OFFSHORE PETROLEUM: NEWFOUNDLAND CASE STUDY

Mark Shrimpton
Jacques Whitford



OBJECTIVES

- A Narrative Description of the Development of an Oil Industry:
 - Canadian example
- Indicate:
 - Sequence of Activities
 - Uncertainties
 - Effects
- Provide Framework for Understanding Later Material
- Warning: The Development in any Region is Unique



PRESENTATION STRUCTURE

- Historical Context
- The Newfoundland Offshore Oil Industry:
 - Early exploration (1963-1979)
 - Development hiatus (1979-1990)
 - The Hibernia project (1990-1997)
 - Other projects
- Benefits from the Industry
- Concluding Remarks: Dangers of Comparative Study



HISTORICAL CONTEXT

- Settlement History
- Colonial Status (1832)
- Dominion of Newfoundland (1855)
- Commission of Government (1932)
- Confederation with Canada (1949)



HISTORICAL CONTEXT

- The Newfoundland Economy:
 - Dependence on resources
 - Low levels of industrialization
 - High unemployment
 - Low labour force participation
 - High out-migration (temporary and permanent)
 - Dependence on federal transfers



EARLY EXPLORATION

- Parson's Pond (1867)...
- First Federal Permits (1963)
- Whose Offshore?
- First Well (1966)
- Early Drilling:
 - Labrador (gas finds)
 - Grand Banks and N.E. Coast
- Provincial Political Developments:
 - Smallwood defeated (1971)
 - Peckford appointed Minister of Mines and Energy (1976)
 - New approaches based on Norway



EARLY EXPLORATION

- Revised Provincial Act Respecting Petroleum and Natural Gas (1977):
 - Revenue
 - Ownership
 - Business and employment opportunities
 - Training and R&D
 - Rate and manner of development
 - Protect socio-economic and biophysical environment
- Exploration Lull
- Federal National Energy Policy (1981)



- Hibernia Discovery (60th well)
- Speculative Activity
- Political Developments:
 - Flurry of political and legal activity
 - Nova Scotia settlement
 - Federal Supreme Court ruling (March 1984)
 - Letter of Understanding (June 1984)
 - Federal General Election
 - Atlantic Accord (1995)



- Atlantic Accord:
 - Joint management (C-NOPB)
 - Mode and pace of development
 - Revenues (principal beneficiary)
 - \$300 million Offshore Development Fund
 - Joint Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)
 - Canada-Newfoundland Benefits



- Provincial Benefits Priorities:
 - Maximize the direct economic benefits
 - Revenues not the main priority (equalization)
 - Minimize negative effects on traditional industries, communities and culture
 - Avoid 'boom/bust'
 - Use Hibernia to 'kick-start' industry



- Canada-Newfoundland Benefits Plans:
 - Exploration programs
 - Development Applications
- Main Issues Addressed:
 - Business opportunities
 - Employment opportunities
- Topics Covered (DA):
 - Approach, policies and procedures
 - Supplier development
 - Procurement process
 - Technology transfer and R&D
 - Training and succession planning



- Education Initiatives By and Through:
 - Operating companies and CAPP
 - NL Mines and Energy
 - Atlantic Canada Opportunities Agency
 - Newfoundland Ocean Industries Association , and/or
 - Municipal governments
- Example Initiatives:
 - Conferences and courses
 - Supplier workshops
 - Missions and trade shows



- Hibernia Developments:
 - Delineation drilling
 - The Ocean Ranger disaster (15 February 1982)
 - Development of production options
 - Preparation of Development Application
 - Public and government reviews of Development Application:
 - Development Plan
 - C-N Benefits Plan
 - EIS and SEIS
 - Safety Plan



- C-NOPB Decision 86-01
- Further Exploration and Delineation (total, by 1991):
 - 117 exploration wells
 - 15 economic discoveries
 - 23 delineation wells
- Declines in the Price of Oil
- Hibernia Agreement in Principle (1989)
- Hibernia Agreement (1990)



