# F1490

.

.

# B.C. HYDRO POWER AUTHORITY MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING, CRUSHING, AND LOW GRADE COAL BENEFICIATION

Ву

SGB/PVT/DBN December 1979

Simon-Carves of Canada Ltd. 2025 Sheppard Ave. East Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1

#### INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Background
- 1.2 Scope of Report
- 1.3 Acknowledgements

SECTION 2

#### SUMMARY

SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING AND CRUSHING SYSTEMS

- 3.1 Introduction
- 3.2 Run-of-mine Materials
  - 3.2.1 Waste
  - 3.2.2 Waste for Construction
  - 3.2.3 Clay
  - 3.2.4 Normal Coal
  - 3.2.5 Petrified Wood in Normal Coal
  - 3.2.6 High Clay Content Normal Coal
  - 3.2.7 High Grade Coal
  - 2.3.7 Low Grade Coal
- 3.3 Mine Conveyor Systems
  - 3.3.1 Normal Coal Conveyor
  - 3.3.2 Waste and Clay Conveyor
  - 3.3.3 Low Grade Coal/Spare Conveyor
- 3.4 Primary Crushing Stations
  - 3.4.1 Dump Pockets
  - 3.4.2 Run-of-mine Feeders
  - 3.4.3 Run-of-mine Screens
  - 3.4.4 Run-of-mine Breakers
    - 3.4.4.1 Bradford Breakers
      - 3.4.4.2 Krupp Siebra Crusher
      - 3.4.4.3 Wing Crusher
      - 3.4.4.4 Impactors
      - 3.4.4.5 Impactors with Moving Breaker Plates

i

- 3.4.4.6 Clay Feeder/Shredders
- 3.4.5 General Design

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING \_\_\_\_\_\_ AND CRUSHING SYSTEMS - cont.

- 3.5 Coal Screening and Secondary Crushing
  - 3.5.1 Introduction
  - 3.5.2 Coal Screening
    - 3.5.2.1 Conventional Screens
    - 3.5.2.2 Heated Deck Screens
      - 3.5.2.3 Rod Deck Screens
      - 3.5.2.4 Probability Screens
      - 3.5.2.5 Disc Screens
  - 3.5.3 Crushing

SECTION 4

- 4.1 Introduction
- 4.2 Beneficiation by Desliming
- 4.3 Beneficiation by Washing
  - 4.3.1 Plant Requirements for Washing

BENEFICIATION OF LOW GRADE COAL BY DRY SCREENING

BENEFICIATION OF LOW GRADE COAL BY WET PROCESSING

4.3.2 Projected Washing Results

#### SECTION 5

- 5.1 Introduction
- 5.2 Theoretical Beneficiation
- 5.3 Predicted Beneficiation
- 5.4 Plant Requirements

SECTION 6

- DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME
- 6.1 Basis of Design
- 6.2 Description of Selected Scheme
  - 6.2.1 Normal Coal
  - 6.2.2 Low Grade Coal
  - 6.2.3 Waste/Clay
  - 6.2.4 Special Operating Features
- 6.3 Cost Summary
- 6.4 Scheme Drawings

ii

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 7

# DESIGN AND COST OF TRUCK DUMP AND IN-PIT CRUSHING UNITS

- 7.1 Basis of Design
- 7.2 Description of Selected Scheme

7.3 Cost Summary

SECTION 8

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 8.1 Conclusions
- 8.2 Recommendations for Future Testwork
- 8.3 Recommended Design Features

APPENDIX IBeneficiation by Wet ScreeningAPPENDIX IICorrelation of Washability DataAPPENDIX IIICorrelation of Dry Screening DataAPPENDIX IVGiven DataAPPENDIX VEstimate SummaryAPPENDIX VIBibliographyAPPENDIX VIIDrawings

# SECTION 1

#### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 BACKGROUND

The preliminary engineering mining Feasibility Study for the No. 1 Deposit at Hat Creek was completed in October 1978. Simon-Carves of Canada Ltd. had undertaken the coal beneficiation section of this study. On consideration of the cost benefits, B.C. Hydro and Power Authority concluded that beneficiation should not be included in the Mining Scheme, and that the Power Plant should be designed to burn blended raw coal.

It was therefore proposed that quality control should be achieved by means of mine planning and operational control, together with large scale blending of the potentially very variable raw coal. To achieve the optimum product quality, it was found necessary to mine, but exclude from the supply to the Power Plant, a quantity of "Low Grade Coal." It was proposed that this material be stockpiled for possible future utilization.

The mining and Power Plant Schemes were subsequently evaluated by the Authority's Technical Review Board, who remitted certain items to the Authority for reconsideration during the summer of 1979. These included the possible incorporation of a "Low Grade Coal Beneficiation" facility within the Mine Mouth Materials Handling Scheme.

Simon-Carves, who had given preliminary consideration to this in March 1978, were engaged for this work.

#### 1.2 SCOPE OF REPORT

It was necessary to ensure that any Low Grade Coal Beneficiation plant would be an integral part of the materials handling, screening and crushing facilities. The selection and layout of some equipment within the previous scheme was also subject to review by the Authority. Simon-Carves' scope of work was therefore widened to include assistance in aspects of this review. This enabled Simon-Carves knowledge of coal processing and handling to be of particular relevance.

This Report therefore considers the selection and design of the conveying, screening and crushing facilities for all mine products. The overall scheme now includes facilities for beneficiation by dry screening of the Low Grade Coal.

# SECTION 1

### INTRODUCTION

# 1.3 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In addition to relevant sections of the July 1978 Mining Feasibility Report, and the Authority's September 1978 Composite Report "Appendix D -Coal Quality and Handling", Simon-Carves also had access to other documents which are acknowledged in Appendix VI - Bibliography.

This study was accomplished in a short time by close working contact and detailed discussions with the staff of the Authority's Mining Department.

# SECTION 2

#### SUMMARY

The layout of the Truck Dump and Primary Crushing Stations in the Mine has been revised in principle to give greater operational flexibility. In particular, it will be practicable to utilize alternative crushing equipment to suit the variety of materials which are to be mined.

Each mine conveyor now has a designated normal duty. Following bulk density tests, it is recommended that all conveyors be increased to 1,400mm (54") wide.

The potential beneficiation of Low Grade coals by means of dry screening, wet screening and washing has been further evaluated. It is concluded that wet methods will give formidable tailings disposal problems, but that a useful degree of beneficiation can be simply achieved by dry screening.

The layout of the screening and secondary crushing plant has been completely revised to provide beneficiation by dry screening of Low Grade coal. Also, duplicate conveyor lines from the plant will allow simultaneous transfer of lower grade coals to the blending system and by-passing of High Grade coals to the Power Plant.

Recommendations are given for further testwork related to the crushing characteristics of all the materials to be mined, this having been emphasized in the replies received from proprietary equipment manufacturers.

Equipment used in the preliminary scheme is of established designs in current commercial use. Attention is drawn to units being developed which may be more suited to the requirements.

The unique characteristics of the Hat Creek coals necessitate further testwork prior to the design of any Low Grade coal beneficiation facility. It should be noted that no samples have been obtained which have been proved representative of the bulk of this material.

# SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING & CRUSHING SYSTEMS

#### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

This section of the report considers the various materials which will be produced in the mine, and the facilities required to deliver them to the appropriate utilization points.

Production data is taken from the Cominco-Monenco Joint Venture Mining Feasibility Report, Volume III, Mine Planning. Further understanding has been obtained of the proposed operations by discussion with B.C. Hydro Mining Engineers. However, the basic scheme proposed by C-MJV is unchanged. It would not be feasible to make major changes without access to the total study of the truck/shovel mining method.

This study is therefore limited to reviewing the selection and basic design of specified elements of the system:

Dump Pockets and R.O.M. Crushers Width and Speed of R.O.M. Conveyors General Arrangement of the Coal Preparation Area, with particular reference to the Low Grade Coal.

#### 3.2 RUN-OF-MINE MATERIALS

This study has identified eight distinct run-of-mine materials which may require separate handling from the mine. Each of these materials may pose different handling problems in winter and summer conditions. Their characteristics may also be significantly different when mined from dewatered areas below the existing water table as compared with initial production in comparatively dry conditions. For this reason it is necessary that the handling system design can be modified as the mine develops.

# 3.2.1 Waste

The largest volume of run-of-mine materials will be waste supply described as a mixture of young shales with clay bands. This waste is therefore soft compared with that from most coal mining operations, and will break readily in crushing. The varying clay content will cause build-up of fine material in hoppers, chutes and within crushing equipment. The ability of bentonitic clay to absorb moisture means that this material will not be effectively dewatered by the mine draining operation.

# SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING & CRUSHING SYSTEMS

#### 3.2 RUN-OF-MINE MATERIALS - cont.

# 3.2.2 Waste for Construction

Some clay free waste which will need to be segregated for mine road, waste dump dam, and other construction requirements, comes particularly from areas of glacial till to be removed at an early phase of the mine. Some of this material could therefore be trucked directly to the point of use rather than delivered through the main waste conveyor system. Large boulders which would require special crushing equipment may also be handled at lower cost by direct trucking from the mine.

#### 3.2.3 Clay

An area of massive clay with a high water content has been identified in Medicine Creek. This will require purpose designed handling and crushing involving a minimum of chutes.

#### 3.2.4 Normal Coal

The bulk of the run-of-mine coal is expected to contain varying proportions of soft shale and clay materials. When dry this material has been observed to crush and handle with ease. However, the large scale mining operation requiring dewatering of much of the coal strata means that it will have a significant surface moisture content. The design of the normal run-of-mine coal system must therefore be based on criteria for coals classed as difficult.

It can be anticipated that in the early stages of the mine development the proportion of material with a difficult handleability will be quite low, and therefore a program of progressive improvements to the handling system through the mine life is possible.

#### 3.2.5 Petrified Wood in Normal Coal

Petrified wood has been identified as present in significant quantities in areas of the coal strata. Run-of-mine coal maytherefore need to be selectively crushed to reject this material.

# SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING & CRUSHING SYSTEMS

#### 3.2 RUN-OF-MINE MATERIALS - cont.

3.2.6 High Clay Content Normal Coal

In some areas there are thick bands of clay in the coal strata which it would not be economic to separate by selective mining. Coal handling systems must therefore accept this material.

# 3.2.7 High Grade Coal

The D Zone will provide coal of lower sulphur content and higher calorific value. This may need to be segregated in the mine and conveyed separately to the Power Plant either to assist in achieving high power output despite mechanical problems, or to facilitate the lowering of sulphur dioxide emissions in adverse climatic conditions.

#### 3.2.8 Low Grade Coal

Low Grade Coal will have to be segregated in the mine and separately handled to a beneficiation system if the required Normal Coal quality is to be maintained. This material is some 7% of total coal production over the mine life.

#### 3.3 MINE CONVEYOR SYSTEMS

The various run-of-mine materials described in the previous section have been considered with respect to their production rates and system requirements to minimize stockpiling and effect segregation.

A minimum of three mine conveyors are required. These conveyors, with their particular feed and run-of-mine crusher system designs, should be dedicated to specific duties:-

#### 3.3.1 Normal Coal Conveyor

This system would be in continuous operation handling coal production from all four coal zones (A,B,C and D). Rejection of petrified wood must therefore precede this conveyor. High clay content raw coal will also follow this route.

3-3

# SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING & CRUSHING SYSTEMS

#### 3.3 MINE CONVEYOR SYSTEMS - cont.

#### 3.3.2 Waste and Clay Conveyor

This conveyor route to have a minimum of transfer points of the simplest possible design : ie. no two-way transfer chutes and vertical drop feed from one conveyor to the next.

#### 3.3.3 Low Grade Coal/Spare Conveyor

In addition to its primary duty, this conveyor would provide a standby for either normal coal or normal waste.

Consideration of the quantities of normal waste material to be removed, and the production pattern of low grade coal in certain periods (eg. years 4 to 11) leads to the recommendation that a fourth conveyor be installed at least from the upper level of the mine:-

#### 3.3.4 Normal Waste Conveyor

This conveyor to take the bulk of dry waste from upper levels of the mine, thus giving more flexibility in the use of 3.3.2 and 3.3.3.

Each conveyor system is based on 3,000 cubic meters per hour, ie. up to 3,200 tonnes per hour of coal, 5,000 tonnes per hour of waste.

The position of the conveyors in the mine has not been altered. Thus the mine dump pocket system proposed by C-MJV can be retained. With the designated conveyor duties proposed above the conveyor centre-lines are acceptable.

Measurements of the bulk density of coal and waste samples confirmed the swell factors suggested by Weirco. The mine conveyors recommended are therefore 1400mm wide (54 inches) operating at 4.5 meters/second. This width is also recommended within the Coal Preparation Area, with the speed reduced to 2.5 meters/second for the 1000 tonnes per hour conveyors feeding to screening equipment.

# SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING & CRUSHING SYSTEMS

#### 3.4 PRIMARY CRUSHING STATIONS

The selection of equipment and design of these stations must take account of the characteristics of the materials identified in Section 3.2. Crushing tests have been limited to the breaker drop tests conducted by Fawcett, and testwork by manufacturers of other specialist types of crushers is essential. Representative samples of as mined materials of the more difficult categories below water table level will not be available until the mine has been in operation for some years.

Preliminary design of the primary crushing systems should therefore allow for the substitution of alternative crushing systems. (Note that the C-MJV layout can be used only with the Siebra type).

Review of available crushing systems confirms that 1500 cubic meters/hour is a practical maximum for most manufacturers and the designs considered in this report are based on this throughput. Feed could therefore be received simultaneously from two dump stations to each conveyor.

Consideration of desirable maximum particle size together with wear and tear in subsequent handling, screening and secondary crushing operations, reduce the run-of-mine material to below 200mm. This would also facilitate rejection of more unwanted material, eg. petrified wood, than the 300mm previously used.

#### 3.4.1 Dump Pockets

Each Dump Pocket to hold approximately three truckloads to permit smooth turnaround of mine trucks.

Due to the sticky clay problems we are recommending steeper slopes than normally encountered, and could not recommend the use of box shaped pockets (ie. where the fall of large lumps is broken by a static bed of material).

3-5

# SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING & CRUSHING SYSTEMS

#### 3.4 PRIMARY CRUSHING STATIONS - cont.

#### 3.4.1 Dump Pockets - cont.

Some crusher manufacturers claim to accept lumps of the maximum anticipated dimensions without a grid over the hopper. (For example, in Hazemag's System there is a "breaking access trap" for oversize lumps). We consider it is undesirable to operate with no top size restriction, and have retained the 600 x 600mm grid size from which gross oversize pieces will have to be removed, for example by front end loader.

(Consideration should be given from a personnel safety aspect to using a 450 x 450mm grid. Also, it should be noted that if this size were adopted it would be possible to convey from the dump pocket discharge to crushers situated directly over the appropriate mine conveyor. However, the 450 x 450mm grid could retain unacceptably large quantities of material for removal).

#### 3.4.2 Run-of-mine Feeders

Vibrating feeders are not sufficiently powerful or robust for this duty. The variable speed apron feeder is most widely used. Most manufacturers of push-plate type feeders do not have large enough units available. This feeder has the disadvantage of losing height, whilst the apron feeder can elevate. However, Hazemag have a large capacity hydraulically operated feeder which is included as part of their System package.

### 3.4.3 Run-of-mine Screens

It is desireable to remove undersize to reduce the load on the crusher - particularly when sticky fines are present - and to avoid excessive breakage.

The Krupp Roller Screen is an integral part of the Siebra Crusher. This type of self-cleaning screen has a good reputation for operating on sticky feeds, and has the mechanical strength to accept large heavy lumps. The geared drive mechanism of the Krupp must be a high initial cost component.

# SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING & CRUSHING SYSTEMS

#### 3.4 PRIMARY CRUSHING STATIONS - cont.

3.4.3 Run-of-mine Screens - cont.

The Pettibone Wobbler Screen appears to be a viable alternative. The "wobbler" screen blades should provide an enhanced cleaning action. The chain drive should be cheaper yet present few problems.

Generally, vibrating screens are not sufficiently robust for these duties, and jigging screens would be of large unit size. Such conventional screening machines also lose height compared with the horizontal roller screens. We have, however, included a Simplicity vibrating screen which is standard in the Hazemag System package.

#### 3.4.4 Run-of-mine Breakers

#### 3.4.4.1 Bradford Breaker

This is a voluminous machine best fed by conveyor with a preferred maximum lump size of 450mm.

Rejection of hard material such as petrified wood would be readily accomplished. It is likely, however, that there is also hard coal, which would be rejected. The drop shatter tests by Fawcett also suggest that some good coal may be lost.

The Bradford Breaker at Centralia has been observed to reject clay lumps from wet mining conditions similar to those anticipated from lower mine levels. Experience at Coal Valley, Alberta has included problems with build-up of wet clay fines on the outside of the drum and in the product collecting chutework. To clear these with quantities of water - as at Centralia - would be unacceptable unless all coal is to be washed.

# SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING & CRUSHING SYSTEMS

#### 3.4 PRIMARY CRUSHING STATIONS - cont.

- 3.4.4 Run-of-mine Breakers cont.
  - 3.4.4.2 Krupp Siebra Crusher

This unit is successfully used in the Lignite Industry. It is able to reject the petrified wood. There may be problems with clay sticking in the crushing rollers. We endorse the reservations listed in correspondence to you by Krupp Industries. However, at this stage and subject to testwork, we propose this machine for Normal Coal, and particularly for the Normal Coal with Petrified Wood. It is necessary to have an alternative available should the wet clay be problematic.

3.4.4.3 Wing Crusher

The Humboldt Wing Crusher is also widely used in the Lignite Industry, but it could not accept hard waste, particularly Petrified Wood.

#### 3.4.4.4 Impactors

The wide range of applications of this design suggests it will be able to accept all Hat Creek materials, except massive clay. Hazemag are the only manufacturer to offer units large enough for the run-of-mine duties. Different speeds are required for alternative duties, ie. higher speeds for Waste with clay, to give sufficient breaking and cleaning forces, lower speeds for coal without waste to minimize degradation.

Hazemag suggest tests should reveal a degree of selective crushing, which could achieve rejection of petrified wood if followed by screening.

The Hazemag design can be fitted with heated impact surfaces which would release wet clay. We propose these machines with provision for the oil heating system be added if and when required. It also may be easily opened for cleaning.

3-8

# SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING & CRUSHING SYSTEMS

# 3.4 PRIMARY CRUSHING STATIONS - cont.

#### 3.4.4 Run-of-mine Breakers - cont.

#### 3.4.4.5 Impactors with Moving Breaker Plates

Machines of this type are in wide use with sticky materials. The Jeffrey Mud Hog has the advantage of reversible feed/breaker plates, and has been used in clay breaking as well as a variety of sticky coal and waste applications. Pennsylvania Crusher's Non-clog Hammermill also has an optional moving back-plate. With both of these machines, the breaker plate helps to feed the material into the impactor path. The Bulldog Non-clog Impactor type Hammermill appears to offer the best layout, however, since the breaker plate is near vertical, and the feed drops vertically onto the impactor as compared with the approximately 45° feed of the Jeffrey and Pennsylvania machines. Bulldog's breaker plate may be inched away from the impactor for cleaning the machine, and it also has an optional moving back-up plate.

#### 3.4.4.6 Clay Feeder/Shredders

J.C. Steele (and others) manufacture a clay feeder in which a set of screws at the base extrude clay and deliver in a shredded form. These units have a low capacity - say 100 tonnes per hour and are designed for the clay industry. The major restriction to use of these machines is that they may be blocked up or even damaged by stones. We cannot therefore recommend these units for the clay waste.

# SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING & CRUSHING SYSTEMS

#### 3.4 PRIMARY CRUSHING STATIONS - cont.

# 3.4.5 General Design

We recommend that the Run-of-mine Breaker stations be designed for location on the side of benches on either side of the mine conveyor system. Product conveyors would deliver to the mine conveyors with the facility by means of change-over chutes to deliver to either of a pair of mine conveyors. Thus, for example, a given system could deliver to the Low Grade Conveyor or Waste Conveyor. This will reduce the number of Dump Pockets required in the mine.

The other advantage of this layout is ease of access for maintenance of the feeders, screens and crushers, and the possibility of changing the type of crusher at a given point if changes in duty so requires.

# 3.5 COAL SCREENING AND SECONDARY CRUSHING

# 3.5.1 Introduction

The 200mm x 0 raw coal has to be crushed to below 50mm for delivery to the Power Plant. To reduce load on the crushers, and to minimize breakage, it is desirable to screen out the undersize at 50mm prior to crushing. The low grade coal may also be partially beneficiated by screening at say 13mm and rejecting the fines to waste.

This section reviews the dry screening and crushing units which may be applicable for these duties.

Prior to screening and crushing, hoppers are proposed for the following reasons:

- to give a more even feed to the units
- to permit emptying of the mine conveyors in the event of product conveyors, crushers or screens shutting down
- to divide the feed between modules so as to reduce size segregation and maintain efficiency

The use of rotating chutes to feed the hoppers will distribute the feed between modules and so give some degree of mixing prior to the screening and crushing operations. It should be noted that a degree of melting will take place by virtue of the rotating chute.

# SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING & CRUSHING SYSTEMS

#### 3.5 COAL SCREENING AND SECONDARY CRUSHING - cont.

# 3.5.2 Coal Screening

In selecting machines for these duties, we have been conscious of the need to base design on proven equipment at this stage. Developments in this field are also reviewed. Conventional screens have been incorporated in the layout because the other types of screen reviewed have not yet been proven.

