a farm in the area, and these samples were also analyzed for Cu and Mo; the results of these alfalfa analyses are included in Table 3-3 to provide some data on alfalfa growing in a commercial operation on undisturbed topsoil. All plants were clipped with water-rinsed shears and placed in labelled brown paper bags. Within two days, plant samples were taken to the Agriculture Canada Resear h Station at Kamloops, where they were dried in a forced-air oven at 100°C All samples were then sent to Chemex Labs Ltd. in for 48 hours. Vancouver for tissue analysis.



## 212 TRACE ELEMENT DISTRIBUTION

During the same week (29 June to 3 July 1981), additional samples of crested wheatgrass growing on two waste materials (fly ash and colluvium) and on native soil were sampled to determine the distribution of trace element concentrations among plant organs. Four composite samples (consisting of three subsamples each) were collected for each of the following plant parts: roots, lower leaves, and stems (seed stalks with or without flowers or seeds). Sample locations were based on a set of independently randomized coordinates for each plant part and each sample. Water-rinsed shears were used for clipping leaves and stems, and a water-rinsed spade was used to collect the root samples. Root samples were rinsed repeatedly with water until no further soil particles could be removed. Samples were kept in brown paper bags and were dried within two days of clipping in a forced-air oven at 100°C for 48 hours.

On native soil in Houth Meadows, bluegrass and locoweed samples were substituted for crested wheatgrass and alfalfa, repectively. All samples were sent to Chemex Labs Ltd. in Vancouver for analysis.

### 2.2 LABORATORY ANALYSIS

#### 221 VEGETATION PROCEDURES

The plant tissue samples were dried at 45°C, weighed and milled to a minus 20 mesh size. The sample preparations and analyses were carried out by Chemex Labs Ltd., Vancouver as follows:

- (i) Cd, Cu, Pb, Mn, Mo, Ni, Zn: A sample of the vegetation was wet-ashed with a combination of nitric and perchloric acids and each element was determined by direct atomic absorption using Varian AA5 or AA6 spectrophotometers. Cd, Pb, and Ni were corrected for background absorption.
- (ii) As, Se: An aliquet of the above solution was reduced and both elements were analyzed as their hydrides via hot vapour flameless atomic absorption using a Varian AA6 Spectrophotometer.
- (iii) Hg: Samples were digested with nitric and sulphuric acids, potassium permanganate and potassium persulphate. Mercury was reduced and analyzed via cold vapour U.V. absorption using a Jarrell Ash Spectrophotometer.
- (iv) Be, Cr, Co, V: Samples were dry-ashed at 550°C, digested with nitric, perchloric and hydrofluoric acids and analyzed by direct atomic absorption.

- (v) F: Samples were ashed at 550°C using sodium hydroxide as an ashing aid. The ash was fused with sodium carbonate, leached with water, buffered and analyzed for fluoride with a specific ion electrode.
- (vi) Sn: Samples were ashed at 550°C, fused with ammonium iodide, leached, extracted and analyzed by atomic absorption.
- (vii) B: Samples were ashed overnight at 550°C and the ash was dissolved in hydrochloric and nitric acids. Pyrex glassware (borosilicate glass) was not used. Samples were ashed in porcelain and leached in polyethylene containers. The resulting solutions were analyzed by CanTest Limited using an inductively-coupled plasma torch.
- (viii) U: An aliquot of the digested solution from part (iv) was extracted with acid-deficient aluminum nitrate and other reagents into an organic solvent. The solvent was removed by evaporation and the residue fused with sodium carbonate and sodium fluoride. The uranium content of this melt was then determined fluorimetrically.

All reported values represent best estimates of total concentrations for each element, as opposed to soluble concentrations.

#### 222 SOIL PROCEDURES

The soil samples were analyzed for the 18 elements by Chemex Labs Ltd., Vancouver. The samples were dried at 45°C and pulverized in a ring grinder to approximately minus 200 mesh.

Analytical methods used for the soil analyses were as follows:

- (i) Cd, Cu, Pb, Mn, Mo, Zn: Samples were digested with a combination of nitric and perchloric acids and each metal was determined by direct atomic absorption using a Varian 275 Spectrophotometer. Cd, Pb and Ni were corrected for background absorption.
- (ii) As, Se: An aliquot from the digested solution in (i) was reduced and both elements were analyzed as their hydrides via hot vapour flameless atomic absorption using a Varian AA6 Spectrophotometer.

- (iii) Hg: Samples were digested with nitric and hydrochloric acids. Mercury was then reduced and analyzed via cold vapour atomic absorption using a Varian AA5 Spectrophotometer.
- (iv) Be, Cr, Co, V: Samples were digested with nitric, perchloric and hydrofluoric acids and analyzed by direct atomic absorption.
- (v) F: Samples were fused with sodium carbonate, leached with water, buffered and analyzed for fluoride with a specific ion electrode.
- (vi) Sn: Samples were fused with ammonium iodide, leached, extracted and analyzed by atomic absorption.
- (vii) B: Samples were leached in hydrochloric and nitric acids and the resulting solutions were analyzed by CanTest Limited using inductively-coupled plasma emission spectroscopy.
- (viii) U: An aliquot of the digested solution from (iv) was extracted with acid-deficient aluminum nitrate and other reagents into an organic solvent. The solvent was removed by evaporation and the residue fused with sodium carbonate and sodium fluoride. The uranium content of this melt was then determined fluorimetrically.

Reported concentrations represent best estimates of the total levels of each element tested, and do not refer to soluble or plant extractable concentrations.

#### 2.3 DATA ANALYSIS

The purpose of the random sampling procedure was to determine a statistically valid mean concentration with 95% confidence limits for each of the 18 elements in the soil and plant samples submitted for analysis. Many concentrations were reported as "less than" a particular value, representing levels below the quantitative detection limits of the methods employed. For the purposes of calculating averages, these values were converted to 70% of their stated upper possible value, a procedure commonly employed for the quantitative comparison of analytical results (Severson and Gough 1981). The mean values, each representing four composite observations or analyses, were compared with the critical values of concentration of these elements as determined from the literature search. Ratings for likelihood of normal, deficient and toxic levels of elements were developed by comparing means and confidence limits with the means and ranges reported in the literature. Based on a summation of the semi-quantitative ratings for each element, the relative hazards of the different materials are summarized.

Further statistical analyses were carried out through the use of procedures in the Statistical Analysis System (S.A.S.) package (S.A.S. Institute 1979). An analysis of variance was conducted for each element, to determine if the variability in element concentrations within each substrate material was less than the variability among different substrate types. If the different substrates accounted for most of the variability, a Duncan's Multiple Range test (Duncan 1955) was performed to evaluate which materials were significantly different from each other.

An analysis of variance due to plant part was done for each element on crested wheatgrass and alfalfa data from the fly ash, baked clay and Houth Meadows substrates. Duncan's Multiple Range tests were then done to determine if concentrations of an element in the roots, leaves or seed stalks were significantly different. Soil samples were not included as part of the accumulation pathway analysis, because soil concentrations for almost all elements were very high relative to those found in plant tissue (since they represent total concentrations, not that which is available to plants).

Paired t-tests were used to determine the significance of differences in element concentrations between crested wheatgrass and alfalfa across all substrate types.

The ratio of average shoot concentrations and average soil concentrations was calculated for each element for each species growing on each substrate. This ratio (expressed as a percentage) represents the degree of element accumulation exhibited by the two different species when growing on different waste materials. Further quantitative analyses of trace element distribution and accumulation in the plant soil system were not undertaken because of the low sample numbers and the uncontrolled nature of sample collection.

•

PART 3

# RESULTS

# PART 3 - RESULTS

# CONTENTS

3.1	LITERATURE REVIEW	3-1
3.2	1981 HAT CREEK SAMPLING RESULTS	3-8
3.3	TRACE ELEMENT DISTRIBUTION	3-14

# TABLES

# Table

1

3-1	Results of the Literature Search; Natural Ranges and Hazardous Concentrations of 18 Trace Elements	3-3
3-2	Summary of "Normal" Mean and Ranges in Trace Element Concentrations (Total) in Plants Growing in "Normal" Soils, and of Trace Element Levels Causing Toxicity or	
	Deficiency Symptoms in Mammals and Plants	3-7
3-3	Alfalfa Shoot Trace Element Concentrations	3-9
3-4	Crested Wheatgrass Shoot Trace Element Concentrations	3-10
3-5	Substrate Material Trace Element Concentrations	3-11
3-6	Average Trace Element Concentrations in Waste Materials	3-12
3-7	Trace Element Distribution in Plants Grown on Three Substrates, Mean and 95% Confidence Interval, ppm	3-15
3-8	Trace Element Distribution in Plants Grown on Three Substrates	3-16

#### 3.1 LITERATURE REVIEW

Various sources were consulted in order to determine "normal" concentrations of the 18 trace elements in soils, and in alfalfa and Most of the information was gathered from review crested wheatgrass. articles of a general nature (Aubert and Pinta 1977, Bidwell 1974, Bollard and Butler 1966, Euckman and Brady 1969, Chapman 1967, Heit 1977, Jones 1967, Lisk 1972, Sauchelli 1969, Swaine 1955, Underwood 1971), although data from carefully controlled, detailed studies were also used (Brown 1976, Loneragan 1980, Romney and Childress 1963). Data specific to alfalfa and agronomic grasses are scarce, particularly with reference to the grasses. Large amounts of information on the more toxic elements such as cadmium, lead, mercury and selenium are available but were not found to be of direct value because they generally deal with atypical situations such as those close to industrial facilities and areas with anomalously high concentrations of a particular element (Mankovska 1981). Because there is no standardization of sampling techniques, it is difficult to evaluate the figures from different sources relative to one another. In addition, not only do plant species respond differently to similar concentrations of trace elements in the soils, but differences in tolerances within a species also occur, further confounding the situation (Jones 1967). Davison, Blakemore and Craggs (1979) have shown that the fluoride content of forage varies during the year, and more significantly, that on several occasions there were significant differenes in the fluoride content of their samples from day to day. Their conclusion is that if this daily change is a common occurrence, a single sample does not provide a very good measure of the fluoride content of a grass sward over long periods and although they do not allude to it in their paper, the next step is to question the value of a single measure for any element as all elements may fluctuate in a similar way. Thus, much of the data in the literature is of little value because very often no information is given as to the parts analyzed, the age of the parts, the stage of maturity of the plant, the analytical procedures used, or any other specifics. Consequently, data of this nature were not used in this analysis.

The data on the toxic or deficient levels of the elements cannot be viewed with a great deal of definity because the interactions between the elements and the influence of different elements on the different physiological functions and activities are not known in sufficient detail. Interactions such as those between manganese and iron, copper, zinc and iron, zinc and cadmium, and arsenic and selenium, have been shown to be very important, so much so that studies of the individual elements may give misleading results unless the quantitative relationships between the interacting elements are known and considered (Underwood 1971). In addition most deficiencies of, for example, copper, iron, manganese, molybdenum and zinc in plants do not result from a lack of these elements in the soil, but result from an inability of the plant to utilize the element that is present i.e. total element concentrations do not equal plant available element concentration (Hodgson 1970).

The values given in Table 3-1 must be viewed as being a rough guide to the normal concentrations found in soils, alfalfa and crested wheatgrass. In some cases, because of the wide ranges and the number of different mean values given in the literature for the same element, general plant values are given. These data naturally do not include plants that can tolerate exceptionally high or low concentrations of certain elements. Specific references are made in the table to alfalfa (\*) and crested wheatgrass(\*\*). Caution must be exercised in the interpretation of these data and in the comparison of the sample data with those given in the literature.

The soil mean concentrations must also be viewed with caution since concentrations of the elements in "normal" soils are seldom given. Most soils have "above normal" and "below normal" concentrations of one In general, however, some standard can be adopted or more elements. since most soils have consistently high or low levels of particular elements e.g. manganese and molybdenum (Chapman 1973). Other elements are present in comparatively high quantities but, as a result of complexing with the soil components, their availability to plants is extremely low. In addition, soil pH, the presence of other solutes, and the soil redox conditions may also affect their solubility or the ability of the plant to absorb them. Plant deficiencies thus frequently occur despite high total soil quantities (Antonovics et al. 1971). Frequently investigators give their own interpretation of "plant-available" concentrations rather than total concentrations of the elements, making comparison of the values in different soils as plant growth media impossible. Similarly, although extractable (generally taken to be plant available) concentrations of elements are given, the method of extraction is not described and because different methods of extraction give different results, comparison of published values is difficult.

It must also be remembered that the threshold values that will result in toxicity or deficiency symptoms in plants are measures of "plant available" concentrations of the different elements. These values cannot be compared directly to total concentration of the element in the soil because the availability of the different elements depends on a myriad of factors, as discussed above. Most of the figures given in Table 3-1 have been derived in laboratory experiments in which plants are grown in nutrient solutions and therefore all of the element under study is available to the plant. There is no constant factor that can be used to calculate plant available concentrations of an element from the total concentration of the element. Each case will be different.

The figures given in the columns relating to quantities of trace elements affecting livestock should also be regarded as guides only, because the relationships between trace element concentrations and livestock are, among other factors, influenced by the amount of trace

### TABLE 3-1

Results of the Literature Search: Natural Ranges and Hazandous Concentrations of 18 Trace Elements

Elenant	Meen and range in 1) plants and 11) solls (totel) unider nätufål conditions	<ul> <li>Lower IInit of occountration in plants before foxic symptoms occur</li> </ul>	Upper limit of concentration in plants before defi clency symptoms occur	Lower 11m t of concentre-lon in - solt before plant growth is affected (available)	Upper limit of concentration in soil before plent plent growth is affected (available)	Lower limit of concentration in plants at which fosic lavels accu- mulate in livestock	Upper Hmit of concentration in plants at which deficiencies Occur in livestock	Notes
Arsenic	1) 1 <sup>27</sup> + 0.220 0.05 <sup>12</sup> +	×2 <sup>32</sup>	Not proved essen- tial for plant growth	> 2 <sup>27</sup> * > 2 <sup>20</sup>	Not proved essen- tial for plant growth	»13 <sup>27</sup> *	Not proved essen_ tial to mammais <sup>99</sup> 0.01 <sup>45</sup>	Plants generally have an arsenic content lower than the solis in which they grow? Arsenic may act as a selenium anta-
	0.3 - 3827 6.141 5; 1 - 5042 7.2; 0.1 -	5532						gonist in animeks™ Alfaifa, has ilttle tolerence to arsenic <sup>22</sup>
8eryltium	1) $0_{*1}^{20_{*}21}$ 11) $6^{42}_{0_{*}6^{31}}^{42}_{0_{*}1} - 4$	≥2 <sup>37</sup>	Not proved essen- tial for plant growth		Not proved essen- tial for plant growth		Not proved assent- tial to mammais <sup>40</sup>	
Baran	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 > 100 <sup>24</sup> ,44• > 200 <sup>29</sup> > 250 <sup>29</sup> > 250 <sup>19</sup> > 200 <sup>9</sup>	< 208,24,44 < 1029 ∢ 15 <sup>6</sup>	تر <sup>07 «</sup>	«0.5 <sup>6</sup>		Not proved essen- fisi to mennais <sup>20,4</sup> 0.1545	Uptake of boron by plants is 10 very closely linked to the com- centration of many other loss <sup>6</sup> . The range between the upper and lower limits is very narrow for boron useful to plants. Contents range from values of 0.1-0.2 to 1.5 (hot water extractable) and very in relation to start variety. Hot veter extinactable boron generally represents 1-35 of total boron, but may be much more <sup>1</sup> .
Cadmium	1) 0.6422 0.633 0.0620 350	> c-100 <sup>33</sup>	Not proved essen- tial for plant growth	10 - 20 <sup>20</sup>	Not proved essen- tial for plent growth	>2560 <sup>45</sup> (cattle)	Not proved essenting tial to mammais <sup>40</sup>	Cadhlum mentabolism is very closely linked to zinc mentabolism. It is elso linked to copper and iron- mentabolism <sup>65</sup>
	(1) $0.0620, 22, 2$ $0.01 - 0.7^2$ $0.01 - 0.3^2$ $0.18^{42}$ $0.1 - 0.3^{33}$	78 20 3						Cadmium is very mobile in soil and moves readily downwards, so concentrations tend to locrease with depth <sup>20</sup> .
Chronium	$\begin{array}{c} 1) & c.0.3^{11} \\ 128 \\ 0.1 & -0.54^{5} \\ 0.2520 \\ 11) & 20 - 123^{10} \\ 200; -52 & 10 \\ 1002^{2} & 28 \\ 40^{20}; & 7 - 3 \\ 100 - 500^{1} \\ 38^{1} \end{array}$	5 500 <sup>42</sup> 500 <sup>20</sup>	Hot proved essen∽ tlaifor plant gno⊮th	. رو 35 آ - و 17 ه	Not proved essen- tial for plant growth	50 <sup>45</sup> hexavalent 65000 trivalent	0-0145	Trivatent chromium is postulated as baing assemtial to megmats for glucose metabolism <sup>20</sup> , 40,45 insovalent chromium is very mobile in soll and trivatent chromium is thus less available to plants. Total soll chromium content is a poor measure of chromium availabl- lity to plants <sup>3</sup>
Cauait	i) 0.05 - 0.25 0.2;_0.02 -	. 0.3 <sup>12</sup>		∩.†20,46 ⊺excesses are	0+021	200 <sup>45</sup> (sheep) c+150 <sup>45</sup> (cattite)	0.03 - 0.07 <sup>45</sup> 0.09 <sup>16</sup>	Required by legumes in the symbl− otic fixation of nitrogen. Impor− tant in the diet of cumilegrits. A
	$\begin{array}{r} 0.84^{22} \\ 10 & 10 & 15^{1} \\ 1.420 \\ 1 & 50^{9} \\ 822 & 41 \\ 40; & 1 & -40^{4} \end{array}$	12		unilkely no occur			0.11 <sup>43</sup> (sheep)	constl‡uent of v(tamin B <sub>12</sub> 46
Copper	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	5024,29,44+ 2030	924 + 644 + 329	შ <sup>1</sup> 30თ	0 <b>.5 - 3</b> <sup>1</sup>	109 <sup>45</sup> (cattle) 80 <sup>45</sup> (sheep)	4 <sup>45</sup> (cettite) 526 2.519 616 6 <sup>45</sup> (sneep)	Alfalfa is very resistive to coppor levels in the soli <sup>37</sup> a Coppor merabolism is very closely linked to that of noibydanum <sup>15</sup> Coppor deficiencies are rarely encountered in sifalfa <sup>23</sup>
	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	? - 100 <sup>20</sup> )41 S2 <sup>48</sup> (Canada)						
Fiuorine	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 6^{22} \\ 2 & + 20^7 \\ 0.1 & - 10^4 \\ 3 & - 9^{12} \end{array}$		Not proved essen- tial for plant growth	7 <sup>20</sup>	Not proved easen- tial for plent growth	50 <sup>25</sup> 40 <sup>45</sup> (cattle) c.50 <sup>7</sup>	c.0.5 <sup>45</sup>	Fluorine uptake is only remotely related to soli fluoride content <sup>45</sup>
	113 200 <sup>22</sup> 10 - 1000 <sup>4</sup>							

