# BRITISH COLUMBIA PROSPECTORS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND MINES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY BRANCH

PROGRAM YEAR:1994/95REPORT #:PAP 94-41NAME:DAVID BARTLETT

BRITISH COLUMBIA PROSPECTORS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM. DAVID R. TSRETLEIN 94-95-P122. PROSEC. 94.01 KEITHLY CR. PROTOCI 94,02 HARVY CR. PROSECT. 94.03. TORA. INLET. JAN 19/95 RECEIVE JAN 2 4 1995

PROSPECTORS PROGRAM

#### **BRITISH COLUMBIA PROSPECTORS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM PROSPECTING REPORT FORM (continued)**



JAN 2	2419	95
-------	------	----

PROSPECTORS	PROGRAM

B.	<b>TECHNICAL</b>	REP	ORT
----	------------------	-----	-----

- .

One technical report to be completed for each project area Refer to Program Requirements/Regulations, section 15, 16 and 17 If work was performed on claims a copy of the applicable assessment report may be submitted in lieu of the supporting data (see section 16) required with this TECHNICAL REPORT ٠

Description of Location and Access 2.1	7 KM NE PROM LIKELY ON KEITHL
	RD.
Main Commodities Searched For	2LO "AU"
Known Mineral Occurrences in Project.	Area
······································	
WORK PERFORMED	
1. Conventional Prospecting (area)	
2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale	)
3. Geochemical (type and no. of sample	es)
4. Geophysical (type and line km)	
5. Physical Work (type and amount)	EACHAVATION TO BOD ROCK.
	total m)
7. Other (specify)	-
SIGNIFICANT RESULTS (if any)	
Commodities Goto AU.	Claim Name Zower 2
	Long Elevation
Best assay/sample type	
Description of mineralization, host rocks	s, anomalies

Supporting data must be submitted with this TECHNICAL REPORT.

### BRITISH COLUMBIA PROSPECTORS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM **PROSPECTING REPORT FORM (continued)**



JAN 2 4 1995

PROSPECTORS	PROGRAM
MEMP	

**B. TECHNICAL REPORT** One technical report to be completed for each project area Refer to Program Requirements/Regulations, section 15, 16 and 17 If work was performed on claims a copy of the applicable assessment report may be . submitted in lieu of the supporting data (see section 16) required with this TECHNICAL REPORT Name DAVID R. TSARTLIT, Reference Number 94-95- P122. 2 Jon 2 Vert's Cilex

LOCATION/COMMODITIES	Accel		
Project Area (as listed in Part A.) 9-7	.02.	Minfile No. if applic	able
Location of Project Area NTS	3AIHN	Lat <u>52°50'45"</u>	Long 121° 15'00 WOST
Description of Location and Access <u>AL</u>	BOUT. 4K	M UP CARIB	00 RIVER N/E
CARIBOO LAKE ACC359	BY MATER	R. NOTE: 7.	NORS ARS
1 - POLICE D- DOR THAT	P- 1 To	1.20 m 4/2	ON AR.

Main Commodities Searched For\_ Goc P AL

Known Mineral Occurrences in Project Area Goco AU

1. Conventional Prospecting (area)		
	on Macilli ON	HARVY CR UP 3 KM.
2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale)_		
3. Geochemical (type and no. of samples	;)	
4. Geophysical (type and line km)		
5. Physical Work (type and amount)		
6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m, to		
7. Other (specify)	······································	
SIGNIFICANT RESULTS (if any)		
Commodities <u>N/R</u>	Claim Name	·
ocation (show on map) Lat	Long	Elevation
Best assay/sample type		

Supporting data must be submitted with this TECHNICAL REPORT.