#### 3.5.2.1 Conventional Screens

There should be no problems in using heavy duty 50mm x 50mm woven wire for removing undersize prior to the crushers. Partial blinding of the screen surfaces may occur when the wet clay content is high, but the presence of 200mm particles will keep this to an acceptable level. A safety factor has been used in determining the crusher capacity. The tonnages to be handled are within the capacity of conventional screens. There are many manufacturers : Allis-Chalmers Ripl-flo is widely accepted. The largest unit size is 8 ft. wide x 20 ft. long. It is believed that these screens would be the most suitable for this application.

Consideration was given to the larger units now available, eg. the Siebtechnik Banana Screen. This would reduce the number of units, but more extensive chutework to collect product and feed crushers is required. This also reduces the flexibility which can be achieved by a modular design.

Woven wire or similar decks would not be suitable for screening at 13mm due to blinding when the feed is sticky.

# 3.5.2.2 Heated Deck Screens

Electrically heated decks have been used on moist coal feeds to permit more efficient dry screening in the 15 to 5mm size range. Maintenance may be high, particularly resulting from accidental damage.

#### 3.5.2.3 Rod Deck Screens

Screen decks consisting of rods free to turn and vibrate within oversize mounting apertures are widely used in the 20 to 8mm size range in Europe. They give acceptably efficient dry fines removal, and are low in maintenance cost due to being robust.

# SECTION 3

# DESIGN REQUIREMENTS OF MATERIALS HANDLING, SCREENING & CRUSHING SYSTEMS

# 3.5 COAL SCREENING AND SECONDARY CRUSHING - cont.

3.5.2 Coal Screening - cont.

#### 3.5.2.3 Rod Deck Screens - cont.

One problem is that they are very noisy in operation, and the screening section of the plant should be isolated from continuous operator access.

# 3.5.2.4 Probability Screens

Vibrating screens using a series of oversize decks which give effective fines removal by virtue of the chances of passing nearsize particles forward to overflow have found only limited application.

The National Coal Board (U.K.) has recently developed a rotating probability screen which is said to give good separations in the 12 to 4mm range. The "deck" is a rotating spoked wheel : increasing the wheel speed reduces the size of particle which passes through to undersize product. Performance data has not been made available.

These machines are currently of low unit capacity, typically 100 tonnes per hour, and a complexity of plant thus makes these units less attractive in total scheme cost.

#### 3 5.2.5 Disc Screens

Radmark Engineering have recently developed a version of their disc screen for sizing in the 25 to 10mm range. Simon-Carves assisted with test evaluation, and a high throughput per unit area was obtained. This unit is to be further tested alongside probability screens in the U.K. and may be worth re-evaluation for the Hat Creek project at a later date.

# 3.5.3 Crushing

There are a wide variety of crushers available for reducing 200 x 50mm coal to below 50mm of similar design to the units described earlier. We have selected the Hazemag Impactor with optional heated breaker plates as the best machine for the sticky feed conditions, with the Jeffrey Mud-Hog a close second choice.

# SECTION 4

#### BENEFICIATION OF LOW GRADE COAL BY WET PROCESSING

#### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

Representative areas of coal which have been designated as Low Grade have not been sampled and tested due to their location. There are two situations which may give rise to this categorization:

- admixture of reasonably good coal with a higher than normal proportion of free shale and clay. This might be found for example as shaling out of the coal measures at the fringes of the deposit. Such raw coal would have a relatively good beneficiation potential : ie. cleaning would give a product yield, albiet small, of relatively high calorific value.

 raw coal with an even higher "impregnation" of clay in the particle fissures. This material would have a very poor beneficiation potential.

In the absence of any washability data of such raw coals, this section is concerned with projecting the data obtained for the "Normal" Hat Creek raw coal. These projections show in fact the latter of the above alternatives.

Therefore, washing the Low Grade coals would result in a product of low quality, despite the removal in the washing process of proportionately large quantities of clay as tailings.

A sub-sample of the August 1979 Trench A Low Grade material was subjected to wet screening and gives some confirmation of this, but no definite case for wet processing of the low grade coal can be made until representative samples have been obtained.

# 4.2 BENEFICIATION BY DESLIMING

Since the fines, say -28 mesh, are significantly higher in ash content than the coarse material, desliming the raw coal may give significant cleaning. Table 4-1 shows values which have been projected from the wet screening results obtained for the 1977 Samples X and Y, the CANMET screening tests, and the 1979 Low Grade Coal Sample.

# TABLE 4-1 : BENEFICIATION OF LOW GRADE COAL BY DESLIMING

CV		Product = Screen O/Flow @ 75% Yield		Reject = Sc @ 25%	reen U/Flow Yield	BTU	Degree ' of Beneficiation	
BTU/16	ASH	CV,BTU/16	CV,BTU/16 Ash %		Ash %	81e1d %		
2000	73.00	2440	70.00	679	82.00	91.5	1.27	
2500	69.59	3057	65.80	832	80.96	91.7	1.29	
3000	66.19	3644	61.80	1066	79.36	91.1	1.30	
3500	62.78	4224	57.85	1329	77.57	90.5	1.31	
4000	59.38	4789	54.00	1630	75.52	89.8	1.31	
4500	55.97	5317	50.40	2047	72.68	88.6	1.31	
5000	52.56	5846	46.80	2464	69.84	87.7	1.31	
5500	49.16	6367	43.25	2897	66.89	86.8	1.32	
6000	45.75	6880	39.75	3358	63.75	86.00	1.32	
					,			

4-2

۲

# SECTION 4

#### BENEFICIATION OF LOW GRADE COAL BY WET PROCESSING

# 4.2 BENEFICIATION BY DESLIMING

Desliming does offer a relatively useful degree of cleaning for the lower plant costs involved and moderate loss of heating value for rejects.

All of these rejects must be considered as tailings rather than a solid waste discard. Tailings dewatering and disposal has been considered in the main report on Coal Beneficiation, and the conclusion that this will present formidable problems applies equally in this case. It is relevant to suggest that the recommended pilot plant work should commence with a simple desliming operation on Low Grade coal providing tailings for investigation. Once this problem has been resolved, circuit refinements to give a degree of washing may then be evaluated.

#### 4.3 BENEFICIATION BY WASHING

No Washability Data has been obtained for the Low Grade coals. Therefore, it is necessary to see how available data shows trends which may be projected into this quality range.

Inspection of the Washability Data shows two general trends.

- a) Finer Sizes are dirtier than coarser sizes.
- b) For a given size fraction the higher ash of the poorer coals is due to a lower proportion of low gravity (low ash) coal/higher proportion of middlings and not to an increase in the high gravity (high ash) clay/shale partings material. (Note that this is evidence that we are concerned with a trend in coal quality and not a trend in admixture of even minute partings with relatively good coal. If the latter were the case, we should, with appropriate crushing, have a coal with a relatively good beneficiation potential. Also, note that the washability data in the CANMET Wash Test shows no liberation by crushing).

# SECTION 4

#### BENEFICIATION OF LOW GRADE COAL BY WET PROCESSING

#### 4.3 BENEFICIATION BY WASHING - cont.

#### 4.3.1 Plant Requirements for Washing

The two-stage Water-Only Cyclone System (eg. EMR Canmet) may be considered for washing the raw low grade coal crushed to 40mm. The main disadvantage of this system is that the multitude of cyclone operations: feed classifying, first washing, second washing, and product thickening requires large volumes of water in circulation.

Operating costs for pumping are thus high and the several passes with circulating water will give a high tailings problem as found in the CSMT and EMR Test Washes and the Wet Attrition Tests. Large numbers of cyclones are required due to their relatively limited rejects capacity (25 to 30% of feed).

An alternative form of autogenous medium cyclone is available - the Simdex. The Simdex system was specifically developed for re-washing the rejects from inefficient plants or re-processing mine waste dumps. The Simdex uses the minus 28 mesh fine shale present to form a thick shale suspension in water which then acts in the cyclone as a dense medium for the 40mm x 28 mesh material. Since it was designed for waste coal treatment a Simdex Cyclone has some three times the rejects capacity of similar sized magnetite medium cyclone or water-only cyclone, and simpler liquids circuit.

The process does have disadvantages. Its efficiency is similar to two-stage water-only cyclones, and thus much lower than conventional magnetite medium cyclones. The separation gravities attainable depend on the characteristics of the minus 28 mesh shale particles, and it is probable that the clay content of the Hat Creek coal would be too high.

The Hirst Fine Coal Washer developed by the NCB (UK) has been used for re-washing mine waste piles as well as  $10mm \times 28$  mesh fine coal. Due to the low water requirements, this unit would also warrant consideration.

# SECTION 4

# BENEFICIATION OF LOW GRADE COAL BY WET PROCESSING

#### 4.3 BENEFICIATION BY WASHING - cont.

# 4.3.1 Plant Requirements for Washing - cont.

The modular Coal Washery as presented in the Coal Beneficiation Report, with modifications to the fines circuit, and extensive tailings facilities, could also be used. This would, however, be a very expensive plant for the low recovery of coal obtained. From that study, an approximate cost estimate suggests a capital investment of \$12 million, and an operating cost of \$2.50 per ton of feed. (This does not include the cost of a dewatered tailings disposal area). This would give a product cost of \$6.50 per ton.

It can be concluded that a washing scheme is unlikely to recover useful coal at a cost comparable with mining the equivalent tonnage of additional Normal coal. Although it would be worthwhile investigating the performance of simpler process schemes designed specifically for this Low Grade material, the fact that the bulk of the cost is related to tailings dewatering and disposal means that an economically sound proposal is improbable.

#### 4.3.2 Projected Washing Results

As the fines content increases and becomes dirtier the potential coal recovery from the finer sizes is very low. Therefore, it is not necessary to consider any washing of the minus 28 mesh material.

In Table 4-2 below it can be observed that the "yield error" (Theoretical Yield - Actual Yield) is high due to the difficult washability characteristics. Projecting results for the Low Grade coal therefore gives a very poor return for the cost of washing.

Similarly the reconstituted data from the CANMET Wash Test shows, for the  $3/8" \times 28$  mesh size fraction a theoretical yield of 86.0% at 17.3% ash, but an actual yield of 75.6% from the 24.2% ash raw coal.

Table 4-3 shows values projected for the Low Grade coals in the 2000 to 5000 BTU/1b range.

ł

# SECTION 4

# BENEFICIATION OF LOW GRADE COALS BY WET PROCESSING

# TABLE 4-2: BENEFICIATION POTENTIAL OF 1/4" x 28 MESH COALS- SEPARATION IN TWO-STAGE WATER CYCLONES

SAMPLE	<u>% ASH OF RAW</u>	<u>COAL</u> <u>CL</u>	CLEAN COAL, ½" x 28 MESH						
			% YIELD						
	$\frac{4''}{4''} \times 0$ $\frac{1}{4''} \times 0$	<u>x 28M % Ash</u>	<u>Theoretical</u>	<u>Actual</u>					
Z	26.9 2	28.9 21.6	82.0	62.4					
С	29.1 2	16.9	78.5	65.2					
В	36.3 3	4.3 25.3	77.2	65.1					
Χ + Υ	42.9 3	25.5	77.3	65.4					
А	57.2 4	8.8 37.9	77.7	65.3					

# TABLE 4-3 : BENEFICIATION OF LOW GRADE COAL BY TOTAL WASHING

RAW COAL		CLEAN	COAL PROD	JCT	REJECTS TAIL	INCLUDING INGS	– BTH	Degree
CV BTU/1b	CV ASH 3TU/15 % d.b.		YIELD ASH Wt % % d.b.		ASH % d.b.	CV BTU/16	Yield %	of Beneficiation
2000	73.00	42.0	63.6	3380	80.1	958	71.0'	1.95
2500	69.59	43.2	56.7	4392	79.6	1031	75.7	2.15
3000	66.19	44.4	50.8	52.58	78.6	1178	77.8	2.28
3500	62.78	45.6	45.2	6080	77.5	1339	79.2	2.40
4000	59.38	46.8	40.1	6829	76.3	1516	79.8	2.51
4500	55.97	48.0	35.7	7474	74.5	1780	79.7	2.60
5000	52.56	49.2	31.6	8076	73.0	2000	79.5	2.68

NOTE: These results do not include allowance for the "yield error" of the washing processes. Based on the probable use of a water-only washing cyclone system this would involve a reduction of about 10% in the yield, eg. for a 3,000 BTU/lb raw coal the actual yield would be 40.0% by weight, the BTU yield 69.8%. 

# SECTION 5

#### BENEFICIATION OF LOW GRADE COAL BY DRY SCREENING

#### 5.1 INTRODUCTION

Our March 1978 Interim Report considered various sets of washability data from samples B, Y, X and A. Ash content versus size consist was plotted for each of these samples. A similar geometric trend was observed and further curves were projected to general relations for coals of 4500, 3700 and 3000 BTU/1b.

Additional data was available for this study which included the CANMET Test data, an independent sample taken in 1977, and the two new samples of Low Grade Coal taken in June/July 1979. This additional data was plotted using the same parameters as previous study work. The X and Y samples' data was combined in a 50/50 ratio and the composite data set was treated as a single set of data. Data from Sample C was also considered in this study.

#### 5.2 THEORETICAL BENEFICIATION

Table 5-1 shows the theoretical results of dry screening various coals ranging in calorific value from 2000 BTU/1b to 6000 BTU/1b in increments of 500 BTU/1b. The corresponding ash of each coal quality was calculated using the revised ash/calorific value correlation equation.

It was assumed that the size of classification would be chosen such that 50% of the feed would report to overflow and a like amount to underflow. The average ash differential for a 50% classification is 6.84% (See Appendix III). Therefore, the screen overflow ash will be 6.84% cleaner than the feed ash. Similarly, the screen underflow ash will be 6.84% dirtier than the feed ash.

These theoretical ashes were applied to each raw coal quality and the corresponding calorific values were calculated using the given calorific value/ash correlation equation.

. ~

- --

--1

....

TABLE 5-1 : BENEFICIATION BY SCREENING OF LOW GRADE COALS (THEORETICAL)

E

RAW COAL		PRODUCT = SCREEN O/FLOW @ 50% YIELD		REJECT = SC @ 50%	REEN U/FLOW YIELD	DECREE	9 PTI
CV BTU/1b	ASH % d.b.	CV BTU/16	ASH % d.b.	CV BTU/1b	ASH % d.b.	OF BENEFICIATION	RECOVERY
2000	73.00	3004	66.16	996	79.84	1.66	75.10
2500	69.59	3504	62.75	1496	76.43	1.55	70.10
3000	66.19	4004	59.35	1996	73.03	1.49	66.73
3500	62.78	4504	55.94	2496	69.62	1.44	64.34
4000	59.38	5004	52.54	2996	66.22	1.41	62.55
4500	55.97	5504	49.13	3496	62.81	1.39	61.16
5000	52.56	6004	45.72	3996	59.40	1.38	60.04
5500	49.16	6504	42.32	4496	56.00	1.37	59.13
6000	45.75	7004	38.91	4996	52.59	1.37	58.37

- -

5-2

ţ

+

# SECTION 5

#### BENEFICIATION OF LOW GRADE COAL BY DRY SCREENING

#### 5.3 PREDICTED BENEFICIATION

Note that the above exercise did not consider the effects of screening inefficiency. Various data was collected regarding the partition factors applicable to dry screening operations as accumulated over the years. After considerable assessment and collation of this information a series of partition factors was calculated. These factors were then applied to the anticipated size consist of the feed.

The partition factors for the screening operation were applied against the anticipated size consist (see Appendix III) to determine the distribution of material in the screening operation.

The screen overflow and underflow qualities were predicted by applying the overflow and underflow quantities against the ash distribution. The ash distribution used was the same as that for the theoretical predictions. Table 5-2 below shows the predicted screen overflow and underflow ash and calorific value for various coals ranging in quality from 2000 BTU/lb to 6000 BTU/lb in 500 BTU/lb increments.

To further illustrate the beneficiation potential shown in Table 5-2, using dry screening consider the following example. Consider the case of a feed of 400 tonnes corresponding to the top four rows of Table 5-2, viz 25% @ 2000 BTU/1b, 25% @ 2500 BTU/1b etc. Assume that the quality of the product is equally distributed throughout.

If no screening were applied, the yield would be 400 tonnes at 3000 BTU/lb ie. the average calorific value of the feed.

If a manual "Cut-off" of 3000 BTU/1b was applied to this feed, 50% would be rejected viz 2000 BTU/1b and 2500 BTU/1b, as being below grade. Therefore the yield would be 200 tonnes at 3500 BTU/1b ie. the average calorific value of the acceptable quality product.

If dry screening were employed with only the screen overflow monitored by a Bulk Density Meter, the yield would be 247.6 tonnes at 3461 BTU/lb.

If dry screening were employed with Bulk Density Meters measuring ash of both the screen overflow and underflow products, the "cut-off" would be applied to each of said products simultaneously. With the overflow meter set to "cut-off" at 3000 BTU/lb, the product yield would be 179 tonnes at 3740 BTU/lb. Similarly, with the underflow meter set at 2540 BTU/lb, the yield would be 68.6 tonnes at 2896 BTU/lb. Together this would represent a yield of 247.6 tonnes at 3506 BTU/lb. ----

TABLE 5	5-2	:	BENEFICIATION	ΒY	SCREENING	0F	LOW	GRADE	COALS	PREDICTED	FOR	20MM	<b>APERTURE</b>
---------	-----	---	---------------	----	-----------	----	-----	-------	-------	-----------	-----	------	-----------------

-----)

E

\_\_\_

F

3

.

.

.

----

E

\_

RAW COAL		PRODUCT = S @ 61.9%	CREEN O/FLOW YIELD	REJECT = SCR @ 38.1%	EEN U/FLOW YIELD	DEGREE	% BTU	
CV BTU/1b	ASH % d.b.	CV BTU/16	ASH CV ASH % d.b. BTU/1b % d.b.		ASH % d.b.	OF BENEFICIATION	RECOVERY	
2000	73.00	2461	69.86	1251	78.10	1.29	76.17	
2500	69.59	2961	66.45	1751	74.69	1.24	73.31	
3000	66.19	3461	63.05	2251	71.29	1.21	71.41	
3500	62.78	3961	59.64	2751	67.88	1.19	70.05	
4000	59.38	4461	56.24	3251	64.48	1.18	69.03	
4500	55.97	4961	52.83	3751	61.07	1.17	68.24	
5000	52.56	5461	49.42	4251	57.66	1.16	67.61	
5500	49.16	5961	46.02	4751	54.26	1.16	67.09	
6000	45.75	6461	42.61	5251	50.85	1.16	66.66	

F1490 BCHPA - Hat Creek Materials Handling, Screening & Crushing Scheme

•

5-4

1.21

# SECTION 5

#### BENEFICIATION OF LOW GRADE COAL BY DRY SCREENING

#### 5.3 PREDUCTED BENEFICIATION - cont.

This clearly illustrates the advantage of beneficiation by dry screening in conjunction with Bulk Density Meters monitoring products. The above calculations assume that the manual "In-pit" section of the Normal/Low Grade and Low Grade/Waste cut-offs at 4000 and 2000 BTU/lb are effected with 100% accuracy. In practice, this would present a formidable operating problem.

The overall advantage of using the Bulk Density Meters illustrated above will be magnified several times when practical fluctuations in this In-pit selection are taken into account. In fact the only result which could be applied with reasonable confidence is the use of Bulk Density Meters monitoring both screening products.

In practice all material for example between 5000 BTU/1b and waste observed to contain some coal could be directed to the Low Grade Coal circuit for automatic optimization of recovery. This will greatly ease problems of mining system control.

The Bulk Density Ash Meters will need to be monitored and adjusted regularly to take account of variations in material characteristics. If the Normal coal is directed via the No. 1 Product Conveyors to the Blending Stockpile, and the No. 2 Product Conveyors are used only for the selected Low Grade coal product, the No. 2 Continuous Ash Meter will provide this monitoring facility.

#### 5.4 PLANT REQUIREMENTS

The equipment requirements for screening and crushing have already been considered in Section 3.

A proposed scheme has been outlined based on these findings, and integrated into the Normal Coal handling, screening and crushing scheme. This is described in Section 6.

# SECTION 6

#### DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

#### 6.1 BASIS OF DESIGN

This scheme has been designed to provide capacity for the removal of  $9000 \text{ m}^3/\text{hr}$ . of material from the mine. Bulk density of the material to be removed ranges from 800 kg/m for coal to 1600 kg/m for waste. Material to be removed will fall within this range. The scheme has provided for 1400mm wide conveyors running at 4.5m sec. to handle this capacity.

The original study called for three conveyors plus a future standby conveyor to perform the above described duty. These conveyors were to be completely interchangeable, that is, able to handle coal, waste, or a combination of both. However, the revised scheme, in order to maximize the availability and suitability of the conveying systems, has individual conveying systems for individual conveying duties.

The separation of the conveying systems by the products being carried has not been at expense of operating flexibility. The proposed conveying systems fall into two discrete categories, namely coal and waste. The coal conveyor out of the mine will handle coal only. A second conveyor will handle waste and clay only. A third conveyor will provide back-up waste capacity for the second conveyor but without provision for handling clay. The fourth conveyor will be capable of being a standby coal conveyor and standby waste conveyor in addition to being the low grade coal conveyor.

