





Turnagain Ultramafic-Mafic Intrusion

Introduction

The Early Jurassic Turnagain Alaskan-type intrusion (25 km²) is located 70 km east of Dease Lake in northern British Columbia (Fig. 1). The ultramafic-mafic body lies within the accreted arc terranes of Quesnellia/Yukon-Tanana, east of the Early Cretaceous, post-accretionary Kutcho fault and west of displaced miogeoclinal strata of Ancestral North America (Cassiar terrane, Fig. 2; Colpron and Nelson, 2011; Gabrielse, 1985). The Turnagain intrusion belongs to a global class of ultramafic-mafic intrusions emplaced in supra-subduction zone environments that are gaining prominence as an exploration target for magmatic Ni-Cu-platinum group element (PGE) mineralization (Nixon et al., 2015; Manor et al., 2016). The Turnagain body is unusually enriched in Ni-(Co-Cu-PGE) sulphides compared to typical Alaskan-type intrusions. Low-grade Ni-sulphide mineralization at Turnagain ranks ninth among the world's largest deposits in terms of contained Ni metal, constituting a total resource of 1842 Mt @ 0.21 wt % Ni and 0.013 wt % Co (Mudd and Jowitt, 2014).

The main Ni mineralization is hosted chiefly by wehrlite and clinopyroxenite, and the principal sulphide minerals are pyrrhotite, pentlandite and chalcopyrite. Platinum group minerals documented in the youngest part of the intrusion include platinum- and palladium-bearing arsenides, antimonides and tellurides (Jackson-Brown et al., 2014). The origin of the mineralization is directly related to contamination of primitive arc magmas by crustal material. Critical contributions of sulphur and graphite from carbonaceous phyllite in the wallrocks led to the reduction of oxidized parental arc magmas and triggered sulphide saturation (Scheel, 2007).

The Turnagain intrusion has been regarded as a typical Alaskan-type body zoned from a dunite-wehrlite core to a clinopyroxenite-hornblende clinopyroxenite-hornblende margin (Clark, 1980). The compositional and textural features of Alaskan-type intrusions are commonly related to crystal accumulation from fractionating mafic-ultramafic magmas residing deep in the crust. The origin of the zoning has been explained by re-intrusion of hot, incompletely solidified crystal cumulates to higher crustal levels promoted by diapiric uprise and/or regional deformation (e.g., Findlay, 1969; Irvine, 1974; Clark, 1980). More recent studies draw attention to emplacement of zoned ultramafic suites in narrow conduit systems, rooted in the mantle, which serve as efficient traps for upgraded metal-laden magmas (e.g., Manor et al., 2016). In the latter case, lithological zoning appears related to accretion of cumulates on conduit walls coupled with multiple injection of variably fractionated magmas (Manor et al., 2016 and references therein).

Regional setting

Prior to this study, greenschist-facies graphitic strata that host the Turnagain intrusion were interpreted to form part of the displaced North America cratonic margin and to conformably overlie Cambro-Ordovician stratigraphy of the miogeoclinal (Gabrielse, 1988; Erdmer et al., 2005). The latter authors also documented a conformable relationship between the graphitic strata and overlying Mississippian metasedimentary-metavolcanic rocks and showed that the succession is deformed by kilometre-scale, upright to northeastward-verging folds. They concluded that the entire succession represents a volcanic arc or back-arc assemblage built on the edge of Ancestral North America.

A regional airborne electromagnetic (EM) survey conducted during mineral exploration in the area indicates that graphitic strata hosting the Turnagain intrusion show a marked EM response (conductivity; warm colours in Fig. 3) not shared by ultramafic or surrounding metavolcanic and metasedimentary rocks (Figs. 3-4). Our mapping traverses north and east of the Turnagain intrusion demonstrate that the sharp EM boundary separates highly conductive graphitic rocks from poorly conductive strata of the miogeoclinal (Alan Group and Kechika Formation; Nixon et al., 1989). This EM boundary truncates the stratigraphic units mapped by Gabrielse (1988) and passes through a 800m gap in outcrop presumed to be occupied by the Kechika Formation (Erdmer et al., 2005; Figs. 3-4). We infer that this boundary represents a terrane-bounding fault (herein named the Turnagain fault; Figs. 3-4) that delineates the Early Jurassic thrust emplacement of late Paleozoic to early Mesozoic arc assemblages (Quesnellia/Yukon-Tanana terranes) onto the Ancestral North American craton. The graphitic phyllite and overlying metavolcanic-metasedimentary strata appear correlative in part with the Swift River and Kinkit Groups of southern Yukon-Tanana terrane (Roots et al., 2006).