TABLE 3-1 (Cont'd)

Elerent	Vean and range in 1) plants and 11) poils (total) under natural conditione	Lower limit of concentration in plants before toold symptoms cocur	Upper Himit of concentration in c plants before defi- clency symptome occur	Lower Hailt of concentration in soil before plant growth is affected (aveilable)	Upper limit of concentration in soil before plant plant growth is affected (aveilable)	Lower limit of concentration in plants at which takic levels accu- mulate in tivestad	Upper limit of concentration in plants at which deficiencies occur a in Hymistock	Notes
Leed	$\begin{array}{c} 1) & 0.3 - 1.534 \\ 2.720 \\ 4.722 \\ 128 \\ 2.512 \\ 512 \\ 210 \end{array}$		Not proved essen- tial for plant growth	20 - 200 <sup>14</sup>	Not proved essen- tial for plant growth	≻345 (sheep) >260 <sup>54</sup> (cat†le)	Not proved easem that to memmals <sup>15</sup>	Lead content of acrial parts of plants increases from spring to winter indicating translocation from the roots where the lead concentration is the greates. <sup>14</sup> with high lead comentarions the lead contentrations the lead contentrations
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(a. 1998) (a. 1998)						vin cepro
Manganasa	1) 20 - 50025 30 - 22030 ++ 5622 2000 ++ 56-10024 29 - 74 ++ 15 12 3249 ++ 2749 +	×1000 <sup>25</sup> • ≥20 <sup>29</sup> •	< 2024 < 1525 < 1036 • < 3036 •• < 15 <sup>29</sup> •	> c.500 <sup>25</sup> >500 <sup>30</sup>	~20 <sup>28</sup>	>2000 <sup>45</sup> (cattle)	< 70 <sup>43</sup> ≼30 <sup>16</sup> <cl0<sup>45 &lt;20<sup>13</sup>8</cl0<sup>	Mangamese and Iron metabolism is ctosely linked <sup>45</sup> Aifsifa is segaitive to high mangamese tevels <sup>20</sup>
	11) 850; 100 - 40 500 - 1000 399 <sup>41</sup> 600; 200 - 30 1000; 200 - 30	00 <sup>25</sup> ,22 000 <sup>42</sup>						
Mercury	1) 0.01 - 0.230 0.01520,28 0.0731 + 0.005 - 0.035	z	Not proved essen- tlai for plant		Not proved essen- tiel for plant	≻0-18 <sup>45</sup>	Not proved essop- fial to memmals <sup>39</sup>	Menoury is associated with the absorption and transport of copper, zinc and cadmum <sup>5</sup> Mencury content in soil varies com- siderably with soil depth <sup>4</sup>
	$\begin{array}{r} 11) & 0.5^{42} \\ 0.3; & 0.01 - 0 \\ 0.3^{28} \\ 0.1 - 0.3^{30}, 4 \\ 0.055^{41} \end{array}$	, <sub>-8</sub> 20 5						
Mal ybaenutt	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 - 2^{12} \\ 0.2^5 \\ 0.9^{20} \\ 1 - 5^{44} \\ 0.5^{11} \\ 0.5 - 10^{24} \\ 1.15^{22} \\ 2.4^3 \\ 0.6^{49} \\ 0.6^{49} \\ 2.5^{49} \end{array}$	⇒1024,44 = Not kooun <sup>24</sup> e >1000 <sup>43</sup>	<0,424 • <0,130 <0.523 •		<0.31 <0.1 <sup>23</sup>	> 15 <sup>24</sup> > 2 <sup>2</sup> > 20 <sup>2</sup> 100 <sup>26</sup> > 5 <sup>3</sup> > - 20 <sup>38</sup> > - 10 <sup>20</sup> (cattle) > 5 <sup>16</sup>	«01 <sup>23</sup>	Copper, suiphete and molybolenum requirements are closely related (at least 5 ppm Monequired in the ratio CouMo of perferably 6:1) <sup>100</sup> Holybolenum toxicities gre very rarely noted in the field <sup>42</sup> and are associated with suiphur evel- ability <sup>43</sup>
	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	, 59,20 D1 2						
Nickei	$\begin{array}{c} 1) & 0.1 - 5^{38} \\ 1^{47} & 1^{22} \\ 212 & 28 \\ 320' \\ 0.5 - 3.5^{45} \end{array}$		Not proved essen- tiai for plant growth	► c-2 <sup>47</sup> > 50 <sup>20</sup>	Not proved eason- tial for plant growth	>1000 <sup>45</sup>	<0.04 <sup>45</sup>	Generally wejj distributed in the solt profile <sup>50</sup>
	$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 11 & 4020, 22, 28, & 10\\ 3 & -70^{47} & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & $	0 - 1000 <sup>20</sup> 2						
Setenium	0.2 <sup>20</sup> ,28 0.05 - 0.45 <sup>40</sup> 0.04 <sup>44</sup>	528 -20		>c.500 <sup>18</sup>		>530 >50I >420,28	<0.03 <sup>1</sup> 0.04 - 220 0.05 <sup>16</sup>	Selenulm is involved in vitamin Emetaboilsm <sup>99</sup>
	0.8 <sup>32</sup> 0.9; 0.1 - 2 <sup>45</sup>	2						
fin	1) $0.9^{28}$ $0.3 - 0.4^{45}$ $0.3^{20}$		Not proved easen- tial for plent growth		Not proved essen- tial for plant growth	5 <sup>45</sup>	Not proved easen; tial to mammais <sup>39</sup>	
	11) 10 <sup>20,28</sup> ; z = : 10 <sup>42</sup>	20020			-			

148LE 3=1 (Cont\*d)

· -- ··

	Mean and range in 1) plants and 1) solis (torte)) under natural conditions	Lower Hmit of concentration in plants before toxic symptoms occur	Upper limit of concentration in plants before defi- ciency symptoms occur	Lower Hmit of concentration in soit before plant growth is affected (available)	Upper limit of concentration in soli before plant plant growth is affected (aveileble)	Lower limit of concentration in plants at which takic levels accu- mulate in livestoci	Upper Unit of concentration in plants at which deficiencies occur in livestock	Notes
Urantum	13 0.0617		Not proved essem- tial for plant grow	th	tion proved essen- tial for plant grow	with	∺ct proved essents tlai to mammais	
	11} c <sub>4</sub> 2 <sup>41a</sup> 42							
Yanadium	() 1.620 1.734a 0.8 <sup>28</sup> 0.03 - 0.07 <sup>45</sup>		Not proved essen- tial for plant >	5 (in sand)34a 10 (in sand)34a 2,5 <sup>20</sup>	Nonf proved easen- tial for plant	> c.25 <sup>45</sup>	<0,} <sup>340</sup> (rpts) <2 mg/day <sup>45</sup>	One of the most abundant elements <sup>4</sup>
	11) 100 <sup>20</sup> ,22,28; 2 100 <sup>34</sup> 8 100; trace = 3 66 <sup>41</sup>	10 - 500 <sup>20</sup> 00 <sup>1</sup>						
Zinc	20 - 50042 1) 10 - 10022 340 10030 2222 9 - 1438 15 - 6038 21 - 7044 + 105 13 - 50 18 49+ 38 49+	10024,44 + 400245 10029 +	<10 <sup>44</sup> • <2020,30 > <0838 <1129 •	1001 40020	< 1512	Generally con- sidened nor-toxic to an (majs) > cr 100045	20 - 40 <sup>38</sup> < 40 <sup>1</sup> 9 < 2016 45 < 30 <sup>1</sup> 38	Zinc metabolism is nelated to copper, sootmium and inor metabolism <sup>45</sup> Zinc deficiencies gre rarely encountered in alfalfa <sup>29</sup>
	(1) 75, 55 - 30048 5020,22, 10 - 1 2 - 3035 50; trace - 90 5141	(Canada) 30020,22,30 0 <sup>1</sup>						
	80; 10 - 300 <sup>42</sup>							
	Aubert and Pint     Bidweil 1974     Bidweil 1974     Bidweil 1974     Bollard and But     Bonte 1986     Brodinard 1975     Brown 1975     Brown 1975     Brown 1976     Cannon 1970     Cannon 1970     Connon 1970	ta 1977 14. ( incent 1977 16. 1 incent 1972 16a. 18. ( 19. 17. 18. ( 19. 17. 18. ( 19. 17. 18. ( 19. 17. 17. 18. ( 19. 19. 19. 17. 18. ( 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19.	Duckas and Paviluk 1975 Egan 1975 Erchan art al., 1978 Erchan art al., 1978 Early Erchan art al., 1978 Early 1970 Harry	5 1978 27. Lle 27. Lle 29. Mar 31. Nat 31. Nat 32. Nat 33. Nat 34. Nat 34. Nat 35. Par 36. Pra 37. Ren 37. Ren	big 1973 k 1972 this and Matocha 1977 twath, Glordiano and Ional Research Counc Ional Research Counc Ional Research Counc Ional Research Counc Ional Research Counc Irva et al. 1981 th 1973 mety and Childress 15	5 1 Lablg 1977 11 Canada 1979e 11 Canada 1976 11 Canada 1976 11 Canada 1978 11 Canada 1979 11 Canada 1980 155 1973	38.         Saucheilt 1969           39.         Schwarz 1974           40.         Scoth 1977           41.         Savnrson 1979           42.         Savins 1975           43.         Thormhon and He           45.         Underwood 1971           46.         Variselow 1967           46.         Warshower and Art           47.         Variselow 1967           48.         Warshower and Art           49.         Turd and Grif	laball 1979 abb 1970 31 1970 seumen 1979 11 1981

c. \* approximately

elements in soils ingested at the same time as the herbage (Healy 1974). In vitro studies show that soil ingestion can substantially alter the element composition of digestive fluids, and that this effect varies with soil type. Annual intakes of soil can be large, although they are probably less than 2% of the fresh herbage consumed. However, the ingested soil may supply more of various elements than the herbage. In addition, mild trace element: toxicities and deficiencies in animals are difficult to diagnose because their effects are masked by those caused by a primary dietary deficit (of vitamins or other minerals) and they are seldom manifested by specific clinical signs. It is therefore difficult, if not impossible, to determine a series of "safe" dietary levels of potentially toxic trace elements, because other elements which affect their retention and absorption are also present. These considerations apply to all the trace elements in varying degrees, but are more important to some elements than others. For example, a particular intake of copper can lead to signs of copper toxicity or copper deficiency, depending on the relative intakes of molybdenum, inorganic sulphate, zinc and iron (Underwood 1971). Most trace element deficiencies or excesses result in loss of appetite and subnormal growth. The extent to which these take place and take precedence over other symptoms of the dietary abnormality varies with the trace element concerned. Trace element deficiencies in livestock are usually easier to control than toxicities, especially under natural grazing conditions (Underwood 1971).

The "normal" values and designated deficiency and toxicity levels for trace element concentrations given in the summary table (Table 3-2) were obtained by averaging the individual values given by various authors in Table 3-1. When a range of values was given, the mean of the upper and lower limits was taken as the mean value, on the assumption that the values are normally distributed between the two extremes. This method was used in the absence of any convenient method of obtaining a single value from a set of figures giving means and ranges, and takes into account some of the variability in the literature. Single "less than" or "more than" values were regarded as being unsuitable for identifying the normal range and were rejected. Single values, in the absence of any other data, were taken as being definitive. It is not possible to put confidence limits on the mean values obtained in this way because of their method of derivation. All values reported in the tables represent total concentrations except where soil element concentrations resulting in toxicity or deficiency symptoms in plants are reported, in this case they are noted as "available", because of the very large differences that frequently occur between the total amount found in the soil and the amount actually available to the plants.

The ranges to be expected of "normal" concentrations, as summarized in Table 3-2, have likewise been derived from the values given in Table 3-1. They must not be considered absolute figures since the

TABL	É	3-	2
		-	_

Summary of "Normal" Mean and Ranges in Trace Element Concentrations (Total) in Plants and Solis and of Trace Element Levels Causing Toxicity or Deficiency Symptoms in Plants and Mammals, ppm

Parameter	As	Be	В	Cd	Cr	60	Cu	F	Ръ	Ma	Hg	Мо	NI	Se	Sn	U	۷	Zn
Mean value (plants)	0.4	0.1	45	0.4	0.3	0.3	11	7	2.3	110	0.03	1.8	2.3	0.2	0.4	0.06	1	40
Range (plants)	< 1	0.1	20-100	0.1-0.6	0.1-0.5	0.02-0.5	5-30	2-15	0.1-5	30-250	0.01-0.05	0.4-5	0.1-5	0.05-0.45	0.2-0.5	0.06	0.05-2	10-100
Mean value (sofis)	6	3.3	15	0.1	100	16	30	200	15	700	0.3	2	50	0.6	10	3	125	50
Range (solis)	0•25-45	0 <b>.1-4</b> 0	10-100	0.04-0.4	30-400	4-75	4-70	10-1000	4-70	200-3000	0.07-0.5	0.2-8	5-500	0.05-2	2-200	ND	15-450	15-350
Plant toxicity level (plant content)	> 2	> 2	120	100	3	ND	40	ND	ND	250	ND	500	ND	5	ND	ND	ND	400
Plant deficiency level (plant content)	NE	NE	20	NE	NE	ND	4	NE	NE	15	NE	0.3	NE	ND	NE.	NE	NE	10
Plant toxicity level (soli content) (available.	> 2	ND	> 30	14	9	0.1	27	7	125	500	ND	ND	25	500	ND	ND	6	250
Plant deficiency level (soil content) (available	NE )	NE	0.5	NE	NE	0.02	1.7	NE	NE	20	NE	0.2	NE	ND	NE	NE	NE	15
Livestock taxicity level	13	ND	ND	2000	50 <sup>6+</sup> 65000 <sup>3+</sup>	200	t00	50	250	2000	0.18	10	1000	5	5	ND	25	1000
Livestock deficiency leve	0.01	NE	NE	NE	0.01	0.08	5	0.5	NE	30	NE	0.5	0.04	0.04	NĔ	NE	0.1	30

~

ND - No data given in the literature.

NE - Not yet proved essential to plants or livestock.

ranges will vary from soil to soil, with the species, the part and stage of maturity of the plant being analyzed, and the analytical method. Although this method may be inexact, the data are offered as a guide against which the values obtained from the samples at Hat Creek can be compared.

The levels found by analysis of the vegetation growing on the different substrates at Hat Creek have been evaluated on the basis of the mean levels and normal ranges found from the literature review. The results of this comparison are given in Part 4.

### 3.2 1981 HAT CREEK SAMPLING RESULTS

Tables 3-3, 3-4 and 3-5 show the concentrations of the eighteen elements analysed for in alfalfa, crested wheatgrass and waste material, respectively, for 1978, 1979 and 1981. All 1981 values are expressed with a 95% confidence interval, where upon repeated sampling, the mean concentration of that element would probably fall within the expressed interval 95% of the time. Values expressed with an approximately sign ( $\simeq$ ) have been averaged using one or two figures which were below the detection level, so should only be taken as a best estimate.

It is of interest to note that concentrations of elements in waste materials fluctuate from year to year. Chromium concentrations for example have decreased in all substrates since 1978. This may be due to sampling error or actual leaching of this element through the soil profile. Vanadium concentrations are also noted as decreasing on fly ash, coal waste and colluvium.

Levels of arsenic, boron, cobalt, copper, lead, molybdenum, nickel and vanadium are higher in all waste materials than in the native soil at Houth Meadows, whereas manganese concentrations are lower in fly ash and coal waste than in the native soil sampled at Houth Meadows.

Table 3-6 is the result of the analyses of variance and Duncan's Multiple Range tests used to determine significant differences in trace element concentrations among the substrate materials. The concentration of each element is compared among all substrates and the natural soil. Consistent similarities among any two substrates do not exist for all 18 elements. Tin, selenium and cadmium concentrations are not significantly different among any of the seven materials tested.

an a		۶۱	iy Ash	ويتهوي فيتبي		Coal Waste	)	Baked Clay		Gritstone	,		с	olluvtum		Taps	11c	Houth Meadows
Element	1978*	1979*	1981	Averaga	1979	1981	Average	1981	1979	1981	Average	1978	1979	1981	Average	198) ()	1981 Farm Fleid)	1981 (Oxytropis sp.)
Arsenic	3	1.5	×	2.3	0.5	< 1	0.6	<1	0.5	< 1	0.51	T	0.5	<1	0.6	<1	-	< 1
Berylium	-	-	×	-	0.02	< 0.04	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.0410.04	0.04	-	0.05	0.04	< 0.04	0.03±0.01	-	< 0.04
Baron	~	_	×	-	98	48.012.0	73	56.0±48.0	93	42.0±9.0	68	-	56	56±12_8	56	50.045.2	-	52年5
Cadmium	< 0.2	0.1	×	≈0.12	0.8	0,22±0,16	0.5	0.04±0.03	0.8	0.2340.0	5 0.5	0.1	0.7	0.24±0.08	0.3	0.13±0.08	-	0.1840.10
Chromil um	-	-	×	-	1.2	0.720.3	0.9	0.9±0.3	2.2	0.9±0.6	i 1.6	-	0.8	1.5±0.6	1.2	1.120.3	-	1.4±0.8
Cobalt	-	-	×	-	0.72	1.5±1.0	1	0.2±0.2	0.39	0,420.4	0.4	-	0.04	0.320.2	0.17	0.110.1	-	0.2±0.2
Соррег	16	21	×	19	17	8.2±2.0	13	7.6±1.9	16	8.1±3.	12	5	13	9.642.1	9.2	9.612.0	1123.4	9.6 <b>±4.0</b>
Fluorine	-	-	×	-	1.4	342	2	4±3	2.5	7±5	4.9	-	1.4	6±4	3.6	1±0	-	5±2
Lead	< 2	2	×	~ 1.7	2	1.4±0.4	2	0.520.2	4	1.7±1.	2.9	1	2	1.210.4	1.3	0.7±0.4	-	0.5±0.5
Manganese	25	65	×	45	153	148±48	150	5748	60	107\$18	94	110	48	64±21	74	39±11	-	63\$36
Mercury	-	-	х	-	0.090	0.03320.00	8 0.0565	0.012±0.009	0.10	0.024\$0.	21 0.063	0.03	0.105	0.01240.01	0 0.049	0.049±0.026	-	0.093±0.065
Motybelenum	22	14	×	18	۱	1.4±0.7	1	4.2±0.9	5	3.241.	4 4.1	4	4	9,5±3,3	5.8	3,3±1.7	9.049.8	3.6±1.7
Nickle	3	2	×	2.5	2	4.212.1	2	1.0±0.4	2	2.322.	5 2.2	1	2	1.3±0.3	1.4	1.211.1	-	1.821.3
Setentum	0.2	0.2	×	0.2	< 0.2	<	< 1	<1	0.3	< 1	0.5	0.2	0.2	t	0.3	1	-	1
Tin	-	-	×	-	< t	≃ 0.8±0.2	< 1	<1	< 4	< 1	<1	-	< 1	≃0.7±0.2	0.7	$\simeq$ 0.940.3	-	≈ 0.9±0.3
Uranium	-	-	×	-	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	$\simeq 0.08\pm0.0$	02≃0.08	-	0.1	< 0.1	0.1	< 0.1	-	· 0.1
¥anad1um	-	-	×	-	1.2	120	1	1±0	2.2	1#0	2	-	1.2	1.040	1.1	1.020	-	1,320.8
Zinc	30	50	×	40	54	36.22115.1	45	16±2	107	17±3.	4 62	36	1.8	19.015.4	24	17.248.3	-	18,4±8,3