All conveyors are capable of transporting up to  $300 \text{ m}^3/\text{hr}$ . This corresponds to the equivalent of 5000 tonnes/hour of waste and 3200 tonnes/hour of coal.

The coal handling system at the mine mouth has been designed for two grades of coal, namely normal coal and low-grade coal. The former system has been designed for 3200 tonnes/hour and incorporates four streams each capable of 1000 tonnes/hour. The low-grade screening and handling system is designed for 1000 tonnes/hour and consists of two streams each capable of 500 tonnes/hour.

The normal coal screening and crushing with four modules rated at 1000 tonnes/hour each is designed to crush 350 tonnes/hour per stream. The anticipated size analysis predicts that only 200 tonnes/hour is required, and therefore a coarser size analysis could be catered for.

# SECTION 6

#### DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

# 6.1 BASIS OF DESIGN - cont.

The in-pit primary crushing systems have been designed for the individual materials. The normal coal truck dump station will employ a 600mm square grizzly above the 300 tonne capacity surge hopper. Crushing will be attained using a Siebra type crusher with capability for selective crushing.

The waste and low grade coal crushing systems employing a similar grizzly will crush using an Impact type crusher.

The 600mm square grizzlies on the truck dump hoppers will limit the maximum particle size to any specific crusher to 600mm x 600mm x 1200mm.

# 6.2 DESCRIPTION OF SELECTED SCHEME

This description should be read in conjunction with drawing F1490-01.

# 6.2.1 Normal Coal

Normal coal will be delivered to one of the normal coal truck dump stations and deposited in the surge hopper. Reclaim from

said hopper will be by apron feeder discharging onto a roller screen working in conjunction with a Siebra type crusher. The roller screen will effect a size classification allowing smaller particles to pass through the rollers. Larger particles will be reduced in size by the overhead crushing mechanism. This mechanism will be designed to allow large pieces of uncrushable material such as petrified wood to pass under itself by lifting up. Therefore these large pieces of hard material will be discharged onto a conveyor for transport by truck.

The coal, either passing freely through the roller screen or reduced and forced through, will be collected on a transfer conveyor for delivery to the Normal Coal Conveyor. This conveyor will transport the normal coal from the mine and deliver to the Mine Conveyors Drive and Transfer House. From this point the coal will be transferred onto a second Normal Coal Conveyor for delivery to the Normal Coal Surge Bins. A rotating chute will distribute the coal feed equally into four bins.

# SECTION 6

#### DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

# 6.2 DESCRIPTION OF SELECTED SCHEME - cont.

6.2.1 Normal Coal - cont.

Reclaim from each of the above four bins will be by apron feeder. Each feeder will discharge onto a conveyor delivering to the Screening and Crushing House. The coal will be discharged from each delivery conveyor onto a two-deck inclined vibrating screen. The top decks of these four screens will be fitted with 50mm square woven wire surfaces to effect size classification at 50mm nominal. The lower deck will be fitted with mild steel plate and function as a carrying deck.

Screen overflow will be discharged into an impact type crusher for reduction to minus 50mm. Screen underflow will be carried forward to blend with the crusher product. The minus 50mm normal coal will gravitate into a bifurcated chute for diversion to either the No. 1 or No. 2 Products Conveyors.

The No. 1 and No. 2 Products Conveyors will run parallel to the Transfer House where the coal will be transferred to a second parallel pair of conveyors. These second No. 1 and No. 2 Products Conveyors will deliver the coal to the Sampling House. A further transfer of the coal onto a third pair of Products Conveyors will occur in this house. These conveyors will deliver the coal to the Blending Piles Feed Conveyor or the Reclaim and Bypass Conveyor.

#### 6.2.2 Low Grade Coal

Low grade coal will be delivered to one of the low grade coal/waste truck dump stations. These truck dump stations will also be capable of accepting waste material or coal. The truck dump hopper will be fitted with a grizzly having 600mm square openings.

Material in the hopper will be reclaimed by a reciprocating push feeder and discharged onto a cascading vibrating grizzly having 200mm square openings. The grizzly overflow will be discharged into an impact type crusher for size reduction to minus 200mm. Grizzly underflow together with the crusher product will gravitate onto a transfer conveyor for transport to either the standby waste conveyor or the low grade coal conveyor. A bifurcated chute will divert the material to either conveyor depending on guality.

# SECTION 6

#### DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

# 6.2 DESCRIPTION OF SELECTED SCHEME - cont.

#### 6.2.2 Low Grade Coal - cont.

Low grade coal carried on the Low Grade Coal Conveyor will be delivered to the Drive and Transfer House. The option will exist at this point to either divert the feed product to the normal coal system should said product be normal coal or to transfer onto the Low Grade Coal Conveyor for delivery to the Low Grade Coal Bins. Should the former option be applicable, the coal would be passed onto a Normal Coal Bypass Conveyor for transport to the Normal Coal Conveyor for delivery to the top of the Normal Coal Bins.

Low grade coal will be discharged into the Low Grade Coal Bin by means of a reciprocating chute arrangement to ensure an equal distribution to each of the two bins. Reclaim of low grade coal from each bin bottom will be by apron feeder. Each apron feeder will feed onto a low grade coal conveyor delivering to the Screen and Crush House for Low Grade Coal. Each conveyor will then discharge its product onto an inclined three deck vibrating screen. The top deck will be fitted with a 50mm square opening woven wire deck while the middle deck will be fitted with a rod deck having 13mm spacings. The bottom deck will be blanked off with mild steel plate and perform as a carrying deck.

The plus 50mm oversized material carried on the top deck will be discharged into an impact type crusher for reduction to minus 50mm. Material sized 50 x 13mm will pass via a chute to join the crusher product. A portion of this product will be directed into a Bulk Density Meter for ash monitoring. The ash value will determine which conveyor the plus 13mm low grade coal will be discharged onto. Should a low ash reading indicate the plus 13mm function as being acceptable boiler fuel, said fraction would gravitate onto the No. 2 Product Conveyor. Conversely, a high ash reading would cause the flop gate in the bifurcated chute to automatically divert the plus 13mm coal onto the No. 1 Rejects Conveyor.

The minus 13mm low grade coal carried on the lower deck will be similarly sampled on a Bulk Density Meter to determine ash. A bifurcated chute and flop gate will divert this product to either the No. 1 Rejects Conveyor or the No. 2 Products Conveyor depending on the measured ash. The routing of the latter conveyor has been described in Section 6.2.1.

#### SECTION 6

#### DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

#### 6.2 DESCRIPTION OF SELECTED SCHEME - cont.

6.2.2 Low Grade Coal - cont.

The No. 1 Rejects Conveyor will terminate at the No. 1 Rejects Conveyor Transfer House where the product will be discharged onto the No. 2 Rejects Conveyor. This conveyor will deliver to the No. 2 Rejects Conveyor Transfer House where the product will be fed into a bifurcated chute. The position of this chute will determine which waste conveyor will carry the product to the Houth Meadows Mine Waste Area.

# 6.2.3 Waste/Clay

A separate dump pocket, with a capacity of one truckload of material, will be installed at the first and second dump station to handle wet clay. This pocket will be located directly over the general waste conveyor allowing the transfer of material to the conveyor through an apron feeder, eliminating handling through a crusher. A grizzly will screen off oversize material.

Optimum operation would ensure that a layer of waste material already on the belt would prevent the clay from making contact with the belt. This would minimize belt cleaning problems. Note that this clay handling system is preliminary and is subject to review.

The Waste/Clay Conveyor will deliver to the Drive and Transfer House located at the mine mouth. This conveyor will transfer directly onto either of the Waste Conveyors, delivering to the Houth Meadows Mine Waste Area. Future provision has been made for the transfer of this waste material onto an alternate Waste/Clay Conveyor for delivery to the Medicine Creek Mine Waste and Ash Disposal Area. This future conveyor would originate at the Drive and Transfer House for the Mine Conveyor. Transfer points would be located at the Sampling House and two other transfer houses.
## SECTION 6

#### DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

#### 6.2 DESCRIPTION OF SELECTED SCHEME - cont.

#### 6.2.3 Waste/Clay - cont.

A fourth conveyor out of the mine will be suitable for carrying waste material without clay. This conveyor will be fed by transfer conveyors from the various truck dump stations. Upon reaching the Drive and Transfer House, the waste will be discharged onto the Waste Conveyor feeding into the two Waste Bins. Distribution to the two bins will be via a bifurcated chute.

Provision has been made to allow these bins to overflow into Emergency Truck Loading Chutes should the conveyors to Houth Meadows be inoperative. Normally, the waste will be reclaimed from the bins by apron feeder with one feeder under each bin. These feeders will discharge onto a common conveyor delivering to the two Waste Conveyors to Houth Meadows. The position of a flop gate in a bifurcated chute will determine which conveyor will carry the waste to Houth Meadows.

## 6.2.4 Special Operating Features

As mentioned previously, the non-interchangeability of the conveying systems will not detract from the operating flexibility of the scheme. The scheme will allow all materials to be extracted from the mine (with the exception of clay) should any one conveyor be lost.

Therefore, in the event the Normal Coal Conveyor was shut down, the Low Grade Coal Conveyor could be loaded with normal coal and transfer this product to the normal coal system at the mine mouth. Similarly, the loss of a waste conveyor would place the Low Grade Coal Conveyor in a waste conveyor mode carrying waste to the Waste Bins. Homogenous clay, however, would have to be stockpiled until the Waste/Clay Conveyor resumed service.

## SECTION 6

## DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

## 6.2 <u>DESCRIPTION OF SELECTED SCHEME - cont.</u>

## 6.2.4 Special Operating Features - cont.

Other features in addition to back-up flexibility, include the possibility of producing normal coal from Zones A, B and C simultaneously with premium fuel from Zone D. This would be applicable in the case where the premium grade fuel stockpile at the boilers was at a low level. In this case, the Normal Coal Conveyor would carry the Zone D coal through the normal coal system and onto No. 1 Products Conveyor. This conveyor would transfer onto the Reclaim and Bypass Conveyor for delivery directly to the Power Station. Normal coal from Zones A, B and C would be carried on the Low Grade Coal Conveyor at a reduced rate to the Low Grade Coal System. The screened and crushed product would then be deposited onto No. 2 Products Conveyor for delivery to the Blending Piles.

## SECTION 6

#### DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

#### 6.3 COST SUMMARY

6.3.1 The "Order of Magnitude" Estimate on labour and material for the Material Handling, Screening and Crushing facilities, as described herein, is enclosed as Appendix 5 of this Report.

The following items are not included in this pricing:

Land Purchase Excavation & Site Preparation Railway Tracks & Roads Main Power Supply Potable & Process Water Supply Construction Camp General Workshops & Stores Facilities General Offices Including Laboratory Sewage/Effluent Treatment & Tailings Ponds Drive & Transfer House for Mine Conveyors Waste Conveyor to Disposal & Conveyors to Blending Piles Reclaim Bypass & Future Waste

In addition, the following factors have not been taken into consideration:

Contingencies Escalation Federal & Provincial Sales Taxes Allowance for Winter Work Premium Time Inspection & Testing Contract Indirects

#### SECTION 6

#### DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

#### 6.3 COST SUMMARY - cont.

6.3.2 The "Order of Magnitude" Estimate on Head Office and Site/ Commissioning costs relative to the Material Handling, Screening and Crushing facilities, as described herein, is are follows:

Head Office Engineering	\$1,600,000
Disbursements	275,000
Insurance	175,000
Site/Commissioning including Expenses	750,000
Risk Allowance and Fee, etc.	600,000
Project Total	\$3,400,000

6.3.3 The following comments are applicable to the above costs:

- a) Disbursements include such items as Travel and Living Expenses, Reproduction Costs, Telephone and Telex, etc.
- b) Construction and Commissioning activities have been assumed on a continuous basis through to project completion.
- c) Pricing is on a current day basis.
- d) The scope of the work is as generally shown on Drawing Numbers F1490-01 and 02, Revision 2.

ena or the construction

## SECTION 6

## DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

## 6.4 OPERATING COSTS & MANPOWER FORECAST

6.4.1 Operating Cost Summary

	<u>\$/Year</u>	\$/Tonne
Supplies - Maintenance - Laboratory	818,022 30,000	0.082 0.003
Power	940,000	0.094
Lighting	50,000	0.005
Heating	507,818	0,051
Dust Suppression	100,000	0.010
Sub-Total	2,445,840	0.245
Contingency 25%	611,460	0.061
Total	\$3,057,300	0.306

Exclusions: Mine Conveyors Mining Equipment Labour - Direct - Indirect Supplies - Mobile Equipment - Lúbricants Depreciation Amortization

NOTE: Operating costs are based on a mine output of 10 million tonnes per year.

n an a fan de generaan op in oer weken waarde en en generaal generaan de kerken weken aan weken in de staaf gew

## SECTION 6

## DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

# 6.4 <u>OPERATING COSTS & MANPOWER FORECAST</u> - cont.

## 6.4.2 <u>Recommended Operating Manpower Forecast</u>

	<u>Shift</u>
Direct	<u>1 2 3 S T</u>
Plant Super. Asst. Plant Super. Shift Foreman General Control Operator Operators (Plant) Operators (Truck-dump) Clean-up	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
<pre></pre>	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
Y I F.M. (1 Mech. 1 Elect.) Millwrights Mechanic Pipefitter Machinist Welders Electricians Elect. Helpers Carpenter Painter	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
(F.M. Labourers Drivers	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

## SECTION 6

## DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

## 6.4 OPERATING COSTS & MANPOWER FORECAST - cont.

6.4.3	Maintenanc	e Supplies &	Lab	<u>our</u> (r	efer	' t	ю р	ages	6-13 & 6-14	for	Cap	ital
-	Cost Break	down)						¢	<u>\$/Year</u>			
	( In-plant ( (	Mechanical Platework Electrical	\$7 \$ \$2	,576,0 826,4 ,203,0	40 35 100	X X 2 X	5% 20% 2%	= =	378,802 165,287 44,060		-	-
T -	ruck Dump ( Normal ( Coal (	Mechanical Platework Electrical	\$ \$ \$	757,0 68,6 40,3	25 30 00 2	X 2 X 2	5% 20% 2%		37,851 13,726 806			
T -	ruck Dump ( Low Grade( Coal (	Mechanical P <b>latewor</b> k Electrical	\$1 \$ \$	,131,6 144,7 80,7	35 30 00	X X 2 X	5% 20% 2%	=	56,582 28,946 1,614			
T -	ruck Dump ( Waste (	Mechanical Platework Electrical	\$1 \$ \$	,073,1 128,6 80,7	00 ) 25 ) 00 )	X X 2 X	5% 20% 2%	= = =	53,655 25,725 1,614			
T -	ruck Dump ( Clay (	Mechanical Platework Electrical	\$ \$ \$	170,7 3,4 6,9	00 ) 00 ) 50 )	X X X	5% 20% 2%		8,535 680 139			

a 12 g

\$818,022

OR \$0.082/tonne

## SECTION 6

## DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

## 6.4 OPERATING COSTS & MANPOWER FORECAST - cont.

6.4.6 Estimate Breakdown - Truck Dump & Primary Crushing (4 Stations)

Category	Normal <u>Coal</u> \$	Low Grade <u>Coal</u> \$	<u>Waste</u> \$	Wet Clay Dump \$	<u>Totals</u> \$
Structural Steel	341,760	341,760	341,760	143,000	1,168,280
Civils	330,390	330,390	330,390	510,000	1,501,170
Platework	68,830	144,730	128,625	3,400	345,585
Mechanical	757,025	1,131,635	1,073,100	170,070	3,131,830
Electrics	40,300	80,700	80,700	6,950	208,650
TOTALS	1,538,305	2,029,215	1,954,575	833,420	6,355,515

The above totals are exclusive of engineering.

## SECTION 6

## DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

## 6.4 OPERATING COSTS & MANPOWER FORECAST - cont.

6.4.7 Estimate Breakdown - Screening Plant & Conveyors

	\$	\$
Earthwork & Concrete	867,800	
Structural Steelwork	3,333,200	
Architectural	<u>1,013,320</u>	5,214,320
Platework	826,435	826,435
Mechanicals		
Conveyors	4,795,400	
Crushers	625,000	-
Screens	301,800	
Feeders	720,000	<b>)</b> '
Cranes, Hoists	193,000	
Sampling Equipment	117,000	
Dust Suppression	250,000	
Actuators	26,700	
Meters (Ash)	363,200	
Freight on all Mechanical	183,940	7,576,040
<u>Electrical</u>	•	2,203,000
		\$15,819,795

## SECTION 6

## DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

## 6.4 OPERATING COSTS & MANPOWER FORECAST - cont.

6.4.8 <u>Power</u>

A) Truck Dump Stations

#### i) Normal Coal Truck Dump

	Equipment	Description	<u>HP</u>
	1103M 1105M-1 M-2 M-3 1109M 1112M	Apron Feeder Roller Screen Roller Screen Crusher Crusher Reject Conveyor Crusher Underflow	100 40 40 50 75
	1114M	Conveyor Sump Pump	175 20
		Total	500
ii)	Waste/Low Grade Coal	Truck Dump	
	1203M 1204M 1207M 1211M 1215M	Plate Feeder Grizzly Crusher Transfer Conveyor Sump Pump	100 60 900 300 20
		Total	1380
iii)	Waste/Clay Truck Dum	2	
	Same as ii) above	Total	1380
iv)	<u>Clay Dump</u>		
		Apron Feeder Sump Pump	100 20
		Total	120

## SECTION 6

## DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

# 6.4 <u>OPERATING COSTS & MANPOWER FORECAST</u> - cont.

6.4.8 Power

ويحار والمحاجر والمحاج المحاجبة والمحاج الأرامي

B) In-Plant

<u>Equipment No</u> .	Description	HP
3288	Conveyor	150
3202	Conveyor	450
3463	Conveyor	450
3476	Conveyor	450
3352	Conveyor	700
3452	Conveyor	700
3204	Rotary Chute Drive	10
3354	Rotary Chute Drive	10
3210	Feeder	75
3211	Feeder	75
3212	Feeder	75
3313	Feeder	75
3358	Feeder	75
3359	Feeder	75
3455	Feeder	75
<b>34</b> 58	Feeder	75
3214	Conveyor	200
3215	Conveyor	200
3216	Conveyor	200
3217	Conveyor	200
3360	Conveyor	200
3361	Conveyor	200
3460	Conveyor	300
3226	Screen	40
3227	Screen	40
3228	Screen	40
3229	Screen	40
3366	Screen	50
3367	Screen	50
3262	Ash Meter	3 ]
3263	Ash Meter	Future ک 3
3264	Ash Meter	3 )
<b>32</b> 65	Ash Meter	3 /
3385	Ash Meter	3
3391	Ash Meter	3
3384	Ash Meter	3
3390	Ash Meter	3
3242	Crusher	150

## SECTION 6

#### DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

#### 6.4 OPERATING COSTS & MANPOWER FORECAST - cont.

6.4.8 Power

B) In-Plant

Equipment No.	Description	<u>HP</u>
3243	Crusher	150
3244	Crusher	150
3245	Crusher	150
3374	Crusher	150
3375	Crusher	150
3270	Conveyor	250
3272	Conveyor	250
3274	Conveyor	350
3276	Conveyor	350
3395	Conveyor	100
-	Sampling House	30
3280	Conveyor	700
3283	Conveyor	700
3397	Conveyor	125
	•	<u> </u>

Total 9047

Cost = Connected HP X load utilization X 0.746 X Hrs/Yr X equipment utilization X cost/kWHr.

#### Truck Dump

Cost = 3380 X 0.6 X 0.746 X 8496 X 0.8 X \$0.020 = \$205,656.

#### In-Plant

Cost = 9047 X 0.8 X 0.746 X 8496 X 0.8 X \$0.020 = \$733,952

Total \$939,608

Say \$940,000 or \$0.094/tonne.

6-17

and Anderson and Andrewski - and an inclusion was the case the case the case of the contraction of the second of the

## SECTION 6

#### DESIGN AND COST OF SCHEME

#### 6.4 OPERATING COSTS & MANPOWER FORECAST - cont.

#### 6.4.9 Heating

Fuel consumption = 0.0007 U.S. gallons of oil/degree day based on 70°F temperature gradient and a radiation loss of 240 BTU/sq. ft. per hour. (source: Mechanical Engineers Handbook, 6th Edition by Marks - Heating and Ventilation section) Degree days for Kamloops: 6800 (source: Climatic Information for Building Design in Canada - 1965 Edition, Supplement No. 1 NBC of Canada)

Approx. building area (walls and roof) = 150,000 sq. ft.

Fuel consumption = 150,000 X 0.0007 X 60°F/70°F X 6800

= 612,000 U.S. gallons.

Equivalent Power = <u>612,000 X 141,600 BTU/U.S. gallon</u> 3413 BTU/kW.Hr. = 25,390,917 kW.Hr. Cost = 25,390,917 X \$0.02/kW.Hr. = \$507,818/yr. or \$0.051/tonne.

6.4.10 Dust Suppression

Dust suppression is based on the 'Chem-Jet' system of spraying the stream of material. This fluid is made of the chemical suppressant diluted in water in the ratio of 1:1000.

According to the distributors, ABART Engineering Co., Toronto, the average cost of coal dust suppression in this application would be \$0.005/tonne. However, due to the screening, crushing, and numerous transfer points, a figure of \$0.010 is considered appropriate.