Geology of the Turnagain Intrusion

A compilation of the geology of the Turnagain intrusion incorporates historical and modern exploration work, as well as geology documented east of the intrusion (Erdmer et al., 2005; J. E. Scheel, unpublished data; Fig. 5). A series of simplified geological cross-sections (A-A' to K-K') and a longitudinal section (L-M-N-O, Fig. 6) showing drill core control depict contact relationships with the country rocks and intrusive components of the complex (Fig. 7). The surface geology, cross-sections and drill core information were used to construct the conceptual 3D architecture of the intrusion (Fig. 8).

The Turnagain ultramafic-mafic intrusion forms an elongate body (8 x 3 km) oriented within the regional structural trend (Figs. 3-4). The northern and eastern margins of the intrusion are marked by a southwesterly dipping thrust fault that has emplaced ultramafic rocks onto graphitic strata of Mississippian age in the footwall. Intrusive contacts with hornfelsed wallrocks are largely preserved along the southern and western margins of the intrusion (Figs. 5-8).

Geological mapping has established four distinct intrusive phases (Fig. 7; from oldest to youngest): **Phase 1**, interlayered wehrlite and clinopyroxenite with minor dunite; **Phase 2**, mainly dunite and wehrlite with minor clinopyroxenite and localized occurrences of clinopyroxenite and hornblende near the margins; **Phase 3**, melanocratic to mesocratic diorite and lesser feldspathic hornblende; and **Phase 4**, hornblende, clinopyroxenite, magnetite clinopyroxenite and hornblende cut by minor leuco-diorite dykes. Contacts between intrusive phases are typically sharp and locally marked by intrusive breccias.

Phase 1 forms a small body at the northern edge of the complex. Here, interlayered (tens of metres to centimetre-scale) wehrlite and clinopyroxenite with minor dunite form a steeply dipping trough or synform plunging steeply to the southeast. The original attitude of this structure may have been modified by motion along the adjacent thrust fault.

Phase 2 dunite-wehrlite (clinopyroxenite-hornblende) occupy the core of the Turnagain intrusion and intrude Phase 1 rocks. This intrusive phase is the most voluminous and hosts the main Ni-sulphide mineralization. The dunite and wehrlite units are generally massive although mappable units of wehrlite locally occur in dunite and vice versa.

Phase 3 diorite forms an elongate body separating Phase 2 from Phase 4 rocks in the central part of the complex. Centimetre- to metre-scale layering of

mesocratic and melanocratic diorite is well preserved in some outcrops. Intrusive breccias with dunite clasts derived from Phase 2 set in a dioritic groundmass are observed locally.

Phase 4 hornblende clinopyroxenite, magnetite-clinopyroxenite and hornblende form a poorly exposed body at the northern margin of the complex. These rocks are cut locally by thin leuco-diorite dykes. Examination of drill core and sparse outcrops reveals sparse centimetre-scale layering locally involving thin horizons of magnetite and Fe-Cu sulphides. These Cu-PGE-enriched sulphides are a current exploration target and potentially form an additional economic resource.

Geochronology

Emplacement of the various intrusive phases of the Turnagain Alaskan-type complex were investigated by U-Pb and ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar geochronology (Figs. 5-7). U-Pb dating of accessory minerals (zircon, titanite) by chemical abrasion-isotope dilution thermal ionization mass spectrometry (CA-ID-TIMS) yields the following ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U dates (±2σ) that are interpreted as crystallization ages: Phase 2 hornblende, 190.34 ± 0.19 Ma (this study); Phase 3 melt-diorite, 188.11 ± 0.14 Ma (zircon), and Phase 4 clinopyroxenite (4σ) and a leuco-diorite dyke (4σ), 185.63 ± 0.19 Ma and 185.33 ± 0.13 Ma (both zircons), respectively. ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar dating of Phase 2 wehrlite and hornblende yields plateau dates of 188.6 ± 0.2 Ma (zircon, phlogopite) and 187.4 ± 1.5 Ma (hornblende), respectively, that represent cooling ages (nominally 500°C and 300°C for the closure temperatures of hornblende and biotite, respectively). The latter dates represent minimum ages for the emplacement of Phase 2 ultramafic cumulates.

