

BRITISH COLUMBIA
PROSPECTORS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM
MINISTRY OF ENERGY AND MINES
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY BRANCH


PROGRAM YEAR: 2000/2001

REPORT #: PAP 00-12

NAME: JOHN HOPE



Quarry Creek a tributary of Thibault Creek



Looking North East at North Peak of Pyramid Mountain mineralized outcrops are visible near top of snow and to the left of large snow field.

← Looking west from North Peak of Pyramid Mountain.




Old channel of Quarty Creek, west side of Mount Rabb.



an oxidized zone of tuffaceous west of Depot Mountain on Canyon Creek.

Looking west from Dark Mountain.

Minimized area



Looking into cirque at North Peak of Pyramid Mountain



*North peak of Pyramid Mountain
looking across minimized area.*



← Camp near Martin Lake



The direction being up south fork of Sphinx Creek into cirque on Pyramid Mountain



Passance zone on the East side of Dark Mountain



Lower gossan on East side of Dark Mountain.

P42

D. TECHNICAL REPORT

- One technical report to be completed for each project area.
- Refer to Program Regulations 15 to 17, pages 6 and 7.

MINISTRY OF ENERGY & MINES
 NOV 10 2000
 RECEIVED
 SMITHSONIAN PROJECT AREA



Information on this form is confidential subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

- This summary section must be filled out by all grantees, one for each project area.

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA # 1

LOCATION/COMMODITIES

Project Area (as listed in Part A) AREA # 1 Cry Lake MINFILE No. if applicable 1043/Cry Lake

Location of Project Area NTS _____ Lat 58°43' Long 129°33'

Description of Location and Access Location of AREA # 1 is East of Dease Lake near the Thibert fault approximately 17 miles from Dease Lake. Access to the area is by helicopter.

Prospecting Assistants(s) - give name(s) and qualifications of assistant(s) (see Program Regulation 13, page 6)
Gerry Diahaw - prospector former grant recipient

Main Commodities Searched For Cu, Au, Ag, Mo.

Known Mineral Occurrences in Project Area none, except for the 1999 discovery of Cu, Au. under this program.

WORK PERFORMED

1. Conventional Prospecting (area) East side of Eagle River on a stream flowing west into Eagle River
2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale) _____
3. Geochemical (type and no. of samples) _____
4. Geophysical (type and line km) _____
5. Physical Work (type and amount) _____
6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m, total m) _____
7. Other (specify) 3 Rock samples

Best Discovery

Project/Claim Name Malachite # 1 to # 6 Commodities Cu, Au.

Location (show on map) Lat. 58°43' Long 129°33' Elevation 1,200 m.

Best assay/sample type 19,398 ppm. Cu. and 902.6 ppb. in a granodiorite

Description of mineralization, host rocks, anomalies mineralization occurs in a granodiorite disseminated away from enriched fracturing for 3 to 5 feet Malachite stains the rocks over an area of 5 to 6 feet in width and 15 to 25 ft in exposed width. Length.

FEEDBACK: comments and suggestions for Prospector Assistance Program _____

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS

- Those submitting a copy of an Assessment Report or a report of similar quality that covers all the key elements listed below are not required to fill out this section.
- Refer to Program Regulation 17D on page 6 for details before filling this section out (use extra pages if necessary)
- Supporting data must be submitted with the following TECHNICAL REPORT or any report accepted in lieu of.

Information on this form is confidential for one year from the date of receipt subject to the provisions of the *Freedom of Information Act*.

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA # 1

1. LOCATION OF PROJECT AREA [Outline clearly on accompanying maps of appropriate scale.]

The area is located 17 miles East of Dease Lake on a stream near its head waters flowing west into the Eagle River. The stream starts in a low lying swampy area at elevation 1200 m.

2. PROGRAM OBJECTIVE [Include original exploration target.]

The objective of this program was to do some follow-up prospecting and sampling of the area where samples assaying 10,327 ppb Cu. and 92 ppb Au. were discovered under this program in 1999.

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS [Describe areas prospected and significant outcrops/float encountered. Mineralization must be described in terms of specific minerals and how they occur. These details must be shown on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale; prospecting traverses should be clearly marked.]

Prospecting was done along a swampy area to the East of a granodiorite outcropping where the creek has started to cut its way through the granit's. Malachite staining along with copper gold values is present in the outcropping on the north side of the creek. Strike and dip of the outcrop was established. The strike being East and West and dipping 85° to the south. Re-sampling of some of the enriched copper sulphide areas of the outcrop returned 19,398 ppm Cu. and 576.1 ppb. Au. in sample # 605133 over widths of 10 to 12 inches. Sample # 605134 to the south of sample # 605133 6 feet assayed 14,462 ppm Cu. and 907.2 ppb. Au. The mineralized fracturing appears to be predominate in a south, north direction across the strike.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS (continued)

The outcrop is covered in overburden to the north and west. The creek has cut away the mineralized zone to the East for several hundred feet to where a large swampy area begins and the terrain becomes fairly flat and wet. Rock float found along the north edge of the swamp contained visible molybdenum and some sulphides. This writer had anticipated doing a geochemical survey covering part of this area during the 2000 prospecting season. It was decided during examination of the ground that this program would have to be fairly extensive and will require several people over an considerable time (i.e. 10 days or so) and therefore was postponed until the summer of 2001. It is believed in observing red stained mud and gravels that the mineralization extends into the swamp to the East. To the west of the showing near the creek which swings to the south there is visible molybdenum in the granites forming flat laying plates of molybdenite and appears to be consistent throughout the rock. Samples taken from this area have not been assayed to date. There was no visible copper in any of the samples. It is believed by this writer that similarities between this showing and the Eagle head deposit in the same geological setting to the East 15 miles does exist. 6 claims were staked at the end of the season and further work will be done in the area in the 2001 summer season.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



4. GEOCHEMICAL RESULTS [Describe all survey types done (rock, soil, silt) and their objective. Show clearly on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale all sample sites along with all significant values. Any anomalous areas should be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, variable symbol sizes, or some other suitable technique. Include a discussion/interpretation of results. A copy of analysis/assay certificates **must** be included with sample numbers from map. Details of individual rock samples taken are encouraged. Significant geochemical values obtained **must** be stated.]

Rock samples were taken from in place
and consisted of 4 to 5 lbs of rock placed
in plastic bags. These samples were then
split into 2/3rds for assaying and 1/3rd kept
for reference's.
A marked copy of the assays is attached.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



5. GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS [Specify the objective of the survey, the method used and the work done. Discuss the results and show the data on an accompanying map of appropriate scale. Any anomalous areas must be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, or some other suitable technique.]

No Geophysics were done.

5. OTHER RESULTS [Drilling - describe objective, type and amount of drilling done. Discuss results, including any significant intersections obtained. Indicate on a map of appropriate scale the drill-hole collar location, the angle of inclination and azimuth. Drill logs correlated with assay results must be included. Physical Work - describe the type and amount of physical work done and the reasons for doing it (where not self-evident). This includes lines/grids, trails, trenches, opencuts, underground work, reclamation, staking of claims, etc. Discuss results where pertinent.]

The Malachite # 1 to # 6 were staked. The location line was ribboned and ran westerly from the # 1 post on the North side of the creek. 4"x4" were used for posts. These claims are located in a swampy area.