## SECTION 7

## DESIGN AND COST OF TRUCK DUMP AND IN-PIT CRUSHING UNITS

#### 7.1 BASIS OF DESIGN

The in-pit truck dump pockets fall into three categories depending upon duties required. The normal coal will be deposited in a truck dump hopper, reclaimed by an apron feeder and passed onto a Siebra type crusher (or equal) for size reduction to minus 200mm. Nominal capacity for this system will be 1500 m3/hour which is approximately equivalent to 1500 tonnes/hour. These dump pockets will be situated adjacent to the four main mine conveyors. Reduced product will be transferred to the Normal Coal Conveyor via a transfer conveyor.

The waste will be deposited into a truck dump hopper and reclaimed by an inclined push feeder. This feeder will deposit the waste on a vibrating grizzly fitted with 200mm square apertures. Undersize will gravitate directly onto a transfer conveyor to transport the waste to the Main Mine Conveyors. Grizzly oversize will be reduced to minus 200mm by an impact type crusher (Hazemag or equal). The reduced product will also be deposited on the above-mentioned transfer conveyor.

Nominal capacity for the waste reduction system is 1500 m<sup>3</sup>/hour which is equivalent to the extremes of 1500 tonnes/hour of coal or 2500 tonnes/ hour of rock. Note that two waste systems have been designed and priced. The only difference between the two is that the transfer conveyor of one type terminates above the Waste/Clay Conveyor. The other type has its transfer conveyor terminate midway between the Waste and Low Grade Coal Conveyors. A bifurcated chute would divert the reduced product to either of the above-mentioned conveyors.

The third category of truck dump unit is the clay handling system. The nominal capacity of this system is 350 tonnes/hour. Essentially, pure bentonitic clay will be dumped in a truck dump hopper having vertical sides to minimize sticking. Reclaim will be by apron feeder which will deposit the clay onto the Waste/Clay Conveyor. Future provision will be made to allow the reversal of the apron feeder to feed a second future Waste/Clay Conveyor.

The design of all three units has considered the problems possible from the clay contained in all feeds. In particular, the Siebra crusher has been developed precisely for this type of application. Provision would be made in the impact type crusher to heat all surfaces contacted by the product to prevent buildup. Chute angles and hopper angles have also been purpose designed to minimize sticking.

## SECTION 7

#### DESIGN AND COST OF TRUCK DUMP AND IN-PIT CRUSHING UNITS

7.1 BASIS OF DESIGN - cont.

The units have been priced individually since there is no idea at this time as to how many units will be required. However, the unit price can be multiplied by the number of units dictated by the final mining plan. Also, some components of redundant dump stations could be reused as the pit deepens.

The design of all the units has assumed that the truck dump hopper grizzlies would be situated on top of a bench. This will minimize excavation costs. Therefore, the mine designers must be aware of this feature when devising the final mining plan.

- 7.2 DESCRIPTION OF SELECTED SCHEME
  - \* 7.2.1 Normal Coal
  - \* 7.2.2 Low Grade Coal
  - \* 7.2.3 <u>Waste/Clay</u>
  - \* 7.2.4 Special Operating Features
- \* Refer to similar items in Section 6 for the facilities as shown on Drawings F1490-07 Rev. 1 for the ROM Waste/Low Grade Coal System and on F1490-08 Rev. 1 for the ROM Normal Coal System.
  - 7.3 COST SUMMARY
    - 7.3.1 The "Order of Magnitude" estimate on labour and material for both the ROM Waste/Low Grade and ROM Normal Coal Systems is included as part of Appendix 5 in this Report.

The items listed under Item 6.3.1 as excluded for the material handling, screening and crushing facilities are excluded in the pricing for the alternate systems as described above.

7.3.2 The following is a summary of the "Order of Magnitude" estimate on Head Office, Site and Commissioning costs, together with prime costs for the alternate systems.

#### SECTION 7

## DESIGN AND COST OF TRUCK DUMP AND IN-PIT CRUSHING UNITS

7.3 COST SUMMARY - cont.

7.3.2 cont.

Head Office Engineering	ROM Normal Coal System	ROM Waste/Low Grade Coal System
H.O. Engineering incl. Disbursements & Insurance.	\$	\$
Etc.	140,000	203,000
Prime Cost	1,398,225	2,029,215
Total Estimated Cost	1,538,225	2,232,215

7.3.3 The following comments are applicable to the above costs: -

- a) Disbursements include such items as Travel and Living Expenses, Reproduction Costs, Telephone and Telex, etc.
- b) Construction and Commissioning activities have been assumed on a continuous basis through to project completion.
- c) Pricing is on a current day basis.
- d) The scope of work for the alternate schemes is as generally shown on Drawings F1490-07 and -08, both Revision 1.

ESTIMATE						DESCRIPTION				PROJECT	10
	B	CH	1DRC	<b>,</b>						F	490
SITTUH-LHHYとS OF CANADA LTD. 2025 Sheppard Avenue East	PROJECT	,								····	7-70
Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION					EST'D DATE				SHEET 3	OF 4
	1		07/	UNIT	UNIT			τοτα	LCOST		
		UNIT		COST	M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
NORMAL COAL TR	UCKDUMP										
20 HP MOTO	R	en	/	1,200					1		
40HP MOTO	ors'	en	2	2,600							
									:		
5014P MLOTO	sre l	ea	ł	3000							
75-HP Mc	TOR	Ra	(	5,000					r		· · · ·
1001th MO	Tor		l								
		ea	``	6,500							
200 HO M	TOR	en	(	13000							
							-				
	1		,								

31,300

	•			$\mathbf{\dot{\mathbf{U}}}$			1944				
		= Hyz	RO			DESCRIPTION	OR ST.	ARTERS		PROJECT	NO. 790
2025 Sheppard Avenue East	PROJECT	С	RUSIH	IG STA	TIONS						
Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION	HAT	CREEK	<		EST'D	a	DATE SEP	r 14/79	SHEET	OF 4
ITEM		UNIT	QTY.	UNIT COST	UNIT M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
NORMAL COM TRU	CK DUMP										
	•								in an an Iteration	,	
20HP STARTE	R	ea	1	460	·	460					
40+10 250 HP 5	TARTERS	ea	3	680		2040					
75 HP 5-100 HP ST.	ARTERS	ea	2	//33		2266					
200HD STAR	TER	ea	1	2410	· · · ·	2410			ī		
STARTER RA	tele	lot	1			1824					
:											‡     .
				-							
						9000					

. I. •

1. •

				<u> </u>		:				
ESTIN SIMON- 2025 She	MATE SUMMARY CRRVES of CANADA LTD. Ippard Avenue East	CLIENT B. C. HYDRO PROJECT HAT CREEK	DESCRIPTION	IORMAL 4 FF:II	COAL TI MARY CR	RUCK PUSHING.	SHEET O	1/190		
Willowdale	. Ontario M2J 1W2	LOOATION	EST'D M-j	·	DATE //	5117 79	<u> </u>			
CODE		ITEM	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL		
	MECHANIC	AL & PL'HORK.						685,775		
	STRUCT. S.	TEEL CIVILS						672150		
	ELECTRIC	11						110300		
	<u></u>	E.P.C.					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1398,225		
		8-8 FNGG						140,000		
						;		· ,		
		TOTAL.					. <u></u>	<u>1538,225</u>		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			;				
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				·			
								· · · · ·		
		<u></u>	·							
							<u> </u>	· · · · ·		
								······································		

,

ESTIN SIMON-I 2025 Sher	ATE SUMMARY CARVES of CANADA LTD. Spard Avenue East	CLIENT B.C.	HYDRO	DESCRIPTION	NORT	NAL C T & Pl.	ont. wik.	SHEET OF		
Willowdale,	Ontario M2J 1W2			EST'D		DATE	COST			
CODE		ITEM		EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL	
			TOTAL	537,350	41,290	25065	82010		685,773	
							Ţ			
			,							
	<u></u>									
			····							
	<u></u>									
								1		
		······································		· .	· ·			<u></u>		
	······································						i.			
	· · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	, <u>,                                   </u>		<u> </u>				·	- <u></u>		
		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>								
	<u> </u>	······································	<u></u>							
	······	<u>,</u>	<u> </u>							

1

\_\_\_\_

simo	ESTIMATE IN-CARVES of canada ltd.	CLIENT BC	HPA				DESCRIPTION	CCAL TA	CUCK DUM	112 12.415	PROJECT	<b>NO.</b> 1490 - Марикански марикански марикански марикански марикански марикански марикански марикански марикански марика 1990 - При на
2025 S Willowd	Sheppard Avenue East Iale, Ontario M2J 1W2		TIAT C	REEK			FST'D	9124 CRU	15 HIXILT DATE		SHEET	
				T		LINIT			тотя	LCOST		· · ·
	ITEM		UNIT	QTY.	COST	м/н	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
(161	NORMAL COAL TRUCK HOPPER BOO MT CAN	DUMIP		1			IIICL STR	, <b>U</b> ITH VCT, ST		•		
1102	CHUTES AND SI FOR FEEDER	KIRT5	· ·	1855				1670	50	1120		2840
1103	APRON FEEDE 72"W.x 1 100 BEDPL	8 1. C. 8 1. C. ATT:		1500	#			1350	40	900		2290
1104	FEEDER DEA CHUTE	לי		3965	#			3570	110	2380		6060
1105	ROLLER SUR Yw SIEFSA C	RUSHER		1	#		14143,000	4050	21.000	30,000 2700	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	500,870
	CONTRA DEIVI	- 1251/2				·	443,000	10640	21320	37100		512060

where ites

SIMOI 2025 SI	ESTIMATE SIMON-CARVES OF CANADA LTD. 2025 Sheppard Avenue East Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2						DESCRIPTION		3		PROJECT I	NO. 5 14 973
Willowda	ale, Ontario M2J tW2	LOCATION		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			EST'D		DATE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SHEET 2	o F
	ITEM	- <b>I</b>	UNIT	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT			ТОТА	LCOST		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
						M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
1106	STEBRA CRUSS UNDERFLOURD	FER ITE		19065	#	• • •		17,160		11.440		28600
1107	SIEBRA CRUSH REJECTS WEAR CHUTE	VER D		2700	4			2430		1620		11050
1108	SKIRTS FOR S CRUSHER REJ CONVEYOR	TERRA ECTS		7/15	#			670		450		1120
1109	CONVEYOR FOR SHEBUR CRUSHER	2 2 RETERN	WI LE CH	DTH MGTH PACIT	54." 141'. 1.		18450			4980		23430
1110	HEAS CHUTE FO ASSOUR CONVERSE	0R 12		1960				1770		1180		2950
							18450	22030	1215	19670		61365

					$\cup$			. ~			· . · ·	
simon-	ESTIMATE CRAVES OF CANADA LTD.	CLIENT 75	BC XIPA				DESCRIPTION		s.		PROJECT	NO. EUNO
2025 She Willowdale	eppard Avenue East 9, Ontario M2J 1W2		HAT C.	PEEK			EST'D		DATE		SHEET	
·		LUCATION	·	· · · · · ·			2510			LCOST		
	ITEM		UNIT	QTY.	COST	M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
,,,,,	SKIRTS FOR CRUSHER UND CONVEYOR	SIEBRA ERFLOW		11:06	<i></i>			1270		840		2110
1112	SHEBRA CRUST UNDERFLOW CO.	PIER NVEYOR 75 FI	HIII LEN CAN	711 : GTH 40174	51," 151," 5000	T/H12	69300			18700	6	86.000
JII 3	NEAD CHUTE ABOVE CONCE	F012 4612		6680	1-			6010		4010		10020
1114	FLOOR SUMI	5					6600			850		7450
1115	REDPLATE FO FLOCK SUMP ,	OVZ PLMP		500	14		:	450		300		750
							75900	7730	2500	24.700		110830

t

 $\smile$ DESCRIPTION PROJECT NO. CLIENT ESTIMATE BC)/PA 1 1500 SIMON-CARVES OF CANADA LTD. PROJECT HAT CREEK 2025 Sheppard Avenue East Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2 OF EST'D DATE SHEET A LOCATION TOTALCOST UNIT UNIT QTY. ITEM UNIT COST M/H EQUIPMENT LABOUR MATERIAL FRT./DUTY SUB/CONT. TOTAL 1520; SKIRTS FOR NORMAL . 890 994 30 600 Itop. 1116 COAL MINE CONVEYOR . . • 1520 890 30 600

				$\smile$			·				
	CLIENT	B.C.	IIYDK	2		DESCRIPTION	STRUC	T. \$ CI	VII.	PROJECT	NO. F. 14.90
SIMUN-C어워V문S OF CANADA LTD. 2025 Sheppard Avenue East	PROJECT	MAT	- CRL	EK.		NORM	AL COAL	L PRIM	. CRUSH.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION					EST'D		DATE		SHEET	OF
ITEM		UNIT	QTY.			FOURDATIN	MATERIAL				TOTAL
STRUCT. FI	RAIIIIG	Τ,	72	1500		EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL				108,000
STAIRS &	MISC.	Т	6.7	2200						•	14,740
HANDRAIL	۹. <u>.</u>	Т	1.7	3200							54.4.0
GRATING		Т	12	1600						• .	19200
CONV. TRU	5525	7	10.7	1400							14980
GRIZZLY G	RATING	Т	38	1300							49400
HOPPER PL'	VK.	Τ.	20	2000	l v			- -			40000
3/4" WEAR P	UNTES	T	30	3000							90,000
										*.	
											,
							,				341760

ESTIMATE	CLIENT					DESCRIPTION				PROJECT	10. F. 14.90
SIMON-CHAVES OF CANADA LTD. 2025 Sheppard Avenue East	PROJECT	- <u></u>		<u></u>							
Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION					EST'D		DATE		SHEET	OF
ITEM		UNIT	· QTY.	UNIT COST	UNIT M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	TOTA FRT./DUTY	L C O S T LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
CONCRETE	<u> </u>	С.Ү.	1020	300					1 1		306,000
CONV.R H	1000.	S.F.	1133	0.65						· ·	740
ROOFING	·	<b>S</b> .F.	2670	1.70							4540
SIDING & BL	OCKVIAL	£ S.F.	10330	1.50					· •		15,500
MANDOORS		EA.	3	370					1		1110
EQUIP. T DO	ORS.	EA.	2	1250							2500
ALL EARTHA BY OWN	WORKS IER:				-						
				4							00000

					$\smile$	-		1 . <sup>1</sup>	•
ESTI	MATE SUMMARY	CLIENT E.C. ITYERO	7	DESCRIPTION		2		PROJECT NO	D
simon	-CARVES of canada Ltd.	PROJECT HAT CREEK		U/ASTL	TLOW G	RADE CO	DAL TRUC	K .	F. 14.90
2025 Shi Willowdat	eppard Avenue East e. Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION		EST'D	4 PRIM	DATE	ISHING.	SHEET (	DF
CODE		ITEM		EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
	MECHANI	CAL & PLINDRK.							1276,365
	STRUCT. ST	TEL CIVILS.				· .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	672150
	ELECTRICA	EPC.							80.700
				·		 	, 		2,029,215
									· · ·
		S-C. ENGG.							203.000
					· · ·				0020010
			AL				<u> </u>		2232215
	·	······································						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
·		in an							
		·····							
							·		
		······································		<u> </u>				l <u></u>	

\_\_\_\_]

-----

				<u> </u>	ر ا		-						
ESTI		CLIENT	<i>B.C.</i>	HYDK	°O.	DESCRIPTION	W GRAL	DE COM	6	PROJECT NC	14.00		
2025 She Willowdate	GINNYGƏ OF CANADA LTD. ppard Avenue East :. Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION			<u></u>	6	QUIP.T	- <u>+</u> Pl.	wik.	SHEET C	DF		
0005			· <u>·</u> ····			TOTAL COST							
CODE						EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL		
			ТО	TAL.		1.038550	50930	32.685	15/1,200		1276,365		
						· · ·	,		· · ·		•		
									ŧ				
		·						-					
	- -												
									ı				
									-				
		·	1								·		
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

	•				$\smile$			<i>I</i>					
SIMON-	ESTIMATE CRAVES of canada Ltd	CLIENT BC PROJECT	CHPH Nor				DESCRIPTION	Lew GRAD	T COML - 1 MARY CR	TROCK SANG	PROJECT NO.		
2025 She Willowdate	appard Avenue East a. Ontario M2J 1W2		1,417 - 0	12 3-6-12			EST'D		DATE		SHEET	c 0F	
				1	r	r				LCOST			
	ITEM		UNIT	QTY.	COST	M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL	
1201	WASTE /LOW GR	NDE COAL OPPER					INICL. STR	WITH VCT. ST	-	ŗ			
					! 							•	
1202	CHUTE AND S FOR FEEDER GRIZZLY	SKIRTS R. 1		7628	#			6860		4580		11440	
1203	RECIPROCATING FEEDER	Pusiti # 2020)		•			225,000	· · · ·		15000		240,000	
1204	(HAZEMAG 100HP×1800. VIBRATING GRIZZLY 7	110 TOR.			2	2-0	5150 92000	51.0		600 10,000 360		108650	
1205	UNDERFLOW ( FROM GRIZZL	Сните У		600 1782	<u>#</u>	KED.		540 16040		10690	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	26730	
						· .	372150	23440	10360	41230		397,180	

					$\bigcirc$			$\sim$				
SIMO	ESTIMATE	CLIENT	Зснра			······································	DESCRIPTION		· ·		PROJECT	<b>10.</b> ていりの
2025 SF	reppard Avenue East	PROJECT	HAT (	PEEK								
Willowda	le, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION					EST'D		DATE		SHEET 6	; OF
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				UNIT	UNIT		•	τοτα	LCOST	``````````````````````````````````	
	ITEM		UNIT		COST	м/н	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
1206	GRIZZLY HE CHUTE	EAD .								Ţ		
· · ·												•
1207	REIMARY CRUSH INLET HOOD	HER GHUTE D		6275	, D <sup>#</sup> .		50.000	5650		3760 13000		72410
1208	900 HP × 900 R PRIMARY CRU HAZENIAG API	PHI. MOTO SHER P (822	R				60,000 508,000	14.50		6000 50,000		626,250
1209	PRIMARY CRU DISCHAIZGE C	SHER HUTE		2100	<u>56071</u> 7	ATE		1890		1260		3150
1210	SKIRTS FOR TRANFER CON	VEYOR		1460	4			1310		880		2190
							618000	10200	18850	75800		122850

 $\smile$ ESTIMATE CLIENT DESCRIPTION PROJECT NO. BCHPA F1514 SIMON-CRAVES OF CANADA LTD. PROJECT HAT CREEK 2025 Sheppard Avenue East Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2 LOCATION EST'D DATE SHEET 7 OF TOTALCOST UNIT UNIT QTY. ITEM UNIT COST M/H EQUIPMENT MATERIAL FRT./DUTY LABOUR TOTAL SUB/CONT. 54." WNDTH TRANSFER CONVEYOR GAN 116,600 FROM PRIMARY CRUSHING LENGTH 2011 24:800 91800 1211 STATION TO MAIN MINE CONVEYORS CARACITY 5000T/HR 300 HP BIFURCATED HEAD CHUTE du DRERTING 14210 9470 23,680 P. 1212 15790 Deere SKIRTS FOR WASTE MAIN MINE CONVEYOR IZ13 0 2920# 2630 1750 4380 SKIRTS FOR LOW 1214 GRADE COAL MAIN MANE CONVEYOR 6600 850 74.50 10.010 FLOOR SUMP PUMP 1215 98400 16840 3460 36870 1555

\_\_\_\_

					$\bigcirc$							
	ESTIMATE		CHPA	··· <u>-</u> ·····			DESCRIPTION				PROJECT	<b>NO.</b> Г. И.Э.С. н. н.
2025 SI	I-UMMVとう OF CANADA LTD. heppard Avenue East	PROJECT	HAT	CREEK	_							
Willowda	le, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION	••••••	~	<u>.</u>		EST'D		DATE		SHEET S	- OF
		I		OTV	UNIT	UNIT			τοτα	LCOST		
			UNII	Q11.	COST	м/н	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
1216	BEDPLIATE F Floor SUMP A	FOIZ		500*				1150	15	3'00	. •	765
		·		• .								······································
					· · ·				. *• 			
		. :						·				
								450	15	300		765

ESTIMATE C		CLIENT					DESCRIPTION	STRUC	PROJECT	PROJECT NO. 1490		
2025 Shep	SITTUTT-CRMV25 OF CANADA LTD. 2025 Sheppard Avenue East Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2		PROJECT					CADE CO	/			
Willowdale,			LOCATION						SHEET	SHEET OF		
	ITEM STRUCT. FRAMING		UNIT	ατγ.			FOUR					
			Т,	72	1500					LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	108,000
	STAIRS & MIS	SC*.	Т.	6.7	2200	2						14740
	HANDRAIL		T	1.7	3201	>			· · ·		· · ·	5440
	GRATING.		T	12	1600							19,200
	CONV.R TRUS	SES	7	10.7	1400	p						14,980
	GRIZZLY GRI	ATING	7	38	1300							49.400
	HOPPER PL	WK.	Т	20	2000							40000
	34' WEAR PLA	ATES	Т.	30	3000							90,000
												· · · · · · · · · · · ·
												341760

 $\cup$ 

ESTIMATE SIMON-CRRVES OF CANADA LTD. 2025 Sheppard Avenue East Willowdale, Ontano M2J 1W2		CLIENT PROJECT LOCATION					DESCRIPTION	·	PROJECT	PROJECT NO. F. 1490		
							EST'D		SHEET OF			
	ITEM		ŲNIT	ατγ.	UNIT COST	UNIT M/H		r				
							EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
	& OMICRETE	-	CY.	1020	300					Ţ.		306,000
	CONVR HO	200	S.F.	1133	0.65							74.0
	ROOFINIG		<i>S.F.</i>	2670	1.70							4540
	SIDING & BLC	OCKWAL	5.F.	10330	1.50				:			15.500
	MANDOORS		ĒA.	3	370	-						1110
	EQUIP.T DO	DDR3.	EA.	2	1250							2500
	ALL EARTH BY OWN	VER VER										820390

 $\mathbf{\nabla}$ 

ESTIMATE SUMMARY: BC HYDRO F1490

For SIMON-CARVES OF CANADA LTD.