TABLE 3-3 Attaits Shoot Trace Element Concentrations, ppm

L

ł

1

\* 1978 and 1979 values from B+C+ Hydro (1979, 1980)

x insuffient material for analysis

\*\* for purposes of averaging "less than" values (<) are taken as being 70% of the stated upper limit

1

#### TABLE 3-4

#### Crested Wheatgrass Shoot Trace Element Concentrations, ppm

	Fly Ash			Coal Waste			Baked Ctay Gritstone			Colluvium				Topsol 1 Houth Meado			
<b>51+</b>	1978	1979*	1981	Average	1979	1981	Average	1981	1979	1981	Average	1978	1979	1981	Average	1981	1981
E, Tement																	
Arsenic	1	2.0	<1	1.2	0.5	< 1	0.6	< 1	0.5	< 1	0.6	1	0.5	< 1	0.63	< 1	1.0±1
Beryllium	0.02	0.04	<0.04	0.03	0.01	< 0.04	0.02	< 0.04	0.02	< 0.04	0.03	0.1	0.02	< 0.01	0.049	0.02±0.00	< 0.04
Boron	488	372	211.5±59.9	357.2	21	18.715.1	19.8	66.3\$92.4	ъ	16.4±12.7	20.7	-	19	7.215	13.1	13.3±17.3	11.119.6
Cadmium	< 0.1	1.0	0.25±0.05	0.64	0.5	0.2520.10	0.4	0.14±0.05	0.6	0.27±0.11	0.44	0.1	0.6	0.22±0.06	0.45	0.36±0.14	0.30±0.14
ChromIum	-	2.5	0.7±0.6	1.6	0.9	0.410.0	0.65	0.4±0.0	2.5	0.5±0.3	1.5	2.5	0.8	0.941.2	1.4	0.4±0.0	0.5±0.3
Cobalt	0.24	0,28	0.1±0.1	0.2	0.11	0.4±0.4	0.3	0.2±0.2	0.40	0.3±0.2	0.55	0.04	0.05	0.2±0.2	0.09	0.1±0.1	0.1510.16
Соррег	8,5	23	12.213.6	14.6	10	12.512.7	11	14.2±2.5	15	11.3±5.0	13.15	4.5	9	11.9±2.3	8.5	10.0\$3.6	11.844.2
Fluorine	< 10	1.2	4±1	4	2.4	1±1	2	2±0	3.8	4±2	3.9	< 10	1.9	1±0	2.6	4.8±1.5	5.012.2
Lead	< 1	3	1.4±0.5	2	2	0.840.7	1.4	1.1±0.4	1	2.041.5	1.5	ł.	1	1.7±0.8	1.23	1.6±0.5	0.5±0.6
Manganese	19	28	15±12	21	95	51±14	73	28 <b>±4</b>	70	58#8	64	52	63	26#11	47	25#4	48±30
Manculty	0.02	-115	.0291.04	0.05	.075	.0334.009	0.054	.0361.024	- 095	.016±.008	0.05	0.01	•065	.0332.017	21.7	.029t.024	.0221.027
Mol ybdenum	9	11	4.6±1.3	8.2	1	0.320.3	0.5	2.2±0.2	4	0.3±0.2	2.15	< 1	1	0.2±0.1	0.63	0.620.7	0.7±0.8
Nickel	< 1	1	.4±0.5	0.7	1	0.7±1.1	0.85	0.3±0.4	2	0.3±0.4	1.15	< 1	< 1	0.1±0.0	0.5	0.1±0.0	1.1±0.7
Selenium	0.35	0.4	t	0.5	< 0.2	< 1	0-42	< 1	0.8	1	0.75	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 1	0.3	< 1	< 1
Tîn	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	<1	< 1	< 1	0.91.3	< 1	0.8	0.75	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	0.09±0.02	< 1
Uranlum	-	< 0,1	0.8	0.45	< 0.t	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.08	< 0.1	-	< 0+1	< 0+1	< 0.t	0.08	< 1
Vanadium	3.0	6.2	1±1	3.4	1.4	1±0	1.2	2±1	2.4	1#0	1.7	0.5	1.0	1±0	0.8	t±0	1±0
Zinc	17	19	18.819.3	18.3	40	25.7±14.4	32.8	10.9±4.5	87	24.5±9.8	55.75	36	15	14.7±4.5	21.9	9.6±9.0	11.7±5.4

\* 1978 and 1979 values from B.C. Hydro (1979, 1980)

.

\*\* for purposes of averaging, "tess than" values (<) are taken as being 70% of the stated upper limit

2

TABLE	3-5
-------	-----

Waste Material Trace Element Concentrations, ppm

		F ly Ash				Coul Waste		Bakad Clay			Gritstone				Coituvium				ilos ap ĩ	(† 1 	(Houth Moladows) Native Soil	
E lomont	1978*	1979*	1981	Average	1978	1981	Average	1978	1981	Average	1978	1979	1981	Average	1978	1979	1981	Average	198	1979	1981	Average
Arsenic	16	23	16±3	18	5	23\$25	14	9	15±3	12	6	8	12±3	9	10	20	1125	14	2±3	2	4±1	.8 3
Baryllium	2,0	2.6	2.0±0	2.2	1.5	1±0	1.3	2.5	2.310.8	2.4	2.0	1.5	2±0	2	2.0	1.5	1.0±0.0	1.5	1.0±0.0	1.7	0±0.1	1.35
Baron	178	147	162±109	162	17	915	13	13	8 <b>±</b> 4	11	8.8	6.8	915	8	11	9.9	513	9	4.8±1.5	4.1	3.253.4	3.7
Cadmium	× 0.2	0.1	0.1±0	0.1	< 0.2	0.1±0	0.1	< 0.2	0.1±0.1	0.1	< 0.2	0.1	0.110	0.1	×0.2	0.1	0.1±0.0	0.1	0.1±0.0	0.1	0.140.0	0.1
Chronium	128	124	103224	118	105	49\$12	77	135	102±19	118	133	98	81216	104	125	86	7643	95	16±)	135	u750	111
Cobalt	10	11	10±2	10	11	1326	12	14	1754	16	18	19	1715	18	15	15	1713	16	B1 ±8	12	9 <b>14</b>	11
Copper	530	460	398261	463	55	5517	55	61	54114	58	46	44	48±14	46	39	42	53±16	45	50±5	30	26 <b>1</b> 5	28
Fluorine	20	108	109±29	79	133	245266	189	123	138±67	130	200	273	250t34	241	203	305	25911 39	256	265±46	218	180±7.9	9 199
Lead	2	4	4±3	3	6	7±4	7	3	5 <b>1</b> 6	4	6	6	613	6	4	3	16224	8	6±1	2	3\$3	3
Manganese	288	260	263149	270	140	2061242	173	453	476±431	464	330	223	495138	349	533	535	469171	512	603±62	695	459±26	3 571
Marcury	0.050	0.055	0.05120.014	0.052	0.105	0.11920.078	0-112	0.049	.0238±.0076	0.0364	0.095	0.075	0.030±0.022	0.067	0.090	0.100	0.075±0.023	0.088	0.070±.017 (	0.050 0.	.045±0.02	0 0,048
Motybalanum	5.5	11	642	8	4	2±1	3	3	2 <b>±</b> 1	3	2	2	3±1	2	2	2	2±1	2	241	1	1±1	;
Nickel	53	59	47\$9	53	45	48.130	47	60	57±9	59	51	45	57215	51	45	43	56±15	48	51 <b>±</b> 7	30	1758	24
Selentum	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	< ي	- 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	< 1	×1	< 1	× 1	~ 1	< 1	< 1
Tin	-	< 1	170	0.9	-	1±0	ı	-	1±0	ī	-	< 1	1±0	1	_	< I	1.50	1	1±0	<b>、</b> I	110	1
Uranium	0,5	1.5	1.7±0-6	1.2	< 0.5	1.4±0.4	0.9	< 0.5	1.8£.5	1.1	0.5	1.5	2.32.0.	5 1.4	0.5	1.3	1.41.4	1.1	1.340.3	0.5	1.1t.0	.4 0.8
Vanadium	270	258	225\$17	251	150	118410	134	245	2502117	248	145	120	204251	156	135	1 18	107224	120	121±31	Ю3	78±15	90.5
Zinc	50	46	40±19	45	57	64142	61	51	42+26	47	80		60176	76	76		97413		00+0		50+32	70

\* 1978 and 1979 values from B.C. Hydro (1979, 1980)

\*\* for purposes of averaging, \*less than" values (<) are taken as being 70\$ of the stated upper limit

\*\*\* 1978 Values from Extract @ 55 - 60°C 1979 Values from Extract @ 45°C

1981 Values from Extract @ 45°C

~

TABLE 3-6

\_\_\_\_ ...

Average Trace Element Concentrations in Waste Materials (ppm)

Element	F Value	PR>F *	Grouping **	Fly Ash	Baked Clay	Colluvium	Gritstone	Houth Meadows	Topsoil	Coal Waste
Arsenic	3.30	0.019	A	16.00	14.50	10.75	12.25	4.00	12.00	23.2
Beryllium	13.64	0,0001	B C	2.57	2.80	1.73	2.25	1.63	1.75	1.4
	<b>a</b> a <b>/ a</b>		B C							
Soron	20,43	0.0001	A B	161.75	a.00	4.00	9,00	3.18	4.75	9,2
Cadmium	-	-	A	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Chromium.	10.29	0.0001	A B	103.25	102.00	75.75	80.50	86.75	81.00	49.00
Cobalt	9.51	0.0001	A B	9.75	16.50	17.00	16,50	8.50	15.75	13.00
Copper	280.98	0.0001	C D A	398,00	- 54.00	52.50	47.50	26,25	50.25	54.75
Fluorine	7.53	0.0002	B C A B	108.75	137,50	258.75	250.00	180.00	265.00	245.00
l.ead	2.21	0.0827	Ċ A	3.50	5.00	16.00	5.50	2.75	5.50	6.50
Manganese	4.20	0.0063	A B	263.00	476,00	468.75	495.00	459.25	602.50	206.25
Mercury (ppb)	9.24	0.0001	C A B	51.25	23.75	75.00	30,00	44.50	70.00	118,75
Molybdenuma	16.28	0.0001	C A	5.50	1.75	1.88	2,50	1.50	2.25	2.00
Nickel	8.40	0.0001	A	46.75	56.75	55,50	56.75	17.25	55.50	48.25
Selenium	0.76	0.6108	в A	0.73	0.70	0.80	0.70	0.80	0.70	0.70
Tin	-	-	A	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Uranium	6.90	0.0004	A B C	1.68	1.75	1.38	2,25	1.13	1.25	1.38
			ā		•					

Element	F Value	₽₽≎`F ★	Grouping **	Fly Ash	Baked Clay	Colluvium	Sandstone	llouth Meadows	Topsoll	Coal Waste
Zinc	5.71	0.0012	A	40.25	41.50	86.25	67.50	58.25	89.75	64.25
			B C				·*****			

TABLE 3-6 (Cont'd)

\* If (PR/F) <0.05, then variability among waste materials is significantly greater than variability within waste materials.

\*\* Means with the same letter are not significantly different Alpha level = .05

# 3.3 TRACE ELEMENT DISTRIBUTION

Table 3-7 shows the results of the trace element distribution in plants sampled on two waste materials (fly ash and baked clay) and a natural situation at Houth Meadows. For each set of plant from organs (roots, leaves, and inflorescences), a mean concentration and 95% confidence interval for the mean has been calculated for each of the 18 elements. Table 3-8 shows the results of the Duncans Multiple Range test indicating significant differences of mean trace element concentrations among the three plant organs. The lines under these means join plant parts that are not significantly different from each other at the 95% The distribution of arsenic concentration, for confidence level. example, is significantly higher in roots than either leaves or stems in crested wheatgrass grown on fly ash and baked clay, while there are no significant differences in concentrations between the three plant tissue types growing at Houth Meadows.

Trace El	lement D	istr Ibu	tion in	Plants (	Grown on	the	Three	Substrates
	м	ean and	951 Co	nfldence	Interva	l, pr	in .	

Cluster Allert Times	Fly	Ash (Agropyron orls	tatum)	Baked C	itay (Agropyron or)	statum)	Houth	Meadow (Poa pratens	15)
	Roots	Leaves	Inflorescence	Roots	Leaves	Inflorescence	Roots	Leaves	Inflorescence
Arsenic	1.2\$3.4	1±0	140	3±1	1±1	121	0±0	0±0	110
Beryfflium	0.75‡0.26	0.04±0.01	0.0410.00	0.8410.26	0.0410.04	0.0320.04	0.5220.26	0.04±0.04	0.04±0.08
Boron	125423	98±82	216.8468.3	33.8±14.0	39260	61.0481.5	6.9 <b>±</b> 1.0	5.0±1.1	10.415.8
Cadmfun	0.36‡0.04	0.1040.08	0.1840.10	0.47±0.10	0.25±0.25	0.1840.23	0.52±0.13	0.14±0.03	0.2010.11
Chroslum	22.3±7.4	Λ.5±Ω.3	0.4±0.0	19.0±10.5	0.4±0.0	0.4±0.0	20.015.0	0.620.4	1.622.5
Cabalt	6.8±4.4	0.2\$0.2	0.1 <b>‡0.0</b>	10.0±0.8	0.1±0.0	0.240.2	4.0±2.6	0.2*0.2	0.210.2
Саррег	377.5±78.5	10.9#4.8	18.1±3.8	51.6#20.5	8.5±0.9	21.84.3	19.641.9	11.8±1.3	16.5\$3.8
fluorine	3#2	2#1	412	944	2±1	210	840	5±0	6‡2
Lead	6.4±1.0	0.410.2	1.3±0.2	4.1‡3.8	1.340.8	1.1±0.2	2.4±1.0	0.7±0.3	0.6±0.4
Manganase	155483	19±15	17±6	193#60	45127	30 <b>4</b> 4	3844121	36420	65\$73
Mercury (ppb)	36±26	35\$16	12.5±8.0	30#26	85±8}	26 <b>‡</b> 31	27#40	9.4#6	1 1±5
Motybdanum	18.7±6.3	3.8\$2.2	3.841.5	1.8±0.7	0.7±0.9	1.2±1.2	2.1±0.8	1.4\$1.4	1.1±0.8
Nickel	24.0±7.1	0.5±0.5	0.7\$0.5	29.949.2	0.1±0.0	0.2±0.2	14.4±1.3	0.710.3	1.0±0.4
Selenium	111	121	<b>11</b> }	121	111	121	120	1#0	1±0
Tin	1±1	1±1	1±1	121	121	121	1#0	1±0	1±0
Urantum	0.4\$0.5	0.1±0.0	0.0±0.1	0.6±0.2	0.1±0.1	0.120.1	0.1±0.1	0.110.0	0.1±0.0
Vanadium	69 <b>±</b> 27	1±1	1±0	78±26	110	;±!	33219	1±0	120
Zinc	42.4±21.8	19,149,6	22.7\$7.7	31.2±7.6	7.3±3	20.126.9	44.1223.4	15.7±3.1	17.7\$2.8

#### TABLE 3-7

4 1 1

••

L I

· 📋

.

Ĩ.

1 Å

t

Ë.

Ë

L

Ë

t
 -		

TALE 3-8 Trace Element Distribution in Plants Grown on Three Substrates; Mean Concentrations in ppm, Unless Otherwise Noted

		Fly Ash (Cri	ested Wheat	grass)			Baked Clay	(Crested	wheatgra	(\$)		Houth Meado	ws (Kenth	ucky Blue	7 ass)
Element	*Grouping	Substrate	Acots	Loaves	tof laresence	Grouping	Sustrate	Roots	Looves	Infloresence	Grouping	Substrate	Roots	Leaves	Inflo <del>resence</del>
Arsenic	Å	16	12	1	1	Å	15	t	1	1	٨	72	۱ 		!
Beryll¦um	*	2	0.75	0.04	0.03	*	2.3	0+84	0.03	0.03	*	2	0.52	0.04	0.03
Barran	8	162	125	98	218	в	8	м	39	61	8	9	7	5	10
Çadmilum	B	0.1	0.36	0.10	0.18	*	0.1	0.47	0.25	0.18	B	0.1	0.52	0.14	0.20
Chromi um	BC	103	22.3	0.5	0.4	B	102	19.0	0,4	0.4	8	81	20.0	0.6	1-6
Cabe††	B	10	6.8	0.2	0.1	B	17	10.0	0.1	0.2	B	17	4.0	0.2	0.2
Capper	U A	398	377.5	10.9	18.1	6 A B	54	51.6	8.5	21-8	8 A 8	48	1	9.6	11.8
Fluantne	в	109	3	2	4	C A	138	9	2	2	с •	250	8	5	6
Load	*	3.5	6.4	0.4	1.3	6 A 8	5	4.1	1.3	1.0	B A B	6	2.4	0.7	0.6
	А В С														
Manganese	A B	263	155	19	17	A B	476	193	45	30	A 9	495	<b>384</b>	38	65
Hencury (ppb)	*	51	*	35	12.5	۸ <b>•</b> В	23.8	30	85	25	<b>≜</b> • 6	<b>X</b> 0	27	9	11
Mo i yodamun	Å	6	18.7	3-8	3.8	A*	2	1.8	0.7	1.2	٨	3	2.1	1.4	1.1
lickel	A 8	47	24.0	0.5	0.7	Å	57	29.9	0-1	0.2	A 8	57	14.4	0.7	1-0
Selenium	Å	1	1	1	ı	Ă	1	1	1	1	*	1	1	1	1
[în		1	,	١	1	A	1	۱	1	1		;	1	I.	1
anium	۸ <b>•</b> В	1.7	0.4	0-1	0.1	*	1.8	0.6	0.1	0.1	Å	2.3	0.1	0.1	0.1
∛anadium	Å	225	69 	1	,	Å	750	78	1	1	*	204	33	1	t
	8					- "			<u> </u>		0				

Means with the same letter are not significantly different Alpha = .05 df = 3

2

A\* The difference between means should not be considered significant because the probability associated with the F statistic is less than 95%.