Signature of Grantee

Date

Nov 8th 2000

10-20-00 15:46 WET COAST CAPITAL CORP
 OCT 17 '00 9:05 FR ACME L-ES

ID=CS4 882 6509

P. O. S.



Wet Coast Capital Corp. FILE # A003885



SAMPLE#	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co	Mn	Fe	As	U	Au	Tl	Sr	Cd	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P	La	Cr	Hg	Ba	Pi	θ	Al	Na	K	M	Au*		
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	%	%	%	%	%	ppm	ppb		
605131	<1	8	<3	22	<.3	1522	82	613	3.78	4	<8	<2	<2	6	<.2	<3	4	24	.20	.002	<1	1720	19.44	16<.01	25	.70	.01<.01	<2	<.2				
605132	2	16	122	10	.8	227	63	570	2.95	5	<8	<2	<2	22	<.2	<3	<3	<1	.35	.004	<1	549	15.32	55<.01	25	.10	.01<.01	3	15.2				
605133	281	19398	29	26	5.4	20	20	49	5.36	41	<8	<2	2	7	<.2	<3	<3	16	.02<.001	.001	1	14	.02	59<.01	8	.21	.07	.06	3	376.1			
605134	15	14462	5	16	5.4	14	1	52	5.21	158	<8	3	<2	10	<.2	<3	13	25	.04	.008	2	16	.01	55<.01	4	.20	.08	.05	2	907.6			
605135	54	11004	5	20	6.9	31	6	80	5.92	517	<8	<2	<2	15	<.2	4	10	18	.04<.001	.001	1	24	.20	85<.01	8	.30	.07	.08	5	701.4			
605140	3	108	10	78	.4	4	7	1347	4.81	6	<8	<2	<2	154	<.2	<3	<3	107	.32	.098	4	6	2.76	85	.01	6	2.26	.25	.12	3	15.0		
605141	1	1198	<3	135	.5	7	8	2297	7.28	<2	13	<2	<2	134	.2	<3	<3	243	1.60	.131	7	3	3.74	22	.18	8	5.16	.21	.61	4	5.2		
605142	4	17	7	99	.1	3	6	1429	4.42	10	<8	<2	<2	50	.2	<3	<3	48	2.00	.168	9	7	2.08	116	.06	<3	2.18	.57	.54	4	1.3		
605143	3	11	3	2	<.3	9	11	35	2.29	2	<8	<2	<2	72	<.2	<3	<3	10	.02	.086	1	6	.03	21<.01	<3	42	.06	.13	2	<.7			
605144	1	4	8	35	<.3	6	13	547	7.64	<2	<8	<2	<2	109	.2	<3	<3	45	.22	.044	10	9	1.35	77<.01	5	2.38	.19	.57	5				
605145	1	75	10	15	<.3	10	28	123	6.11	16	<8	<2	<2	26	<.2	<3	<3	22	.14	.137	5	9	.29	38<.01	5	83	.03	.23	<2				
605146	2	17	26	5	<.3	6	13	14	3.25	3	<8	<2	<2	54	.2	<3	<3	17	.17	.051	4	6	.05	55<.01	4	96	.07	.23	2	2.0			
605147	<1	89	11	94	<.3	6	30	854	7.79	<2	8	<2	2	33	<.2	<3	<3	70	.99	.208	3	3	1.64	108	.13	8	2.25	.08	.30	4	<.2		
605148	5	35	<3	20	<.3	8	11	391	2.72	8	<8	<2	2	71	<.2	<3	<3	50	.85	.092	6	12	.37	44	.21	<3	.76	.11	.16	<2	<.2		
605149	5	14	4	21	<.3	7	11	382	2.68	5	<8	<2	3	48	<.2	<3	<3	46	.82	.090	6	15	.36	43	.20	5	.72	.10	.16	<2	<.2		
STANDARD G-1/052	28	65	36	145	5	38	12	794	3.53	57	18	<2	23	29	23.6	17	24	74	.59	.495	18	173	64	148	.08	20	1.73	.04	.16	15	192.3		
STANDARD G-2	1	4	5	33	<.3	8	6	508	1.99	2	<8	<2	5	70	<.2	<3	<3	33	.62	.095	8	78	50	213	.12	4	.88	.08	.42	2			

Sample type: ROCK #150 GOC. Samples beginning 'RE' are Returns and 'RRE' are Reject Returns.
 ALL BY ACID LEACHED, ANALYZE BY ICP-MS. (10 gm)

DEASE PLACER LEASE AREA



507072

EAGLE RIVER

B.C. 5622

150

9



scale 1:31680
MALACHITE mineral claims

D. TECHNICAL REPORT

- One technical report to be completed for each project area.
- Refer to Program Regulations 15 to 17, pages 6 and 7.



Information on this form is confidential subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

- This summary section must be filled out by all grantees, one for each project area

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA # 2

LOCATION/COMMODITIES

Project Area (as listed in Part A) AREA # 2 CRY Lake MINFILE No. if applicable 1041 CRY Lake

Location of Project Area NTS _____ Lat 58° 38 Long 129° 30

Description of Location and Access Location of AREA # 2 is East of Denise Lake and comprises of ~~the~~ southwest and East of Dark mountain

Prospecting Assistants(s) - give name(s) and qualifications of assistant(s) (see Program Regulation 13, page 6)

Thomas Hope Junior prospector

Main Commodities Searched For Gold silver zinc

Known Mineral Occurrences in Project Area None

WORK PERFORMED

1. Conventional Prospecting (area) East and south west of Dark mountain
2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale) _____
3. Geochemical (type and no. of samples) _____
4. Geophysical (type and line km) _____
5. Physical Work (type and amount) _____
6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m, total m) _____
7. Other (specify) 13 rock samples 3 silt samples

Best Discovery

Project/Claim Name AREA # 2 Commodities none of significance

Location (show on map) Lat. 58° 38 Long 129° 30 Elevation 1400 to 1500 m

Best assay/sample type 242 ppm Zn in a Quartz monzonite 1.9 gm/t Ag in a Hornblende diorite

Description of mineralization, host rocks, anomalies Mineralization to the East of Dark Mtn. was in granodiorite and more localized to a Quartz monzonite. To the west and south of Dark mtn. some Sulphides were noted in a greenstone float and in places to the south in a Hornblende associated with chert.

FEEDBACK: comments and suggestions for Prospector Assistance Program

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS

- Those submitting a copy of an Assessment Report or a report of similar quality that covers all the key elements listed below are not required to fill out this section.
- Refer to Program Regulation 17D on page 6 for details before filling this section out (use extra pages if necessary)
- Supporting data must be submitted with the following TECHNICAL REPORT or any report accepted in lieu of.

Information on this form is confidential for one year from the date of receipt subject to the provisions of the *Freedom of Information Act*.

Name John R. Hope Reference Number AREA-2

1. LOCATION OF PROJECT AREA [Outline clearly on accompanying maps of appropriate scale.]

The area's prospected were East of Dark mtn in a pass between Dark mtn and mountains to the East and to the southwest of Dark mountain in low lying terrain.

2. PROGRAM OBJECTIVE [Include original exploration target.]

The objective of this program was to try and determine what caused the anomalous values of Au, Sb, As, Ag, and Hg on the Precious Metal ANOMALY MAP done by the British Columbia Regional Geochemical Survey compiled by W Jacksman in 1996. This was one of the top sites for the entire CRY Lake map sheet.

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS [Describe areas prospected and significant outcrops/float encountered. Mineralization must be described in terms of specific minerals and how they occur. These details must be shown on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale; prospecting traverses should be clearly marked.]

This program was actually carried out in two distinct locations. One to the East of Dark Mtn for Ag, Zn. The other to the south west for Au, Sb, As, Ag. An area to the East of Dark mtn. in a high pass where a stream flows north and one south an anomalous Ag, Zn. was discovered in the Geochemical Survey done in 1996 on the CRY Lake Map on the north flowing stream. The writer and assistant were lifted into the area by helicopter from base camp some 4 1/2 miles to the south west. A large gossan striking in an East west direction was the target area. Some sampling was carried out on the north slope of a high peak to the East of the pass. The host rock was a granodiorite with associated with

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS (continued)

Quartz monzonite carrying cubes of pyrite and sulphides with what appeared to be sphalerite. A white powdery oxide covered a lot of the samples taken. The mineralization covered an area some 30 ft. in width and appeared to extend down the mountain slope to the saddle some 600 ft. below.

3 samples were taken from this mineralized area. The writer then dropped down to the saddle which had a sheer drop off facing north into the north running stream which was anomalous in Ag. Zn values and sampled the face of the drop off for a lateral distance of 250 ft. along strike taking 3 samples to the best of the area. Sample # 56155 B5 was in a rhyolite cutting through the Quartz monzonite. Small amounts of black mineral appeared evident in the rock and was thought to be silver, however did not have any significant results from the assays. All samples assayed were disappointing as visual observation seemed like they were well mineralized.

The area's west and south of Dark Mtn. were prospected for Au, Sb, As, Ag. with disappointing results. Cones of black basalt lie to the west of Dark mtn. Red oxidized zones appear in lower lying areas and have no mineralization what so ever. Greenstone float with minor amounts of sulphides is present in certain localities along the west and East sides of some of the cones. No where is there any indication of this rock being in place. To the south is ridges of chert and limestone appears along the anomalous creek in several localities. This creek flows in a westerly direction. Prospecting the ridges to the south some hornblende was discovered on the west end of one of the ridges striking in a western direction into a large swampy area. Small specks of sulphides were present in this rock and sample # 56164 B14 assayed 1.9 g/mt Ag. There were no other outstanding values in any of the samples taken. Prospecting was difficult because of low lying swampy areas with no outcroppings.

Stream sediments were taken in tributaries flowing into the main anomalous stream and on the main anomalous stream above the tributaries in an effort to narrow down the target area. No significant results were realized, although zinc was prevalent and silver in sample # 1518.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)
REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



4. GEOCHEMICAL RESULTS [Describe all survey types done (rock, soil, silt) and their objective. Show clearly on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale all sample sites along with all significant values. Any anomalous areas should be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, variable symbol sizes, or some other suitable technique. Include a discussion/interpretation of results. A copy of analysis/assay certificates **must** be included with sample numbers from map. Details of individual rock samples taken are encouraged. Significant geochemical values obtained must be stated.]