CRUSHING STATIONS

DATE SEPT 14/79 SHEET OF.

Σ	F		SUPPLIER	PRICE	TOTAL	FRT.	COST MATERIAL DEL. SITE		ERECTION			TOTA
ιTE	4 4 4	DESCRIPTION	DWG.	F.O.B.	WT. S. TONS	SITE	υ.κ.	LOCAL	м. нs.	RATE	AMOUNT	TOTAL
1		NORMAL COAL TRUCK DUMP:				· · · · ·						
	-			amo								<u> </u>
	<i>A</i>	STARLICE ASSEMBLY		7,000					1			
	B	MOTORS		31,300								
				40300	<u> </u>				r	· · ·····		
				<i>TU</i> 500								
.2		WADTE/LOWGRADE COAL TRUCK DUMP -										
	A	STARTER ASSEMBLIES		13,000								
	0			67700			<u> </u>					
		Motor		1,100								
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	#	80,700			<u> </u>		ļ.,			<u>ii</u>
							l					
3		WASTR/CLAY PRUCK DUMP:	_									.1
		Sand Ar (2) Aralla	#	80.700	2-	NICT	REDI	>				1.
		STRUE (15 (E) 1380E				- / .U.S	- / \ <u> </u>		i			
				·								
			-									
												<u>.</u>
					<del>.</del>							
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
										ļ		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
									L			
							·				·····	
												·
						[						
₿ac.	LTD						· . ·	:		1		

PROJECT NO. ESTIMATE CLIENT DESCRIPTION Be Hypre F1490 SIMON-CRRVES OF CANADA LTD. PROJECT 2025 Sheppard Avenue East Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2 7 OF 4 DATE SHEET LOCATION EST'D TOTAL COST UNIT UNIT ITEM UNIT QTY. COST M/H LABOUR EQUIPMENT MATERIAL FRT./DUTY SUB/CONT. TOTAL WASTE/LOW GRADE COALTRUCK (SAME FOR WASTE/CLAY JUNP) ţ., 20 HP STARCER 460 1 en 1/33 2 60HP & 100HP STARTERS RA 3,000 300 HP STARTER ea 1 900HAP STARTER (STKV) ea 7,000 1. lot STARTER RACK 1407 1 13000

E

1.
$\smile$ PROJECT NO. ESTIMATE CLIENT DESCRIPTION SIMON-CARVES OF CANADA LTD. PROJECT 2025 Sheppard Avenue East Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2 SHEET of OF 4 DATE LOCATION EST'D TOTALCOST UNIT M/H UNIT COST QTY. ITEM UNIT TOTAL EQUIPMENT MATERIAL FRT./DUTY LABOUR SUB/CONT. WASTE LOW GRADE COAL TRUCK DUMP (SAME FOR WASTE/CLAY TRUCK DUMP) 20HP MOTOR . 1,200 ea ( 60HP MOTOR en { 4,000 loots Motor U 6,500 en 300 Hr Moron ( 16,000 Ra 900 HOMOTOR (WWWNOR RETOR) Ca 40 000 ( 67. Bec

E

Simon-Carves of Canada Ltd 2025 Sheppard Avenue East Willowdate (Toronto) Ontario, M2J 1W2 SIMON-CARVES CONTRACT NO .: ] CLIENT: BY: DATE: 14.9.19 K.I DESIGN CALCULATIONS CHKD: DATE: F1490 HAT CREEK STRUCIUESL & CIVIL ESTIMATE SUBJECT: SHEET IN PIT TRUCK DUMPING & CRUSHING !! stand a such the STRUCTURA (3 5-1-10.15 STRUCTURAL FRAMING 1.1 215.0 STARS & MISC vja K 20.0 HAND RALL . د 2 5.0 FLOOR GRATING (14"x3/6") 4 3600 CONVEYOR HSSTRUSSES 15 32.0 ÿ 16 GRIZZLY GRATING CHI 10ě. 114.0 HOPPER TE & STIFF'S ž, 60,0 34" WEAR TH TEUCK DUMP 90,0 572,0 TOTAL CON CRETE (3 STATIONS) 21 3066 0 CONCRETE 17. FORMWORK 22 50000 REBAR 270 8,3 2-MISE APCK TECTUR 3 et. 31 CONNEYDE 2 340-HOOD 32 ROOFIN CO 2 3000 SIDDE & BLOCKWALL 3.3 31000 5 34 MANDORS 9 ٧. 3.5 EQUIPMENT DOORS O LUNDERFLOW CHUTES \$ HOPPERS NOTE ARE NOT INCLUDED Z. ALL EXCANATION BY OWNER.

 $\smile$ DESCRIPTION PROJECT NO. ESTIMATE CLIENT 1 1190 BCIPA WASTE/CLAY TRUCK DUMP AND SIMON-CRAVES OF CANADA LTD. PRIMARY CRUSHING PROJECT 2025 Sheppard Avenue East HAT CREEK Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2 SHEET 😏 OF EŞT'D DATE LOCATION TOTALCOST UNIT UNIT QTY. ITEM UNIT COST M/H TOTÁL EQUIPMENT MATERIAL FRT./DUTY LABOUR SUB/CONT. WASTE TRUCK 13.CI ٤ DUMP HOPPER CHUTE AND SKIRTS P 6860 11440 7628 4580 1302 FOR FEEDER AND GRIZZLY RECIPROCATING PUSH 15000 225,000 240.000 Frede 1303 FEEDER (HAZEMAG # 2090) 10011P×1800 MCTOR. 5150 600 YIBRATING GRIZZLY 71201 (SIMPLICITY) 108.650 Silve 92000 540 10,000 1304 360 600 DRIVE BEDPLATE UNDERFLOW CHUTE 26730 16040 10690 17821

1305

FROM GRIZZLY

DESCRIPTION PROJECT NO. ESTIMATE CLIENT BCHPA F1490 SIMON-CRRVES OF CANADA LTD. PROJECT 2025 Sheppard Avenue East HAT CREEK Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2 SHEET 10 OF EST'D DATE LOCATION TOTALCOST UNIT UNIT QTY. ITEM UNIT COST M/H TOTAL EQUIPMENT MATERIAL FRT./DUTY LABOUR SUB/CONT. GRIZZLY HEAD 1306 CHUTE NASTE PRIMARY CRUSHER 6275 5650 37.60 72410 1307 P. INLET HOOD CHUTE 35000 # 50000 • 60000 INLET HOOD **GODIIP** X JOC RPHI, MOTOR PRIMARY WASTE 13000 6000 A soft 626.250 50,000 508,000 CRUSHER 1305 HAZEMAG APP 1822 IMPACT TYPE or equal 1350 900 1500 BEDPLATE PRIMARY WASTE 2100 D 1890 3150 1260 CRUSHER DISCHARGE 1309 CHUTE SKIRTS FOR 14.60 # 1310 880 ρ 2190 1310 TRANSFER CONVEYOR

----) · [[----]

1 ..

〜 ESTIMATE CLIENT DESCRIPTION PROJECT NO. BCHPA F1490 SIMON-CARVES OF CANADA LTD. PROJECT 2025 Sheppard Avenue East HAT CREEK Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2 SHEET LOCATION EST'D DATE OF TOTAL COST UNIT UNIT ITEM UNIT QTY. COST M/H EQUIPMENT MATERIAL FRT./DUTY LABOUR TOTAL SUB/CONT. VINDTH 541 TRANSFER CONVEYOR 90750 70650 19100 157 1311 LEXISTIL FROM PRIMARY CRUSHING STATION TO WASTE/CLAY CAPACITY MAIN MINE CONVEYOR . HEAD CHUTE FOR 9,750 6500 5850 3900 1312 ABOVE CONVEYOR SKIRTS FOR 1310 14.60# 880 P 2190 WASTE/CLAY 1313 ÷ . MAIN MINE CONVEYOR 6600 FLOOR SUMP PUMP 850 7.450 1314 REDPLATE FOR 500 450 765 15 300 1315 FLOOR SUMP PUMP .

1201 725

Acres

0.

ESTIN SIMON- 2025 Shep Willowdate	AATE SUMMARY CRRVES of CANADA LTD.	CLIENT / PROJECT /	3, C. HYDR HAT CREE	20. K		UMP PO	CKET - I	WET CLAY	PROJECT NO.	F. 1490
CODE		ITEM			EST'D W-	<i>i</i> 4	TOTALC	OST 79		·····
CODE			n an tao ang		EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL .	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
	EQUIPME	· N/			152,500		4070	10,900	and the second	113,410
	STRUCTU	RAL STEE	۲	• •					143000	143,000
	CONCRE	TE			1 * * *				510.000	510,000
	ELECTRIC	:5			1600		50	800	4500	6950
			······································						e to a side and	
		·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				4			
1			•							
		ېر ۲. ۲. ۲۰								
	NOT INCL :-				-				ą.	
Second	EXCA	IV. & BACK	FILL;				n an			a setter
	CONV.	R FNDS. \$	TUNNEL;							
	CONV	EYOR				<u> </u>			- 45	ي من المراجع ا من المراجع المرا وي المراجع المر
						de ha				
т 5. 3. т. т. т.									Conta de La Conta de	
1		*	TOTA	LE.P.C.	154,100		4120	17,700	657,500	833,4
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ta interest	N			a substitution
с. с. Т.					And States			St. Sec. K.		
$l_{2} c_{1}$	an a									

Similar Linversion       PROJECT HAT CREEK.       DUMAP POCKET - WET CLAY.       LOCATION       ESTD DATE       SHEET OF       TOTAL COST       ITEM     UNIT     OUMAP POCKET - WET CLAY.       ITEM     UNIT     OTAL COST       BEIT FEEDER     ISO000     AOOO     ISO000     ISO000 <td< th=""><th>E</th><th></th><th>CLIENT</th><th>B. C.</th><th>, HYC</th><th>DRO</th><th></th><th>DESCRIPTION</th><th>£0</th><th>RUIPME</th><th>NT</th><th>PROJECT</th><th><sup>NO.</sup> F. 1490</th></td<>	E		CLIENT	B. C.	, HYC	DRO		DESCRIPTION	£0	RUIPME	NT	PROJECT	<sup>NO.</sup> F. 1490		
IDEAL OWNER MUMIT         LOCATION         EST/D         DATE         SHEET         OF           ITEM         UNIT         OTY.         UNIT         COST         MH         EQUIPMENT         MATERIAL         FRT.JOUTY         LABOUR         SUBICONT.         TOTAL           BEILT         FEEDER         1         150,000         150,000         4000         16,000         170,0           SURPT.S         FOR         1         150,000         150,000         -700         900         34           SURPT.S         FOR         1         1         10         1         10         1         10,000         150,000         -700         900         34           SURPT.S         FOR         1	2025 Sher	DEPENDENCE CANADA LTD.	PROJECT	HAT	CRE	EK.		DUMP POCKET - WET CLAY.				'Y			
ITEM         UNIT         OTV.         UNIT         UNIT         UNIT         OTV.         UNIT         UNIT <th< th=""><th>winowdale,</th><th>, Untario M2J IW2</th><th>LOCATION</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>•</th><th>EST'D</th><th></th><th>DATE</th><th></th><th>SHEET</th><th>OF</th></th<>	winowdale,	, Untario M2J IW2	LOCATION				•	EST'D		DATE		SHEET	OF		
BELT FEEDER       1       150,000       4000       16,000       170,0         SUPPT. <sup>S</sup> FOR       2500       70       900       34         FEEDER.       1       10       10       10       10       10       10         SUPPT. <sup>S</sup> FOR       2500       70       900       34       10		ITEM		UNIT	QTY.	UNIT COST	UNIT M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	TOTA FRT./DUTY	LCOST LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL		
SUPP'T. <sup>3</sup> FOR FEEDER. 2500 -70 900 34 2500 -70 900 34		BELT FEED 8-6" WIDE X	ER 35'%		13	150,00	þ	150,000		4000	16,000		170,000		
SURP'T. <sup>3</sup> FOR FEEDER. 2500 - 70 900 34		• •													
FEEDER.		SUPPT. <sup>S</sup> FOR			4 			2500		S. 5.70	900		3470		
	1. J. 1.	FEEDER.													

a second

. ....

Gene X

**K** 

	ESTIMATE	CLIENT	B. C	. HYD	O PRO		DESCRIPTION	STRU	ICT. STR	FEL.	PROJECT	<sup>10.</sup> F 1490
2025 Sh Willowdal	-CARVES OF CANADA LTD. eppard Avenue East le Ontario M2   1W2	PROJECT	HAT	CRE	EK		DUMP	POCKET	- WE	T CLAY.	A 33	
	ITEM	LOCATION	UNIT	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT	EST'D	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATE	LCOST	SHEET	OF
	GRIZZLY GRA GHIT. 100	1 <i>TINIG</i> 0	<b>T</b> ,	19	1300						24,700	
	TEMPORARY COVER	<b>Y</b> R	<i>T</i> .	2.7	2000						5400	
	INISERTS & PROTECTION	- n/	7	38	2000						76,000	
	12 MM GHT. S LINER PL. (1	960 HOPPER)	7.	9	3000						27000	
	STAIRS & H/	'RAIL.	. <b>7</b> .	4.5.	2200						9900	43222

に対応した。

		1				e . X		$\sim$				
	ESTIMATE	CLIENT	B. C.	HYD	RO		DESCRIPTION	C	ONCRET	E	PROJECT	NO. F. 1490
2025 SP	SIMON-CRRVES OF CANADA LTD.						DUMP POCKET WET CLAY					
Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2							EST'D		DATE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SHEET	OF
	ITEM	· .	UNIT	QTY.	UNIT COST	UNIT M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	TOTA FRT/DUTY		SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
	CONCRETE		CY.	1700	300						510,000	
	STRUCT	URE		1. N. H.	s in pr							
					and a second							
			· ·		1	•					510000	510.000

DATE SHEET O	OF
TOTAL COST TERIAL FRT./DUTY LABOUR SUB/CONT. 50 800	TOTAL
50 800	
	2450
4500	4500
	4500

ſ

Hore The

源

ļ.

(

1.1

3

かいまたんか

## SECTION 8

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## 8.1 CONCLUSIONS

- 8.1.1 The variety of materials to be mined and the mining plan require three separate designs of receiving and primary crushing facilities. Similarly designated duties for each mine conveyor are recommended.
- 8.1.2 The crushing characteristics of the various materials have not been adequately tested for final design purposes.
- 8.1.3 To allow better maintenance access and incorporation of alternative types of primary crushers they should not be installed under an integrated dump pocket platform. Alternative 1500m<sup>3</sup> per hour systems are proposed.
- 8.1.4 All major conveyors should be increased to 1400 meters (54 inches) wide.
- 8.1.5 Beneficiation of the Low Grade coal by dry screening will give a useful recovery of coal. The costs of wet beneficiation could not be justified on the basis of present limited data.
- 8.1.6 Incorporation of Low Grade coal beneficiation will supplement the selective mining operation, and the scheme devised improves mine operational flexibility.

## 8.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE TESTWORK PROGRAMS

8.2.1 Crushing and General Characteristics of Run-of-mine Materials

Bulk samples must be obtained representative of the various run-ofmine materials for testing. (It is appreciated that some materials will not be accessible until partway through the mine development. Scheme layouts cannot therefore be finalized at lower mine levels at a pre-mining stage. Similarly mine-mouth layouts should allow for changes in requirements, eg. for beneficiation plant).

## SECTION 8

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 8.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE TESTWORK PROGRAMS

- 8.2.1 Crushing and General Characteristics of Run-of-mine Materials cont.
  - Run-of-mine size analysis and size analysis following alternative crushing and handling operations for each material.
  - b) Breaking characteristics of the better coals. If these are harder than waste materials can beneficiation by selective crushing and screening be accomplished? Would a Bradford Breaker reject good coal along with petrified wood and clay?
  - c) Identification of the problems with Petrified Wood:
    - is sulphur associated with some petrified materials?
    - could Impactor crushers allow scalping off this material after their use for primary breaking?
    - is the material so hard that damage may be done to simpler types of crusher, eg. the "Wing" crusher?
    - could a Bradford Breaker reject this material from say 200 x 50mm raw coal at the secondary crushing stage?
  - d) Determination of practical methods of dealing with claystone waste:
    - moisture content, crushing and handling characteristics when mined in anticipated conditions

## 8.2.2 Borecore Test Programs

Since bulk samples can only be obtained from many areas after mining has advanced, it will be necessary to obtain data from suitable large diameter (200mm) drill cores. In many cases they should facilitate answers to the above questions subject only to final design stage confirmation - for example examination of the clay material.

The program must first establish the applicability and technique of the method by comparison with data from adjacent bulk sample trenches.

## SECTION 8

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 8.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE TESTWORK PROGRAMS - cont.

#### 8.2.2 Borecore Test Programs - cont.

It is not anticipated that a large number of these drill cores will be required. (Their situation can be determined from existing small diameter core results to ensure that the complete range of materials is sampled). Due to the thickness of the measures each core would produce a significant sample weight.

- a) Dry tumbling tests and wet attrition tests to establish raw coal size consist and washability data of coal zones which have not been sampled. This is of importance for confirmation of the Coal Beneficiation Report as well as to investigate true Low Grade Coal samples.
- b) Samples of all materials for practical classification by crushing and handling equipment manufacturers.

## 8.2.3 Crushing Tests

There are no standard test procedures, since each type of crusher makes use of different characteristics. Specific requirements should be determined by consultation with each crusher manufacturer. We suggest initially the following should be involved:

Pennsylvania Crushers re Bradford Breakers Krupp-Canada re Siebra Screen/Crusher Hammermills Inc. Hazemag Canada Jeffrey Canada

Specific attention should be paid to the characteristics of the 8" x 2" fraction after primary breaking at 8".

## SECTION 8

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 8.2 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE TESTWORK PROGRAMS - cont.

- 8.2.4 Handling Characteristics
  - A series of 2" x 0 coal qualities should be tested at various surface moisture contents between 3% and 10%. (The plant designers should be able to project chute angles for the coarser fractions from these tests). The NCB (UK) Shear Cell method is recommended.
  - b) A series of  $\frac{1}{2}$ " x O coal qualities should be similarly tested.
  - c) Clay samples must be submitted to specialist equipment manufacturers.

#### 8.2.5 Screening Performance

As operating experience becomes available for the Probability and Disc screens the advice of screen manufacturers should be sought to update the predictions given in this report. Data from 8.2.4 may be of assistance in this area.

## 8.2.6 Pilot Plant

This will be essential for any wet processing proposal. The requirements can only be designed after 8.2.2 (a) test results have been fully analyzed.

## 8.3 RECOMMENDED DESIGN FEATURES

- 8.3.1 Steel Chute analysis must be employed in all situations. See proposed scheme drawings.
- 8.3.2 -For the clay waste, chutes should be avoided where possible, i.e. there should be vertical delivery from one conveyor to the next. Where chutes are unavoidable self-cleaning, eg. air-operated Linatex pads, should be allowed in design.

## SECTION 8

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 8.3 RECOMMENDED DESIGN FEATURES - cont.

- 8.3.3 Bunkers and hoppers should be of mass flow design. Provisions should be made for air cannons to be installed, or possibly low friction liners.
- 8.3.4 Truck Dump hoppers should be designed to eliminate characteristic "dead pockets".Although these could protect the hopper bottom and apron feeders from damage by large boulders, they would in practice allow clay build-up to start. Truck dump hoppers should therefore be lined with steel plates.
- 8.3.5 Automatic controls should allow the Crushing Plant hoppers to run less than half-full. The mine conveyors would then be able to empty into these hoppers before stopping.
- 8.3.6 The truck dumps and hoppers should only be left with material inside during emergency shutdown. This will reduce material hanging up in the short term. If a hopper is left full for longer periods, ie several shifts, there will be a possibility of heating, and remotely, one of spontaneous combustion.
- 8.3.7 Stockpiles should be avoided to reduce the risks of spontaneous combustion. The only piles currently envisaged within the mine system is the blending system. The 50mm x 0 product is less likely to heat up than piles of coarser material.

#### APPENDIX I

#### BENEFICIATION BY WET SCREENING

The following data sets were examined:

1977 Sample Z : After Wet Attrition 1977 Sample Y : After Wet Attrition 1977 Sample X : After Wet Attrition CANMET Wet Screening Tests : Table 2 Wet Screening CANMET 3/8" x 0 Crushed Raw Coal Test Run 7, Table II-12 1979 Sample : Wet Screening, stirring and Wet Screening

By plotting these results in the form cumulative % weight vs cumulative % ash (dry basis) the trend of increasing ash content in the finer particles was found to be a set of parallel lines (similar to, but steeper than, those for the Dry Screening, Figure III-1).