PART 4

DISCUSSION

# PART 4 - DISCUSSION

### CONTENTS

PAGE

4.1	TRACE ELEMENT HAZARD ASSESSMENTS	4-1
4.2	COMPARISON OF TRACE ELEMENT ACCUMULATIONS IN CRESTED WHEATGRASS AND ALFALFA	4-8
4.3	TRACE ELEMENT DISTRIBUTION	48

## TABLES

# Table

**کی** 

.....

1

4-1	Trace Elements Occurring in the Vegetation in Levels Outside the Normal Range for Plants	4-2
4-2	Trace Elements Occurring in Substrate Materials in Levels Outside the Normal Range for Soils	4-3
4-3	Trace Elements Occurring in the Vegetation in Levels That are Either Toxic to or Deficient for Plants or Livestock	4-5
44	Copper:Molybdenum Ratio in Plant Shoots and Their Potential Impact on Livestock	4-7
4-5	Comparison of Trace Element Accumulations in Crested Wheatgrass and Alfalfa	4-9
4-6	Trace Element Concentrations in Plant Shoots Relative to Substrate Concentration	4-13

### 4.1 TRACE ELEMENT HAZARD ASSESSMENTS

It is to be noted that trace element concentration found at Houth Meadows were not all within the normal or safe ranges reported in the literature (especially for boron and zinc). Because of this, the following discussion is based largely on comparisons with the literature rather than the significance of differences between waste materials and Houth Meadows.

Based on the results of the literature review, the trace element levels obtained by analysis of the alfalfa, crested wheatgrass, bluegrass and locoweed growing on the different substrates has indicated the following general conclusions: vegetation has below normal levels of Be and above normal levels of Cr; and only five elements (arsenic, selenium, tin, uranium and vanadium) occur in levels that are within the normal range for all species on all substrates. Other elements that are either in excess or below normal levels depend on the species involved and the substrate. The results are summarized in Tables 4-1 and 4-2. More detailed assessments are given in Appendix B (Tables B-1, B-2 and B-3).

The low levels of beryllium do not indicate a deficiency, since there is no information to show that beryllium is an essential element for either plants or animals. The high levels of chromium are difficult to assess, since the values represent total concentrations (not available) and the  $Cr^{3+}$  and  $Cr^{6+}$  ions have different mobilities. Studies have indicated that most of the  $Cr^{6+}$  in the soil solution remains mobile and therefore available to the plants. On the other hand, nearly all the  $Cr^{3+}$  is immobilized in soils with a moderate to high cation exchange capacity since it is readily absorbed or complexed (National Research Council of Canada 1976). Total chromium content of the soil is therefore a poor measure of plant available chromium. In general, poorly drained soils with decaying organic matter have more total chromium than well drained soils; about half the plant available chromium is contained in the clay fraction, even though clay may only contain 10-20% of the total chromium; and  $Cr^{3+}$  is most available in sandy soils with little decaying organic material.

There are data to show that 1-5 ppm of available  $Cr^{3+}$  or  $Cr^{6+}$  is the toxic threshold for a number of plant species, indicating that there is little difference in the action of either form of chromium on the plant. Once absorbed by the plants, both forms can interfere with the uptake of essential nutrients through their inhibiting effects on the functioning of the roots. There are, however, no recorded examples of deleterious plant responses which can be attributed directly to chromium toxicity (National Research Council of Canada 1976). The high levels of chromium therefore need not be a cause for concern, but should receive further study to ascertain the available concentrations involved.

4-1

### TABLE 4-1

Trace Elements Occurring in the Vegetation in Levels Outside the Normal Range for Plants

Substrate	Species	Ве	В	Cd	Cr	Co	E Cu	lemer F	nts Pb	Mn	Hg	Мо	Ni	Z
Fly Ash	CWG		<del>**</del> *		++							+	-	-
Coal Waste	CWG A				++	+ ++		 -					 +	-
Baked Clay	CWG A		-		<del>+++</del>	-	-	-		-	~	- +		-
Gritstone	CWG A				+ ++	-	-	-			-		-	-
Colluvium	CWG A				++ +++	-		-			-	 +++	-	-
Topsoil	CWG A			-	+++	-				-	+	- +	-	-
Houth Meadows	BG O			-	+ +++	-	-		-	-	++	 +		-

- CWG Crested Wheatgrass
- A Alfalfa
- BG Bluegrass
- 0 Locoweed.

--- definitely abnormal - mean and Confidence Limit (C.L.) below normal range abnormal - mean below normal range but C.L. extends into this range - slightly abnormal - mean inside range, C.L. extends below normal range +++ definitely abnormal - mean and C.L. above normal range ++ slightly abnormal - mean above normal range but C.L. extends into this range

+ slightly abnormal - mean inside range, but C.L. extends above normal range

4-2

### TABLE 4-2

					E	lement	S	_			
Substrate	As	В	C1:	Co	Cu	РЪ	Mn	Hg	Mo	Sn	U
Fly Ash		++			++	-			+		-
Coal Waste	+					-	-				
Baked Clay		<b>~~</b>				-	-				-
Gritstone						~~					~
Colluvium						-		-			~
Topsoil				<del>+++</del>				-			~
Houth Meadows											~

### Trace Elements Occurring in Substrate Materials in Levels Outside the Normal Range for Soils

--- definitely abnormal - mean and Confidence Limit (C.L.) below normal range adnormal - mean below normal range but C.L. extends into this range slightly abnormal - mean inside range, C.L. extends below normal range definitely abnormal - mean and C.L. above normal range slightly abnormal - mean above normal range but C.L. extends into this range slightly abnormal - mean inside range, but C.L. extends above normal range Often there is no clear case of a particular element having below or above normal levels. The same elements may be in excess in some substrates but deficient in others: such is the case with cobalt and molybdenum. The trend, however, is for most elements to be below normal levels, but this is not confined to a particular species except for boron in crested wheatgrass, where it is deficient in all cases except for fly ash. On baked clay the individual boron levels in the shoots are very variable, with a range of 21-127 ppm (Appendix A). The tendency is for the boron to be present in concentrations below normal.

The trace elements which occur at concentrations deficient or toxic to plants or animals are listed in Table 4-3. Boron may be widely deficient in grasses, but toxic in those growing on fly ash. Zinc will be in levels deficient to livestock which feed on vegetation growing on all substrates, and manganese and molybdenum are present in insufficient amounts on several of the waste materials. This is not unusual for central British Columbia rangelands (Van Ryswick 1982).

Some of the deficiencies and toxicities noted may be an artifact of the method used to derive the normal range found in soils, and a more thorough literature search may produce more data. This is particularly true for the levels of beryllium, tin and uranium in plants, as the figures for each element given in Tables 3-1 and 3-2 are based on a single reference. It seems unlikely, however, that this would result in any major changes in conclusions drawn. Had earlier workers shown or suspected that these elements were an integral component in the physiology of plants, it is probable that more data would be available in the literature. It is probably safe to infer, therefore, that the levels of arsenic, beryllium, selenium, tin, uranium and vanadium are within normal ranges for all species in all substrates and are neither toxic nor deficient.

Crested wheatgrass and bluegrass will possibly show deficiency symptoms for the following elements:

- 1) boron on all substrates except fly ash (where there may be toxic levels) and baked clay;
- 2) manganese on fly ash; and
- 3) molybdenum on coal waste, gritstone and colluvium.

Alfalfa is unlikely to show any toxicity or deficiency symptoms on any of the substrates. Although the mean values are sometimes greater than, or less than, the normal value, they do not approach the critical toxic or deficiency levels.

				]	Element	
Substrate Fly Ash Coal Waste Baked Clay Gritstone Colluvium			B	Mn	Mo	Zn
Fly Ash	Plant	CWG	+++	~		
	Livestock	CWG				
Coal Waste	Plant	CWG			~-	
		Α				
	Livestock	CWG				-
		<b>A</b>				
Baked Clay	Plant	CWG				
		A				
	Livestock	CWG				
		A				
Gritstone	Plant	CWG			~	
		A				
	Livestock	CWG				
		A				~_
Colluvium	Plant	CWG				
		A				
	Livestock	CWG			~~~	~~
		A				
Topsoil	Plant	CWG				
	- · · ·	A				
	Livestock	CWG			-	
		A				~~
Houth Meadow	Plant	BG				
		0				
	Livestock	BG				
		0				

## Trace Elements Occurring in the Vegetation in Levels That are Either Toxic to or Deficient for Plants or Livestock

TABLE 4-3

Deficient, mean below deficient level but C.L. extends above --Slightly deficient, mean above deficient level but C.L. extends below -+++ Very toxic, mean and C.L. above toxic level ++ Toxic, mean above toxic level but C.L. extends below + Slightly toxic, mean below toxic level but C.L. extends above. CWG Crested Wheatgrass Α Alfalfa BG Bluegrass 0 Locoweed

As shown in Table 4-3, livestock grazed on pastures of alfalfa or crested wheatgrass may show zinc deficiency symptoms (except in alfalfa pastures on coal waste). Manganese deficiency symptoms may be encountered in cattle grazed on crested wheatgrass on fly ash, colluvium and topsoil, and molybdenum deficiency symptoms may be found in cattle grazed on crested wheatgrass on coal waste, gritstone, colluvium and topsoil.

Toxicity symptoms may be encountered in livestock grazing on any species on any substrate but are unlikely to manifest themselves, because element levels are, in general, well below the toxic levels. As indicated below, however, the physiology of trace elements in diets is not well understood (Table 4-3). In the case of molybdenum, the copper/molybdenum ratio is very important (Erdman et al. 1978). Five ppm is the approximate upper limit tolerated by cattle, although values of 2 ppm have been considered important in molybdenum-induced hypocuprosis. It is possible that it is not the levels of molybdenum per se that cause the development of hypocuprosis symptoms, but rather the copper/molybdenum ratio. Hypocuprosis symptoms result from abnormally low levels of copper and moderately high levels of molybdenum; molybdenosis symptoms result from abnormally high molybdenum levels and normal copper levels. A recommended copper:molybdenum ratio for cattle is 6:1 (Erdman et al. 1978), although Buckley (1982) recommends 4:1. Assuming that the copper levels are normal, a ratio of 2:1 will probably result in the development of molybdenosis symptoms. The copper: molybdenum ratio varies for each species in each substrate and different symptoms will develop depending on the ratio (Table 4-4).

The syndromes produced by dietary molybdenum in ruminants are consequently very complex, not only in their biochemical pathogenesis but in the variety of effects produced, both che ical and clinical. Α syndrome may have two interrelated components: copper deficiency per se arising from the interference with copper absorption as a result of the interaction of molybdenum and sulphur in the alimentary canal; and molybdenosis arising from high molybdenum intakes and normal copper Molybdenum and copper affect copper metebolism by interacting intakes. in the rumen to form molybdates. These compounds decrease the availability of dietary copper and, if absorbed, they impede the metabolism of tissue copper and inhibit copper enzymes. Thus, because of the complexity of these reactions it is difficult to draw any firm conclusions as to the likely effects on grazing cattle absorbing molybdenum and copper from plants in the field - too little of the different interaction is known at present (Underwood 1971, Buckley It must be emphasized, therefore, that Table 4-4 outlines 1982). possible symptoms, not necessarily probable symptoms.

Other interactions between the different elements are less easy to define in terms of ratios. Many interact with each other and can, in some cases, partially substitute for each other in certain physiological reactions. Some of the more important interactions between plant nutrients (micronutrients and macronutrients) are;

### TABLE 4-4

Substrate	Plant Species	Cu Level	Mo Level	Cu:Mo Ratio	Possible Symptoms in Livestock
		ррш	ррш		, <u>, ,   ,   ,   ,   ,   ,   ,   ,   ,  </u>
Fly Ash	Wheatgrass Alfalfa	12.2	4.6	2.7	Molybdenosis*
Coal Waste	Wheatgrass	12.5	0.3	41.7	Copper toxicity**
	Alfalfa	8.2	1.4	5.9	-
Baked Clay	Wheatgrass	14.2	2.2	6.5	
	Alfalfa	7.6	4.2	1.8	Hypocuprosis***
Sandstone	Wheatgrass	11.3	0.3	37.7	Copper toxicity
	Alfalfa	8.1	3.2	2.5	Hypocuprosis
Colluvium	Wheatgrass	11.9	0.2	59.5	Copper toxicity
	Alfalfa	9.6	9.5	1.0	Molybdenosis
Topsoil	Wheatgrass	10.0	0.6	16.7	Copper toxicity
•	Alfalfa	9.6	3.3	2.9	Molybdenosis
Houth Meadows	Bluegrass	0.2	0.7	0.3	Hypocuprosis
	Locoweed	9.6	3.6	2.7	Molybdenosis

Copper: Molybdenum Ratios in Plant Shoots and Their Potential Impact on Livestock

Normal Cu level in vegetation: 11 ppm Normal Mo level in vegetation: 1.7 ppm

- \* Molybdenosis symptoms result from abnormally high levels of molybdenum and normal levels of copper.
- \*\* Copper toxicity symptoms result from abnormally high levels of copper and abnormally low levels of molybdenum.
- \*\*\* Hyposcuprosis symptoms result from abnormally low levels of copper and moderately high levels of nolybdenum.

4⊸7

- zinc with phosphorus, copper, nitrogen, magnesium and iron;
- iron with phosphorus, manganese, molybdenum and copper;
- copper with phosphorus and molybdenum;
- molybdenum with phosphorus and sulphur; and
- boron with calcium.

No doubt similar interactions between elements exist in livestock and wildlife. These are less well documented because of the complexity of the interactions as in the copper/molybdenum/sulphur interaction.

In cases where deficiency symptoms result, these can be corrected by adding the deficient element as a feed supplement. Element supplements to salt blocks are usually not used, because of chemical reactions with salt ions. In cases of the element being in deficient quantities in the plant, it is added as a fertilizer (Buckley 1982). Such additions of boron are commonly applied to forage crops in the British Columbia interior every three to five years (Van Ryswick 1982).

### 4.2 COMPARISON OF TRACE ELEMENT ACCUMULATIONS IN CRESTED WHEATGRASS AND ALFALFA

To determine whether creasted wheatgrass and alfalfa accumulate significantly different quantitites of trace elements when grown on the same material, a paired Student's t-test was performed using the 1981 concentrations. Values were compared from crested wheatgrass and alfalfa samples grown on coal waste, baked clay, gritstone, colluvium and topsoil. Fly ash was not included in the comparison as data for alfalfa grown on this material were not available. The results of this comparison are shown in Table 4-5. The mean value for each element is given for both crested wheatgrass and alfalfa, along with the respective Student's t value and the level of significance associated with this value. From this comparison it is evident that alfalfa accumulates approximately twice the levels of boron, chromium and manganese, and six times the levels of molybdenum and nickel; crested wheatgrass accumulates significantly more copper than does alfalfa when grown on these All other trace elements analysed do not vary significantly materials. between these two plant species.

### 4.3 TRACE ELEMENT DISTRIBUTION

The concentration of trace elements in plants is dependent on four basic interdependent factors;

TABLE	4-5
-------	-----

	Crested		Calculated	
Element	Wheatgrass:	Alfalfa	tt	Significance
Arsenic	0.70	0.82	1.63	N.S.
Beryllium	0.03	0.03	1.49	N.S.
Boron	24.38	50.4	2.62	+
Cadmium	0.24	0.17	1.76	N.S.
Chromium	0.52	1.02	7.07	**
Cobalt	0.25	0.48	1.33	N.S.
Copper	11.98	8.62	3.25	*
Fluorine	2.56	4.2	1.11	N.S.
Lead	1.44	1.10	1.33	N.S.
Manganese	37.6	83.0	3.21	*
Mercury	0.03	0.02	1.33	N.S.
Molybdenum	0.72	4.32	2.47	+
Nickel	0.30	2.0	3.42	*
Selenium	0.7	0.7	1.63	N.S.
Tin	0.76	0.78	0.34	N.S.
Uranium	0.07	0.08	2.05	N.S.
Vanadium	1.2	1.0	0.99	N.S.
Zinc	17.1	21.1	1.3	N.S.

### Comparison of Trace Element Accumulation in Crested Wheatgrass and Alfalfa Shoots

\*\* Very significant (t>4.604, 99% confidence at df=4) difference between
species

\* Significant (t>2.776, 95% confidence at df=4)

+ Marginally significant (t>2.122, 90% confidence at df=4)

N.S. Not Significant (t<2.132, 90% confidence at df=4)

- the genus, species or strain of plant;
- the soil type in which the plant has grown;
- the conditions during growth; and
- the stage of maturity of the plant.

Not all elements occur in equal concentrations in all parts of Copper, for example, usually occurs in all plant tissues but the plant. concentrates in the leaves and seeds. Zinc and vanadium also occur in all plant tissues but usually vary in concentration in the following order from most to least: roots, stem, leaves, and fruits. Molybdenum, lead and chromium generally occur in greater quantities in the roots than in stems, leaves or seeds (Sauchelli 1969, Pratt 1973a, Brewer 1973b, National Research Council of Canada 1973 1976.) Jones (1959) and Cannon (1976) reported concentrations of lead in roots with limited translocation to the shoots. Arsenic has also been reported to be more concentrated in plant roots than in above-ground portions (Liebig 1973). As a result, analysis of the above-ground parts of plants for arsenic usually provides little information on plant toxicity, since root rot as a result of arsenic toxicity will take place before the symptoms are manifested above-ground. Cobalt concentrates in the root nodules of legumes, but most other plants have greater concentrations of cobalt in the above-ground parts (Vanselow 1973). The generally high concentration of fluorine in the above ground parts of plants compared to the roots has been interpreted to indicate the atmosphere is the principal source of fluorine for plants (Brewer 1973a), although some fluorine is taken up from the soil.

The distribution of beryllium, chromium, cobalt, manganese, nickel, selenium, tin, uranium and vanadium does not differ from the plants grown on the two waste materials tested (fly ash and baked clay) and those grown at Houth Meadows. However, vanadium concentrations in roots on the two waste materials tend to be higher than those at Houth Meadows. The distribution of arsenic, boron, cadmium, copper, fluorine, lead, mercury, molybdenum and zinc in the plant varies between substrates (Table 3-7). Boron concentrations are higher in the infloresence than either the roots or leaves on all substrates. This is possibly because boron is readily translocated through the xylem but on arriving in the apices, it becomes one of the least mobile of the elements (Mortvedt et al. 1972). The high concentration of this element in the seedhead  $\overline{of}$ crested wheatgrass plants grown on fly ash may be due to the relatively high concentrations of boron found in this substrate (Monenco Consultants Although 218 ppm of boron concentrated in the Pacific Limited 1981). infloresence is higher than the upper limit in plants before toxicity symptoms occur, no such symptoms were noted in the plants in the field. There are no data available to determine whether this level of boron is toxic to livestock.

Cadmium uptake and accumulation by crested wheatgrass differs between fly ash and baked clay. The roots, leaves and inflorescences of plants growing on fly ash all have different levels of cadmium. The level of cadmium in plants growing on baked clay is statistically the same in leaves and inflorescences and is different from the level in the roots.