All rock samples taken consisted of 4 to 5 lbs of rock and split into $\frac{2}{3}$ for assaying and $\frac{1}{3}$ for references. Samples ending in an "F" are float stream silts were taken in paper silt sample bags consisting of 1 Lb., dried and shipped for assay. A marked copy of the assays is attached.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)
REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



5. GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS [Specify the objective of the survey, the method used and the work done. Discuss the results and show the data on an accompanying map of appropriate scale. Any anomalous areas must be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, or some other suitable technique.]

There were no Geophysical Results

5. OTHER RESULTS [Drilling - describe objective, type and amount of drilling done. Discuss results, including any significant intersections obtained. Indicate on a map of appropriate scale the drill-hole collar location, the angle of inclination and azimuth. Drill logs correlated with assay results must be included. Physical Work - describe the type and amount of physical work done and the reasons for doing it (where not self-evident). This includes lines/grids, trails, trenches, opencuts, underground work, reclamation, staking of claims, etc. Discuss results where pertinent.]

There were no Other Results

Signature of Grantee

Date

Nov 6th 2000

ASSAY CERTIFICATE



Epoch Holdings Ltd. File # A003009
P.O. Box 117, Dease Lake BC V0C 1L0 Submitted by: John R. Hope

SAMPLE#	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag** gm/mt	Au** gm/mt	Pt** gm/mt	Pd** gm/mt
<i>Dark In East</i> 56151 B-1	-	<.01	.01	.6	<.01	-	-
56152 B-2	-	<.01	.01	.5	-	-	-
56153 B-3	-	<.01	.01	<.3	<.01	-	-
56154 B-4	-	<.01	.01	1.3	-	-	-
56155 B-5	<.001	<.01	.01	<.3	<.01	-	-
56156 B-6	-	<.01	.02	1.2	-	-	-
<i>Dark In West</i> 56161 B-11F	-	-	-	1.5	<.01	-	-
56162 B-12F	-	-	-	<.3	<.01	-	-
RE 56162 B-12F	-	-	-	<.3	-	-	-
56164 B-14	-	-	-	1.9	<.01	-	-
<i>Sonya Creek</i> 56165 B-15	-	-	-	<.3	.01	<.01	<.01
STANDARD R-1	.835	1.23	2.25	99.7	-	-	-

GROUP 7AR - 1.000 GM SAMPLE, AQUA - REGIA (HCL-HNO3-H2O) DIGESTION TO 100 ML, ANALYSED BY ICP-ES.
- SAMPLE TYPE: ROCK R150 60C AG** AU** PD** & PD** BY FIRE ASSAY FROM 1 A.T. SAMPLE.
Samples beginning 'RE' are Reruns and 'RRE' are Reject Reruns.

DATE RECEIVED: AUG 14 2000

DATE REPORT MAILED: *Aug 28/00*

SIGNED BY *C. Leong* D. TOYE, C. LEONG, J. WANG; CERTIFIED B.C. ASSAYERS



GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS CERTIFICATE



Epoch Holdings Ltd. File # A003010
P.O. Box 117, Dease Lake BC V0C 1L0 Submitted by: John R. Hope


SAMPLE#	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co	Mn	Fe	As	U	Au	Th	Sr	Cd	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P	La	Cr	Mg	Ba	Ti	B	Al	Na	K	W	Ag**	Au**
Dark with west	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	%	ppm	%	%	%	ppm	gm/mt	gm/mt
1 S8	2	32	7	147	<.3	137	30	1162	6.25	8	<8	<2	<2	140	.6	3	<3	158	1.10	.149	32	95	1.73	1161	.45	<3	3.95	.10	.09	2	<.3	<.01
2 NW	1	38	16	145	<.3	140	42	1391	8.28	6	<8	<2	3	226	1.4	6	<3	142	1.76	.136	29	88	2.64	253	.76	<3	5.66	.64	.31	3	<.3	<.01
3 MAIN CREEK	2	28	9	111	<.3	102	26	6036	7.73	38	<8	<2	2	106	.9	4	<3	83	1.13	.152	17	84	1.16	1016	.20	3	1.95	.06	.09	2	.3	<.01
RE 1 S8	<1	33	11	144	<.3	138	31	1154	6.25	6	<8	<2	<2	139	.8	3	<3	157	1.08	.149	33	96	1.77	1152	.46	3	3.91	.11	.09	2	.4	<.01

GROUP 1D - 0.50 GM SAMPLE LEACHED WITH 3 ML 2-2-2 HCL-HNO3-H2O AT 95 DEG. C FOR ONE HOUR, DILUTED TO 10 ML, ANALYSED BY ICP-ES.
UPPER LIMITS - AG, AU, HG, W = 100 PPM; MO, CO, CD, SB, BI, TH, U & B = 2,000 PPM; CU, PB, ZN, NI, MN, AS, V, LA, CR = 10,000 PPM.
- SAMPLE TYPE: SILT SS80 60C AG** & AU** BY FIRE ASSAY FROM 1 A.T. SAMPLE.
Samples beginning 'RE' are Reruns and 'RRE' are Reject Reruns.

DATE RECEIVED: AUG 14 2000

DATE REPORT MAILED: Aug 25/00

SIGNED BY: *C. Leong* TOYE, C. LEONG, J. WANG; CERTIFIED B.C. ASSAYERS



MAPS 1041/11 1041/12
 SCALE: 1:50,000

- Legend:**
- * rock sample locations
 - stream sediment sample locations
 - 1996 BC Geological Survey Branch stream sediment analysis
 - showing strike
 - Sample location results in red indicate significant values
 - * Sample not assayed
 - AREAS prospected

AREA-1

AREA-2

AREA-2

True North

00-12 PG. 28

D. TECHNICAL REPORT

- One technical report to be completed for each project area.
- Refer to Program Regulations 15 to 17, pages 6 and 7.



Information on this form is confidential subject to the provision of the Freedom of Information Act.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

- This summary section must be filled out by all grantees, one for each project area

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA # 3

LOCATION/COMMODITIES

Project Area (as listed in Part A) AREA # 3 CRY Lake MINFILE No. if applicable 7047 CRY Lake

Location of Project Area NTS _____ Lat 58°54 Long 129°49

Description of Location and Access AREA # 3 is approximately 3.5 miles south east of Joe IRWIN Lake at the head of the south fork of SPHINX Creek. Access is by helicopter

Prospecting Assistants(s) - give name(s) and qualifications of assistant(s) (see Program Regulation 13, page 6) none

Main Commodities Searched For Cu Au Co

Known Mineral Occurrences in Project Area none

WORK PERFORMED

1. Conventional Prospecting (area) North side of the North peak of Pyramid mountain
2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale) _____
3. Geochemical (type and no. of samples) _____
4. Geophysical (type and line km) _____
5. Physical Work (type and amount) _____
6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m, total m) _____
7. Other (specify) 9 rock samples

Best Discovery

Project/Claim Name SPHINX #1 to #4 Commodities Cu Au Ag

Location (show on map) Lat. 58°54 N Long 129°49 W Elevation 1800 to 1900 m

Best assay/sample type 1. 81 gm/mt Au in agglomerate, Augite porphyry 2. 2 gm/mt Ag in agglomerate, Augite porphyry 361 ppm Cu in a feldspar porphyry

Description of mineralization, host rocks, anomalies Mineralization occurs in hornblende and Augite porphyry. Sulfides and iron are abundant in some fracture filling. Granite are to the north of the showing, paralleling Sphinx creek. To the south and intruding the showing is hornblende diorite intrusives.

FEEDBACK: comments and suggestions for Prospector Assistance Program _____

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS

- Those submitting a copy of an Assessment Report or a report of similar quality that covers all the key elements listed below are not required to fill out this section.
- Refer to Program Regulation 17D on page 6 for details before filling this section out (use extra pages if necessary)
- Supporting data must be submitted with the following TECHNICAL REPORT or any report accepted in lieu of.

Information on this form is confidential for one year from the date of receipt subject to the provisions of the *Freedom of Information Act*.

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA-3

1. LOCATION OF PROJECT AREA [Outline clearly on accompanying maps of appropriate scale.]

The project area is located at the headwaters of the south fork of SPHINX CREEK below a ridge running west from the north peak of Pyramid mountain

2. PROGRAM OBJECTIVE [Include original exploration target.]

The objective of the program was to prospect an anomalous area discovered in the 1996 British Columbia Regional Geochemical Survey consisting of Cu, Co, and Ni, with some gold values in the 24 PPb range.