The 1977 Sample Z results were found not to conform to the steep slope pattern of the other samples. Since this low ash (D Zone coal) contains noticeably less coal, and this sample was considered irrelevant to the consideration of Low Grade Coals.

The 1979 Sample showed that at higher ash contents the differential is lower. Mass/ash balances confirmed this.

For purposes of calculating the beneficiation which could be achieved by desliming the removal of 25% by weight was considered - this is equivalent to a practical separation using a 1mm aperture Sieve Bend and a 1/2mm aperture wedge wire Screen.

For the material at 45% ash, the theoretical separation gives a 38.15% ash product at 75% yield. To allow for misplaced material the actual separation was taken as 39% ash, i.e. a differential of 6% ash. This differential was reduced to 3% ash at 73% raw coal as discussed above.

## APPENDIX II

## CORRELATION OF WASHABILITY DATA

Considerable time was spent trying to define the washability data trends as generally observed.

This was done on the usual basis of raw coal and product ash contents and yields for a series of separating gravities. The data was examined for each individual size range, and also for the composite plus 100 mesh. It can be seen from the examples in Tables II-1 and II-2 that the trends were by no means conclusive and would not permit meaningful interpolation or extrapolation. Thus prediction of the beneficiation product qualities and yields for untested intermediate coals, and more particularly the Low Grade coals was thought to be impracticable. (This exercise was computer assisted).

However, an alternate method revealed an unexpected and relatively good correlation which may be unique to Hat Creek. (Hopefully not unique to these five sets of washability data!) This correlation was found between the raw coal ash content and clean coal ash content for a series of clean coal yield values. (The yield values chosen were 80%, 70% and 60%. These yields are achieved at widely differing gravities, yet, all the gravities thus required lie within the working range of the appropriate washing equipment for the respective coal size fractions).

Even more surprising is the fact that at a given yield value a single correlation curve applies to each size fraction.

The quality/yield values were obtained from the interpolated washability data (see Appendix III of the Alternate Beneficiation Report) and the curves shown in Figures II-1 and II-2 were determined by a computerized quadratic curve fit.

(Results from the first curve fit included points marked "R" obtained from the second (cumulative) washability test conducted by CSMT on the 1976 Sample A, 28 x 100 mesh size fraction.

Computations from this first curve fit showed inexplicably high rejects ash contents/high degrees of beneficiation for poorer coals. Reference to the test report shows this point to be very dubious as it is largely dependent on the 1.90 S.G. Sinks ash content which had been "modified" to 95.0% ash. The earlier CSMT results yielded the points marked "A", which although not included in the curve fit, give credence to the use of these curves at the higher ash values).

Subsequently, the data from the CANMET Wast Test (reconstituted feed) has been examined and found to conform to these correlations. This is significant due to the large quantity of fines produced by crushing and wet attrition.

II-1

# TABLE II-1

Quality Variation of Floats Product (at 1.80 S.G.) Compared to Raw Coal for Plus 1/2 Size Fractions

<u>Sample</u>	Ash Content of Raw	Floats Proc	luct at 1.8 S.G.
	Coal Size Fraction	<u>% Ash</u>	<u>Wt. % Yield</u>
A 2" x 1"	- 43.4	36.0	86.3
1" x <sup>1</sup> 2"	45.7	39.5	88.7
X 4" x ½"	39.2	33.1	. 87.0
Y 4" x ½"	35.3	25.0	81.1
B 2" x 1"	25.6	22.4	92.9
1" x ½"	30.0	27.2	93.7
Z 4" x 1"	27.1	26.7	99.1
1" x ½"	27.3	26.2	97.8
C 2" x 1"	24.0 22.1	19.1	91.6
1" x ½"		18.8	94.0

## TABLE II-2

Quality Variation of Floats Product (at 1.80 S.G.) Compared to Raw Coal (Composite)

<u>Sample</u>	Ash Content of Raw Coal	Ash Content of 1.80 S.G. Floats Product
А	50.1	27.2
Х	44.7	33.7
Y .	42.1	41.7
В	36.4	27.7
Z	27.7	25.9
С	27.7	21.5





## APPENDIX III

## CORRELATION OF DRY SCREENING DATA

The data, plotted with instanteous ash versus particle size, indicated a general . trend of increasing ash with decreasing grain size. The only exception to this trend was the first sample from 1979 sampling (designated as NEW 1) which showed the reverse trend namely decreasing ash with decreasing grain size. This anomaly is attributed to the head ash of this sample being greater than the other seven samples.

Therefore, it is concluded that as head ash increases, the trend to increasing ash with decreasing size diminishes and eventually reverses. This implies that there exists at some unknown head ash a coal of constant ash independent of particle size. Insufficient testwork does not permit this point to be ascertained.

Although the family of curves exhibits a similar geometric shape (except of NEW 1) the differences are such that confident predictions cannot be based on them. Therefore the eight samples were replotted on the basis of cumulative ash versus cumulative weight for decreasing size. This graph is shown on Figure III-1.

From Figure III-1 a definite, repeatable trend can be seen for all samples. The exception is for sample NEW 1, however this sample presents a "mirror image" of the other seven. The seven similar samples were combined to give an average distribution of cumulative ash versus cumulative weight. This was done by reading off the cumulative ash for each sample at the cumulative weights of 15%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 90%, and 100%. The arithmetic mean of the various cumulative ashes at each point was calculated.

From the above, it was possible to compute the ash distribution for any given head ash. Table III-1 below summarizes the predicted ash distribution for the coal for decreasing size.

ł

1

# APPENDIX III

# CORRELATION OF DRY SCREENING DATA

# TABLE III-1

## CUMULATIVE WEIGHT

#### WEIGHT

15% (head ash - 10.87) 20% (head ash - 10.17) (head ash - 8.83) (head ash - 7.74) 30% 40% 50% (head ash - 6.84) 60% (head ash - 5.90) (head ash - 4.76) 70% 80% (head ash -3.36) 90% (head ash -1.73)100% (head ash)

CUMULATIVE ASH %

The average size consist used in this exercise is shown in Table III-2 (which is Table 3-3 of the July 1978 report, column 1)

III-2

. . . . .

۰.

· . .

....

i

## APPENDIX III

## CORRELATION OF DRY SCREENING DATA

SIZE(mm)	% WEIGHT	<u>CUMULATIVE WT</u> .
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$   \begin{array}{r}     15.0 \\     18.0 \\     26.0 \\     15.0 \\     10.0 \\     7.0 \\     4.0 \\     5.0 \\   \end{array} $	$   \begin{array}{r}     15.0 \\     33.0 \\     59.0 \\     74.0 \\     84.0 \\     91.0 \\     95.0 \\     100.0 \\   \end{array} $

However, this size consist was not compatible with the size consist used in Table III-1 which had cumulative weight at 15%, 20%, 30%, 40%, 50%, 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%, and 100%. Therefore the size consist in Table III-2 above was plotted and the sizes at which the above cumulative weight figures corresponded to were read off. This re-weighted size consist is shown in Table III-3 below.

#### TABLE III-3

SIZE (mm)	<u>% WEIGHT</u>	CUMULATIVE WT.
200 x 50 50 x 38	15.0 5.0	15.0 20.0
38 x 27	10.0	30.0
27 x 20.8 20.8 x 16	10.0	50.0
16 x 12.5 12.5 x 8.2	10.0 10.0	60.0 70.0
8.2 x 3.9	10.0	80.0
$3.9 \times 1.8$ 1.8 × 0	10.0	100.0

**.** .

. . .





.

# APPENDIX IV GIVEN DATA

				• 、 • •	• • • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• And the second
	Warnock Hersey H	rotessional	bervices Ltd.	DATE	E: AUGUST	17, 1979
	ENT: B.C. HYDR	0		780 -	0450	
[] SAM	PLE I.D. : TRE					
LAB	. NO. : <u>79 - 707</u>	7				
HEA	D SAMPLE:	ASH %	= 59.6	B.T.U.,	/LB. = 3912	-
	SCREEN ANAL	YSIS /AS	H/B.T.U/ DIS	TRIBUTION	1	. •
SCREE	N ANALYSIS		<u> </u>		<u></u>	
PASSING	RETAINED	WТ %	DRY ASH %	B.T.U./LB.	WT %	DRY ASH %
	l/4 "	24.3	47.7	5723	12.4	32.4
1/4"	8 M	25.4	54.3	4809	7.1	37.9
LI 8 M	16 M	12.4	59.2	4337	15.7	48.7
16 M	28 M	8.1	58,2	4208	28.5	. 62,2
128 M	48 M	7.9	64.3	3345	8.8	67.7
48 M	100 M	6.8	69.4	2661	8.0	70.8

A. WET SCREEN, SQUARE HOLE.

100 M

200 M

325 M

0

100 M

100 M

325

Μ

TOTAL

4.5

2.4

8.2

100.0

B. PRE - WETTING PERIOD TEN MINUTES. WET SCREEN, SQUARE HOLE

71.2

74.5

79.2

58.7

SUBMITTED AUGUST 17, 1979 hn JOHN KAY, C.ENG., M.INST.E. LABORATORY MANAGER

2661

2410

2450

2135

4278

8.0

5.6

2.4

11.5

100.0

70.8

72.1

76.7

80.0

58.9



MEMO TO: W. E. MEEKS

FROM: B. DUTT

SUBJECT: Wet Screening Analyses At Warnock Hersey, Calgary 14 August 1979

File: 604H-126.2-8 604H-1301.1-3 604H-1301.4-2 604H-1301.4-7

The  $-\frac{1}{2}$ " fraction from Trench A, 2nd Screen Analysis was subjected to Wet Screening at Warnock-Hersey-Laboratory in Calgary.

A head sample was taken first to balance the calculated ash-Btu of the various fractions. Two sets of tests were conducted:

- i) Pre-treating the coal in a pail of water mildly agitating it for five minutes.
- ii) Direct wet screening: removing ½" and 8mesh fraction using water hose and treating the rest in a Cascade set up for fractional analysis.

The analyses are below:

	% of	% of		
Screen Size	-½" fraction	Total wt.*	Ash (db)	Btu/1b (db)
<sup>1</sup> 2" x <sup>1</sup> 4"	24.3	10.78	47.7	5723
<sup>1</sup> 4" x 8 m	25.4	11.27	54.3	4809
8 mesh x 16 mesh	12.4	5.50	59.2	4337
16 mesh x 28 mesh	8.1	· 3.60	58.2	4208
28 mesh x 48 mesh	7.9	3.51	64.3	3345
48 mesh x 100 mesh	6.8	3.01	69.4	2661
100 mesh x 200 mesh	-4.5	2.00	71.2	2410
200 mesh x 325 mesh	2.4	1.06	74.5	2450
325 mesh x 0	8.2	3.64	79.2	2135
				1
Head Analysis				
$\frac{1}{2}$ " x 0	-	-	59.6	3912

\* Based on Commercial Testing analysis of 13 July 1979

1/2" x O constitutes 44.4% of Total Wt.

Note: On calculated basis the <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>" x O fraction indicates 58.7% ash (db) and 4210 Btu/lb (db). The calculated Btu is higher by 300 than the experimental value. This is being investigated.

The -325 mesh material in suspension does not precipitate readily. Of the several coagulents used during the course of the test, TCH-399, a cationic reagent marketed by TURBO was found to be very effective. Within 3-5 mts. about 90% of the material in suspension tended to coagulate and precipitate. It is suggested that further tests with other chemical reagents be undertaken to establish the effectiveness. The two major advantages appear to be recovery of any carbonaceous material from the suspension, and secondly the reduction in precipitation time in the settling ponds.

#### BD:rak

[]

Attachment (Data sheet of TURBO TCH-399)

cc: J. J. Fitzpatrick W. C. Fothergill D. K. Whish H. Kin

Dar

	GENERAL OFFICES: 228 NORTH LA SAL	ESTING & E	NG	INEERING	<b>CO.</b> 2 726-8434
	RESIDENT MANAGER WESTERN CANADA OPERATIONS BRUCE E. LAWRENCE	Since 1908		PLEASE ADDRESS 147 RIVERSIDE DRIV	ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO: 'E, NORTH VANCOUVER, B.C. V7H 1T6, CANADA OFFICE TEL. (604) 929-2228
	BC HYDRO ENGINEERING GROUP 555 W. Hastings Street Box 12121 VANCOUVER, BC V6B 4T6	July	13, Sam by	1979 ple identification - BC Hydro	
	Kind of sample Trench A, 2nd Screen Reported to us	een Analysis			•
	Sample taken by				
	Date sampled July 9, 1979				
=	]				

[]			Analys	is report no. 6	64-18932 thru 18936 18940 thru 18943			
	•				<u> </u>	DRY BASIS	····	
[]	SIZE	LAB NO.	MOISTURE	% DRY WT.	ASH	SULPHUR	BTU	
	+ 4"	18933	25.93	8.6	41.17	0.58	6712	
ГI	4" x 2"	18934	22.02	12.6	43.47	0.67	<b>6</b> 966	
	2" x 1"	18935	24.18	19.6	50.60	0.58	5714	
	1" x 1/2"	18936	23.96	14.8	56.61	0.55	4457	-
	1/2" x 1/4"	18940	22.83	9.5	59.87	0.47	4209	
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$	1/4" x 16m	<b>1</b> 8941	21.88	24.4	62.89	0.57	3628	
	16m x 28m	<b>1</b> 8942	22.23	4.4	66.05	0,60	3130	
	28m x 0	18943	18.60	6.1	68.43	0.76	2750	
[ ]				100.0				
	1/2" x 0	18932	23.37	44.4	60.95	-0.53	3744	;
۲1	Barr Coal							
	(Calculated I	Dry Basis)	23.67	100	55.43	0.59	4825	-
	Bulk Density	Test 1/2" x	0 266 lb 244.9	s. Gross (2) lbs. Net	1.1 lb. = box	) .		·

Respectfully submitted, COMMERCIAL TESTING & ENGINEERING CO.

-----

Division of Peabody International (Canada) Ltd.

126

Driginal Copy Watermarked

F-464

B. E. Lawrence

......

Protection Regional Manager Charleston, WV + CLARKSBURG, WV + CLEVELAND, OH + DENVER, CO + GOLDEN, CO + HELPER, UT + HENDERSON, KY - JASPER, AL + MIDDLESBOHO, KY MOBILE, AL + NEW BETHLEHEM, PA + NEW ORLEANS, LA + NORFOLK, VA + PALISADE, CO + PIKEVILLE, KY - SALINA, UT + SO. HOLLAND, IL + TOLEDO, OH + VANCOUVER, B.C. CAN.



Form SC 3M 9-49

# inter-office memo (++

MEMO TO: W. E. MEEKS

17 July 1979

FROM: B. DUTT

File: 604H-126.2-8 604H-1301.1-3 604H-1301.4-2 604H-1301.4-7

SUBJECT: Possible Beneficiation of Low Grade Coal By Screening - Screen Test No. 2

Screen Test No. 1 showed an overall ash of 72.26% (db) and thermal value of 1906 Btu (db). As mentioned in my memo of 5 July 1979, it was virtually impossible to select a suitable site for obtaining samples of the required grade viz 3000-4000 Btu/1b (db) without diluting the coal sample with waste material.

It may be of interest to note that the quality of this coal, 1906 Btu/1b (db), is in the range (2000 Btu/1b db) suggested by the Energy Conservation Authorities to be the permissible reject.

On request from Simon-Carves, their telex of 4 July 1979, a second sample was taken from a trench at the foot of the northern wall. Effort was made to take a representative, unbiased sample, incorporating claystone band as it naturally occurs.

Initial screening using 4", 2", 1" and  $\frac{1}{2}$ " screens was conducted at site, the respective weights recorded.

Effort was made to maintain natural moisture levels - excessive drying was prevented.

The Field Screen Analysis is as follows:

Retained on Screen Size	Weight 1bs	Weight % As Received	Weight % of Total As Received Dry Basis		
-+4 ''	206.0	9.0	8.6		
+2"	289.0	12.6	12.6		
+1"	461.0	20.0	19.6		
+12"	348.8	15.2	14.8		
_1_11 11		43.2			
Total	2300.3	100.0	100.0		

The calculated quality of the total sample (+4" to  $-\frac{1}{2}$ ") on (db) is 23.67% moisture, 55.43% ash, 0.59% S and 4825 Btu/1b.

The bulk density of the  $-\frac{1}{2}$ " x 0 fraction is 903.0 kg/m<sup>3</sup> with 23.37% total moisture, 60.95% ash (db), 0.53% S (db) and 3744 Btu/lb (db).

·**..**.2

Memo to: W. E. Meeks

The analysis of  $-\frac{1}{2}$ " x O fraction was carried out at Commerical Testing in Vancouver. All screening was done with the total moisture - without thermal drying, partial or otherwise. The screening was slow at fraction  $-\frac{1}{4}$ " and below, yet not too difficult.

BD:rak

cc: J. J. Fitzpatrick W. C. Fothergill D. K. Whish C. R. Welton H. Kim

20 en

- 2 - .

# inter-office memo (#

5 July 1979

MEMO TO: W. E. MEEKS

FROM: B. DUTT

File: 604H-126.2-8 604H-1301.1-3 604H-1301.4-2 604H-1301.4-7

...2

SUBJECT: Possible Beneficiation of Low Grade Material by Screening

Simon-Carves have been assigned to investigate the possible beneficiation of low grade material at Hat Creek. The two low grade cutoffs were required to be at about 3000 Btu/1b and at 4000 Btu/1b.

The writer had the responsibility of selecting the suitable sampling sites and carrying out screening at +4", 4" x 2", 2" x 1", 1" x  $\frac{1}{2}$ ", and  $-\frac{1}{2}$ " x 0.

The last fraction,  $-\frac{1}{2}$ " x 0, was to be screened at Commercial Testing, Vancouver. Ash and total moisture was also to be determined for all fractions up to  $-\frac{1}{2}$ ". Trench A offered the ideally exposed section with proper analytical records available from the Bulk Sampling Program of 1977.

A detailed study of the bench faces showed almost complete absence of the desired "low grade" material. It would be imprudent to obtain such material by blending coal with waste in the required amount to produce the "sample". Hence, the only site towards the eastern coal limit of the pit was selected. A rough ash determination of the sample was around 70%.

It was, therefore, decided to go ahead with one sample only at the ash level slightly higher than the required one of around 65% (db).

#### Sampling Procedure

A backhoe-was used to open up a trench about 5' wide and 15' long at the base of the dark coloured coaly claystone band. The surface material up to a depth of 1' was cleared to expose fresh coal.

The coal was wet, slightly weathered and oxidized. The backhoe lifted up a bucketful of sample at a time and dropped it gently on the 4" screen. The lower screens 2", 1" and  $\frac{1}{2}$ " were installed as shelves.

There were very few pieces of +4" material, hence the sample weight was kept at 1 ton, instead of 2 tons as envisaged earlier.

The heaviest plugged screen was  $\frac{1}{2}$ "; to screen any finer the coal had to be dried.

One barrel of  $-\frac{1}{2}$ " coal fraction was brought to Commercial Testing for screening at  $\frac{1}{4}$ ", 16 mesh, 28 mesh and -28 mesh.

Memo to: W. E. Meeks

The samples had to be partially dried, as suspected, before it could be screened.

The field screen analysis is as follows:

Retained on Screen Size	Weight	Weight,% of Total	
+4"	54.75 lb.	0.7	
+2"	153.25 15.	3.2	
+1"	308.00 1Ъ.	13.2	an a
$+\frac{1}{2}$	395.50 1Ъ.	17.1	•
- <u>'</u> 2''	1411.40 lb.	61.5	la de la companya de
	2322.90 1Ъ.	100.0%	

The bulk density of the above material  $-2" \ge 0$  (the fraction which the mine is required to produce) is 1143 kg/m<sup>3</sup> with 29.24% total moisture and 72.26% ash (db).

## Bulk Density Determination of Coal & Coaly Material

A measuring-box 50 cm x 50 cm x 50 cm (or 1/8 of  $m^3$ ) was used to determine the bulk density of materials obtained from the stockpiles at the Bradford Breaker site.