- Hibernia Partners:
 - Mobil Oil Canada (28%)
 - Gulf Oil Canada (25%)
 - Petro-Canada Resources (25%)
 - Chevron (22%)
- Government Commitments:
 - Pay 25% of costs (to max \$1.04 billions)
 - Loan guarantees for 40% construction costs (to max of \$1.66 billions)
 - Provincial tax concessions

- Canada Benefits Commitments:
 - 55% to 60% of \$5.2 billion preproduction expenditures
 - 65% of \$10 billion operating expenditures
 - 13,000 person/years of construction employment (70% of total)
 - 20,000 person/years of operations employment



- NL Benefits Commitments:
 - Build gravity base structure (GBS)
 - Fabricate, assemble and outfit well-head module, helideck, air control module, lifeboat stations and flare boom
 - 50% of GBS design engineering, and design engineering for accommodations, flareboom, helideck and sub-sea lines
 - 10,000 person/years of construction employment (50% of total)
 - Most operations employment
 - Some taxes and royalties



- Construction Started: 1990
- Bull Arm Greenfield Construction Site
- Gulf Oil Canada Hiatus: 1992-1993
- GBS and Topsides Mated: Early 1997
- Tow-Out: June 1997
- Peak Bull Arm Employment: c 6000
- No Significant Negative Community Effects



- Total Capital Cost: \$5.2 billion
- Met or Exceeded All Benefits Commitments
- Total Expenditures: c \$6 billion (45% in NL, 75% in Canada)
- 26,000 Person-years of Employment (peak: 6600)
- 59% of Employees Newfoundlanders
- 1.8 million Hours of Design Work
- 6000 POs to NL Companies



OTHER PROJECTS

- Terra Nova (Petro-Canada):
 - Discovered: 1984
 - Development started: 1999
 - No government support
 - Design: FPSO (hull built in South Korea)
 - Topsides fabricated/installed: Bull Arm
 - Capital cost: \$2.8 billion
 - First production: 2002



OTHER PROJECTS

- White Rose (Husky Energy):
 - Discovered: 1988
 - Development started: 2002
 - No government support
 - Design: FPSO (hull built in South Korea)
 - Topsides fabricated/installed: Marystown
 - Estimated capital cost: \$2.35 billion
 - First production: early 2006

OTHER PROJECTS

- Hebron? (Chevron):
 - Discovered 1980
 - Some heavy oil
 - Highly fractured reservoirs
 - Multiple design options being considered
- Labrador Gas?
- Further Exploration:
 - Orphan Basin
 - Lawrentian Sub-basin
 - Other



BENEFITS (1999-2002)

- Capital costs (\$ m):
 - **Exploration:** 31 to 264
 - Development: 470 to 923
 - Production: 181 to 518
- Operating costs (\$ m): 136 to 234
- Wages, salaries & benefits (\$ m):
 171 to 272
- Employment (person-years):
 - Development: 400 to 1976
 - Operations: 1874 to 1928



BENEFITS (mean, 1999-2002)

- NL GDP up \$1.9 Billion
- * Total Contribution to NL GDP: 14.7% (19.1% in 2002)
- Personal Income up 6.0%
- Retail Spending up 5.7%
- 95 Housing Starts
- Total Employment up 13,900
- Unemployment Rate Down 2.4%
- Population up 8000 (13,000 in 2002)



BENEFITS: DIRECT GDP (2002)

Offshore Petroleum (%):	15.3
Other Sectors (%):	
Retail trade	6.1
Manufacturing	5.7
Electrical power and water utilities	5.4
Fishing and fish processing	5.7
Forest products	2.4



BENEFITS: INDUSTRIAL INFRASTRUCTURE

- Total value: c \$1.2 billion
- Examples:
 - Bull Arm construction yard
 - Marystown Shipyard fabrication centre
 - Sub-sea systems fabrication centre
 - Newfoundland Transshipment Terminal
 - Helibase and supply base
 - Pipeyard, warehouse and operations centre