U-Pb dating of detrital zircons has established the age of the country rocks. Multigrain fractions of air-abraded detrital zircons from a volcanic wacke in an enclave of metavolcanic-metasedimentary lithologies at the northern margin of the Turnagain intrusion yield a large range of ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U dates (ca. 244 to 1652 Ma), reflecting Pb loss and Proterozoic inheritance (Scheel, 2007; Figs. 5-6). One concordant fraction yields a ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U date of 301.4 ± 1.2 (2σ) Ma (uppermost Pennsylvanian close to the Carboniferous-Permian boundary) which is interpreted to be the maximum depositional age of this volcanic wacke. Erdmer et al. (2005) reported a weighted mean ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U date of 339.7 ± 1.2 (2σ) Ma (Middle Mississippian) for multigrain fractions of air-abraded zircon from a felsic schist in the lower part of the metavolcanic unit (Figs. 5-6). Thus, the strata which host the Turnagain intrusion are Carboniferous in age (Middle Mississippian to Upper Pennsylvanian and possibly younger, as discussed below).

Southeast of the Turnagain intrusion, a granodiorite body cutting the graphitic phyllite-metavolcanic contact has yielded multigrain air-abraded ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U zircon dates ranging from 183.5 to 192.4 Ma with an interpreted crystallization age of 187.5 ± 1.8 (2σ) Ma (Erdmer et al., 2005; Figs. 5-6). One discordant zircon fraction in this sample shows Proterozoic inheritance (1105 ± 440 Ma). To the south, a granitic dyke cutting metavolcanic stratigraphy and the main regional foliation yields a wide dispersion of concordant to nearly concordant ²⁰⁶Pb/²³⁸U dates (170.3–265.5 Ma) representing multigrain air-abraded zircon fractions (Figs. 5-6). Erdmer et al. (2005) favoured the weighted mean date of the youngest zircon fractions (170.9 ± 1.4 (2σ) Ma) as the crystallization age of this rock and considered the older dates to reflect Permian inheritance (ca. 257 Ma). Anomalous dates are also given by chemically abraded zircon xenocrysts recovered from a Phase 4 clinopyroxenite in the Turnagain intrusion. These xenocrysts yield a concordant early Late Triassic age ca. 236 Ma (Figs. 5-6). The Permian and Triassic zircons may be sourced by intrusion(s) at depth or possibly reflect Permo-Triassic stratigraphy involved in unrecognized structural complexity.

Conclusions

The field observations and geochronological results for ultramafic-mafic rocks of the Turnagain Alaskan-type intrusion place robust constraints on the internal architecture and temporal evolution of the complex, the timing of mineralization, and regional tectonic events.

Emplacement of the Turnagain intrusion occurred in discrete stages over a period of at least 3 million years (ca. 188–185 Ma). The geochronology results agree with the sequence of intrusive events recorded by geological relationships observed in the field. The span of intrusive activity must be regarded as a minimum since intrusive Phase 2 yields ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar cooling dates as old as 188 Ma; and the oldest Phase 1 component of the Turnagain complex remains to be dated.

Mineralization in the Turnagain intrusion is hosted by two separate sub-intrusions. The main Ni resource is contained in the Phase 2 intrusion dated at 188–190 Ma, whereas the Cu-PGE-enriched sulphides in Phase 4 clinopyroxenites and hornblendes were emplaced several million years later (ca. 185–186 Ma). The dating results indicate the presence of two distinctive sulphide saturation episodes in the Turnagain intrusion that took place in independent mineral systems: an early event that produced substantial Ni-sulphide mineralization in the older Phase 2 cumulates; and a later event that gave rise to Cu-PGE mineralization in the younger Phase 4 rocks.

The crude zonal arrangement of internal lithologies appears somewhat serendipitous in that it is primarily governed by the episodic intrusion and deposition of discrete batches of cumulates derived from parental magma(s) at various stages of evolution. However, the localized occurrence of thin marginal units of hornblende and clinopyroxenite within the volumetrically dominant Phase 2 dunites and wehrlites must reflect second-order post-emplacment processes. Therefore, realistic models for the origin of zoned ultramafic-mafic complexes such as Alaskan-type intrusions are likely to be complex, and successful models for their evolution will require calibration by further detailed geochronological studies.

Regional folding and thrusting in the Turnagain area occurred in response to deformation accompanying the accretion of Quesnellia/Yukon-Tanana terranes to the miogeoclinal. Regional deformation postdates emplacement of the youngest Phase 4 intrusive component of the Turnagain intrusion. The granitic dyke dated by Erdmer et al. (2005) places a minimum age on the major phase of deformation (ca. 171 Ma). Thus, the accretion of Quesnellia to the North American continent is constrained to be younger than ca. 185 Ma (Early Jurassic – Pliensbachian) and older than ca. 171 Ma (early Middle Jurassic – Kalamian).