Sample I.D.		Ash(dh)	<u>Total Moisture</u>	Bulk Density (w/total moisture kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Stockpile C	High Grade	32.12	26.04	903.56
Stockpile B	Low Grade	50.75	28.50	965.33
Stockpile A	Shipping Grade	46.56	27.95	922.00

#### BD:rak

- cc: J. J. Fitzpatrick W. C. Fothergill
  - D. K. Whish
  - C. R. Welton
  - 11 V-m
  - H. Kim

# COMMERCIAL TESTING & ENGINEERING CO.

GENERAL OFFICES: 228 NORTH LA SALLE STREET, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60601 · AREA CODE 312 726-8434

RESIDENT MANAGER WESTERN CANADA OPERATIONS BRUCE E. LAWRENCE

2

65



PLEASE ADDBESS ATOCORRESPONDENCE TO: 47 EIVERSIDE DRIVE, NORTH VANCOUVER, B.C. V7H 1T6, CANADA OFFICE TEL. (604) 929-2228

June 29, 1979

BC HYDRO & POWER AUTHORITY 555 W. Hastings Street Box 12121 VANCOUVER, BC V6B 4T6

ATTN: Mr. B. Dutt

Project: Screen Test Analysis from Trench A

SAMPLE I.D.	Lab NO.	ASH	MOISTURE	
Stockpile C High Grade Bulk Density	18890	32.12	26.04	
Stockpile B Low Grade Bulk Density	18891	50.75	28.50	
Stockpile A Shipping Grade Bulk Density	18892	46.56	27.95	
-2" Low Grade Bulk Density (Trench A from Sample Site)	18893	72.26	29.24	
+2" Trench A Screen Test	18894	77.49	25.97	
l"xl" Trench A Screen Test	18895	71.89	28,25	
1/2"x1" "	18896	71.01	27 54	
1/2"x1/4" "	18889-1	74.09	28.25 (run on	
1/4"x16 mesh "	18889-2	71.02	= 1/2" coal)	
16m x28 mesh "	18889-3	64,96	" I/2 COAL)	
-28 mesh "	18889-4	54.98	11	
SCREEN ANALYSIS (-1/2" As	Tested)			
Wt. (Dry	<u>lbs.)</u> %	Wt. Actu	al_Wt. (lbs. partly dried	1)
$1/2" \times 1/4"$ 44.5		14.6	52.4	
1/4" x 16m 153.0		50.2	187.8	
16m x 28m 37.5		12.3	45.3	
28m x 0 70.0		22.9	89.4	
	1	00.0		
RAW COAL (Calculated Dry B	<u>asis)</u>			
+2"		9.2 12		
2" x 1"		13.2		
$1" \times 1/2"$		17.1 😳 🗇 🖉	· · · ·	
$1/2" \times 1/4"$		8.8 493		
1/4" x 16m		30.4 167		
16m x 28m		7.4		
28m x 0		13.9		
	ī	00.0		
	R	espectfully submitted,		
	C	OMMERCIAL LESTING	SA ENGINEERING CO.	2
	~	12 h	A A A A	1
	11	$\sim 1000$ $\sim 1$	$\lambda \theta = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \theta = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_$	12

י־יinal Copy Watermarked For Your Protection

Charter Member BILLINGS, MT + BIRMINGHAM, AL + CHARLESTON, WV + CLARKSBURG, WV + CLEVELAND, OH + DENVER, CO + GOLDEN, CO + HELPER, UT + HENDERSON, KY + JASPER, AL + MIDDLESBORO, KY MOBILE, AL + NEW BETHLEHEM, PA + NEW ORLEANS, LA + NORFOLK, VA + PALISADE, CO + PIKEVILLE, KY + SALINA, UT + SO. HOLLAND, IL + TOLEDO, OH + VANCOUVER, B.C. CAN.
# **Commercial Testing & Engineering Co.**

CONSULTING FUEL ENGINEERS AND CHEMISTS

CHICAGO, ILL.

Charleston, W. Va.

## Terre Haute, Ind.

BC Hydro Screen Test Trench A Description\_

Total Weight of Sample

2000 lbs.

Date June 29/79



Form SC 33:1 9-49

APPENDIX V

المستهرين أتراني المهادة بالمتعام المعالية المحالين والمحالين والمحالي

Ţ

ESTIMATE SUMMARY

AUGUST 9Hb 1979 F1490 B.C. HYDRO HAT CREEK SHEET ITEM LIST COMMENCES AT HEAD CHUTES ١, FOR ALL (4) MINE CONVEYORS. 2. ITEM LIST TERMINATES FOR NOL & NO 2. PRODUCTS AT SKIRT PLATES FOR CONVEYORS TO BLENDING PILES & RECLAIM / BYPASS (ADJACENT BLENDING PILES, -( -3. ITEM LIST TERMINATES AT SKIRT PLATES FOR OVERLAND WASTE CONVIES TO DISPOSAL. 4 ALLOW A PROVISIONAL \$100,000 IN WRITE UP TO INCLUDE FOR ANY POSSIBLE DUST SUPPRESION REQUIREMENTS IN SCREEN & CRUSH HOUSES (PER W.H.L) FUTURE WASTE BELTS NOT TEMISED 5. HEATING: - ALLOWANCE SHOULD BE MADE Ģ FOR HEATING . BINS TRANSFER HOUSES ETC. (NOT CONJETOR GALLERIES) BASED ON 6 BTUS/HR PER CU.FT OF BUILDING VOLUME. NO ALLOWANCE IN ITEN LIST FOR MECHANICAL 7 OPERATION OF CHANGE OVER GATES (PRIME MOVER ETC) IN TWO WAY CHUTES

SHEET 2. 8. RE ITEM 7. IF ACTUATORS ARE USED FOR CHANGE DUER GATES, ALLOW IN ITEM LIST A INCLUDE ELECTRICES 9 NOTE THAT DRIVE FOR ROTATING CHUTE ITEM 3354 HAS TO BE REVERSING. ŝ. 

			╶┤╴┏──┐ ┏──┐
A CARACTER STATE	11.22.27.22.20.20.11.4元数据数据数据数据数据		
		112-12-51-52-54-52-54-5-44-5-44-5-44-5-4	
R.			
<b>3</b>			
N.			
т. Б			
÷.			

											•	
	ESTIMATE	CLIENT					DESCRIPTION				PROJECT	NO.
	1-CARVES OF CANADA LTD. heppard Avenue East	PROJECT										
•••••••••••		LOCATION					EST'D	DATE		SHEET G OF		
	ITEM		UNIT	QTY.		UNIT			тоти	LCOST		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
							EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
	HEAD CHUTE	For										
3275	ABOVE CONVE	2						9950		6640		16590
				11060	Ł						. '.	
	NO 2 PRODUC	T5 CONVR	1-5	4 4 115	× 35	DHR						۹.
3776	FROM TRANSE	<del>er House</del>					187,000			50000		237.000
	To SAMPLING	HOUSE										
	HEAD CHUTE	FOR						·······				
3277	ASONE CONT	TRJ				<del>_</del>		9950		6640		16590
				11060	•							
	SKIRT PLATE	5 FOR		7/10*								
20-12	Nolfroducts_	CONVE						670		4.40		
22-13	HOUSE	Crush										1110
	SKIRT PLATES	FOR NO2		740								
2070-	PRODUCT: CONV	RIN		1	•			670		44.0		1110
	SCREEN & CRU	sh House	. `								3	110
						·	187000	71240	6250	51.160		77866

$\bigcirc$	$\sum_{i=1}^{n}  f_i  =  f_i  = $	

	ESTIMATE	CLIENT					DESCRIPTION	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		PROJECT NO.		
SIM 2025	ON-CORVES OF CANADA LTD. Sheppard Avenue East	PROJECT						;				
Willov	wdale, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION					EST'D		DATE		SHEET 7	OF
		<b>I</b>		07/	UNIT	UNIT			τοτα	LCOST	<b>I</b>	
	IIEM			UIT.	COST	М/Н	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
3262 3263	BULK DENSIT	ir Ash		1			17/200			1.000		IRICAD
3264.L 3265	1121210	(4)		1			1.340	•		1000		101,600
	Nol. PRODUCTS	CONUR		1-54	×615.	70011	4× 54P		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
3280	FROM DAHFLING TO TRANSFER HE	a House ous <u>e To</u>		-1	· · ·		277000			75000		352,000
l 	BLENDING MILE	5		ļ			12 - 5	•				
2721	SKIRT PLATES	For	1	740*		-		670		110		1110
	ALIVE CONUR			,				010				
30.00	HEAD CHUTE	FOR.		10050	<sup>44</sup>							
<u>3797</u>	INCL. GATE	Wo-WA-		1				4040		0030		15070
5000	No 2 PRIODUCT	5 CONUR		1-54×	500'x	TOOHP						0/10000
01285	TO TRANSFER	HOUSE PILES					270,000			73,000	4 	343,000
			<u> </u>				723800	9710	22000	159270		914780



	ESTIMATE	CLIENT					DESCRIPTION	1		PROJECT NO.		
2025	DN-CARVES OF CANADA LTD. Sheppard Avenue East	PROJECT										- 
Willow	idale, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION					EST'D		DATE		SHEET S	OF
	ITEM		UNIT	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT		1	LCOST			
	SKIRT PLATES	For.		7/1.0*		M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
1284-	AEOVE CONVR.			<b></b>				519		440		
32.35	HEAD CHUTE I ABOVE CONUR.	For Two Way.		10050	, <b>4</b>			9040		6030		15070
	THEL. GATE.							,				
2026	COLLECTING BOXES	o & Couve		7875	#.							
\$20 <u>0</u>	FROM TRANSFER TO BLENDING FILE	House		1				1040		4.120		11810
	COLLECTING BOXES SKIEF FLATED FO FROM TEALSFER	5 k R Cohur Hovie		<del>10370</del> 1	:#: :#:			9330		6220		15550
	NORMAL CORL	AIM		1- 5/12	854	50 HP			<u>.</u>			
3233	BYPASS CONVR			1			38,000			10300	<u>,</u> .	48300
							38000	26120	1050	27710	•	02070

<b></b>	ESTIMATE	CLIENT			NG		DESCRIPTION				PROJECT	10
simon	I-CARVES OF CANADA LTD.			÷								····
2025 Sh Willowda	leppard Avenue East le, Ontario M2J 1W2	PROJECT		<u></u>				·····	• •	• .		
	1	LOCATION				<b></b>	EST'D		DATE		SHEET 🤤	OF
	ITEM		UNIT	QTY.	UNIT COST	UNIT M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
	HEAD CHUTE FO	or.		110.60	¢#							
-2039-	ALONE CONVR SKIRT PLATES	<del>, hice.</del> ;		I				9950		664:0		-16590-
(toanel) (teanel) (teanens), (tristylear 3290	OVERHEAD TR SERVICE CRADE ELECTRIC, LIFT HOIST. FOR S	QUELLING . INCL 111G ERVICING	201 EST.	× 48's	PAU X 1	00'1151	80,000 20HP 0.a.			16.000		96.000
	BOTH SCREEN ) HOUSES . (TOSUIT	6 CRUSH CRUSHER	(207?)		· · · ·						۰. ۰	
₹291	87 ELECTRIC A DRIVE & TRANSFER FOR MINE CO	loist For, House NVRS		1		-	15000			2000.		17000
3292	5T HOIST B	1.0CKS		16	t. 4.00		64.000			16,000	\$ 	80000
							159000	9950	5100	1.06/10	•	214.600

|--|--|--|

							•	$\frown$			,	
ESTIMATE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CLIENT					DESCRIPTION			······································	PROJECT	10.
SIMON-CRAVES OF CAN 2025 Sheppard Avenue East	NADA LTD.	PROJECT						·. ·		· · · · ·		
Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2		LOCATION					EST'D	DATE			SHEET IC	, OF
	ITEM		LINIT		UNIT	UNIT			τοτα		······································	
				Q11.	COST	M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
Nos 33 Reservi	300 To ED F	3350 R					100,000		3000	14000	-	117,000
SAMP	UNG				 		30HP TOTAL					
								•				
												• •
		<u></u>		•								
				<u>.</u>								
				,						•	•	
			1		1		100 000		3000	11.000		117000



	ESTIMATE CLIENT								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PROJECT NO.			
SIM01 2025 S	N-CRRVES OF CANADA LTD. heppard Avenue East	PROJECT						•					
Willowda	ale, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		EST'D		DATE		SHEET ()	OF	
	ITEM	······	UNIT	οτγ	UNIT	UNIT	TOTAL COST						
		COST M/H		EQUIPMENT MATERIAL FRT./DUTY			LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL				
			•					-					
		· · ·				•	SAW	PLING	•		•		
· · ·													
			`								3 		



r	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		 				· ***	•			
	ESTIMATE	CLIENT				DESCRIPTION				PROJECT	NO.
simor	I-CARVES OF CANADA LTD.		 			-					
2025 Si Willowda	heppard Avenue East	PROJECT	 								
		LOCATION				EST'D			SHEET 12 OF		
	ITEM			UNIT	UNIT			TOTA	LCOST		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		G	COST	M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
								·			
				1						•	
							Sama	OLINY	$\uparrow$		· · ·
							OFIN	P LINC	P		
			 								······································
								-			
	· · ·										
						1				÷	
			 					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
										1	
										4	
										•	<b>4</b>
			 					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	L	i	 	Lunger and the second		u		L	1 I		



			-			14,57		1.	· · ·	· .			
	simo	ESTIMATE	CLIENT	B.C,	HYDR	<i>י</i> ז	<u> </u>	DESCRIPTION	1			PROJECT	NO. 1490
	2025 S	Sheppard Avenue East	PROJECT	HAT	CREEK	< 1				4	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
	vviii	ale. Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION					EST'D		DATE	•	SHEET 13	f OF
		ITEM		LINIT		UNIT	UNIT			тоти	LCOST	k	
						COST	M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
	3351	HEAD CHUTE FO GRADE COAL CO FROM MINE, TH INCL. GATE & 25 SKIRT PLATES.	DR LOW DAVE WO WAY, ETS OF		1	+			10800		7200		18000
. <u>'</u>	3352	LOW GRADE COM (STANDEY WASTE) DRIVE & TRANSFER LOW GRADE COAL SURGE SINS.	L CONVR FROM HOUSETS -/WATTE		1-54'x	317'x	700HF	142.600			38500		181,100
	3353	HEAD CHUTE ALOVE CONVR.	For		6630	+			6010		4010		10020.
k	3354	ROTATING DISTRI CHUTE FOR ABOVE FEEDING LOW G SURGE DINS (200 WASTE SURGE BING	BUTION E CONVR RADE COAL MRPTS) OR (2 COMPTE	PIN	6160 1 - 1011P	# REV. 1	CIVE	1200	5540		3700 400		10840
	3355	LOW GRADE COA SURGE BINS. 4 CON (2 FOR LOW GRADE (2 FOR MASTE)	L & WASTE IPARTMENTE COAL.)		1	*		INICL.	41711 ST	RUCT. S	TEE_		
								143800	22350	5000	53810		20/1060

		ESTIMATE	CLIENT					DESCRIPTION				PROJECT	NO.
		PCRRVES OF CANADA LTD.	PROJECT								•	· · ·	· · · ·
	Willowda	e, Ontario M2J 1W2							······································			·	•
		·	LOCATION	······	1	T		EST'D		DATE		SHEET 6	1/2 OF
		ITEM		UNIT	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT				LCOST		r
					<u></u>		MU.H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
	3856	BIN OUTLET G	HUTE TO EEDER		1	7490	<b>ب</b> ير		6740		4490	•	11230
	/ د <u>و</u> به در 	I DISCHARGE (	HUTE		1			· .	•				
ĸ	3353	PLATE BELT	FEEDER		-1							-	
ĸ	2359	For Low Grad	DE COAL		1	70,000		140,000			110,000		180,000
			REX.							· ·		· · · ·	
*-	3260	LOW GRADE CO	AL CONVP		1-54	× 450	x 2001				100,000		1-1-000
¥	3261	& CRUSH HOUS	E		1-54	382 4	200111	314.000		•	100,000		414,000
								4-5-4-1 (m)					, · · · ·
	3362	SKIRT PLATES	FOR		7400	#			1110		41.40		
	3363	ABOVE CONVR	•		1				0000		4440		11100
		HEAD CHUTE F	OR.	<u>.</u>	10090	#				, ,			·
	コンシート	ABOUT COUNT			1	•			9080		6050	!	15130
	3365	HEOVE CONVE			1						、	•	
t				<u></u>				514.000	22480	16100	154980		707560

é

<b></b>			•			<b>P</b>					·		• •
	simon	ESTIMATE	CLIENT					DESCRIPTION	l			PROJECT	NO.
	2025 St	reppard Avenue East	PROJECT						•			· · · ·	
			LOCATION					EST'D		DATE		SHEET AZ OF	
		ITEM	a.	UNIT	QTY.	UNIT COST	UNIT M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	TOTA FRT./DUTY	LCOST	SUB/CONT.	ΤΟΤΑΙ
3	565	LOW GRADE COA	<u>ال</u>					06000	:		27000		
3	367 {	2- ÉCREEHING DE FITTED BTIN CARRYI	ICKS ) IIG DECK		1			2000			500		124,600
- 101 - 1	368	SUPPORT FOR	Αβονε		1			INCL	1/17/1	STRUCT.	STEEL		
-	509			:	50007	k							
(i) (i)	370 371	DRIVE SUPPOR Above Scree	T FOR		1		×		4500		3000		7500
10 00	272 373	SCREEN OVERSI To Low Grade Crucher	LE CHOIE COAL		7710				6940		4630		11570
	274	LOW GRADE C	5AL										
3	175	CRUSHER	1000	、	۱ ۲			12/1:000			26,000		185,000
-		5-12014P	x = - 013					24.9000	11440	7800	68920	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3261.70

- -

					0		· · ·					
	ESTIMATE	CLIENT					DESCRIPTION	4			PROJECT	NO.
SIM0 2025	DN-CRRVES OF CANADA LTD. Sheppard Avenue East	PROJECT										, <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>
Willow	dale, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION					EST'D		DATE		SHEET (	JOF
	ITEM	<b>_</b>	UNIT	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT			тот	LCOST		•
				1	COST	M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
3376	LOW GRADE O CRUSHER BAS	COAL DE PLATE		8000	H.			7200		4800		12000
											• •	
3378	LOW GRADE C	OAL		4200	#			8700		200		10
3279	Crusher Dene	BASEPLALE						3780		2520		6300
2330 3221	LOW GRADE COAL TWO WAY DISCHA TO NO 2 FRODUC & REJECTS CONVR	. CRUSHER, RGE CHUTE T CONVR NO.1		2554	04.			21010		14000		35010
3382	LOW GRADE COAL SCREEN INTERMEDI	SIZING		1809	p#			16280		10850		07130
3383	METER INCL 9/FL	27/ 5201101.		1						10000		27120
¥ 3384.	INTERMEDIATE S DENSITY ASH M	ize Bulk Neter		1	-		88,400			2400	!	90800
- 3385		· ·		1				•• ••			•	
							88400	18270	1.100	34570		175340