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS [Describe areas prospected and significant outcrops/float encountered. Mineralization must be described in terms of specific minerals and how they occur. These details must be shown on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale; prospecting traverses should be clearly marked.]

Prospecting was carried out on the headwaters of the south fork of SPHINX CREEK and on the ridge running west from the north peak of Pyramid mountain. Areas to the west and to the East of the South fork of SPHINX creek were also prospected. This writer has also prospected the basin at the head of the East fork of Pyramid creek in previous years with unsatisfactory results.

Although snow pack covered a lot of the area where mineralization was most abundant, the ridge at the head of the north facing cirque was bare and in places over the edge of the ridge facing north were bare patches. Mineralization however disappeared under the snow in most areas. The knob to the right of the cirque facing south

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS (continued)

was bare of snow and was prospected. A brown stained scarn anywhere from 18 to 40 ft. wide at elevation 1810 m. striking in an East West direction is apparent and cuts through the area some 250 ft. below the top of the knob. Sulphides disseminated through a green oliven rock that could be a peridotite is sporadic. However sample # 56395 B912 assayed 1338 ppb Ni. Sample # 56314 B8 further to the South East had a similar value in Ni. 1654 ppb and .4 gm/m³ Ag. This sample is in more of an Augite porphyry. The main mineralized area discovered to date is just below the ridge at the head of the north facing cirque at the head of the south fork of SPHINX CREEK. Mineralization appears to be concentrated to fracturing running across the East West strike in north south directions and dipping to the North at approximately 80°. Sample # 56394 B610 assaying 1.81 gm Au mt and 2.6 gm Ag. mt. come from a sulphide filled fracture approximately 6 in. wide cutting across the strike and is in an agglomerate Augite that disappeared under the snow some 30 ft below the sample location. Sample # 56385 B13 assaying 661 ppm Cu. was one of the samples taken the farthest down from the ridge top into the cirque and the mineralization was more disseminated in a feldspar porphyry.

This writer thought the area was of merit and located the SPHINX #1 to #4 mineral claims over the showing. It was anticipated that the writer would return later on in the summer when the snow pack would of been greatly reduced, however bad weather and a busy summer prohibited this from happening. Further work is warranted on this showing and should not commence before Aug. 1st when snow conditions will be at a minimum.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



4. GEOCHEMICAL RESULTS [Describe all survey types done (rock, soil, silt) and their objective. Show clearly on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale all sample sites along with all significant values. Any anomalous areas should be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, variable symbol sizes, or some other suitable technique. Include a discussion/interpretation of results. A copy of analysis/assay certificates **must** be included with sample numbers from map. Details of individual rock samples taken are encouraged. Significant geochemical values obtained must be stated.]

All rock samples were taken from in place and consisted of approximately 4 to 5 lbs. of rock placed in plastic sample bags. These samples were then split into 2/3 rd for assaying 1/3 was kept for reference.

A marked copy of the assay's is attached

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)
REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



5. GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS [Specify the objective of the survey, the method used and the work done. Discuss the results and show the data on an accompanying map of appropriate scale. Any anomalous areas must be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, or some other suitable technique.]

No. Geophysics were done

5. OTHER RESULTS [Drilling - describe objective, type and amount of drilling done. Discuss results, including any significant intersections obtained. Indicate on a map of appropriate scale the drill-hole collar location, the angle of inclination and azimuth. Drill logs correlated with assay results must be included. **Physical Work** - describe the type and amount of physical work done and the reasons for doing it (where not self-evident). This includes lines/grids, trails, trenches, opencuts, underground work, reclamation, staking of claims, etc. Discuss results where pertinent.]

*4 claims were staked above free line and
rock carins were used as markers and claim posts*

Signature of Grantee

Date

Nov. 7th 2000



GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS CERTIFICATE



Epoch Holdings Ltd. File # A002286

P.O. Box 117, Dease Lake BC V0C 1L0 Submitted by: John R. Hope

SAMPLE#	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co	Mn	Fe	As	U	Au	Th	Sr	Cd	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P	La	Cr	Mg	Ba	Ti	B	Al	Na	K	W	
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	%	ppm	%	%	%	ppm	
Pyramid Mtn.																															
56389 B-13	1	661	<3	28	1.0	27	44	387	6.22	4	<8	<2	<2	11	.2	<3	3	112	.50	.063	2	114	2.05	45	.20	<3	2.23	.05	.24	<2	
56390 B-25	9	130	3	41	<.3	30	20	466	3.03	143	<8	<2	<2	16	.3	<3	<3	61	.85	.065	3	32	1.16	13	.29	4	1.47	.04	.06	<2	
56391 B-37	2	206	4	23	.4	16	45	312	4.67	4	<8	<2	<2	17	<.2	<3	4	69	.63	.052	2	21	1.41	14	.17	3	1.89	.06	.06	<2	
56392 B-48	1	126	3	32	<.3	50	30	395	3.62	<2	<8	<2	<2	18	.2	<3	<3	121	.83	.018	1	224	2.00	7	.16	<3	2.09	.04	.04	<2	
56393 B-59	1	250	<3	17	<.3	19	35	257	4.43	4	<8	<2	<2	27	<.2	<3	<3	84	.79	.086	3	18	1.05	44	.23	<3	1.68	.06	.14	<2	
56394 B-610	<1	275	5	50	2.1	7	18	1145	10.27	6	<8	<2	<2	31	.3	<3	3	238	.39	.032	1	14	2.49	37	.19	<3	4.00	.02	.08	2	
56395 B-711	7	76	4	26	<.3	21	56	382	6.30	4	<8	<2	<2	23	<.2	<3	3	90	.60	.064	2	16	1.40	6	.28	<3	1.73	.06	.02	<2	
RE 56395 B-711	7	81	3	27	<.3	21	58	390	6.45	5	<8	<2	<2	22	<.2	<3	4	91	.59	.066	2	15	1.44	5	.28	<3	1.73	.06	.02	<2	
56314 B-8	<1	9	<3	22	<.3	1654	85	894	3.27	4	<8	2	<2	17	<.2	8	<3	<1	.23	.004	<1	404	19.28	17	<.01	39	.15	.01	<.01	<2	
56396 B-912	<1	3	<3	19	<.3	1338	59	583	3.02	4	<8	<2	<2	2	<.2	<3	<3	3	.29	.003	<1	769	15.80	5	<.01	19	.14	<.01	<.01	<2	
Sample from 1999 program - Au values could not be duplicated.																															
56513 B-10	<1	7	<3	17	<.3	1367	69	768	3.05	4	<8	3	<2	16	<.2	4	<3	<1	.50	.004	<1	377	17.38	19	<.01	19	.13	.01	<.01	<2	
STANDARD C3	24	63	41	166	5.5	37	11	793	3.34	59	18	3	21	29	24.1	14	24	77	.57	.086	18	166	.62	151	.09	25	1.81	.04	.16	14	
STANDARD G-2	2	3	5	44	<.3	8	4	550	2.06	<2	<8	<2	4	71	<.2	3	<3	38	.65	.096	8	77	.62	223	.13	<3	.96	.07	.45	2	

GROUP 1D - 0.50 GM SAMPLE LEACHED WITH 3 ML 2-2-2 HCL-HNO3-H2O AT 95 DEG. C FOR ONE HOUR, DILUTED TO 10 ML, ANALYSED BY ICP-ES.
UPPER LIMITS - AG, AU, HG, W = 100 PPM; MO, CO, CD, SB, BI, TH, U & B = 2,000 PPM; CU, PB, ZN, NI, MN, AS, V, LA, CR = 10,000 PPM.
ASSAY RECOMMENDED FOR ROCK AND CORE SAMPLES IF CU PB ZN AS > 1%, AG > 30 PPM & AU > 1000 PPB
- SAMPLE TYPE: ROCK Samples beginning 'RE' are Reruns and 'RRE' are Reject Reruns.