BENEFITS: E&T AND R&D INFRASTRUCTURE

- Memorial University of Newfoundland:
 - Earth resources research
 - Cold ocean resources engineering
 - Wave and ice tanks
 - Offshore and remote medicine
- Marine Institute:
 - Marine offshore simulator training
 - Offshore safety and survival training
- College of the North Atlantic:
 - Petroleum technology & rig training facilities



BENEFITS OVERVIEW

- Economic Growth and Oil Revenues
- Diversification:
 - New sector
 - Exports
- Improved Training, R&D, Transportation and Communications
- Competitiveness:
 - New personnel and equipment
 - Improved business capabilities (bidding, QA/QC, accounting, management, etc.)
- Confidence, Morale and Ambition
- "Real World" Opportunities



DISTRIBUTION OF BENEFITS

- St. John's Region:
 - Supply and service (air and marine)
 - Management, administration and regulation
 - Engineering and design
 - Construction (NEWDOCK)
 - Training and R&D
- Eastern Newfoundland:
 - Rig mobilization
 - Construction (Bull Arm, Marystown)
 - Transshipment terminal
 - Platform, rig, tanker and other offshore crew
- Elsewhere:
 - Spin-off (e.g., tourism) and resource revenues (?)



DISTRIBUTION OF BENEFITS

- Scotland in 1970s:
 - > 85% employment in Aberdeen Region
- Since Then:
 - Reduced local construction
 - Larger, longer range, boats and helicopters
 - Reduced offshore crewing (CRINE, NORSOK)
 - Asset sharing
- Onshore Concentration of Administration, Management, Regulation, Supply & Service
- 'Supply Base Fever'



- Port Atlantis, Freshwater Bay, Bay Bulls & Botwood (Runavik, Torshavn & Tvoroyri...)
- Ideal Supply Base Concept
- Good for Community Leaders, Architects and Artists
- Industry Pragmatism (Hibernia: Pier 22)
- High Levels of Variability in Exploration Activity

DURATION OF BENEFITS

- 1963: 1st Seismic
- 1966: 1st Exploration Well (+3)
- 1979: Hibernia Discovery (+16)
- 1990: Hibernia Construction Starts (+27)
- 1997: Hibernia Production Starts (+34)
- 1999: Terra Nova Construction Starts (+36)
- 2002: Terra Nova Production and White Rose Construction Start (+39)

DURATION OF BENEFITS

- "It's Always 20 Years"
- Reasons for Increased Durations:
 - New technologies
 - New fields and pools
- Newfoundland and Labrador: 1963-2020 and Beyond
- Other Examples:
 - North Sea (since early 1960s)
 - Gulf of Mexico (since 1938)
 - California (since c 1950)
- All but Last Seeing New Projects & Exploration





- Management Critical
- Impacts are Mostly Metropolitan:
 - Capacity to absorb (?)
- Construction Impacts (if rural):
 - Danger of boom and bust
 - Can be managed
- Impacts Positive as well as Negative:
 - Stereotypical expectations (e.g. crime and family life)
 - Cured by experience (CNOPB DA Guidelines)
- Speculation a Threat:
 - Dangers of comparative study

CAVEAT: COMPARATIVE STUDY

- Erroneous Assumptions:
 - Scotland, therefore Newfoundland
 - Stavanger, therefore St. John's
 - Newfoundland, therefore Northern British Columbia
- Ignores a Variety of Factors
- Can Lead to Inappropriate Harmful Responses
- Undertake Comparative Study with Care



CAVEAT: COMPARATIVE STUDY

- Different Types and Scales of Activity:
 - Supply base fever
 - Planning St. John's International Airport
 - Visiting Bull Arm
- Different Local Contexts:
 - Different geography
 - Labour markets, industrialization, aspirations
- Confusing Experience and Understanding:
 - Why the St. John's population didn't boom

CAVEAT: COMPARATIVE STUDY

- The Attribution Problem:
 - The Stavanger crime wave
- Uncertainty:
 - Geological, economic, technological, political
- Self-interest:
 - The wish-lists of police, social and health services agencies, environmentalists, etc.
- The Role of Management:
 - Back to Bull Arm...