Grass plants grown on fly ash and baked clay accumulate much higher levels of copper in roots than the comparable tissue at Houth Meadows: 377.5 and 51.6 ppm fly ash and baked clay, respectively, compared to 19.6 ppm at Houth Meadows, respectively. This may be a result of the higher copper levels in these two substrates (Table 3-5), or because crested wheatgrass may concentrate copper more than does bluegrass. Copper levels in the above ground portions of these plants do not vary significantly between substrates.

The fluorine level in the crested wheatgrass grown on fly ash is in equal concentrations throughout the plant, but for the plants growing on baked clay and in the native soil of Houth Meadows it occurs in a higher concentration in the roots than in the leaves and inflorescences. Just how much these observations mean is debatable because the atmosphere is considered the principal source of fluoride for plants. In addition, Davison et al. (1979) have shown that the fluorine content of forage varies during the year, and that there are significant changes in fluoride content from day to day. They further question the use of an single sample for the analysis of plant fluoride content.

The lead concentration of the plants growing on the baked clay and the Houth Meadows is highest in the roots and is found in equal concentrations in the leaves and inflorescences. In the tissue taken from plants on the fly ash there are different levels of lead in the different parts of the plant. In all cases however, there is a tendency for lead to accumulate in the roots, a trend which reflects the typical situation.

Mercury levels in leaves from crested wheatgrass plants grown on baked clay are much higher than in any other portion of the plant but are still below the upper limit of concentration in plants of 180 ppb at which toxic levels accummulate in livestock (Underwood 1971). The accumulation of mercury in the crested wheatgrass and bluegrass is not the same on the three substrates. This may be a result of species differences but the mercury accumulation in crested wheatgrass alone is also different on fly ash and baked clay.

Molybdenum concentrations tend to be very high in crested wheatgrass roots grown on fly ash. Levels in above ground tissues are also higher than those found in plants grown on baked clay and at Houth Meadows, however, they are below the upper limit of concentration in plants at which toxic levels accumulate in livestock (Underwood 1971).

Manganese levels in roots from plants sampled at Houth Meadows are above the upper limit for plant toxicity defined by Martin and Matocha (1973) but within the limit defined by Labanauskas (1973). Zinc in all three cases is found in greater concentration in the roots than in the inflorescences or leaves. In the plants grown on the baked clay the zinc accumulation is higher in the inflorescences than in the leaves; in the plants grown on the fly ash and in the natural soil of the Houth Meadows the leaf and inflorescence concentrations are equal. With increasing maturity the levels of zinc may increase in the leaves and the more typical situation be adopted in the plants growing on the baked clay. At the time of sampling however, there was a tendency for the inflorescences to have higher zinc concentrations than the leaves, although this difference is not significant except on baked clay.

Table 4-6 shows the ratio of the average shoot concentrations and average soil concentrations of each element, as calculated for each substrate. Although these accumulation percentages vary widely, they are generally of the same magnitude for each element for all species across all substrates. Interpretation of these values must be based on the realization that the soil values represent total concentrations, of which only a small portion is available to plants. Elements which are shown as being concentrated (ratios greater than 100%), therefore, are most assuredly being accumulated. Elements which are indicated as being excluded from plants (ratio less than 100%), however, cannot confidently be assumed to be excluded from plant shoots.

Boron and cadmium are noticeably accumulated by all plants growing on all substrates: the only exception is Alfalfa on baked clay (where cadmium was not concentrated). An apparent "active" exclusion of elements (as indicated by shoot to soil concentrations arbitrarily set at less than 10%) may be occurring for arsenic, beryllium, chromium, cobalt, fluorine, nickel and vanadium. The remaining elements showed no marked deviation between soil and plant shoot value.

The physiological explanations for the accumulation or exclusion of certain elements are beyond the scope of this report. The ratios' reported in Table 4-6 are largely useful for roughly predicting expected elemental concentrations in plants on the basis of soil analyses.

#### TABLE 4-6

#### Trace Element Concentrations in Plant Shoots Relative to Substrate Concentration (\$)

	Fly Ash	Coal	Coal Waste			ed Cłay	Baked Citay				Co	l luvtum		То	opsol I		Houth Meadows		
Element	Crested Whoatgrass	Crested Wheatgrass	Al fai fa	n Mean	Orested Wheatgrass	Al fal fe	a Mean	Orested Wheatgrass	Al fol fa	Mean	Crested Wieatgræs	At fal fa	Mean	Orested Wheatgrass	Al fal fa	Mean	Bluegrass	Locoweou	1 Mean
Arsonic	4.4	3	ō	3	4.1	4.7	4.7	5.8	5.8	5.8	6.4	9.1	7.6	5.8	8.3	6.9	*25	25	25
Beryllium	1.3	3	4	3.5	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.3	2	1.7	2.7	4.0	3.4	*2.0	3.0	*2.5	*2.0	4.0	30
Boron	130.6	208	533	570.5	947	700	770	182	470	326	135	1120	632	277	1042	798	416	1000	708
Cadmium	250	250	220	235	140	40	90	270	230	250	220	240	230	360	130	245	360	180	270
Chr:oml um	0.65	0-8	1.4	8.9	0.4	0.9	0.7	0.6	1.1	0.9	1.2	2.0	1.6	2.5	6.9	4.7	0.5	1.6	1.1
Cobalt	1	3	12	7.5	1.2	1.2	1.2	1-8	2.4	13	1.2	1.8	1.5	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.1	2.2	1.7
Copper	3.1	23	15	19	26	14	20	23	17	20	22	18	20	20	19	20	30	37	37.5
Fluorine	3.7	0.4	1.2	0.6	3.4	2.9	2.2	1.6	2.8	2.2	0.4	2.3	1.4	1.8	0.4	1.1	2.7	2.8	2.9
Lead	35	11	20	15.5	22	10	16	33	28	30	11	7.5	9.3	27	12	19.5	<del>63</del>	17	35
Manganese	5.7	25	72	48.5	5.9	12	9.0	12	22	17	5.5	14	9.8	4.1	6.5	5.3	5+4	14	9.7
Marcury	56.8	28	28	20	150	50	100	53	80	67	44	16	30	41	70	55.5	64	207	15.5
Motybdenum	77	15	10	42.5	110	210	160	10	107	59	10	475	243	30	165	97.5	60	360	210
Nickel	0.85	1	9	5	0.5	1.8	1.2	0.5	4.0	2.3	0.2	2.3	1.3	0.2	2.4	1.3	0.6	11	5.8
Selenium	*100	<b>*</b> 100	*100	*100	*100	*100	*100	*100	*100	*100	<b>100</b>	*100	*100	*100	*100	*100	*100	143	14 3
Tin	•70	*70	*80	75	*90	*70	*80	*80	*70	*75	70	70	70	*8	f10	59	*8.0	90	49
Uranlum	47	*50	*50	50	*39	3.9	21	30	3.5	17	50	7.1	29	6.2	7.7	7.0	*7.3	9.1	8.2
Variadium	0.44	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.3	1.7	1.5
Zinc	47	40	57	48.5	26	38	32	36	25	31	17	22	20	et 👘	19	15	17	32	24.5

\* Values calculated with figures below detection limit in plant tissue, therefore a possibly high estimate.

t.

ł

PART 5

CONCLUSIONS

# PART 5 - CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

# CONTENTS

		PAGE
5.1	CONCLUSIONS	5-1
5.2	RECOMMENDATIONS	5-4

### TABLES

# Table

•

5-1 Rating of Substrate Deficiencies and Toxicities
---

### 5.1 CONCLUSIONS

All waste materials being evaluated for reclamation at Hat Creek have been compared with natural soil of Houth Meadows for trace element concentrations. Significant differences between the substrates were as follows:

- Fly ash had significantly higher concentrations of the following elements: arsenic, beryllium, boron, copper, molybdenum, nickel, uranium and vanadium;
- 2. Baked clay had significantly higher concentrations of arsenic, beryllium, copper and nickel;
- Colluvium had significantly higher concentrations of cobalt, copper, lead, nickel and zinc;
- 4. Gritstone had significantly higher concentrations of beryllium, cobalt, nickel, uranium and vanadium;
- 5. Trench A topsoil had significantly higher concentrations of cobalt, fluorine, nickel and zinc; and
- 6. Coal waste differed significantly from Houth Meadows topsoil in terms of its concentrations of arsenic and mercury (higher than Houth Meadows) and chromium and manganese (lower than Houth Meadows).

It was found that the concentrations of cadmium, selenium and tin do not vary significantly between substrates.

A similarity in elemental concentrations with undisturbed rangeland does not indicate that the levels of trace elements in a particular waste material are not potentially dangerous, since the native topsoil tends to have boron, manganese, molybdenum or zinc deficiencies The results of comparisons to reported deficient and toxic as well. levels for plant and livestock growth are summarized for these critical elements in Tables 4-3 and 5-1, and are more relevant than comparisons between the substrates and native topsoil. Boron from fly ash is the only element found in concentrations toxic to vegetation, although no toxicity symptoms were noticed in vegetation sampled in 1981. Although chromium is accumulated in plants to above normal levels, the questions of ionic composition and availability make it difficult to assess whether these levels would be toxic to wildlife and livestock. Chromium levels have been rated as not being dangerous, but further work needs to be done on this element.

TABLE	5-1
-------	-----

			Element			Ratings		
Substrate	Species	B Blant/Animal	Mn Plant/Animal	Mo Plant/Animal	Zn Blant/Animal	Number of Deficiency	Number of Toxicity	Total
	species					(-)	(+)	
Fly ash	Wheatgras	58 +++				5	3	8
Coal waste	Wheatgras Alfalfa	35			-	5	0	5
Baked clay	Wheatgras Alfalfa	<b>3</b> S				4	0	4
Gritstone	Wheatgras Alfalfa	38			-	8	0	8
Colluvium	Wheatgras Alfalfa	35				12	0	12
Topsoil	Wheatgras Alfalfa	38		-		11	0	11
Houth Meadows	Bluegrass Locoweed	3				6	0	6

---- Very deficient, mean and Confidence Limits (C.L.) below deficient level

-- Deficient, mean below deficient level but C.L. extends above

- Slightly deficient, mean above deficient level but C.L. extends below

+ Slightly toxic, mean below toxic level but C.L. extends above

++ Toxic, mean above toxic level but C.L. extends below

+++ Very toxic, mean and C.L. above toxic level

Note: No data on molybdenum in animals is given for wheatgrass on fly ash, alfalfa on topsoil and locoweed in Houth Meadows because the Cu: Mo ratio may be more important than the actual value. A crude scale for rating the different materials was developed (Table 5-1) based on a simple summation of the number and extent of element deficiencies or toxicities exhibited by each substrate. In terms of overall trace element hazards therefore, the materials are grouped in the following order (from worst to best):

> Colluvium Trench A Topsoil Fly Ash Gritstone Houth Meadows Topsoil Coal Waste Baked Clay

Additional criteria such as the ability to support plant growth (Monenco Consultants Pacific Limited 1982) must of course be used in assessing the overall suitability of materials for reclamation purposes. In general, none of the materials pose any trace element problems which could not be overcome through treatment and proper management. None of the potential deficiency problems are serious from a practical point of view, especially since they tend to occur naturally on undisturbed materials throughout the region.

Although no toxic levels of copper or molybdenum were found to accumulate in plant shoots, levels which would be toxic to cattle were found in crested wheatgrass roots growing on fly ash. Furthermore, because of complex copper and molybdenum interrelationships, the levels in vegetation are such that toxicity or deficiency symptoms may appear in cattle grazing solely on that material. Molybdenosis may arise from eating solely vegetation growing on fly ash, colluvium, Trench A topsoil or Houth Meadows topsoil. Copper toxicity may come from plants grown on coal waste, gritstone, colluvium or Trench A topsoil. Hypocuprosis may result from vegetation grown on baked clay or gritstone.

In terms of element distribution and accumulation, it was found that alfalfa concentrated boron, chromium, manganese, molybdenum and nickel more than crested wheatgrass; conversely, crested wheatgrass accumulated copper. Boron and cadmium were concentrated in plant shoots, at up to ten times the total concentration found in the soil. Distribution within the plant typically showed higher concentrations in the roots, while concentrations in the leaves and inflorescences are lower and not significantly different from each other (except for boron, which tends to accumulate in inflorescences).

All evaluations of plant uptake and accumulation in relationship to soil concentrations are greatly limited by the fact that total concentrations have been assayed for, and these values do not necessarily reflect the amount available to plants.

5-3

### 5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

Since there are no severe trace element hazards, no special management techniques need be applied to ameliorate their potential danger. To offset the boron toxicity of fly ash, it can be buried beneath other materials or treated with sulphur and manure. Possible manganese, molybdenum, zinc and copper deficiencies and possible copper toxicity can be avoided by never feeding cattle solely on forage from the Hat Creek or interior B.C. area. A more realistic alternative is to provide mineral supplements to their diet, but appropriate blends and doses would have to be carefully studied first. Copper to molybdemum ratios, their effects on cattle, the effects of sulphur on copper availability and methods of controlling these ratios deserve particular attention for further investigation.

Further field sampling programs, literature reviews and laboratory studies should emphasize the following elements: boron, cadmium, chromium, copper, manganese, molybdenum, selenium and zinc. All future soil samples should be analyzed by methods which more closely estimate available concentrations of elements, and all should measure the pH of the soil samples since this very often can be used as a guide in determining the availability of elements. More sensitive analytical techniques are needed to properly evaluate selenium, as methods used in this analysis can not detect the low concentrations at which deficiencies occur. Buckley (1982) has suggested that hydride generation is the most efficient method of selenium detection. Further investigation of the literature would be worthwhile in order to better determine what levels of chromium, fluorine, lead, mercury, nickel, tin, uranium and vanadium are toxic to plants, what levels of cobalt and selenium are deficient to plants, and what levels of beryllium, boron and uranium are toxic to animals.

As far as levels of trace elements are concerned, the baked clay material would most likely provide the best substrate for plants. Colluvium is likely to be the worst substrate , and in between these two extremes lie the other substrate, grouped from worst to best: Trench A topsoil, fly ash, gritstone, Houth Meadows topsoil and coal waste. This rating should be combined with productivity ratings (Monenco Consultants Pacific Limited 1982) to select the most suitable materials with which to surface the waste dumps. Such an assessment would have to weigh potential trace element problems against productivity, and any resulting recommendations would have to be based on cost-benefit assumptions and risk analyses that are beyond the scope of this document.

Any additional studies into the physiology of trace element uptake, accumulation or exclusion should be done on the basis of controlled experiments. Once quantitative estimates of flow rates and physiological responses are desired, a sampling survey approach is not as useful as an experimental approach conducted in a controlled laboratory setting.

- 2
- -
- \_
- \_
- -
- -
- \_
- \_
- -
- -
- -
- \_
- -
  - .
  - -
  - 14
  - •

REFERENCES

### REFERENCES

Adriano, D.C. and P.L. Brisbin (eds.). 1978. Environmental Chemistry and Cycling Processes. Proceedings of a Symposium held at Augusta, Georgia, April 28 - May 1, 1976. Department of the Environment, Symposium Series 45. National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia.

Andersson, A. 1967. Mercury in the soil. Grundforbattring 20:95-105.

- Antonovics, S., A.D. Bradshaw and R.G. Turner. 1971. Heavy metal tolerance in plants. Adv. Ecol. Res. 7:1-85.
- Aubert, H. and M. Pinta. 1977. Trace Elements in Soils. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam. 395 pp.
- Beckett, P.H.T. and R.D. Davis. 1977. Upper critical levels of toxic elements in plants. New Phytol. 79:95-106.
- Bidwell, R.G.S. 1974. Plant Physiology. MacMillan Publishing Co., Inc., New York. 643 pp.
- Blincoe, C. and T.L. Lambert. 1972. Micronutrient trace element composition of crested wheatgrass. J. Range Manage 25:128-130.
- Bollard, E.G. and G.W. Butler. 1966. Mineral nutrition of plants. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 17:77-112.
- Bomke, A.A. 1980. Fertilizers and soil amendments in mine reclamation. <u>In:</u> British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources and the Mining Associates of British Columbia (eds). Proceedings of the Forth Annual British Columbia Mine Reclamation Symposium. Vernon, British Columbia.

- Bradford, G.R. 1973. Boron. <u>In</u>: H.D. Chapman (ed.). Diagnostic Criteria for Plants and Soils. H.D. Chapman, Riverside, California. pp. 33-61.
- Brewer, R.F. 1973a. Fluorine. <u>In</u>: H.D. Chapman (ed.). Diagnostic Criteria for Plants and Soils. H.D. Chapman, Riverside, California. pp. 180-196.
- Brewer, R.F. 1973b. Lead. <u>In</u>: H.D. Chapman (ed.). Diagnostic Criteria for Plants and Soils. H.D. Chapman, Riverside, California. pp. 213-217.
- British Columbia Hydro. 1979. Hat Creek Project, 1978 Environmental Field Programs. British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, Vancouver, B.C.
- British Columbia Hydro. 1980. Hat Creek Project, 1979 Environmental Field Programs. British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, Vancouver, B.C.
- British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority. 1981. Hat Creek Project; Environmental Impact Statement. British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority, Vancouver, E.C.
- Brown, J.C. Genetic potentials for solving problems of soil mineral stress: Iron deficiency and boron toxicity in alkaline soils. <u>In</u>: M.J. Wright (ed.). Plant Adaptation to Mineral Stress in Problem Soils. A special publication of Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York. pp. 83-94.
- Buckley, W. 1982. Personal Communication, January 18, 1982. Research Scientist, Agriculture Canada. Agassiz, B.C.

- Buckman, H.O. and N.C. Brady. 1969. The Nature and Properties of Soils 7th edition. The Macmillan Company. 653 pp.
- Cannon, H.L. 1970. Trace element excesses and deficiencies in some geochemical provinces of the United States. In: D.D. Hemphill (ed.). Trace Substances in Environmental Health - III. Proceedings of University of Missouri's 3rd Annual Conference on Trace Substances in Environmental Health. University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri. pp. 21-44.
- Cannon, H.L. 1976. Lead in vegetation. <u>In</u>: Lovering, T.G. (ed.). Lead in the Environment. Geological Professional Paper 957. U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington.
- Chapman, H.D. 1967. Plant analysis values suggestive of nutrient status of selected crops. <u>In</u>: G.W. Hardy (ed.). Soil Testing and Plant Analysis. Part II. Plant Analysis. Number 2 in the Soil Science Society of American (SSSA) Special Publication Series. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin. pp. 77-92.
- Chapman, H.D. (ed.). 1973. Diagnostic Criteria for Plants and Soil. H.D. Chapman, Riverside, California. 793 pp.
- Chmiel, K.M. and R.M. Harrison. 1981. Lead content of small mammals at a roadside site in relation to the pathways of exposure. Sci. Total Environ. 17:145-154.
- Church, D.C., G.E. Smith, J.P. Fontenot and A.T. Rallston. 1971. Digestive Physiology and Nutrition of Ruminants - Vol. 2 -Nutrition. Oregon State University.