DATE RECEIVED: JUL 12 2000 DATE REPORT MAILED: *July 27/00* SIGNED BY: *C. Leong* D. TOYE, C. LEONG, J. WANG; CERTIFIED B.C. ASSAYERS

ASSAY CERTIFICATE



Epoch Holdings Ltd. File # A002286
P.O. Box 117, Dease Lake BC V0C 1L0 Submitted by: John R. Hope

SAMPLE#	Ag** gm/mt	Au** gm/mt	Pt** gm/mt	Pd** gm/mt	
Pyramid mtn. {	56389 B-13	1.0	.05	<.01	<.01
	56390 B-25	<.3	<.01	<.01	<.01
	56391 B-37	-	-	<.01	<.01
	56392 B-48	-	-	<.01	<.01
	56393 B-59	-	-	<.01	<.01
	56394 B-610	2.6	1.81	<.01	<.01
	56395 B-711	.7	.07	<.01	<.01
	RE 56395 B-711	-	.07	<.01	<.01
	56314 B-8	.4	<.01	<.01	<.01
	56396 B-912	-	-	<.01	<.01
56313 B-10	-	-	<.01	<.01	

GROUP 6 - PRECIOUS METALS BY FIRE ASSAY FROM 1 A.T. SAMPLE, ANALYSIS BY ICP-ES.
- SAMPLE TYPE: ROCK
Samples beginning 'RE' are Reruns and 'RRE' are Reject Reruns.

DATE RECEIVED: JUL 12 2000 DATE REPORT MAILED: *July 27/00* SIGNED BY: *C. Leong* .D. TOYE, C. LEONG, J. WANG; CERTIFIED B.C. ASSAYERS

R A




Joe Irwin Lake

47
Invil L.

L
4571

46




Sphinx

Creek

Creek

Old


PYRAMID MTN



SPHINX Mineral Claims

1041-13M

Scale
1:31,680



AREA-3

True North

56376B712

56375B49

56275B57

56325B71

56397B13

56370B25

56371B37

56374B16

56314B9

1.81 gm. Au. mt.

2.6 gm. Ag. mt.

D E A S E

S T I K I

MAP 1041/13
Joe Irwin Lake
Scale 1: 50,000

Legend:
○ Area prospected.
X rock sample locations
○ 1996 BC Geological Survey Branch stream sediment anomaly's showing strike
○ Sample locations in red indicate significant values

142

D. TECHNICAL REPORT

- One technical report to be completed for each project area.
- Refer to Program Regulations 15 to 17, pages 6 and 7.



RECEIVED BY
ENERGY & MINES
NOV 10 2000

Information on this form is confidential subject to the provision of the Freedom of Information Act.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

- This summary section must be filled out by all grantees, one for each project area

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA - A

LOCATION/COMMODITIES

Project Area (as listed in Part A) AREA-A MAP 104J/16 MINFILE No. if applicable _____

Location of Project Area NTS _____ Lat 58°55'31" Long 130°40'5"

Description of Location and Access AREA-A was accessed by boat up the Dease River from the bridge where Highway #37 crosses. The area is located on a creek flowing East of off North West mountain into the Dease River.

Prospecting Assistants(s) - give name(s) and qualifications of assistant(s) (see Program Regulation 13, page 6)
Gerry Diakow prospector - former grant recipient

Main Commodities Searched For Ca. Ni. Pt. Pd.

Known Mineral Occurrences in Project Area none

WORK PERFORMED

1. Conventional Prospecting (area) Near the lower portion of a creek flowing East of off Northwest Mtn into the Dease River.
2. Geological Mapping (hectares/soale) _____
3. Geochemical (type and no. of samples) _____
4. Geophysical (type and line km) _____
5. Physical Work (type and amount) _____
6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m, total m) _____
7. Other (specify) 7 rock samples

Best Discovery

Project/Claim Name northwest # 1 to # 4 Commodities Pt. Pd.

Location (show on map) Lat. 58°55'31" Long 130°40'05" Elevation 2800 to 3300ft.

Best assay/sample type 271 ppb. Combined Pt. Pd.

Description of mineralization, host rocks, anomalies This area is along the north side of a fault which a creek flowing to the East of off of Northwest mtn. is following. Mineralization is present in the form of sulphides along fracturing in a breccia and what appears to be dykes of Hornblende and porphyritic sills of granitic intrusions carrying small specks of sulphides.

FEEDBACK: comments and suggestions for Prospector Assistance Program _____

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS

- Those submitting a copy of an Assessment Report or a report of similar quality that covers all the key elements listed below are not required to fill out this section.
- Refer to Program Regulation 17D on page 6 for details before filling this section out (use extra pages if necessary)
- Supporting data must be submitted with the following TECHNICAL REPORT or any report accepted in lieu of.

Information on this form is confidential for one year from the date of receipt subject to the provisions of the *Freedom of Information Act*.

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA-A

1. LOCATION OF PROJECT AREA [Outline clearly on accompanying maps of appropriate scale.]

The project area is on the left side of a stream flowing east off of Northwest mountain into the Dease River. The left side being the north side looking down stream.

2. PROGRAM OBJECTIVE [Include original exploration target.]

The objective of this program was to do follow-up prospecting and sampling from an area that was discovered in the 1999 prospecting program and had assay results of 25 ppb Pt. and 53 ppb Pd.

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS [Describe areas prospected and significant outcrops/float encountered. Mineralization must be described in terms of specific minerals and how they occur. These details must be shown on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale; prospecting traverses should be clearly marked.]

Prospecting results are somewhat encouraging in this area after sampling to the East of last years sampled area. This area is approximately 150 feet above the creek and 300 meters East of last years sampled area to the north on a steep eroded bank. Softer material possibly serpentine related has eroded away leaving harder brecciated material standing in large columns and sills. Sulphides are ~~are~~ spread throughout this breccia and small veinlets of quartz criss-cross in all direction and carry concentrations of iron pyrite, and some sulphides are evident. A sample of one of these specimens assayed 115 ppb Pt. and 156 ppb Pd. another .04 g/mt. Pt. and Pd. Two samples 700 meters to the west up the creek

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)
REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS (continued)

~~which~~ looks to be a mixture of hornblendes and dunitic^{and} has seams of solid sulphides several inches wide in places, running through them. A green staining in some areas indicates copper or nickel along with visible pyrites. These samples did not assay in Pt. and Pd. values which was somewhat disappointing, but were 380 and 858 ppm Copper. Detailed sampling should be done along this zone which is 30 plus ft wide and appears to be paralling the creek on the north side and dipping slightly to the south. Towards the East this zone becomes a breccia and is against a granit contact to the north. Pods of granit appear in the zone in at least one locality.
4 Claims were located on this showing at the end of the season.

Host rocks are unconsolidated Tertiary materials
near granit contact.
Fault

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



4. GEOCHEMICAL RESULTS [Describe all survey types done (rock, soil, silt) and their objective. Show clearly on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale all sample sites along with all significant values. Any anomalous areas should be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, variable symbol sizes, or some other suitable technique. Include a discussion/interpretation of results. A copy of analysis/assay certificates **must** be included with sample numbers from map. Details of individual rock samples taken are encouraged. Significant geochemical values obtained must be stated.]

All rock samples were taken from in place and consisted of approximately 4 lbs of rock placed in plastic sample bags. These samples were then split into $\frac{2}{3}$ rds for assaying and $\frac{1}{3}$ rd kept for references. A marked copy of the assays is attached.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)



REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)

5. GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS [Specify the objective of the survey, the method used and the work done. Discuss the results and show the data on an accompanying map of appropriate scale. Any anomalous areas must be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, or some other suitable technique.]

NO Geophysics were done

5. OTHER RESULTS [Drilling - describe objective, type and amount of drilling done. Discuss results, including any significant intersections obtained. Indicate on a map of appropriate scale the drill-hole collar location, the angle of inclination and azimuth. Drill logs correlated with assay results must be included. Physical Work - describe the type and amount of physical work done and the reasons for doing it (where not self-evident). This includes lines/grids, trails, trenches, opencuts, underground work, reclamation, staking of claims, etc. Discuss results where pertinent.]

4 claims were staked with the location line on the north side of the creek running in a westerly direction from the # 1 post. A location line was blazed and ribboned. The post were 4'x4's.

Signature of Grantee

Date

May 8th 2000



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS
iPL 0011271

2036 Columbia Street
Vancouver, B.C.
Canada V5Y 3M1
Phone (604) 879-7878
Fax (604) 879-7898
Email ipl@direct.ca

INTERNATIONAL PLASMA LABORATORY LTD.