	COTIMENTE	1												
	ESTIMATE	CLIENT					DESCRIPTION				PROJECT	NO.		
2025 Sh	PERRYES OF CANADA LTD. Reppard Avenue East	PROJECT		<u>, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, _, </u>										
Willowdal	le, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION					EST'D		DATE	<u></u>	SHEET 2	5 OF		
	ITEM	· · · · ·	LINUT	OTV	UNIT	UNIT	TOTAL COST							
	TTEM				COST	M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL		
3586	INTERMEDIATE SI RENSITY ASH ME	ZE BULK		11740	pt.		· ·	10570		70/10		17/10		
227	NOZ PRODUCTÓ GO REJECTÓ CONNE NOI.	HUTE 10 UVR K S/W GATE		1				10210		1040		11010		
388	LOW GRADE COAL SCREEN LINDERSI	SIZING ZE CHUTE		1809: 1	)¥			1000		10000	-	07120		
389	METER. Incl. 9/20	φ A.SH .ow 5εςτιου		1				16200		10850		2/150		
390	UNDERSIZE BULK AGH METER	DENSITY		1			82400			01.00		00000		
391				1			00406			2400		10000		
392	UNDERSIZE BULK D ASH METER TWO W DISCHARGE CHUTE	DENSITY AY To No2.		11748	2*			10.570				-1.0		
393	PRODUCTS CONVR & CONVR 110 1. 5/W G	Rejects Ate		1				10510		704.0		1/619		
204	SKIRT PLATES	For		7/10	#. •			(70		1.1.0	t			
	REJECTS CONVR.	No.1						010		14.14.0				
							88400	38090	3800	27770		158060		
	SIMON 2025 SF Willowda 3586 327 388 329 390 390 391 392 393 394	SIMON-CRAVES OF CANADA LTD. 2025 Sheppard Avenue East Willowdale, Ontario M2J TW2 ITEM 55875 INTERMEDIATE SI CENSITY ASH ME 297 NO 2 PRODUCTS CONTR NOI. 389 LOW GRADE COAL SCREEN LINDERSIT 309 METER. Incl. 951 309 METER. Incl. 951 390 UNDERSIZE BULK ASH METER 391 392 ASH METER TWO W DISCHARGE CHUTE PRODUCTS CONVR & CONVR MD I. 5/W G 394 REJECTS CONVR.	SIMON-CREVES OF CANADA LTD. 2025 Sheppard Avenue East Willowdale, Ontario M2J TW2 ITEM DOCATION ITEM DOCATION ITEM DOCATION ITEM DOCATION ITEM DOCATION ITEM DOCATION ITEM DOCATION ITEM DOCATION INTERMEDIATE SIZE BULK DENSITY ASH METER TWO MAY METER. INCL. 95LOW SECTION DOC LINDERSIZE BULK DENSITY ASH METER DISCHARGE CHUTE TO NO 2. PRODUCTS CONVR & REJECTS CONVR NO 1. 4W GATE DISCHARGE CHUTE TO NO 2. PRODUCTS CONVR & REJECTS CONVR NO 1. 4W GATE DISCHARGE CHUTE TO NO 2. PRODUCTS CONVR & REJECTS CONVR NO 1. 4W GATE DISCHARGE CHUTE TO NO 2. PRODUCTS CONVR & REJECTS CONVR NO 1. 4W GATE DISCHARGE CONVR. NO. 1	SIMON-CRRVES OF CANADA LTD. 2025 Sheppard Avenue East Willowdale, Ontario M2J IVV2 ICOATION ITEM INTERMEDIATE SIZE BULL REINSITY ASH METER TWO VIAY DISCHARCE CHUTE TO 227 NO 2 PRODUCTS CONVR & REIECTS CONIDE NOI. SW GATE 328 LOW GRADE COAL SIZING SCREEN LINDERSIZE CHUTE TO BULK DENSITY ASH METER. Incl. 95LOW SECTION 390 LINDERSIZE BULK DENSITY ASH METER TWO WAY DISCHARGE CHUTE TO NO 2. PRODUCTS CONVR & REJECTS CONVR NOI. SW GATE 391 392 SKIRT PLATES FOR REJECTS CONVR. No.1	SIMON-CRRVES OF CANADA LTD. 2025 Sheppard Avenue East Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2 ITEM ITEM ITEM INT QTY. 2058/J 1/174/C 2058/J 1/174/C 2058/J 1/174/C 2058/J 1/174/C 2058/J 1/174/C 2057/J 207 No 2 PRODUCTS GAIVE K RELECTS GOILLE NOTE TO 1/207 No 2 PRODUCTS CONVE K RELECTS GOILLE NOTE TO 207 No 2 PRODUCTS CONVE K RELECTS GOILLE NOTE TO 207 No 2 PRODUCTS CONVE K RELECTS GOILLE NOTE TO 207 No 2 PRODUCTS CONVE K RELECTS GOILLE DENSITY ASH 309 METER. Incl. 9/2000 SECTION 1 300 UNDERSIZE BULK DENSITY ASH METER TWO WAY DISCHARGE CHUTE TO NO 2. 1 391 I 392 ASH METER TWO WAY DISCHARGE CHUTE TO NO 2. PRODUCTS CONVE & REJECTS 1 394 REJECTS CONVE. No. 1 1	SIMON-CRRVES OF CANADALITD. 2025 SPEEDRICH AVENUE EAST Willowdale, Ontario M2J TW2 ITEM ITEM ITEM UNIT OTY. UNIT OTY. UNIT OCATION UNIT OTY. OTY.	SIMON-CRRVES OF CANADALTD. 2025 SREEDARD REAL EAST Willowdale, Ontario M2J TW2 ITEM ITEM ITEM ITEM UNIT OTY. UNIT COST M/H SG373 INJIERMEDIATE SIZE BULK REJSCITY ASH METER TWO VIAY LISCHARGE CHOTE TO 1 1027 NO 2 PRODUCTS CONVR K REJSCTS CONDE NOI. SW GATE 329 LOW GRADE COAL SIZING SCREEN LINDERSIZE CHOTE. 1 329 MGTER. Incl. 9/2000 SECTION 339 MGTER. Incl. 9/2000 SECTION 390 LINDERSIZE BULK DENSITY ASH METER 391 392 UNDERSIZE BULK DENSITY ASH METER TWO WAY DISCHARGE CHOTE TO NO 2. PRODUCTS CONVR. SREJECTS CONVR HOIL. SW GATE 394 REJECTS CONVR. No. 1	SIMON-CRAVES OF CANADALID. 2025 Shepped Avenue East Willowskie, Onlino M21 W2 LOCATION ITEM UNIT OTY. UNIT COST UNI	SINDA-CRIVES OF CANADALTD 2023 SHEPPAR AVAILUE EAST Willingdie, Draw M21 W2 ICOATION EST UNIT LOCATION EST UNIT ITEM UNIT OTY. UNIT COST UNIT COST UNIT COST UNIT COST UNIT COST UNIT EQUIPMENT MATERIAL 35365 INTERMEDIATE SIZE BULK ELISTS CONVER & CHUTE TO NO 2 PRODUCTS CONVER & 1 RELECTS CONVE NOI. SW GATE 10570 1	SIMON-CREWES OF CANADA ITD. 2023 SPROME MANDE BAN Withoutane, Online M21 W2FROJECTITEMUNITOTY.UNITOTY.ITEMUNITOTY.ITEMUNITOTY.UNITOTY.UNITOTY.ITEMUNITOTY.UNITOTY.UNITOTY.UNITOTY.UNITOTY.UNITOTY.UNITOTY.UNITOTY.UNITOTY.UNITOTY.UNITOTY.UNITOTY.UNITOTY.UNITOTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.UNITOTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.OTY.OTY. </td <td>SIMON-CRAVES OF CANADALTO 2023 SPREME ARAMEN EAL 2023 SPREME ARAMEN EAL 2023 SPREME ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE 2023 MARKEN ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE 2023 MARKEN ARAMENE ARAMENE</br></td> <td>SIMOL-CRAVES or cANARATO 2023 BAREAD RAVE EN WINNER COLUMN M21 M02         PROJECT           ITEM         UNIT         OTY.         COST         MIT         DATE         SHEET 2.           ITEM         UNIT         OTY.         COST         MIT         TOTAL COST         SHEET 2.           INTEM         UNIT         OTY.         COST         MIT         EDUIPMENT         MATERIAL         FRJ.DUTY         LABOUR         SUBJCONT.           105350         HATERIAL FALLOST         COST         I         I         IOS 70         TOTAL COST           105350         HATERIAL FRJ.DUTY         LABOUR         SUBJCONT.         IABOUR         SUBJCONT.           105370         TOTAL COST         IIII         IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII</td>	SIMON-CRAVES OF CANADALTO 2023 SPREME ARAMEN EAL 2023 SPREME ARAMEN EAL 2023 SPREME ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE 2023 MARKEN ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE ARAMENE 	SIMOL-CRAVES or cANARATO 2023 BAREAD RAVE EN WINNER COLUMN M21 M02         PROJECT           ITEM         UNIT         OTY.         COST         MIT         DATE         SHEET 2.           ITEM         UNIT         OTY.         COST         MIT         TOTAL COST         SHEET 2.           INTEM         UNIT         OTY.         COST         MIT         EDUIPMENT         MATERIAL         FRJ.DUTY         LABOUR         SUBJCONT.           105350         HATERIAL FALLOST         COST         I         I         IOS 70         TOTAL COST           105350         HATERIAL FRJ.DUTY         LABOUR         SUBJCONT.         IABOUR         SUBJCONT.           105370         TOTAL COST         IIII         IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII		



						19 Q.F			· ·			•			
	simor	ESTIMATE	CLIENT					DESCRIPTION		PROJECT	PROJECT NO.				
	2025 51	heppard Avenue East	PROJECT								. •				
	Willowda	ile, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION					EST'D		SHEET C	G OF				
		ITEM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	LINET	ΟΤΥ	UNIT	UNIT		TOTAL COST						
	<u> </u>					COST	M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL		
		REJECTS CONVE	NOI		1.54	250x	100H	ļ,							
*	2395				1			112,000			30,000		142000		
	33.9G	HEAD CHUTE & Plates For Ab	RSKIRT OVE		1106	5*			9950		6640	T.	16590		
		·													
*	3:97	REJECTS CON	VR No.2	•	1-54 ×	620 x	12511	p 280,000			75000		355000		
┝				·				11.15		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·			
	3398	HEAD CHUTE FO CONVR. TWO W INCL. GATE 1	R ABOVE AY. 2 SETS		12000	<i>#</i>			10,800		7200	• • •	18000		
4		OF SKIRT FLAT	-5										· ·		
						•						. 1			
		· · · ·		·						<b>~</b> .		•			
								392000	20,750	12400	118840		543990		

<b></b>	ESTIMATE	CLIENT				·						
SIM	DN-CHRVES OF CANADA LTD.	PROJECT	<u> </u>	L. Hrop	2.0		DESCRIPTION	•			PROJECT	no. F1490
Willow	dale, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION	<sup>ی</sup> ر اسم				EST'D		DATE			
	ITEM		UNIT QTY. UNIT UNIT						TOT	ALCOST	SHEEL	
					COST	м/н	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
3451	HEAD CHUTE FO CONVE FROM N INCL. SKIRT PL	ir Waste Aihe Ates		1106	2			9950		6640		16590.
3452	MASTE CONVR I Drive & Tradefe To Waste Eurre	ROM R. HOUSE Enis		1-5/13	315'x	700111	142,000			38,000		180,000
3453	BIFURCATED CHUTE FOP. AB CONVEYOR	HEAD ove		10060 1	) *			9050		6040		15090
3454 3455	EMERGENCY W BYPASS CHUTE TRUCKS. INC. 6	ASTE To NATE		1288:	)# 			11590		7730		19320
345G 3457	BIN OUTLET G PLATE BELT FEED FEEDER SKIRT PL DISCHARGE CHU	IUTE TO ER. INCL ATES & TE		74.90	)#			6740	<u></u>	4490	3	11230
							11.2000	37230	51.00	62900	•	0/17/20



	r		1					II					······
		ESTIMATE	CLIENT					DESCRIPTION				PROJECT	10.
		1-CRRVES OF CANADA LTD.	PROJECT				·····	-		•			
	Willowda	sle, Ontario M2J 1W2							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	ļ	1		1	T	γ <u> </u>	<del></del>	ESTD	·····	DATE		SHEET 3	OF
		ITEM		UNIT	ατγ.	UNIT					ALCOST		
								EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
*	3453	PLATE BELT F FOR WASTE	EEDER		1	79,000		140,000			110,000		180 000
*	2459		REX.		1							, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		WASTE CONNE F	FROM RINS		1-54%	250'x	300HI					•	
*	3460	TO BELT TAKE- TRANSFER HO	UP &   USE		<b>)</b>	,	:	112,000			30.000		142,000
ł										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	3461	SKIRT PLATE Above Convr	FOR		140 "				670		440		1110
		HEAD CHUTE F	OR		1200	2#							
	34.62	ABOVE CONVR. VIAY. LIKL GATE	TWO & 2		1				10800		7200		18000
		SETS OF SKIRT	PLATES		· ·								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
*	21/2	WASTE CONVE FRO TAKE-UP KTEA119FI	om Belt er House		1-5/+ ×	5.13'x	1,5011.	P. 220000			62000	1	102000
		TO WASTE CONVE JUNCTION HOUSE	25	. `	<b>)</b>	-		2.50,000			02,000	•	212,000
Ē								482000	11470	15000	139640		648110

					$\bigcirc$						•	
	ESTIMATE	CLIENT					DESCRIPTION	·			PROJECT	10.
SIM01 2025 S	P-CARVES OF CANADA LTD. heppard Avenue East	PROJECT		<u> </u>				۰. ۲		• • • •		
Winowd2	lie. Untario M2J 1W2	LOCATION	•				EST'D		DATE		SHEET 3	5 OF
	ITEM		UNIT	οτγ.	UNIT	UNIT		·	ТОТА	LCOST	·····	
					COST	M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
34-64-	HEAD CHUTE F ABOVE CONVR. SKIRT PLATES	For Incl.		11060	5 - 2 <b>5</b> 4.			9950		6640		16590
	HYDRAULIS 12 NCTUNTOR 3/2' 5 x 1000	DOSR S. PSI.		12	650.		7800			1800		9600
	HYDRAULIC POWER PACK PAIR OF ACT	PER WATORS		6.	2600		15600.			1500		17100
	ALL'CE. FOR SUPAKESSID	DUST N.									250,000	250,000
				-	*						- - -	
							23/100	9950	1000	9940	250 000	294700

							⇒.					
	ESTIMATE	CLIENT	B.C.	HYDRO		·	DESCRIPTION				PROJECT	NO.
2025 S	N-CARVES OF CANADA LTD. heppard Avenue East	PROJECT		CPEE			-				f	1420
Willowda	ale, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION			. 7~		EST'D	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	DATE		SHEET A	
	ITEM	-1	UNIT	ΟΤΥ	UNIT	UNIT			тот	ALCOST		
					COST	M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
3475	HEAD CHUTE WASTE CONVR FROM MINE. IN PLATES.	FOR CLAY ICL, SKIRT		1106	2 *			9950		6640		16590
3476	WASTE CONVR FROM TRANSF HOUSE TO WAST TRANSFER HOUSE	CLAY ER E CONVRS IE		1-542	530'x	4501	r. 238,000			611,000		302,000
3477	HEAD GLUTE FO Above Convr. Skirt Plates	DR INCL		11060	7			9950		6611-0		16590
					-		020000	100000	77/ 0	774.04	1	

								)					
	ESTIMATE	CLIENT			····		DESCRIPTION				PROJECT	NO.	, , ,
	IN-CARVES OF CANADA LTD. Sheddard Avenue Fast	PROJECT	5. C. A.	40.80			-	ELE	CTRIC	AL.		- 1490	
Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2		LOCATION HAT CREEN S.C.				EST'D TM. DATE 21 AUC 7			AUC. 74	SHEET			
	ITEM		UNIT	ατγ.					тотя	LCOST	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1,	H.V. POWER DISTRIC SYSTEM.	зитюн						# 325, 500,00		LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL	
27	JABLE TRAYS				-			ž 250, 030.00					
3.,	H.N. CABLES							\$ 110, 000 oo					
4.,	ДИСТ ЗАНИ	-						\$7.500,					
5.,	Соднинствоу 54	YITEM	`					\$50,000.00		•	3 4 1 •••		
L	]							\$ 743, 000.00				-	



ESTIMATE		CLIENT					DESCRIPTION	N			PROJECT	NO
SIMO 2025 S	<b>N-CRAVES</b> OF CANADA LTD. Sheppard Avenue East	PROJECT	PROJECT					- 14		F1490		
Willowd	ale, Ontario M2J 1W2								-		F	
		LUCATION	LOCATION				EST'D		SHEET	2 OF 3		
	ITEM		UNIT	QTY.	UNIT	UNIT			тоти	LCOST	·····	
					COST	M/H	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL
6.,	600V POWER, CO CAGLES & CONNEC	"TROL DORS						\$ 140,000.00				
							<u> </u>					
7.	GROUNDING SYS	TEM.						\$ 25,000.00			- 	
\$j	600V MOTOR C CENTERS	CONTROL						£89,000.00				
З,	BLDGS LIGHTIN	<del>.</del>										\$ 65,000.00
10	EONN. GALL. LIGH	TING.			•						1 1 •	\$ 150,000.00
l								1245.002.00				\$ 215,000.00

이야 하는 것 같아요. 이 가슴 집안 잘 했다.		
		. (8) 
	the state of the second st	

- ----

r					( )			$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$				
CIRCO	ESTIMATE CLIENT					DESCRIPTION	1			PROJECT	NO.	
2025 S	heppard Avenue East	PROJECT					╣			н. П		F1490
Willowda	ale, Ontario M2J 1W2						-		r			
	T				· · · · · · · · ·	r	EST'D	······	SHEET 3	OF J		
	ITEM		UNIT	ατγ.	UNIT COST	UNIT M/H	FOUR	MATERIAL		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
							EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL ,
			· ·									
	Set \$1							\$ 743.0000		an th		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						<u> </u>						
											•	
	5472							\$ 245,000.00	ч			1210 000.00
								ŕ	÷ .			P = 10 ,000 = 11
										· · ·		
								\$ 988,000.00		1,000,000		
	· · ·											\$ 215,000.00
	,								•			
					ĺ							
	· .									*		
								a de la composición d En composición de la c				
					-						ţ	i
	I									•	· .	
								938000		1000000	015000	O Poli and
			h-		l	ll	l	1.1.2.100		1000000	1.10,000	1.203.000

# APPENDIX VI

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

BCHPA 1977 Test Program, 1977. Sieve Analysis of Coal Passed Through Bradford Breaker, July 28, 1977.

BCHPA, September 1978. Hat Creek Project Preliminary Engineering Composite Report. Appendix D. Coal Quality and Handling.

BCHPA. Letter to Simon-Carves of Canada Ltd., 19 June, 1979. Review of Materials Handling Scheme.

Birtley Engineering (Canada) Ltd., 1976. Analysis and Beneficiation of Bulk Samples "A", "B" and "C" from the Hat Creek Deposit, CSMT Division, August 13, 1976.

Butcher,S.G., editor, 1977. Various Papers on Coal Drying and Classification, September 6, 1977. Includes papers on Spontaneous Combustion.

Cominco-Monenco Joint Venture, 1978. Mining Feasibility Report. Volume II : Geology and Coal Quality. Volume III : Mine Planning. Volume VIII : Coal Beneficiation (Simon-Carves).

Commercial Testing and Engineering Co. 1979. Analyses of Trench A Low Grade Coal Samples.

1979. Energy Research Laboratories Report ERP/ERL 78, Pilot Scale Preparation Studies with Hat Creek Coal, B.C. Hydro -Canmet Joint Research Project, February 1979.

EMR Western Research Laboratory, 1977. Correspondence between EMR Edmonton and B.C. Hydro, January 31, 1977 to June 1, 1977.

Fawcell, D.A., 1977. Coal Crushing and Stockpiling, BCHPA 1977 Test Program, December 9, 1977.

i

#### APPENDIX VI

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

November 17, 1977. 1977. Drop Tests, BCHPA 1977 Test Program,

1977. Spontaneous Combustion Tests BCHPA 1977 Test Program, December 9, 1977.

Krupp Industries (Canada) Ltd. Correspondence with Mr. W.E. Meeds of BCHPA 27 July, 1979.

PD-NCB Consultants Ltd., 1976. Report No. 2, Preliminary Report on Hat Creek Open Pit No. 1, March, 1976.

1977. Report No. 9 (Draft), Revised Report on Hat Creek Open Pit No. 1, March, 1977.

Simon-Carves of Canada Ltd., 1977. Observations on Production of Test Burn Samples, SCAN Memo, June 17, 1977.

1977. Summary Report on Preliminary Design and Costing of a Modular Coal Washery, October, 1977.

1977. Hat Creek Washability Test Procedure Revised Procedure for Attrition Test and Subsequent Analyses, November 24, 1977.

1977. Draft Report on the Potential Application of Alternative Processes for the Beneficiation of Hat Creek Coals, December 1977.

1978. Draft Report on Preliminary Design of Alternative Equipment for Tailings Disposal, January 1978.

1978. Report on Visit to Grand Forks, N. Dakota and FMC/Commonwealth Edison Offices by S.G. Butcher, January 19,20, 1978.

VI-2

ſ

## APPENDIX VI

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

March, 1978. 1978. Beneficiation of Low Grade Coals,

Warnock-Hersey Professional Services Ltd., 1977. Size Analysis and Conventional Washability Data of Plus ¼" Material, Washability Testwork of 1977 Bulk Samples, November 4, 1977.

1977. Washability Data of Minus ½" Material After Wet Attrition, Washability Testwork of 1977 Bulk Samples, December 20, 1977.

\_\_\_\_\_\_1979. Wet Screening Analyses of Trench "A" Low Grade Coal Sample.

VI-3

# APPENDIX VII

# DRAWINGS

E1400 01 2 Materials Elevenant	
r 1490-01 5 materials riowsneet	
F1490-02 3 General Arrangement	
F1490-03 3 Conveyor Profiles, Sheet 1	
F1490-04 3 Conveyor Profiles, Sheet 2	
F1490-05 3 Conveyor Profiles, Sheet 3	
F1490-06 3 Conveyor Profiles, Sheet 4	
F1490-07 2 Layout R.O.M. Waste/Low Grade Coal Sys	tem
F1490-08 2 Layout R.O.M. Normal Coal System	















	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		( <sub>12</sub> ) 			· .				<i>,</i>
SIMO	IMATE SUMMARY N-CARVES of canada Ltd	PROJECT		DESCRIPTION	Pilan	Ann an chuir chu	111	PROJECT NC	F. 14.70	
2025 SI Willowda	heppard Avenue East ale, Ontario M2J 1W2	LOCATION		EST'D //-		DATE A	UG 19.	SHEET C	)F	
CODE		ITEM		EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	TOTAL ( FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL	
	Stuert	(tool							2222000	
	E H	Jul I such	•						2222,200	
	GRAIN	<u>r s concrete</u>	•		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	867800	
	Uschity)	Amal							1013320	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ι 					· .
								•		· ·
			· · · ·							
	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							<u> </u>	
									· · · · ·	
				·					·	
				·						
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
		- <u></u>					)		5214320	
						•				
								t		
			i							
		·					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•		
L		·	!	L				I	<u> </u>	

. . .**.**
· · · ·						<u> </u>			
ESTIMATE SUMMARY SIMON-CRAVES OF CANADA LTD. 2025 Sheppard Avenue East Willowdale, Ontario M2J 1W2		PROJECT HAT CEEK.		STIMATI	SUMMARY		PROJECT NO. F. 14.90		
		LOCATION	EST'D 61_	EST'D 61-1		DATE AUG. 31-79		SHEET OF	
CODE		ITEM	EQUIPMENT	MATERIAL	TOTAL FRT./DUTY	LABOUR	SUB/CONT.	TOTAL	
	STRUCT. J	T. & CONCRETE ETC.						5,214,320	
	MECH. E	FL'WK.			· · · · ·			84.02475	
	ELECTRIC	AL.						2203,000	
	: 								
	······································	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						·	
	EXCL. FROM	EST :-						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-
	CONTCY.,	FST. & P.S.T.					<u> </u>		
	EYCAV.	E SITE MER.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• <u>••••</u>				
<u> </u>	DRIVE E	TREER, HSE. FOR MINIE CO	WIR.		<u></u>			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	MASTE C	DINT TO WISTOSAL.			······································				
	CUNER?	10: GLENDING PILES		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	T.E.C.LAIMY	1)1-1-1125, & FULLIPE 11	1.)/£				· ·		
	<u> </u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
	······································						,		
		N				<b> </b>	:	15819795	
						<u> </u>	•."		

....