- Davis, R.D. and P.H.T. Beckett. 1978. Upper critical levels of toxic elements in plants. II. Critical levels of copper in young barley, wheat, rape, lettuce and ryegrass, and of nickel and zinc in young barley and ryegrass. New Phytol. 80:23-32.
- Davison, A.W. J. Blakemore, and C. Craggs. 1979. The fluoride content of forage as an environmental quality standard for the protection of livestock. Environ. Pollnt. 20:279-296.
- Dudas, M.J. and J. Pawluk. 1976. The nature of mercury in Chernozemic and Luvisolic soils in Alberta. Can. J. Soil Sci. 56:413-423.
- Duncan, D.B. 1955. Multiple range and multiple F tests. Biometrics 11:1-42.
- Eaton, F.M. 1944. Deficiency, toxicity, and accumulation of boron in plants. J. Agric. Res. 69:237-277.
- Egan, A.R. 1975. The diagnosis of trace element deficiencies in the grazing ruminant. In: D.J.D. Nicholas and A.R. Egan (eds.). Trace Elements in Soil-Plant-Animal Systems. Academic Press Inc., New York. pp. 371-384.
- Erdman, J.A. 1978. Potential toxicologic problems associated with strip mining. Proceedings of the Fourth Biennial Veterinary Toxicology Workshop. Utah State University.
- Erdman, J.A. and R.J. Ebens. 1979. Element content of crested wheatgrass grown on reclaimed coal spoils and on soils nearby. J. Range Manage. 32(2):159-161.

**R−4** 

- Erdman, J.A. and L.P. Gough. 1979. Mineral composition of wheat from coal-mine spoils and farms in the Northern Great Plains, U.S.A. <u>In:</u> M.K. Wali (ed.). Ecology and Coal Resource Development, Volume Two. International Congress for Energy and the Ecosystem, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks. Pergamon Press, New York. pp. 878-886.
- Erdman, J.A., R.J. Ebens and A.A. Case. 1978. Molybdenosis: a potential problem in ruminants grazing on coal mine spoils. J. Range Manage. 31:34-46.
- Ganje, T.J. 1973. Selenium. <u>In</u>: H.D. Chapman (ed.) Diagnostic Criteria for Plants and Soils. H.D. Chapman, Riverside, California. pp. 394-404.
- Goodall, D.S. and F.G. Gregory. 1947. Chemical Composition of Plants as an Index of their Nutritional Status. Imp. Bur. Hort. Plantation Crops Tech. Comm. 17. 167 pp.
- Gough, L.P., R.C. Severson and J.M. McNeal. 1979. Extractable and total-soil element concentrations favorable for native plant growth in the Northern Great Plains. <u>In</u>: M.K. Wali (ed.). Ecology and Coal Resource Development, Volume Two. International Congress for Energy and the Ecosystem, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks. Pergamon Press, New York. pp. 859-869.
- Grant, A.B. 1981. Observations on analysis of selenium in plant and animal tissues and in soil samples. N. Zealand Journal of Science 24:65-79.
- Hardy, G.W. (ed.). 1967. Soil Testing and Plant Analysis. Part II. Plant Analysis. Numer 2 in the Soil Science of America (SSSA) Special Publication Series. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin.

- Harve, G.N. 1970. The mineral composition of lichen related to studies of trace element metabolism in reindeer. <u>In</u>: C.F. Mills (ed.). Trace Element Metabolism in Animals. Proceedings of WAAP/IBP International Symposium, Aberdeen, Scotland, July 1969. E. & S. Livingstone, Edinburgh. pp. 380-382.
- Healy, W.B. 1974. Ingested soil as a source of elements to grazing animals. <u>In</u>: W.G. Hoekstra, J.W. Suttie H.E. Ganther and W. Mertz. (eds.). Trace Element Metabolism in Animals-2. University Park Press, Baltimore, Maryland. pp. 448-450.
- Heit, M. 1977. A Review of Current Information on Some Ecological and Health Related Aspects of the Release of Trace Metals into the Environment Associated with the Combustion of Coal. Health and Safety Laboratory, Energy Research and Development Administration Information Centre, Oak Ridge, Tennessee. 51 pp.
- Hemphill, D.D. (ed.). 1980. Trace Substances in Environmental Health III. Proceedings of Unviersity of Missouri's 3rd Annual Conference on Trace Substances in Environmental Health. University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri. 391 pp.
- Hodgson, J.F. 1970. Chemistry of trace elements in soils with reference to trace element concentration in plants. <u>In</u>: D.D. Hemphill (ed.). Trace Substances in Environmental Health - III. Proceedings of University of Missouri's 3rd Annual Conference on Trace Substances in Environmental Health. Unversity of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri. pp. 45-58.
- Hoekstra, W.G., J.W. Suttie, H.E. Ganther and W. Mertz. (eds.). Trace Element Metabolism in Animals - 2. University Park Press, Baltimore, Maryland. 775 pp.

- Howell, F.G., J.B. Gentry and M.H. Smith (eds.). 1975. Mineral Cycling in Southeastern Ecosystems. Proceedings of Symposium held at Augusta, Georgia, May 1 - 3, 1974. Energy Research and Development Administration Symposium Series 36. National Technical Information Service, Springfield. Virgina.
- Jarvis, S.C. and L.H.P. Jones. 1980. The contents and sorption of cadmium in some agricultural soils of England and Wales. J. Soil Sci. 31:469 479.
- Johnson, C.M. 1973. Molybdenum. <u>In</u>: H.D. Chapman (ed.). Diagnostic Criteria for Plants and Soil. H.D. Chapman, Riverside, California. pp. 286-301.
- Jones, J.B. 1967. Interpretation of plant analysis for several agronomic crops. <u>In</u>: G.W. Hardy (ed.). Soil Testing and Plant Analysis. Part II. Plant Analysis. Number 2 in the Soil Science Socity of America (SSSA) Special Publication Series. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin. pp. 49-58.
- Jones, J.B. 1977. Plant tissue analysis for micronutrients. <u>In</u>: J.J. Mortvedt, P.M. Giordano and W.L. Lindsay (eds.). Micronutrients in Agriculture. Proceedings of a Symposium at Muscle Shoals, Alabama. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisonsin. pp. 319-346.
- Jones, L.H.P., C.R. Clement and M.J. Hopper. 1973. Lead uptake from solution by perennial ryegrass and its transport from roots to shoots. Plant and Soil 38:403-414.
- Keisling, T.C. and B. Mullinix. 1979. Statistical considerations for evaluating micronutrient tests. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43:1181-1184.

- Kubota, J. and W.H. Allaway. 1977. Geographic distribution of trace element problems. <u>In</u>: J.J. Mortvedt, P.M. Giordano and W.L. Lindsay (eds.). Micronutrients in Agriculture. Proceedings of a Symposium at Muscle Shoals, Alabama. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin. pp. 524-554.
- Lee, H.J. 1975. Trace elements in animal production. <u>In</u>: D.J.D. Nicholas and A.R. Egan (eds.). Trace Elements in Soil-Plant-Animal Systems. Academic Press, New York. pp. 39-54.
- Liebig, G.F. 1973. Arsenic. <u>In</u>: H.D. Chapman (ed.). Diagnositc Criteria for Plants and Soils. H.D. Chapman, Riverside, California. pp. 13-23.
- Lisk, D.J. 1972. Trace metals in soils, plants and animals. Adv. in Argron. 24:267-325.
- Loneragan, J.F. 1980. Plant efficiencies in the use of B, Co, Cu, Mn, and Zn. In: M.J. Wright (ed.). Plant Adaptation to Mineral Stress in Problem Soils. A special publication of Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station, Cornell Unviersity, Ithaca, New York. pp. 193-203.
- Mankovska, B. 1981. Contamination of beech and oak by Mg, S, F, Pb, Cd and Zn near magnesite works. Biologia (Bratislava) 36(7):489-496.
- Martin, W.E. and J.E. Matocha. 1973. Plant Analysis as in aid in the fertilization of forage crops. <u>In</u>: L.M. Walsh and J.D. Beaton (eds.). Soil Testing and Plant Analysis. Soil Science Society of America, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin. pp. 393-426.

- McFee, W.W., W.R. Byrnes and J.G. Stockton. 1981. Characteristics of coal mine overburden important to plant growth. J. Environ. Qual. 10(3):300-308.
- Mills, C.F. (ed.). 1970. Trace Element Metabolism in Animals. Proceedings of WAAP/IBP International Symposium, Aberdeen, Scotland. July 1969. E. & S. Livingstone, Edinburgh. 550 pp.
- Monenco Consultants Pacific Limited. 1981. Hat Creek Project Revegetation Potential of Waste Materials. Prepared for Acres Consulting Services, Vancouver.
- Monenco Consultants Pacific Limited. 1982. Hat Creek Reclamation Studies 1981: Forage Productivity of Waste Materials and Rangeland. Prepared for B.C. Hydro, Vancouver.
- Monenco Limited. 1982. Wabamun Ash Lagoon Reclamation Studies, 1981. Prepared for TransAlta Utilities, Calgary, Alberta (In preparation).
- Mortvedt, P.M., Giordano and W.L. Lindsay (eds.). 1977. Micronutrients in Agriculture. Proceedings of a Symposium at Muscle Shoals, Alabama. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin. 666 pp.
- Munshower, F.F. and D.R. Neumann. 1979. Trace element concentrations in plants from revegetated strip mined lands and native range in southeastern Montana. <u>In</u>: M.K. Wali (ed.). Ecology and Coal Resource Development. Volume Two. International Congress for Energy and the Ecosystem, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks. Pergamon Press, New York. pp. 887-891.
- National Research Council Canada. 1973. Lead in the Canadian Environment. National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, Canada. 116 pp.

- National Research Council Canada. 1976. Effects of Chormium in the Canadian Environment. National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, Canada. 168 pp.
- National Research Council Canada. 1977a. Sulphur and its Inorganic Derivatives in the Canadian Environment. National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, Canada. 426 pp.
- National Research Council Canada. 1977b. The Effects of Alkali Halides in the Canadian Environment. National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, Canada. 171 pp.
- National Research Council Canada. 1977c. Environmental Fluoride 1977. National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, Canada. 151 pp.
- National Research Council Canada. 1978. Effects of Arsenic in the Canadian Environment. National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, Canada. 363 pp.
- National Research Council Canada. 1979a. Effects of Lead in the Environment - 1978. Quantitative Aspects. National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, Canada. 779 pp.
- National Research Council Canada. 1979b. Effects of Mercury in the Canadian Environment. National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, Canada. 290 pp.
- National Research Council Canada. 1979c. Effects of Cadmium in the Canadian Environment. National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, Canada. 148 pp.

- National Research Council Canada. 1980. Effects of Vanadium in the Canadian environment. National Research Council of Canada, Ottawa, Canada. 94 pp.
- Nicholas, D.J.D. and A.R. Egan (eds.). 1975. Trace Elements in Soil-Plant-Animal Systems. Academic Press Inc., New York. 417 pp.
- Parry G.D.R., M.S. Johnson and R.M. Bell. 1981. Trace metal surveys of soil as a component of strategic and local planning policy development. Environ. Poll. (Ser. B) 2:97-107.
- Pratt, P.F. 1973a. Chromium. <u>In</u>: H.D. Chapman (ed.). Diagnostic Criteria for Plants and Soil. H.D. Chapman, Riverside, California. pp. 136-141.
- Pratt, P.F. 1973b. Vanadium. <u>In</u>: H.D. Chapman (ed.). Diagnostic Criteria for Plants and Soil. H.D. Chapman, Riverside, California. pp. 480-483.
- Reith, R.W.S. 1970. Soil factors influencing the trace element content of herbage. In: C.F. Mills (ed.). Trace Elements Metabolism in Animals. Proceedings of WAAP/IBP International Symposium, Aberdeen, Scotland. July 1969. E. & S. Livingstone, Edinburgh. pp. 410-412.
- Reuther, W. and C.K. Labanaukas. 1973. Copper. <u>In</u>: H.D. Chapman (ed.). Diagnostic Criteria for Plants and Soil. H.D. Chapman, Riverside, California. pp. 157-179.
- Romney, E.M. and J.D. Childress. 1965. Effects of beryllium in plants and soil. Soil Science 100(3):210-217.
- S.A.S. Institute, 1979. Statistical Analysis System Users Guide. SAS Institute, Inc., Raleigh, North Carolina.

- Sauchelli, V. 1969. Trace Elements in Agriculture. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company. New York. 248 pp.
- Schwarz, K. 1974. New essential trace elements (S, V, F, Si): progress report and outlook. In: W.G. Hoekstra, J.W. Suttie, H.E. Ganther and W. Mertz (eds.). Trace Element Metabolism in Animals - 2. University Park Press. Baltimore, Maryland. pp. 355-380.
- Scott, M.L. 1977. Trace elements in animal nutrition. <u>In</u>: J.J. Mortvedt, P.M. Giordano and W.L. Lindsay (eds.). Micronutrients in Agriculture. Proceedings of a symposium at Muscle Shoals, Alabama. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin. pp. 555-591.
- Severson, R.C. 1979. Regional Soil Chemistry in the Bighorn and Wind River Basins, Wyoming and Montana. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1134-B, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 9 pp.
- Severson, R.C. and L.P. Gough. 1981. Geochemical variability of natural soils and reclaimed mine-spoil soils in the San Juan Basin, New Mexico. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1134-C, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 39 pp.
- Severson, R.C. and R.R. Tidball. 1979. Spatial variation in total element concentration in soil within the northern Great Plains coal region. Geological survey Professional Paper 1134-A. U.S. Department of the Interior Washington, D.C. 17 pp.
- Shacklette, H.T., J.C. Hamilton, J.G. Boerngen and J.M. Bowles. 1971. Elemental compositon of surficial materials in the conterminous United States. U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 574 - D. 171 pp.

- Swaine, D.J. 1955. The Trace-Element Content of Soils. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureau, England. p. 157.
- Taylor, R.W., and G.F. Griffin. 1981. The distribution of topically applied heavy metals in the soil. Plant and Soil 62: 147-152.
- Thornton, I. and Webb, J.J. 1970. Geochemical reconnaissance and the detection of trace element disorders in animals. In: C.F. Mills (ed.). Trace Element Metabolism in Animals. Proceedings of WAAP/IBP International Symposium, Abedeen, Scotland, July 1969. E. & S. Livingstone, Edinburgh. pp. 397-409.
- Trierweiler, J.F. 1981. Personal communication. August 1981. Associate Professor, Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, Wooster, Ohio.
- Underwood, E.J. 1971. Trace Elements in Human and Animal Nutrition. 3rd ed. Academic Press, New York. 543 pp.
- Vanselow, A.P. 1973. Cobalt. <u>In</u>: H.D. Chapman (ed.). Diagnostic Criteria for Plants and Soil. H.D. Chapman, Riverside, California. pp. 142-156.
- Van Ryswick, A. 1982. Personal Communication, January 18, 1982. Research Scientist, Agriculture Canada. Kamloops, B.C.
- Wali, M.K. (ed.). 1979. Ecology and Coal Resource Development, Volume Two. International Congress for Energy and the Ecosystem, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks. Pergamon Press, New York. 548 pp.
- Walsh, L.M. and J.D. Beaton. (eds.). 1973. Soil Testing and Plant Analysis. Soil Science Society of America, Inc. Madison, Wisconsin. 491 pp.
- Warren, H.V., R.E. Delavault and C.H. Cross. 1970. Base metal pollution in soils. <u>In</u>: J.D. Hemphill (ed.). Trace Substances in Environmental Health - III. Proceedings of University of Missouri's 3rd Annual Conference on Trace Substances in Environmental Health. University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri. PP. 9 - 20.
- Wright, M.J. (ed.). Plant Adaptation to Mineral Stress in Problem Soils. A special publication of Cornell University Agricultural Experiment Station, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York. 420 pp.

APPENDIX A

\_\_\_\_\_ . . . . . . . . .

1981 LABORATORY ANALYSIS RESULTS

TABLE	٨
-------	---

Lab Analysis Results - Trace Element Concentrations in Vegetation Grown on Waste Materials

085	Substrate	Species	Plant part	Rep	#Cu	Мо	РЪ	Zn	Cd	Ni	Co	Cr	As	U	Se	Sn	Hg (ppb)	F	Мо	۷	Be	8
I	Houth	Bluegrass	Leaves	1	12.0	2.0	0.6	17.0	0.12	0.6	0.3	0.4	< 1.0	<0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	< 10	5	48	1	< 0.04	5.8
2	н	в	L	2	12.9	2.2	1.0	17.2	0.16	0.5	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	< 10	5	39	1	< 0+04	4.3
3	H	B	L	3	11.3	0.6	0.6	15.6	0.14	0.8	0.1	0.8	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	< 10	5	45	1	0.08	4-5
4	н	В	L	4	11.0	0.6	0.6	13.0	0.14	1.0	0.1	0.8	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	15	5	20	1	< 0.04	5.3
5	н	8	ĩαρs	1	15.8	1.8	0.8	19.5	0.12	0.7	0.2	4.0	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	10	5	59	1	< 0.04	8.3
6	H	в	т	2	15.3	0.8	0.7	17.3	0.16	0.8	0.3	0.8	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	15	8	132	1	0.04	15.8
7	н	8	T	3	20.0	1.0	0.2	17.9	0.24	1.0	0.1	0.8	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	10	6	32	1	< 0.04	8.3
Ð	н	8	T	4	14-8	0.6	0.6	15.9	0.26	1.3	0.1	0.8	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	< 10	5	38	1	< 0.04	9.3
9	н	8	Roots	1	20.0	2.4	2.9	55.0	0.50	15.0	5.0	22.0	< 1.0	0.20	<1.0	< 1.0	45	8	440	42	0.64	6.5
10	н	B	R	2	18.7	2.0	2.2	39.3	0.58	14.3	4.0	18.0	< 1.0	0.10	<1.0	< 1.0	15	8	350	30	0.44	7.0
11	н	в	R	3	20.0	1.8	2.2	38.1	0.48	14.0	2.9	20.0	< 1.0	0.10	<1.0	< 1.0	20	8	362	28	0.48	7.3
12	н	в	Shoots	1	9.1	1.4	0.1	14.9	0.26	1.4	0.2	0.8	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 10	7	65	1	< 0.04	5.3
13	н	8	S	2	15.4	0.4	0.4	13.2	0.20	0.7	0.1	0.4	2.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	15	4	63	t	< 0.04	13.0
14	н	B	S	3	10.8	0.4	0.7	12.0	0.34	0.8	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	20	4	30	1	< 0.04	7.5
15	н	в	S	4	11.9	0.6	0.9	7.0	0.40	1.5	0.2	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	45	5	33	1	< 0.04	18.8
16	н	Oxytropis	S	1	6.0	2.0	0.7	13.8	0.22	1.5	0.2	0.8	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	1.0	40	5	90	1	< 0.04	30.0
17	н	0	S	2	9.8	3.8	0.3	14.0	0.12	2.4	0.2	1.6	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	1.0	100	7	72	1	< 0.04	40-0
18	н	0	S	3	11.7	4.4	0.2	23.7	0.16	2.6	0.4	2.0	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	90	4	48	2	< 0.04	34.0
19	н	0	S	4	10.9	4.0	0.8	21.9	0.24	0.8	0.1	1.2	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	140	5	40	1	< 0.04	22.0
20	Ash	Crested	Tops	1	15.0	4.2	1.1	19.7	0.14	0.3	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	2.0	< 1.0	10	4	18	1	< 0.04	265.0
21	A	с	T	2	20.2	2.6	1.3	29.4	0.26	0.6	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	1.0	10	5	18	t	< 0.04	188-0
22	٨	с	т	3	17.4	3.4	1.5	18.7	0.20	0.7	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	1.0	27	3	19	1	< 0.04	174.0
23	Α	С	т	4	t9.7	4.8	1.3	23.0	0.12	1.1	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	< 10	2	11	1	< 0.04	240.0
24	٨	С	Roots	1	336.0	13.4	5.8	32.2	0.38	20.0	4.2	18.0	10.0	< 0.1	2.0	1.0	57	5	95	54	0.60	141.0
25	٨	с	R	2	346.0	23.0	6.0	29.0	0.30	20.7	5.3	19.0	11.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	42	4	130	55	0.64	132.0

•

\* Values expressed in parts per million (ppm) unless otherwise noted-

TABLE A (Cont<sup>1</sup>d)

ĩ.