Client : Epoch Holdings Ltd.
Project: None Given

9 Samples
9=Rock

[127114:31:22:00100300]

Out: Oct 03, 2000
In : Sep 27, 2000

Page 1 of 1
Section 2 of 2

Sample Name	W ppm	Cr ppm	V ppm	Mn ppm	La ppm	Sr ppm	Zr ppm	Sc ppm	Ti %	Al %	Ca %	Fe %	Mg %	K %	Na %	P %
56343 B-DR1 <i>O-ear e River</i>	<5	98	182	594	3	48	7	7	0.17	2.10	1.57	4.57	1.74	0.12	0.12	0.15
56344 B-DR2 <i>north west mt.</i>	<5	97	151	267	<2	42	5	7	0.16	1.14	1.15	4.07	0.89	0.08	0.08	0.06
56345 B-DR3	<5	42	234	407	<2	68	7	12	0.26	1.80	1.81	6.61	1.65	0.10	0.17	0.03
56346 B-DR4	<5	57	205	519	<2	74	6	13	0.27	2.07	2.23	4.64	1.90	0.08	0.14	0.04
56347 B-TAN1	<5	77	103	698	6	89	8	4	0.20	1.91	1.08	3.68	1.03	0.81	0.18	0.10
56348 B-TAN2	<5	34	174	1399	6	25	3	10	<0.01	3.75	0.47	8.09	2.72	0.07	0.06	0.17
56349 B-TAN3	<5	31	195	2077	6	19	3	12	<0.01	4.00	0.35	7.16	2.93	0.04	0.05	0.16
56350 B-TAN4	<5	89	12	19	<2	41	2	<1	<0.01	0.38	0.01	2.59	0.01	0.06	0.08	0.01
56397 B-TAN5	<5	36	11	9	<2	25	4	1	<0.01	0.47	0.07	4.91	0.01	0.17	0.04	0.04

Minimum Detection	5	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Maximum Detection	1000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	10000	1.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	5.00	5.00
Method	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP

—=No Test Ins=Insufficient Sample Del=Delay Max=No Estimate Rec=ReCheck m=x1000 %=Estimate % NS=No Sample



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

iPL 00H1271

2036 Columbia Street
 Vancouver, B.C.
 Canada V5Y 3M1
 Phone (604) 879-7878
 Fax (604) 879-7898
 Email ipl@direct.ca

INTERNATIONAL PLASMA LABORATORY LTD

Client : Epoch Holdings Ltd.
 Project: None Given

9 Samples
 9=Rock

[127114:31:22:00100300] Out: Oct 03, 2000
 In : Sep 27, 2000

Page 1 of 1
 Section 1 of 2

Sample Name	Type	Au g/mt	Ag g/mt	Pt g/mt	Pd g/mt	Ag ppm	Cu ppm	Pb ppm	Zn ppm	As ppm	Sb ppm	Hg ppm	Mo ppm	Tl ppm	Bi ppm	Cd ppm	Co ppm	Ni ppm	Ba ppm
56343 B-DR1 <i>Dease River</i>	Rock	0.01	<0.3	<0.01	0.01	0.1	179	8	61	<5	<5	<3	2	<10	<2	2.3	32	32	116
56344 B-DR2 <i>Dease River</i>	Rock	0.01	<0.3	0.04	0.04	0.2	216	7	27	<5	<5	<3	2	<10	<2	2.1	27	31	69
56345 B-DR3 <i>North West Mt</i>	Rock	<0.01	<0.3	<0.01	<0.01	0.2	380	7	41	<5	<5	<3	2	<10	<2	2.9	51	20	38
56346 B-DR4	Rock	0.01	<0.3	<0.01	0.01	0.2	358	8	45	<5	<5	<3	2	<10	<2	2.7	40	24	46
56347 B-TAN1	Rock	<0.01	<0.3	<0.01	<0.01	0.2	41	9	63	<5	<5	5	3	<10	<2	2.2	19	9	102
56348 B-TAN2 <i>TANZANIA</i>	Rock	<0.01	<0.3	—	—	0.1	158	13	97	<5	<5	<3	5	<10	<2	1.0	25	16	23
56349 B-TAN3 <i>river</i>	Rock	<0.01	<0.3	—	—	<0.1	57	10	145	<5	<5	<3	4	<10	<2	3.2	15	11	28
56350 B-TAN4	Rock	<0.01	<0.3	—	—	<0.1	31	2	4	<5	<5	<3	2	<10	<2	0.7	12	5	11
56397 B-TAN5	Rock	0.01	<0.3	—	—	<0.1	154	17	12	<5	<5	<3	18	<10	<2	2.2	26	8	14

Minimum Detection 0.01 0.3 0.01 0.01 0.1 1 2 1 5 5 3 1 10 2 0.1 1 1 2
 Maximum Detection 9999.00 1000.0 9999.00 9999.00 100.0 20000 20000 20000 10000 1000 10000 1000 1000 10000 100.0 10000 10000 10000
 Method FA/AAS FAGrav FA/AAS FA/AAS ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP
 —=No Test Ins=Insufficient Sample Del=Delay Max=No Estimate Rec=ReCheck m=x1000 %=Estimate % NS=No Sample

P. 04
F. 04/U-1

ID=604 582 5509

10-20-00 15:46 WEI COAST CAPITAL CORP
OCT 17'00 9:36 FR ACME LABS

ACME ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES LTD.
(ISO 9002 Accredited Co.)

852 E. HASTINGS ST. VANCOUVER BC V6A 1R6

PHONE (604) 253-3188 FAX (604) 253-1716



GEOCHEM PRECIOUS METALS ANALYSIS



Wei Coast Capital Corp. File # A003885 Page 1
6th Floor - 1100 Melville, Vancouver BC V6E 4A6 Submitted by: Garry Diakon

SAMPLE#	Au** ppb	Pt** ppb	Pd** ppb
Stikine Ri 99 #6 605129	2	11	<2
Stikine Ri 99 #7 605130	6	6	<2
Grant Pass Core 605136	12	3	10
Grant Pass 605137	6	5	13
Grant Pass 605138	9	3	9
Grant Pass 605139	3	3	8
Dease Riv. 605149 } north west mt.	4	23	67
Dease Riv. 605150 } OR	8	115	156
Dease Riv. 605151 } OR	17	12	43
RE 605156	15	22	40
STANDARD VA-10R	477	470	190
STANDARD G-2	<2	3	<2

GROUP 3B - FIRE GEOCHEM AU, PT, PD - 30 GR SAMPLE FUSION, CORE DISSOLVED IN AQUA - REGIA, ICP ANALYSIS UPPER LIMITS = 10 PPM.
SAMPLE TYPE: ROCK R150 600 Samples beginning 'RE' are Rejects ppb. 'RAF' are Rejects Returns.

DATE RECEIVED: OCT 3 2000

DATE REPORT MAILED: Oct 16/00

SIGNED BY: [Signature] D. TOTE, C. LEONG, J. WING; CERTIFIED B.C. ASSAYERS

All results are considered the confidential property of the client. Acme assumes the liabilities for actual cost of the analysis only.

Date 10/16/00 FA

P.05
F.02/04

10-20-00 15:47 WET COAST CAPITAL CORP
B24 252 1716 8422005

0-20-00 15:47 WET COAST CAPITAL CORP
OCT 17 '00 9:34 FR ACME LABS

ACME ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES LTD.
(ISO 9002 Accredited Co.)

852 B. HASTINGS ST. VANCOUVER BC V6A 1R6

PHONE (604) 253-3158 FAX (604) 253-1716



GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS CERTIFICATE



Wet Coast Capital Corp. File # A003888 Page 1
4th Floor 1100 Melville, Vancouver BC V6E 4A6 Submitted by: Terry Dyakov

SAMPLE#	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co	Mn	Fe	As	U	Au	Th	Sr	Cd	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P	La	Cr	Mg	Ba	Ti	B	Al	Na	K	W
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	%	ppm	%	%	ppm	
605136	13	2988	14	41	.9	37	26	723	4.95	13	<8	<2	2	90	<2	<3	7	104	3.05	.088	6	122	1.73	92	.10	28	1.20	.70	.16	<2
605137	<1	115	<3	54	.3	31	26	1019	5.34	8	<8	<2	<2	66	.4	<3	<3	165	4.60	.145	5	119	1.82	45	.14	<3	1.70	.21	.29	<2
605138	3	1538	<3	37	<.3	32	31	764	4.13	14	<8	<2	2	65	.2	<3	<3	123	3.15	.111	6	131	2.24	47	.17	5	1.69	.09	.34	<2
605139	50	330	<3	42	.4	35	34	1068	6.07	7	<8	<2	<2	127	.2	<3	3	225	4.94	.108	4	205	2.84	53	.13	6	1.76	.12	.13	<2
605149	1	91	<3	17	.3	42	18	256	4.64	3	<8	<2	2	51	<.2	<3	<3	197	1.28	.013	3	85	1.04	20	.22	<3	.99	.08	.04	<2
605150	23	119	<3	12	.4	29	38	214	4.31	3	<8	<2	3	100	.2	<3	<3	108	1.54	.034	2	43	.72	14	.29	3	1.09	.07	.02	<2
605151	70	44	5	1	.5	21	20	102	7.61	2	<8	<2	4	126	.2	<3	3	86	1.48	.044	2	14	.08	20	.22	4	1.05	.01	.04	<2
605151	72	47	<3	1	.4	22	21	112	7.80	5	<8	<2	3	140	.3	<3	<3	92	1.61	.045	1	07	.09	21	.24	<3	1.11			<2
STANDARD 03	26	66	40	69	<.3	38	13	702	3.38	57	17	<2	21	27	23.6	21	23	77	.56	.088	20	1.3	.63	145	.59	21	1.51			11
STANDARD 04	1	3	5	44	<.3	8	4	513	2.04	<2	<8	<2	4	78	<.2	<3	<3	36	.65	.094	9	13	.61	234	.13	3	1.0			<2


GNAT
Pass
North
West
with
DR.