77

PROSPECT	BRITISH COLUMBIA TORS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM R 臣 C 垕 V 匡 D
PROSPECT	ING REPORT FORM (continued)
<ul> <li>B. TECHNICAL REPORT</li> <li>One technical report to be completed for ea</li> <li>Refer to Program Requirements/Regulation</li> <li>If work was performed on claims a copy of t submitted in lieu of the supporting data (see</li> </ul>	ns, section 15, 16 and 17
Submitted in her of the supporting data (see	
Name DAVIO R SADTIC	577. Reference Number <u>94-95-P122</u>
LOCATION/COMMODITIES	Topon I what
	H.O.3 Minfile No. if applicable
Location of Project Area NTS	$\frac{4.03}{2 \text{ Minfile No. if applicable}} = \frac{124^{\circ}05}{2 \text{ Long}} = 1$
Description of Location and Access	1 MULES UP TOBA RIVER. AT. END
DE TOBA INLET.	
Main Commodities Searched For_ 6	enoral.
Known Mineral Occurrences in Project	t Area <u>AJA</u>
Known Mineral Occurrences in Project	t Area <u>AJA</u>
Known Mineral Occurrences in Project	t Area <u>AJA</u>
• •	
WORK PERFORMED	
WORK PERFORMED 1. Conventional Prospecting (area)	le)
WORK PERFORMED 1. Conventional Prospecting (area) 2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale 3. Geochemical (type and no. of samp 4. Geophysical (type and line km)	le)
WORK PERFORMED 1. Conventional Prospecting (area) 2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale 3. Geochemical (type and no. of samp 4. Geophysical (type and line km)	le)
WORK PERFORMED 1. Conventional Prospecting (area) 2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scal- 3. Geochemical (type and no. of samp 4. Geophysical (type and line km) 5. Physical Work (type and amount) 6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m	le) bles)
WORK PERFORMED 1. Conventional Prospecting (area) 2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scal- 3. Geochemical (type and no. of samp 4. Geophysical (type and line km) 5. Physical Work (type and amount) 6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m	le) bles)
WORK PERFORMED 1. Conventional Prospecting (area) 2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale 3. Geochemical (type and no. of samp 4. Geophysical (type and line km) 5. Physical Work (type and amount) 6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m, 7. Other (specify)	le)
WORK PERFORMED 1. Conventional Prospecting (area) 2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale 3. Geochemical (type and no. of samp 4. Geophysical (type and line km) 5. Physical Work (type and amount) 6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m, 7. Other (specify)	le) bles)
WORK PERFORMED  1. Conventional Prospecting (area)  2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale 3. Geochemical (type and no. of samp 4. Geophysical (type and line km) 5. Physical Work (type and amount) 6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m, 7. Other (specify)	le) bles) , total m) <i>Rocking</i> # <i>Part</i> ######
WORK PERFORMED  1. Conventional Prospecting (area) 2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale 3. Geochemical (type and no. of samp 4. Geophysical (type and line km) 5. Physical Work (type and amount) 6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m, 7. Other (specify)	le)
WORK PERFORMED         1. Conventional Prospecting (area)         2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale)         3. Geochemical (type and no. of samp)         4. Geophysical (type and line km)         5. Physical Work (type and amount)         6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m,         7. Other (specify)         SIGNIFICANT RESULTS (if any)         Commodities         Location (show on map) Lat         Best assay/sample type	le)       bles)       h, total m)       Roccurey       All Parts      Claim Name      Long       Elevation
WORK PERFORMED         1. Conventional Prospecting (area)         2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale)         3. Geochemical (type and no. of samp)         4. Geophysical (type and line km)         5. Physical Work (type and amount)         6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m,         7. Other (specify)         SIGNIFICANT RESULTS (if any)         Commodities         Location (show on map) Lat         Best assay/sample type	le)
WORK PERFORMED         1. Conventional Prospecting (area)         2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale)         3. Geochemical (type and no. of samp)         4. Geophysical (type and line km)         5. Physical Work (type and amount)         6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m,         7. Other (specify)         SIGNIFICANT RESULTS (if any)         Commodities         Location (show on map) Lat         Best assay/sample type	le)       bles)       h, total m)       Roccurey       All Parts      Claim Name      Long       Elevation
WORK PERFORMED         1. Conventional Prospecting (area)         2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale)         3. Geochemical (type and no. of samp)         4. Geophysical (type and line km)         5. Physical Work (type and amount)         6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m,         7. Other (specify)	le)       bles)       h, total m)       Roccurey       All Parts      Claim Name      Long       Elevation

Supporting data must be submitted with this TECHNICAL REPORT.