8

8

Ĩ

Ű.

Î

1

Ì

0£15	Substrate	Spectes	Plant part	Rep#	Cu	Мо	РЪ	Zn	Cd	NI	Co	Ċr-	٨s	V	Se	Sn	Hg (ppb)	F	Mn	۷	Be	B
26	Ash	Orested	Roots	3	383.0	19.0	6.7	55.0	0.36	25.8	7.3	24.0	12.0	0.60	2.0	0.1>	25.0	2	210	74	0.80	120.0
27	A	C	R	4	445.0	19.2	7.2	53.5	0.32	29.5	10.5	28.0	15.0	0.70	1.0	<1.0	40	5	186	90	0.96	107.0
28	Α	C	Leaves	1	15.3	2.6	0.4	21.9	0.16	0.7	0.2	0.8	< 1.0	0.10	< 1.0	<1.0	- 30	3	26	2	0.04	42.5
<b>2</b> 9	A	С	L	2	10.0	2.4	0.3	25.9	0.12	0.3	0.2	0-4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	<1.0	20	2	27	1	0.04	69.5
30	٨	C	L	3	10.0	5.0	0.6	16.5	0.08	0.1	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	<1.0	30	5	14	1	< 0.04	118-0
31	A	С	L	4	8.4	4.8	Ú.3	12.2	Û.Û4	û.7	û.2	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	<1.0	30	2	9	!	< 0.01	163.0
32	Baked Clay	Alfalfa	Shoots	1	7.3	4-B	0.5	16.0	0.04	1.3	0.1	0.8	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 10	5	52	1	< 0.04	101+0
33	в		S	2	6.8	4.4	0.3	14.4	0.04	0.8	0.2	1.2	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	<1.0	20	5	54	1	< 0.04	44.0
34	8	A	5	3	9.3	3.8	0.6	16.0	0.02	0.8	0.1	0-8	< 1.0	<0.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	10	z	60	t	< 0.04	47.0
35	в	A	S	4	6.8	3.6	0.6	17-2	0.06	1.2	0.3	0-8	< 1.0	< 0•1	< 1.0	<1.0	10	2	63	- 1	< 0.04	35.0
36	8	Crested	s	1	13.5	2.0	1.3	8.5	0.18	0.6	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	<1.0	40	2	30	2	< 0.04	150+0
37	8	с	s	2	15.9	2.2	1.3	8.4	0-16	0.4	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	55	2	29	1	< 0.04	41.0
38	8	С	S	3	15.1	2.2	0.9	14.0	0.12	0.1	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	1.0	30	2	29	1	< 0.04	56.5
39	6	C	S	4	12.4	2.2	0.9	12.5	0.12	0.1	0.3	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	1.0	20	2	25	2	·: 0.04	17.5
40	в	С	Roots	1	51.0	2.4	4.8	26.0	0,50	35.0	10.4	20.0	3.0	0.50	< 1.0	1.0	35	9	150	80	0.96	22.0
41	8	С	R	2	69.0	1.4	6.0	28.3	0.38	29.5	9.5	27.0	3.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	1.0	35	5	176	100	1.00	40.0
42	В	С	R	3	38.0	1-8	4.8	35.8	0.50	21.9	9.7	18.0	4.0	0.60	< 1.0	<1.0	10	10	212	64	0.72	41.0
43	в	с	R	4	48.5	1.6	0.6	34.5	0.50	33-0	10,5	11-0	3.0	0.50	< 1.0	< 1.0	40	10	235	68	0.68	32.0
44	8	с	Taps	1	24.6	0.1	1.2	26.0	0.22	0.4	0.3	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	10	5	29	2	< 0.04	39.5
45	B	с	T	2	20.0	1.6	1.0	18.0	0.36	0.1	0.2	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< 1 <b>.</b> 0	10	2	28	1	< 0.01	143.0
46	8	С	Ŧ	3	25.5	1.6	1.0	20.5	0.02	0.3	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	50	2	31	1	< 0.04	31.5
47	В	с	т	4	21.0	1.6	1.0	16.0	0.10	0.1	0.t	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	35	2	33	1	< 0.04	30.0
48	В	с	Leaves	1	8.1	0.1	1.5	9.8	0.10	0.1	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	1.0	2.0	155	2	45	1	< 0.04	17.5
49	B	С	L	2	8.2	1.4	1.6	6.5	0.12	0.1	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	1.0	< 1.0	55	Ŧ	45	1	< 0.04	12.9
50	B	с	٤	3	8.5	0.8	0.5	7.3	0,40	0.1	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	<1.0	90	S	65	1	< 0.04	98.0

TABLE A (Cont'd)

ŝ

.

2

•

i.

1

Ĩ

ł

Ë

Î

085	Substrate	Spectes	Plant part	Rep <b>/</b>	Qu	Mb	Ръ	Zn	Cd	NI	Co	Cr	٨s	U	Se	Sn	Hg (ppb)	F	Mn	۷	8e	ß
51	6	с	Ł	4	9.3	0.4	1.5	5.4	0.35	0.1	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	<0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	40	2	23	1	< 0.04	29.0
52	Was te	Crested	Shoots	1	13.5	0.1	0.7	13.3	0.32	0.1	0.2	0.4	<1 <b>.</b> 0	< 0.1	<1.0	<1.0	40	1	55	1	< 0.04	19.3
53	W	C	S	2	13.5	0.6	0.3	31.0	0.24	1.6	0.6	0.4	<1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	<1.0	30	1	48	1	< 0.04	16+0
54	W	С	S	3	13.0	0.4	0.8	33.7	0.28	0.8	0.6	0.4	< 1.0	< 0+1	<1.0	<1.0	30	2	40	1	< 0.04	23+0
55	W	С	S	4	10.0	0.2	1.3	24.8	0.18	0.1	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	<1.0	30	1	60	1	< 0.04	16.5
56	W	Alfalfa	S	1	8.8	1.2	1.2	31.8	0.26	3.0	1.0	0.8	<1.0	< 0+1	<1.0	<1.0	<b>n</b>	2	145	ļ.	< Ó "Ù¶	48.0
57	W	A	5	2	6.7	1.0	1-4	26.0	0.08	3.5	0.9	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	<1.0	30	2	155	1	< 0.04	47.0
58	W	A	S	3	9.5	1.2	1.7	48.0	0.30	6.0	2.0	0.8	< 1.0	< 0+1	<1.0	<1.0	30	4	125	1	< 0.04	49.0
<b>5</b> 9	W	٨	s	4	7.6	2.0	1.2	39.0	0.26	4.2	2.0	0.8	< 1.0	<0.1	<1.0	1.0	40	2	167	1	< 0.04	49.0
60	Col tuvium	Crested	S	1	10.0	0.2	2.4	16.7	0.16	0.1	0,3	2.0	< 1.0	<0.1	<1.0	<1.0	20	1	34	1	< 0.04	10.8
6)	С	С	\$	2	13.5	0.1	1.6	17.4	0.22	1.0	0-1	0.6	< 1.0	< 0-1	<1.0	<1.0	30	1	27	t	< 0.04	8.5
62	С	С	S	3	11.6	0.2	1.3	13.2	0.26	0.1	0.2	0.4	< 1.0	< 0+1	<1.0	<1.0	35	1	24	1	< 0.04	4.3
63	с	С	S	4	12.3	0.2	1.6	11.5	0.24	0.1	0.1	0.4	<1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	<1.0	45	1	17	1	< 0.04	5.0
64	Top sol I	Alfalfa	s	1	11.2	2.0	0.9	24.5	0.20	2.2	0.2	1.2	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	1.0	45	1	32	1	0.04	46.0
65	т	A	s	2	9.0	3.6	0.4	17.0	0-14	0.8	0.1	0.8	< 1.0	< 0-1	<1.0	<1.0	50	1	35	1	< 0.04	54-0
66	т	A	S	3	8.3	4.6	0.8	14.8	0.10	1-1	0+1	1.2	< 1.0	< 0+1	<1.0	<1.0	70	t i	45	1	< 0.04	50.0
67	т	A	S	4	10.0	3.0	0.7	12.5	0.08	0.8	0.1	1.2	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	30	1	45	1	< 0.04	50.0
68	Gritstone	Α	S	1	7.2	3.8	1.8	18.8	0.24	1.0	0.2	0.8	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< 1.0	30	5	120	1	< 0+04	49.0
69	G	A	S	2	6.0	2.0	2.6	17.6	0.28	4.4	6.0	1.2	< 1.0	< 0.1	<1.0	< t.0	35	12	105	1	0.08	41.0
70	G	Α	S	3	8.5	3.0	1.2	18.0	0.22	1.0	0.3	1.2	< 1.0	< 0+1	<1.0	< 1.0	10	5	92	1	< 0.04	41.0
71	G	٨	S	4	10.6	3.8	t.0	14.0	0.20	2.6	0.3	0.4	< 1.0	0.10	<1.0	< 1.0	10	7	109	1	< 0.04	35.0
72	ĭopsoi I	Crested	S	2	6.7	0.4	1.3	6.5	0.48	0.1	0.1	0+4	< 1.0	0.10	<1.0	1.0	15	6	21	1	< 0.04	9.0
73	T	С	s	3	9.2	0.4	1.4	6.0	0.35	0.1	0.2	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	<1.0	25	5	26	1	< 0.04	11-0
74	т	С	s	4	8.6	0.2	2.0	7.9	0.36	0.1	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0-1	<1.0	< 1.0	25	4	26	1	< 0.04	29.0
75	Gritstone	с	S	1	8.2	0.4	0.8	15.5	0.18	0.1	0.3	0.4	< 1.0	0-10	<1.0	< 1.0	15	6	57	1	< 0.04	21.0

TABLE A (Cont'd)

È

.

1

Ĩ

Ĩ

Ē

Ĩ.

OPIS	Substrate	Speciles	Plant part	Rep#	Qı	Mb	Pb	Zn	Cd	NÎ	Co	Сг	٨s	U	Se	Sn	Hg (ppb)	F	Mn	٧	Bə	6
76	Gritstone	Orested	Shoots	2	9.4	0.2	3.0	27.0	0.34	0.1	0.2	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	20	5	64	1	< 0.04	6.0
77	G	С	S	3	15.2	0.4	2.0	29.5	0.28	0.2	0.2	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	10	3	<b>5</b> B	1	< 0.04	14.5
78	G	С	S	4	12.2	0.2	2.2	26.0	0.28	0.6	0.4	0.8	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	1.0	20	3	52	1	0.04	24.0
79	Colluvium	Alfalfa	s	1	11.5	8.8	1.3	17.5	0.22	1.0	0.4	1.6	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	1.0	< 10	5	55	1	< 0.04	60.0
80	С	٨	s	2	9.2	8.2	1.5	23.5	0.22	1.5	0.3	1.2	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 10	4	58	1	< 0.04	65.0
81	С	٨	S	3	9.1	8.4	1.1	19-2	0.20	1.2	0.4	1.2	<1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	<1.0	15	5	83	1	< 0.04	49.0
82	С	A	S	4	8.5	12.6	1.0	15.6	0.32	1.6	0.1	2.0	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	20	9	59	1	< 0.04	49.0
83	Ash	Onested	s	1	13.8	5.6	1.6	12.0	0.30	0.3	0.1	1.2	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	<1.0	20	4	10	1	< 0.04	239.0
84	٨	С	5	2	14.5	4.6	0.9	16.5	0.24	0.8	0.2	0.8	< 1.0	0.10	< 1.0	< 1.0	25	4	12	2	< 0.04	216.0
85	٨	С	S	3	10+1	3.6	1.4	25.5	0.24	0.3	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< t .0	40	4	13	1	< 0.04	157.0
86	A	С	S	4	10.5	4.6	1.5	21.2	0.22	0.1	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	<1.0	30	5	26	1	< 0.04	234-0
87	Topsol I	C	s	1	13.3	1.2	1.6	18.0	0.26	0.1	0.1	0.4	< 1.0	< 0.1	< 1.0	< 1.0	50	4	27	1	< 0.04	4.0
88	Colluvium	Root	Medium	1	60.0	2.0	11.0	80.0	0.10	62.0	19.0	76.0	10.0	1.50	< 1.0	1.0	70	320	440	115	1.80	4.0
89	С	R	M	2	57.0	2.5	38.0	98-0	0.10	63.0	17.0	77.0	15.0	1.50	1.1	1.0	60	305	445	117	1.70	5.0
90	с	R	м	3	55.0	1.0	8.5	85.0	0.10	55.0	17.0	74.0	12.0	1.00	< 1.0	1.0	95	130	535	1 10	1.80	4.0
91	С	R	м	4	39.0	2.0	6.5	82.0	0.10	42.0	14.0	75.0	6.0	1.50	< 1.0	1-0	75	280	455	85	1.60	3.0
92	Tapsol I	R	м	1	47.0	2.0	5.0	93.0	0.10	44.0	15.0	76.0	10.0	1.00	< 1.0	1.0	65	240	620	110	1.80	4-0
93	т	R	м	2	50.0	2.0	6.0	95.0	0.10	52.0	16.0	78.0	12.0	1.00	< 1.0	1.0	60	290	630	115	1.80	5.0
94	T	R	м	3	50.0	2.0	5.0	86.0	0.10	52.0	16.0	82.0	15.0	1.50	< 1.0	1.0	65	240	615	1 10	1.60	6-0
95	т	R	м	4	54.0	3.0	6.0	85.0	0.10	54.0	16.0	88.0	11.0	1.50	< 1.0	1.0	70	290	545	150	1.80	4.0
96	Waste	R	м	1	50.0	2.0	5.0	98.0	0.10	76.0	18.0	48.0	35.0	1.00	< 1.0	1.0	190	210	430	115	1.60	7.0
97	W	R	м	2	54.0	2.0	10.0	47.0	0.10	38.0	10.0	46.0	10.0	1.50	< 1.0	1.0	80	270	175	125	1.40	11.0
98	w	R	м	3	60.0	3.0	5.0	40.0	0.10	36.0	12.0	42.0	9.0	1.50	< 1.0	1.0	95	210	105	110	1.40	13.0
99	W	R	м	4	55.0	1.0	6.0	72.0	0.10	43.0	12.0	60.0	39.0	1.50	< 1.0	1.0	110	290	115	120	1.40	6.0

TABLE A (Cont<sup>+</sup>d)

蒼

2

OBS	Substrate	Spectes	Plant part	Rep/	Cu	Мb	РЪ	Zn	Cd	NI	60	Cr	As	U	Se	Sn	Hg (ppb)	F	Ma	۷	Ве	B
100	Ash	Root	Medium	1	360.0	5.0	6.0	57.0	0,10	40.0	10.0	84.0	15.0	2,00	< 1.0	1-0	55	130	300	210	2.60	76.0
101	٨	R	м	2	400.0	5.0	2.0	30.0	0.19	46.0	10.0	102.0	14.0	2.00	< 1.0	1.0	60	110	235	235	2.60	197.0
102		R	м	3	450.0	7.0	3.0	35.0	0.10	48.0	10.0	120.0	16.0	1.50	< 1.0	1.0	50	110	240	225	2.80	233.0
103	A	R	м	4	382-0	5.0	3.0	39.0	0.10	55.0	9.0	107.0	19.0	1.20	0-8	1.0	40	85	277	230	2.30	141.0
104	Baked Clay	R	м	1	44.0	1.0	1.0	23.0	0.10	54.0	15-0	114.0	14.0	2.00	< 1.0	1.0	25	120	815	235	3.60	8.0
105	8	R	м	2	50	2.0	4	42	0.1	50	16	110	15	1.5	< 1.0	1.0	30	110	432	255	2.8	6.0
106	ô	R	M	3	77	2.0	5	63	0.1	62	20	92	12	2.0	< 1.0	1.0	ã)	Σΰ	502	200	2.8	12.Û
107	8	R	м	4	65	2.0	10	39	0.1	61	15	92	17	1.5	< 1.0	1.0	20	120	155	250	2.0	6.0
108	Houth	R	м	1	29	2.0	5	88	0.1	25	11	92	5	1.5	< 1.0	1.0	60	110	630	100	1.6	6.0
109	н	R	м	2	22	2.0	3	46	0.1	14	5	111	5	1.0	1.1	1.0	33	180	262	57	1.5	3.0
110	Н	R	м	3	27	1.0	2	53	0.1	15	9	76	3	1.0	< 1.0	1.0	50	220	555	70	1.6	3.0
111	н	R	м	4	27	1.0	1	46	0.1	15	9	68	3	1.0	< 1.0	1.0	35	210	<b>390</b>	75	1.6	0.7
112	Gritstane	R	м	1	40	2.0	4	58	0.1	49	14	94	11	2.0	< 1.0	1.0	50	220	475	160	2.0	5.0
113	G	R	м	2	55	3.0	8	68	0.1	66	20	62	11	2.5	< 1.0	1.0	20	250	490	225	2.4	9.0
114	G	R	м	3	40	3.0	4	52	0.1	48	14	72	12	2.0	< 1.0	1.0	25	260	550	200	2.4	12.0
115	G	R	м	4	55	2.0	6	72	0.1	64	18	74	15	2.5	< 1.0	1.0	25	270	485	230	2.2	10.0
116	Farmers Flei	d Affalfa	Shoots	1	11	6.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117	F	A	s	2	13	5.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1 18	F	٨	S	3	12	5.8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119	F	A	S	4	8	18.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

,

Dirt = Soil Sample

Ê

Houth = Hout Meadows (native soll)