GROUP 10 - 0.50 GM SAMPLE LEACHED WITH 3 ML 2-2-2 HCL:HN03:H2O AT 95 DEG. C FOR ONE HOUR, DILUTED TO 10 ML, ANALYSED BY ICP-ES.
UPPER LIMITS - AG, AU, HG, W = 100 PPM; NO, CO, CD, SO, BI, TH, U & B = 2,000 PPM; CU, PB, ZN, NI, MN, AS, V, LA, CR = 10,000 PPM.
ASSAY RECOMMENDED FOR ROCK AND CORE SAMPLES IF CU PB ZN AS > 1%, AG > 30 PPM & AU > 1000 PPM
- SAMPLE TYPE: ROCK R150 60C Samples beginning 'RE' are Recons and 'RRE' are Recons and 'RRE' are Recons.

DATE RECEIVED: OCT 3 2000 DATE REPORT MAILED: Oct 16/00 SIGNED BY: [Signature] D. TOYE, C. LEONG, J. WANG; CERTIFIED B.C. ASSAYERS.

All results are considered the confidential property of the client. Acme assumes the liabilities for actual cost of the analysis only.

Date FA



4
Trac North


AREA-A

Legend: X rock sample locations
○ Area protected
- Showing strike
Sample values in red are anomalies

MAP 104J/6
Porter Landing Scale: 1:50,000

DEASE

56346 BDR4
56345 BDR3
605149-23 Ppb Pt 61 Ppb Pt
605150-115 Ppb Pt 15.6 Ppb Pt
56344 BDR2
605151 Pt. 04.9 Ppb Pt



D. TECHNICAL REPORT

- One technical report to be completed for each project area.
- Refer to Program Regulations 15 to 17, pages 6 and 7.



Information on this form is confidential subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

- This summary section must be filled out by all grantees, one for each project area

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA - B

LOCATION/COMMODITIES

Project Area (as listed in Part A) AREA-B MAP 104J/16 MINFILE No. if applicable _____

Location of Project Area NTS 104J/9W Lat 58° 40' 12" Long 130° 30' 14"

Description of Location and Access ACCESS TO AREA-B IS BY HELICOPTER THIS AREA IS LOCATED IN THE QUARTZ CREEK WATERSHED, A TRIBUTARY OF THIBERT CREEK FLOWING INTO UPPER THIBERT FROM THE SOUTH.

Prospecting Assistants(s) - give name(s) and qualifications of assistant(s) (see Program Regulation 13, page 6)
NONE.

Main Commodities Searched For Gold. Au.

Known Mineral Occurrences in Project Area none.

WORK PERFORMED

1. Conventional Prospecting (area) Prospecting was done above the canyon and along
2. Geological Mapping (hectares/acre) Quartz creek and its tributaries and mountain ridges.
3. Geochemical (type and no. of samples) _____
4. Geophysical (type and line km) _____
5. Physical Work (type and amount) _____
6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m, total m) _____
7. Other (specify) 7 rock samples

Best Discovery
 Project/Claim Name AREA - B Commodities Au.
 Location (show on map) Lat. 58° 40' 12" Long 130° 30' 14" Elevation 4,500 ft.
 Best assay/sample type 0.29 g/mt Au. in a brown weathered shale.

Description of mineralization, host rocks, anomalies There was very little mineralization present in most of the rocks encountered. Limestone is prevalent throughout the area, shale is abundant along Quartz creek and carries visible iron and sulphides in places argillite and grey wacke is common west of mount Rath and carries some sulphides in places.

FEEDBACK: comments and suggestions for Prospector Assistance Program _____

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS

- Those submitting a copy of an Assessment Report or a report of similar quality that covers all the key elements listed below are not required to fill out this section.
- Refer to Program Regulation 17D on page 6 for details before filling this section out (use extra pages if necessary)
- Supporting data must be submitted with the following TECHNICAL REPORT or any report accepted in lieu of.

Information on this form is confidential for one year from the date of receipt subject to the provisions of the *Freedom of Information Act*.

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA-B

1. LOCATION OF PROJECT AREA [Outline clearly on accompanying maps of appropriate scale.]

The project area is located on Quartz Creek and its tributaries above the canyon.

2. PROGRAM OBJECTIVE [Include original exploration target.]

The objective of this program was to try and determine where Quartz float assaying .25 ounces to the ton found at the mouth of Quartz Creek some years previous by this writer came from.

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS [Describe areas prospected and significant outcrops/float encountered. Mineralization must be described in terms of specific minerals and how they occur. These details must be shown on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale; prospecting traverses should be clearly marked.]

Prospecting was carried out along Quartz Creek its self as well as 4 of its tributaries flowing from the south east into Quartz Creek. The west side of Mount Rath as well as the west ridge of Quartz Creek were prospected. Large sills or dykes of East West striking limestone is prevalent through the area. A black shale and slate outcrop along Quartz Creek. Iron pyrite and fine Sulfide's are present in thin seams in the shales. Blobs of pyrite ^{were} observed in some slate, however was scarce's in most samples. To the north west of Mount Rath is a high knoll with a lake on top. Between this knoll and Mount Rath is one of the west forks of Rath Creek and the old channel of Quartz Creek. A Rhyolite with fine specks of iron outcrops on the west side of this old channel.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS (continued)

Samples # 56172 B6 and 56173 B7 were taken from this area with unsatisfactory results.

Samples # 56170 B4 and 56171 B5 were taken from a ridge to the west of Quartz creek. Sample # 56170 B4 was taken from a 30 ft wide Quartz vein with no visible minerals, striking in an East West direction and outcropping ~~where~~ occasionally. This sample assayed 0.07 g/mt Au.

To the north of this Quartz vein is a zone of brown decaying shale. Some specks of sulphides were noted in pieces that didn't crumble when bagged. Sample # 56171 B-5 was taken from this zone and assayed

0.29 g/mt Au. Because of the decayed manner of the material assayed, this area is well worth further sampling. Sample # 56168 B-2 was taken from an Altered Quartz argillite with limestone on the East side of Quartz creek no visible minerals were observed in this rock which assayed 0.9 g/mt Ag.

The ridge to the west of Quartz creek is well worth further prospecting and could turn up some worthwhile gold ~~assays~~ results.

Sample # 56166 B-0 on iPL 001163 certificate of Analysis is from last years program and was assayed for S.M.T.A.V.V. out of curiosity.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



4. GEOCHEMICAL RESULTS [Describe all survey types done (rock, soil, silt) and their objective. Show clearly on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale all sample sites along with all significant values. Any anomalous areas should be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, variable symbol sizes, or some other suitable technique. Include a discussion/interpretation of results. A copy of analysis/assay certificates **must** be included with sample numbers from map. Details of individual rock samples taken are encouraged. Significant geochemical values obtained must be stated.]

All rock samples were taken from in place and consisted of approximately 4 to 5 lbs. of rock placed in plastic sample bags. These samples were then split into $\frac{2}{3}$ lbs. for assaying and $\frac{1}{3}$ lb. kept for reference's.
A marked copy of the assays is attached.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)
REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



5. GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS [Specify the objective of the survey, the method used and the work done. Discuss the results and show the data on an accompanying map of appropriate scale. Any anomalous areas must be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, or some other suitable technique.]

There were no Geophysical Results

5. OTHER RESULTS [Drilling - describe objective, type and amount of drilling done. Discuss results, including any significant intersections obtained. Indicate on a map of appropriate scale the drill-hole collar location, the angle of inclination and azimuth. Drill logs correlated with assay results must be included. **Physical Work** - describe the type and amount of physical work done and the reasons for doing it (where not self-evident). This includes lines/grids, trails, trenches, opencuts, underground work, reclamation, staking of claims, etc. Discuss results where pertinent.]

There were no other Results

Signature of Grantee

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "John P. [unclear]".

Date

June 7th 2000

D. TECHNICAL REPORT

- One technical report to be completed for each project area.
- Refer to Program Regulations 15 to 17, pages 6 and 7.