I



Т

## Chemex Labs Ltd.

Analytical Chemists \* Geochemists \* Registered Assayers

212 Brooksbank Ave., North Vancouver British Columbia, Canada V7J 2C1 PHONE: 604-984-0221 To: PAN EXPLORATIONS LTD.

CARIBOO PLACER CLAIM

Comments: ATTN: ROBERT J. BARTLETT

566 H DAVID ST. VICTORIA, BC V8T 2C8

Project :

1 ....

Page Number 1 Total Pages 1 Certificate Date13-JAN-95 Invoice No. I-9510123 P.O. Number : Account :

		<b></b>			CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS A9510123
SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	PREP CODE	Au bull Au finenes mg		Au con Dz/T	i be
SAMPLE #J SAMPLE #2 SAMPLE #3 SAMPLE #4 SAMPLE #4 SAMPLE #5	225 225 225 225 225 225	888            828            864	22.29 J 21.97 3 	111.250 325.930 	A the stand the stand the
SAMPLE #6 Sample #7 Sample #8	225 209 209	789 0.005 0.004	20.75 10.35		3 NOTES. 192.
					JAN 2 4 1995 PROSPECTORS PROGRAM
					MEMPR
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					

March 3, 1995

V.A. Preto, Ph.D. Eng. Manager Prospectors Assistance Program

Dear Mr. Preto:

 $\mathcal{V}^{-}$ 

Re: Prospectors Assistance Program: Reference No. 94-95/P122.

Thank you for your letter Feb. 2, 1995. In reply to the additional information you require I enclose a sketch and some photos with a report in letter form.

Project 94.01 Keithley Cr.

Objective: Remove overburden and examine hard rock (bed rock) for gold showings.

Conclusion: As the largest part of my time was directed to the Keithley Cr. Test Area #1 Pit. #1. We cleared washed and sampled all the overburden at this test area and having processed 5 to 15 yards per day there was fine gold in every test from top to bottom but with small quantity high quality returns per yard.

Working on the theory that gold is heavy the clean up on bed rock would give the final storey. We washed the bed rock from the higher part of the pit in to the lower part of the pit using the water from the pit area (See Photo #1 & #2). This process went well until we attempted to remove the rock ridge between the two levels. At this time large amounts of water came from the south side of the pit. We were left with the alternatives:


(1) pumping the water out and using 1 3" pump and 1 2" H/P pump could not remove the water in order to clean up.

(2) sucking the material up with pumps which we tried without success.

(3) digging a 200 ft trench 15 ft. deep to drain the water over the hill. This was not in the work permit and had possible environmental consequences, which made this alternative a no go situation at this time.

Therefore having run out of time, weather and money we decided to U complete reclamation, clean up the work area, and campsite for this year.

Note: Photo #3 is as close as we came to the final clean up.



Т

.

Project 94.02 Harvys Cr. Objective: Grassroots prospecting along the cr. bed and sides of the cr.


Conclusion: After two weeks of beating the rocks, bushes and mosquitoes and making my way about 3 miles up to Simlock Cr. and back down Harvys Cr. to where it enters the upper Caribou river, I found myself bruised and knocked about from the rough terrain, some dangerous area (photo #4 & #6). I found little of interest in panning in the cr. proper. There were some very interesting outcroppings as shown in photos (#7,8 & 9). I left Harvy Cr. with a grater respect of its ragged terrain and feeling like I do when I return with out fish (skunked). I feel mining in this area would be a large operation and expensive. Note: refer to map (# 5) for area prospected.

Project 94.03 Toba Inlet (Racoon Cr.) Objective: Grassroots prospecting.

Conclusion: No report, but I was able to get a fly over the area for next years project. THE GOOD LORD WILLING.

I thank you for your help.

Yours truly. 201 David R. Bartlett.




1

i


÷.







SEPT 1995. KEITHLY CR. ISBA, AREA #1 PIT #1







AUG 1995.



11150 -AUC. 1995.