Orested = Crested Wheatgrass

Ash ≖FlyAsh

Waste = CoalWaste

Gritstone = Sandstone

### APPENDIX B

## COMPARISON OF MEANS AND CONFIDENCE LIMITS WITH PUBLISHED VALUES FOR ALFALFA, CRESTED WHEATGRASS AND SOILS

#### TABLE B+1

#### Comparison of mean level and confidence limits of the eighteen elements on the five substrates for elfaite shoots

Samp 1 e	Aş	6 <b>.</b>	8	Cd	۵r	00	Qu	F	90	Mn	Hg	Mo	N	Se	Sn	U	¥	Zn
sel Maste 1979									- NE	data -								
1979	0.5	0.02	98	0.8	1.2	0.72	17	1.4	2.	153	80	•	2	0.2	1	0.1	1.2	54
1981	1	0.04	48.3±1.5	0.2250.16	0.7±0.3	1.5±1.0	8.2±2.0	2.5±1.6	1.4±0.4	14.8128.3	5 0.053±0.008	1.420.7	4.212.1	t	1	0.1	1.000.0	36.2115.1
Normet value	ð	-X-	0	<u>0-</u>	X+	X+	0	3-	0	0	D	0	0+	0	o	0	0	0
Plant toxicity	ō	0	0	0	ND	۰Đ	ò	vo	ND	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND	ND	ND	0
Namt deficiency	ЛE	×E	0	NE	NE	ND	ò	÷	NE	0	NE	0	NE	מא	NE	NE	NE	0
ivestock toxicity	0	ND	ND	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ó	o	ND	o	0
Livestock deficiency	٥	٩E	NE	NE	0	0	٥	0	NE	0	NE	0	0	٥	NE	NE	0	o
Baked Clay 1978 1979									- NC - NC	data - data -								
1981	t	0.04	56.8±47.6	5 0.0420.03	0.920.3	0.220.2	7.6±1.9	3.5±2.8	0.510.2	57.318.2	0.01120.010	4.220.9	1.0±0.4	1	1	0-1	1.050.0	15.921.8
inional value	0	x-	0-	X	X++	0-	0-	<b>&gt;-</b>	0	0	0-	0+	0	٥	0	0	0	0-
Plant toxicity	0	0	0	0	ND	+O	0	vo	ND	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND	ND	ND	0
Plant deficiency	NE	NE	0	NE	NE	ND	0	ΥE	NE	0	NË	Ó	NE	ND	NE	NE	NE	0
lvestadk taxicity	0	ND	ND	Ô	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ND	0	0
livestock deficiency	0	NE	NE	NE	٥	0	0	D	NE	0	NE	0	0	0	NE	NE	0	X-
Sandstone 1978									- NO	data -								
(Gritstane)1979	0.5	0.04	93	0-8	2.2	0.39	15	2.5	4	80	105	5	2	0.3	,	0-1	2.2	107
1981	t	0.04	41.5±9.1	0.23\$0.05	0.950.6	0.4±0.4	8-123-1	7.5±5.3	1.711.2	106-5±18-4	0.021±0.021	3.2±1.4	2.3±2.6	1	1	0.1	1.020.0	17.123.4
kormal value	0	X-	0	0	X+	0-	o-	<b>)</b> -	o	0	0	0	0-	0	0	0	0	<b>∽</b>
Plant toxicity	٥	0	0	o	ND	ND	٥	st dv	ND	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND	ND	ND	٥
implant deficiency	NE	NE	٥	NE	NE	ND	0	Æ	NE	0	NE	C	NE	ND	NE	NE	NE	0
Livestock toxicity	0	ND	ND	٥	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	ND	o	0
Livestock deficiency	0	NE	NE	NE	o	o	o	o	NE	0	NE	0	o	٥	NE	NE	0	X-
stluvium 1978	1	-	-	0.1	-	-	5	-	۲	110	0.03	4	1	0.2	-	-	-	36
1979	0.5	0.05	56	0.7	0.8	0-04	13	1.4	2	48	105	4	2	0.2	1	0.1	1-2	18
1981	1	0.04	53.8±12.6	3 0.2410.08	1.520.6	0.320.2	9.622.1	5.8±3.5	1.2±0.4	63.5220.9	0.011±0.012	9.5±3.3	1.3±0.4	1	1	0-1	120	19.025.4
Normai value	0	X-	٥	٥	X++	Ċ.	0	≻	0	0	<u>~</u>	X++	0	0	0	٥	0	o-
Plant toxicity	0	Ō	0	0	ND	NO	0	Ð	ND	0	ND	0	NÖ	0	ND ND	ND	ND	0
Hant deficiency	ΝE	NE	0	NE	NE	ND	0	Æ	NE	0	NE	0	NE	ND	NE	NE	NE	0
	0	ND	ND	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	ND	0	0
Livestock deficiency	0	NE	NE	NE	0	0	0	0	NE	٥	MΕ	0	0	0	NE	NE	0	X-
Topsoll 1978									- ND	data -								
1979									- NO	data -								
1981	1	0.04	50.025.2	0-1320-08	1.120.3	0.120.1	9.6±7.0	1.050	0.7±0.4	39.3±10.7	0.04950.026	3.321.7	221.1	1	1	0.1	120	17.228.3
Normativelue	0	X-	0	0-	X++	0-	0	×	٥	<b>0-</b>	0+	0+	o-	0	0	0	0	0-
Plant toxicity	0	0	0	0	ND	Ŷ	n	0	ND	. 0	ND	0	ND	Ô	NO	ND	ND	0
Plant avriciency	NE	٨E	0	NE	NE	ND	0	Æ	NE	0	NE	C	ΝE	ND	NE	NE	NE	0
lvestock toxicity	0	ND	ND	0	•	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ND	0	0
lvestock deficiency	0	NE	NE	NE	0	0	0	0	NE	0	NE	0	0	0	NE	NE	0	X
+ Houth Heredows 1978									- No	deta -								
1979									- No	data -								
1981	t	0.0320	31-9±12-0	0.1820.10	1.420.8	0.220.2	9.6024.0	5.52.0	0.5±0.5	62.5±36.3	0.09320.065	3.6±1.7	1.821-3	1	1	0-1	1.350.8	18.458.3
kormal vatue	0	X-	<b>0-</b>	0-	X++	0-	o-	0	0-	<b>0-</b>	X+	0+	0	0	0	٥	0	o-
lant toxicity	0	0	0	0	ND	ND	0	Ð	ND	0	ND	0	ND	0	NO	ND	ND	٥
Plant deficiency	٩E	NE	0	NE	NE	ND	0	IE.	NE	0	NE	o	NE	ND	NE	NE	NE	0
Livestock taxicity	0	ND	ND	0	*	0	0	0	0	ð	0	0	a	0	ō	ND	٥	0
Livestock deficiency	0	NE	NE	NE	0	0	э	0	NE	0	NE	0	Ô	0	NE	NE	¢	X-

 $\underline{Ocytropis}_{r+1}$  span and  $C_{r+1}$  inside normal normal range unlikely to be a problem 0

0-/+ slightly abnormel, mean inside normel range, C.L.'s (when applicable) extend below/above normal range

-/+ sbnormal, mean outside normal range, C.L.'s (when applicable) extend below/above normal range

-/+ definitely abnormel, mean and CL.'s (when applicable) outside normal range Cr<sup>34</sup> and Cr<sup>54</sup> have very different taxicity levels (50 pm for Cr<sup>54</sup> and 65000 ppm for Cr<sup>54</sup>). The analysis was for total Cr and not for Cr of different velencies. An evaluation cannot be made 1 hare.

٠

nese. NO – No date given in the literature. "₹ – Not yet proved essentiel to plants or livestock.

#### TABLE 8-2

# Mean level and confidence limits of the eighteen elements in Crested Wheetgrass shoots on the six substrates. The results of the comparison of these values against published deficiency levels and toxicity levels in plant growth and animal nutrition are given.

.

	· · ·		••••															
Sarpie	As	80	8	C4	<del></del>	<del>م</del> ې	<u>0</u> .	F	P0	¥n.	Hg	нь		50	5n	<u> </u>	+	Ľn.
ly Ash 1978	t	0.02	488	0.1		0-24	8.5		1	19	0.02	9	1	0.55	1		3.0	17
1979	2.0	0.04	372	1.0	2.5	0.28	23	12	3	28	0-115	11	t	0.4	t	0.1	6.2	19
1981	1	0.04	211.550.05	0.25±0.05	0.750.6	0.120.1	12.223.6	4.320.8	1.4±0.5	15-3111-6	0.029±0.014	4.6±1.3	0.420.5	1	1	0+1	1.3±0.6	3 18.829.3
Normet values	0	X-	X++	0	X+	0-	Ô	0	0	X	0	0+	0-	0	0	٥	٥	<u>۰</u>
Plant toxicity	0	0	X++	0	ND	ND	0	ND	ND	0	NÔ	0	ND	0	ND	ND	ND	0
Plant deficiency	NE	NE	0	NE	NE	ND	0	NE	NE	<u>~</u>	NE	0	NE	ND .	NE	NE	NE	0
Livestock toxicity	a A	ND NC	ND	0	•	0	0	0	0	0 V	0	0	0	0	0	ND 	0	Ū,
Cryestoor deriverery	0		ne.	NE.	U	v	Ŭ	0	NE.	~-	NE	v	U	U	NC.	NC.	U	~-
cel Vaste 1978									+ No 🤆	iarta -								
1979	0.5	0.01	21	0.5	0.9	0.11	tū	2.4	2.0	95	0-075	ι	1	0.2	1	0.1	1.4	40
1981 · · ·	1	0.04	18-755-1	0.2520.10	0.4±0.0	0.420.4	12.5±2.7	1 320-8	0.820.7	50.8±13.6	0.03320.008	0.320.4	0.711-1	1	1	0-1	120	25.7114.4
NCETTEL VALUE	0	X	x-	0	D	0+	0	X-	0	0	0	X	0-	0	0	0	0	<u>0</u> -
Plant toxicity	0	0	0	0	ND	NÜ	0	NO	ND	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND	ND	NO	0
Plant deticioncy	NE	NE I	x-	NE	NE	ND	0	NE	NE	0	NE	x-	NE	ND	NE	NE	NE	0
LIVESTOCK TOXICITY	0	NU 117	NU		•	0	0	0		0		0	0		0	NU	0	ů
	0	ne.	NE.	NE	0	U	0	0	NE	U	NE	x-	a	0	NE.	NE.	0	0-
Baked Clay 1978									- NO (	lata -								
1981	1	0.04	66.1292.5	0.1420.002	5 0.410	0.210.2	14.222.5	2.010	1.150.4	28.3±3.5	0.03610.024	2.240.2	0.3±0.4	1	,	0.01	1.5±0.4	9 10.954.5
Normal value	à	X-	0+	X-	0	0	0	0-	0	0-	0	0	0-	ò	0	0	0	0-
Plant toxicity	0	0	0	0	NÖ	ND	ō	ND	ND	0	ND	ō	ND	0	ND	NO	NÜ	0
Plant deficiency	NE	NE	0	NE	NE	ND	0	NE	NE	0	NE	ò	NE	ND	NË	NE	ъE	ò
Livestock toxicity	0	ND	ND	0	•	0	0	e	0	0	0	Ô	0	0	0	ND	0	0
Livestock deficiency	٥	NE	NE	NE	0	0	0	0	NE	0	NE	0	0	٥	NE	١E	0	X-
andstone 1978									- No d	lata -								
(Gritstone) 1979	0.5	0.02	25	0.6	2.5	0.4	15	3-8	1	70	0.095	4	2	0+8	1	0.1	2.4	87
1981	1	0.04	16.4±12.7	0.27±0.11	0-520-3	0.3±0.2	11.355.0	4.312.4	2.0±1.5	57.8±7.8	0-016±0-008	0.320.2	0.3±0.4	1	1	0+1	1.0±0.(	24.519.8
Normal value	0	X-	X-	a	0+	0	0	0-	0	0	0-	X-	o-	0	0	0	0	0
Plant toxicity	٥	0	٥	0	ND	ND	0	ND	ND	0	ND	Ô	ND	0	ND	ND	ND	0
Plant deficiency	NE	NE	X	NE	NE	ND	0	NE	NE	0	NE	0-	NE	ND	NE	NE	NE	0
ivestock taxicity	0	ND:	ND	0	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ND	0	0
Livestock deficiency	0	NE	NE	NE	0	0	Û	0	м£	0	NE	X-	0	0	ΝE	NE	0	o-
olluvium 1978	1	10-01		0.1		0.04	4.5	10	t	52	0-01	t	1	0-2	1		0.5	36
1979	0.5	0.02	19	0.6	0-8	0.05	9	1.9	1	63	0-065	1	1	0.2	1	0-1	1.0	15
1981	1	0-04	7.24.9	0.2220.06	0.911.2	0.220.2	11.922.3	1.020.0	1.750.8	25.5211.2	0.03320.017	0.250.1	0.150	1	1	0+1	120	14.724.5
Normat value	0	X	x	0	X+	<b>0-</b>	o	X	Ô	×-	0	<b>x</b> —	o-	0	0	٥	0	o-
Plant toxicity	0	0	0	0	ND	NO	0	vo	ND	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND	ND	ND	0
Plant deficiency	NE	NE.	X-	NE.	NE	ND	0	۴E	NE	0	NE	X-	NE .	ND	NE	NE	NE	0
IVESTOCK TOXICITY	0	NU	ND	0		0	a	C .	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ND	0	0
Liveston dericiency	a	AE.	NE	NE	0	Ų	Ū.	D	NE	X+	NE,	x-	a	U	NE	NE	0	x-
Topsol I 1978									- No d	kate -								
1979	1	0.04	13.3117.3	0.3610.14	0.410	0.180.1	10.043.6	4 241 6	- NO U	75.0±4.3	0.00010.004	0 640 7	0.140			<b>A</b> 1	1+1	0.640.0
Notice to a lue	ċ	X-	Y-	0	0.420	~	0.020.0	4.321.3	1.02017	2.02.4.9 Y_	0.02940.024	0.020.7 A.	0.150 A	- -		0.1	<u>,                                     </u>	Ya
	ň	0	<b>^</b>	Ň	Ň	Ň	Ň	ູ້	<u>س</u>	Â	Ň	Ň	10	ŏ	Nn -	۰ñ.	ມັ	Â
Plant deficiency		NE	¥-	<u>ا</u>		ND	ů.		NE	0	NE	Ň	10	20	NE.	NC	JE I	¥
Livestock toxicity	0	ND	- ND			0	ő	۰ ۵	0	0	NC 0	ò	ής Δ	0	0	ND	, <b>L</b>	0
Livestock deficiency	õ	NE	NE	NE	0	0	õ	0	NE	X-	NE	o-	õ	ò	NE	NE	ō	X
Houth Headows 1978									- NO	data -								
1979									- NC	odenta –								
1981	t	0.03±0	11.2±9.6	0.3020.14	0.520.3	0.220.1	11.824.2	5.22.2	0.5±0.6	47.8:30.0	0.02120.027	0.720.8	1.1±0.7	1	1	0+1	120	11.815.4
Normel value	٥	X-	X-	0	0+	0	0	0	0-	<u>о</u> -	0	0-	0	0	٥	0	0	<b>0</b> -
Plant toxicity	0	o	0	0	NÖ	٨D	0	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND	0	ND	ND	ND	o
Plant deficiency	NE	NE	X-	NE	NE	ND	0	Æ	NE	0	NE	0	NE	NO	NE	NE	NE	٥
Livestock toxicity	0	ND	ND	٥	•	0	0	0	0	0	o	0	٥	0	0	ND	٥	٥
Livestock deficiency	o	NE	NE	NE	0	0	Ó	0	NE	0	NE	0	0	0	NE	NE	0	X-

#### a, Bluegrass

Meen and C<sub>2</sub>L<sub>2</sub> is inside normal range - unlikely to be problem. ٥

^\_/+

-/+

mean and ULL'S inside normal range - unlikely to be problem
>>> slightly abnormal; mean (ms/de normal range, C.L.'s (when applicable) extend below/above normal range
-/+ abnormal; mean outside normal range; C.L.'s (when applicable) extend below/above normal range,
-/+ abnormal; mean outside normal range; C.L.'s (when applicable) outside normal range,
-/+ abnormal; mean outside normal range; C.L.'s (when applicable) outside normal range,
-/+ befinitely abnormal; mean and C.L.'s (when applicable) outside normal range.
-/+ befinitely abnormal; mean and C.L.'s (when applicable) outside normal range.
-/+ befinitely abnormal; mean and C.L.'s (when applicable) outside normal range.
-/+ befinitely abnormal; mean and C.L.'s (when applicable) outside normal range.
-/+ befinitely abnormal; mean and C.L.'s (when applicable) outside normal range.
-/+ befinitely abnormal; mean and C.L.'s (when applicable) outside normal range.
-/+ befinitely abnormal; mean and C.L.'s (when applicable) outside normal range.
-/+ befinitely abnormal; mean and C.L.'s (when applicable) outside normal range.
-/+ befinitely abnormal; mean and C.L.'s (when applicable) outside normal range.
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
-/+>
<

NE - Not vet proved essential to plants or livestock NE - No data given in the literature

#### TAFILE B-3

#### Comparison of means and confidence limits of the eighteen elements. In the seven substrates with published values

									Ele	tneme								
Substrate	As	80	B	Cd	0r	Co	Cu	F	РЬ	Mn	Hg	Мо	ы	Se	Sn	U	۷	Zn
Mean Value	6	3.3	15	0.1	100	16	30	200	15	200	<b>0-3</b>	2	50	0.6	10	3	125	50
Range	0-25-45	0.1-40	10-100	0.04-0.4	30-400	4-35	4-70	10-1000	4-100	200-3000	0.07-0.5	0.2-8	5500	0.05-2	2-200	-	15-450	15-350
Fly Ash	0	0	X+	0	0	0	X++	0	0-	0	<b>x</b> —	0+	0	0	X	_•	0	0
Coal Waste	0+	o	x-	0	0	0	ø	0	0-	ο	0	0	0	0	<b>x</b> -	-	0	0
Baked Ciay	O	0	<b>x</b> -	0	0	0	0	0	0-	0-	<b>x</b> —	0	0	0	<b>x</b> -	-	0	0
Sandstone	0	0	X	0	0	0	0	0	X	0	X	0	0	0	<b>x</b> –	-	0	0
Coltuvium	0	0	<b>x</b> —	0	0	0	0	0	0-	0	0-	0	0	0	<b>x</b> -	-	0	0
Topsol I	0	0	<b>x</b> —	0	<b>x</b>	X++	0	0	0	0	0-	0	0	0	<b>x</b> -	-	0	0
Houth Meadows	0	0	x	0	0	0	o	ø	<b>x</b> –	0	<b>x</b> —	0	0	0	<b>X-</b>	-	0	0

\* Too few data are given in the literature for a valid comparison to be made for unanium.

0 Mean and C.L.'s inside normal range ~ unlikely to be a problem

0-/+ Slightly abnormal, mean inside normal range, C.L.'s (when applicable) extend below/above normal range

X-/+ Abnormal, mean outside normal range, C.L.'s (when applicable) extend below/above normal range

X-/+ Definitely abnormal, mean and C-L-'s (when applicable) outside normal rante