Acknowledgments

Funding for this project is provided by the British Columbia Geological Survey, the Targeted Geoscience Initiative (TGI-5) program of the Geological Survey of Canada, and an NSERC Discovery Grant to James Scoates. We thank Hard Creek Nickel Corporation for access to proprietary data and diamond drill core, logistical support in the field and funding for MSc thesis research (JES). Julia King kindly guided the 3-D modelling. Larry Diakow and JoAnne Nelson, are thanked for critical reviews, and Larry Aspler for editorial handling.

References

Clark, T., 1980. Petrology of the Turnagain ultramafic complex, northwestern British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 17, 744–757. doi: 10.1139/e80-071.

Colpron, M., and Nelson, J.L., 2011. A digital atlas of terranes for the Northern Cordillera. British Columbia Ministry of Energy and Mines, British Columbia Geological Survey Geofile 2011-11.

Erdmer, P., Mihalynuk, M.G., Gabrielse, H., Heaman, L.M., and Cresser, R.A., 2005. Mississippian volcanic assemblage conformably overlying Cordilleran miogeoclinal strata, Turnagain River area, northern British Columbia, is not part of an accreted terrane. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 42, 1445–1465. doi: 10.1139/E05-045.

Findlay, D.C., 1969. Origin of the Turnagain ultramafic-gabbro complex, southern British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, 6, 399–425.

Gabrielse, H., 1985. Major distal transcurrent displacements along the northern Rocky Mountain Trench and related faults in north-central British Columbia. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 96, 1–14.

Gabrielse, H., 1998. Geology, Cry Lake, British Columbia. Geological Survey of Canada, Map 1907A, scale 1:250,000.

Irvine, T.N., 1974. Petrology of the Duke Island ultramafic complex, southeastern Alaska. *Geological Society of America Memoir* 138, 240 pp.

Jackson-Brown, S., Scoates, J.S., Nixon, G.T., and Ames, D.E., 2014. Mineralogy of sulphide, arsenide, and platinum group minerals from the DUB Zone of the Turnagain Alaskan-type ultramafic intrusion, north-central British Columbia. In: *Geological Fieldwork 2013*. British Columbia Ministry of Energy and Mines, British Columbia Geological Survey Paper 2014-1, pp. 157–168.

Manor, M.J., Scoates, J.S., Nixon, G.T., and Ames, D.E., 2016. The Giant Macool Ni-Cu-PGE deposit, British Columbia: mineralized conduits in a convergent margin tectonic setting. *Economic Geology*, 111, 57–87. doi: 10.2113/econgeo.111.57.

Mudd, G.M., and Jowitt, S.M., 2014. A detailed assessment of global nickel resource trends and endowments. *Economic Geology*, 109, 1813–1841.

Nixon, G.T., Ash, C.H., Connelly, J.N., and Case, G., 1989. Geology and noble metal geochemistry of the Turnagain ultramafic complex, northern British Columbia. British Columbia Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, British Columbia Geological Survey Open File 1989-18, scale 1:16 000.

Nixon, G.T., Manor, M.J., Jackson-Brown, S., Scoates, J.S., and Ames, D.E., 2015. Magmatic Ni-Cu-PGE sulphide deposits at convergent margins. In: *Targeted Geoscience Initiative 4: Canadian Nickel-Copper-Platinum Group Elements-Chromium Ore Systems - Fertility, Pathfinders, New and Revised Models*. Geological Survey of Canada Open File 7656, pp. 19–34.

Roots, C.F., Nelson, J.L., Strand, R.L., and Harris, T.A., 2006. Cordilleran fragments, mid-Paleozoic arcs and overlapping late Paleozoic arc and Triassic sedimentation in the Yukon-Tanana terrane of northern British Columbia and southern Yukon. In: Colpron, M., and Nelson, J.L., (Eds.), *Paleozoic Evolution and Metamorphism of the Pericratonic Terranes of the Ancient Pacific Margin of North America*. Canadian and Alaskan Cordillera. Geological Association of Canada Special Paper 45, 153–177 pp.

Scheel, J.E., 2007. Age and origin of the Turnagain Alaskan-type intrusion and associated Ni-sulphide mineralization, north-central British Columbia, Canada. Unpublished MSc Thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, 210 pp.

Scoates, J.S., and Wall, C.J., 2015. *Geochronology of layered intrusions*. In: Charlier, B., Namur, O., Lajpov, R., and Tegner, C., (Eds.), *Layed intrusions*. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 3–74.