Information on this form is confidential subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

- This summary section must be filled out by all grantees, one for each project area

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA-C

LOCATION/COMMODITIES

Project Area (as listed in Part A) AREA-C MAP 104J/16 MINFILE No. if applicable: _____
Location of Project Area NTS _____ Lat 58°45'19" Long 130°15'27"
Description of Location and Access Access is with an 8 wheel Argo up the Mosquito/Thibert creek road to a lake south of Martin Lake. A large area north of Martin Lake and just south of Thibert creek was prospected.
Prospecting Assistants(s) - give name(s) and qualifications of assistant(s) (see Program Regulation 13, page 6)
Thomas Hope Junior prospector
Main Commodities Searched For Pt. Pd.
Known Mineral Occurrences in Project Area _____

WORK PERFORMED

1. Conventional Prospecting (area) AREA's north of Martin Lake and south of
2. Geological Mapping (hectares/acre) Thibert creek from Berry creek to old Bell creek.
3. Geochemical (type and no. of samples) _____
4. Geophysical (type and line km) _____
5. Physical Work (type and amount) _____
6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m, total m) _____
7. Other (specify) _____

Best Discovery

Project/Claim Name AREA-C Commodities none
Location (show on map) Lat. 58°45'19" Long 130°15'27" Elevation 3700 ft.
Best assay/sample type none

Description of mineralization, host rocks, anomalies NO mineralization was observed. Rock type consisted of phyllites greywackes some granite float and a basalt plug and at the East end of the prospecting area.

FEEDBACK: comments and suggestions for Prospector Assistance Program _____

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS

- Those submitting a copy of an Assessment Report or a report of similar quality that covers all the key elements listed below are not required to fill out this section.
- Refer to Program Regulation 17D on page 6 for details before filling this section out (use extra pages if necessary)
- Supporting data must be submitted with the following TECHNICAL REPORT or any report accepted in lieu of.

Information on this form is confidential for one year from the date of receipt subject to the provisions of the *Freedom of Information Act*.

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA-C

1. LOCATION OF PROJECT AREA. [Outline clearly on accompanying maps of appropriate scale.]

The project area was located north of Martin Lake and south of Thibert creek comprising of 2 ridges paralleling Thibert creek between Berry creek and old Bell creek.

2. PROGRAM OBJECTIVE [Include original exploration target.]

The objective of this program was to try and find any ultramafic's that may ~~be~~ hosted platinum values as very rich pt. values were recovered from the black sands in the placer operations at the mouth of Berry creek.

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS [Describe areas prospected and significant outcrops/float encountered. Mineralization must be described in terms of specific minerals and how they occur. These details must be shown on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale; prospecting traverses should be clearly marked.]

The area prospected consisted of phyllite's greywacke's and basalt. No mineralization was observed and no ultramafic's were discovered. The area was swampy mosquito infested and extremely difficult to traverse. A small outcrop of serpentine was noted in Thibert Creek a short ways above the confluence of Berry Creek and below the selected prospecting area. Rock type and mineralization was disappointing.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



4. GEOCHEMICAL RESULTS [Describe all survey types done (rock, soil, silt) and their objective. Show clearly on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale all sample sites along with all significant values. Any anomalous areas should be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, variable symbol sizes, or some other suitable technique. Include a discussion/interpretation of results. A copy of analysis/assay certificates **must** be included with sample numbers from map. Details of individual rock samples taken are encouraged. Significant geochemical values obtained must be stated.]

2 rock samples were taken and were not assayed. These samples were straight Basalt and were for reference purposes only.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)
REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



5. GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS [Specify the objective of the survey, the method used and the work done. Discuss the results and show the data on an accompanying map of appropriate scale. Any anomalous areas must be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, or some other suitable technique.]

There were no Geophysical Results

5. OTHER RESULTS [Drilling - describe objective, type and amount of drilling done. Discuss results, including any significant intersections obtained. Indicate on a map of appropriate scale the drill-hole collar location, the angle of inclination and azimuth. Drill logs correlated with assay results must be included. **Physical Work** - describe the type and amount of physical work done and the reasons for doing it (where not self-evident). This includes lines/grids, trails, trenches, opencuts, underground work, reclamation, staking of claims, etc. Discuss results where pertinent.]

There were no other Results

Signature of Grantee

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "John P. Hoag".

Date

Nov. 6, 2000



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS
iPL 00I1163

2036 Columbia Street
Vancouver, B.C.
Canada V5Y 3E1
Phone (604) 879-7878
Fax (604) 879-7898

INTERNATIONAL PLASMA LABORATORY LTD

Client : Epoch Holdings Ltd.
Project: None Given

8 Samples
8=Rock

[116311:36:53:00092100]



Out: Sep 21, 2000
In : Sep 11, 2000

Page 1 of 1
Section 1 of 2

Sample Name	Type	Au g/mt	Ag g/mt	Sn ppm	Ta ppm	U ppm	V ppm	Ag ppm	Cu ppm	Pb ppm	Zn ppm	As ppm	Sb ppm	Hg ppm	Mo ppm	Tl ppm	Bi ppm	Cd ppm	Co ppm	
56166 B-0	Rock	—	—	<10	<2	272	118	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
56167 B-1	Rock	<0.01	<0.3	—	—	—	—	<0.1	11	28	95	<5	<5	<3	3	<10	<2	2.9	3	
56168 B-2	Rock	0.01	0.9	—	—	—	—	0.9	44	27	103	<5	<5	3	7	<10	<2	8.4	10	
56169 B-3	Rock	0.01	<0.3	—	—	—	—	0.2	60	12	45	<5	<5	<3	7	<10	<2	7.6	10	
56170 B-4	Rock	0.07	<0.3	—	—	—	—	<0.1	47	17	146	<5	<5	<3	3	<10	<2	10.3	42	
<i>Quartz Creek</i>																				
56171 B-5	Rock	0.29	<0.3	—	—	—	—	<0.1	37	13	28	<5	<5	<3	4	<10	<2	1.8	3	
56172 B-6	Rock	0.03	<0.3	—	—	—	—	<0.1	36	<2	28	<5	<5	<3	2	<10	<2	2.0	2	
56173 B-7	Rock	0.02	<0.3	—	—	—	—	0.1	36	<2	34	<5	<5	<3	2	<10	<2	1.8	3	

Minimum Detection	0.01	0.3	10	2	10	2	0.1	1	2	1	5	5	3	1	10	2	0.1	1
Maximum Detection	9999.00	1000.0	1000	10000	1000	10000	100.0	20000	20000	20000	10000	1000	10000	1000	1000	10000	100.0	10000
Method	FA/AAS	FAGrav	ICPM	ICPM	ICPM	ICPM	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP

—=No Test Ins=Insufficient Sample Del=Delay Max=No Estimate Rec=ReCheck m=x1000 %=Estimate% NS=No Sample



00-12 pg. 61

D. TECHNICAL REPORT

- One technical report to be completed for each project area.
- Refer to Program Regulations 15 to 17, pages 6 and 7.



Information on this form is confidential subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

- This summary section must be filled out by all grantees, one for each project area

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA-D

LOCATION/COMMODITIES

Project Area (as listed in Part A) AREA-D Map 104J/16 MINFILE No. if applicable _____

Location of Project Area NTS _____ Lat 58°55' Long 130°30'

Description of Location and Access Access was by 8 wheel Argo and 3 wheel trike and by Foot. The location is on Canyon Creek approximately 1 1/2 miles north of Adsit Lake, down stream 100 yds from where Adsit creek joins Canyon Creek

Prospecting Assistants(s) - give name(s) and qualifications of assistant(s) (see Program Regulation 13, page 6)

THOMAS HOPE Junior prospector

Main Commodities Searched For Pt Pd.

Known Mineral Occurrences in Project Area none

WORK PERFORMED

1. Conventional Prospecting (area) was along a serpentine contact with Listwanite
2. Geological Mapping (hectares/scale) that crossed Canyon Creek
3. Geochemical (type and no. of samples) _____
4. Geophysical (type and line km) _____
5. Physical Work (type and amount) _____
6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m, total m) _____
7. Other (specify) 1 rock sample

Best Discovery

Project/Claim Name AREA-D Commodities none

Location (show on map) Lat. 58°55' Long 130°30' Elevation 3600 ft.

Best assay/sample type none.

Description of mineralization, host rocks, anomalies Small amounts of iron and some sulphides were present in an Listwanite in a serpentine ironised dike.

FEEDBACK: comments and suggestions for Prospector Assistance Program _____

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS

- Those submitting a copy of an Assessment Report or a report of similar quality that covers all the key elements listed below are not required to fill out this section.
- Refer to Program Regulation 17D on page 6 for details before filling this section out (use extra pages if necessary)
- Supporting data must be submitted with the following TECHNICAL REPORT or any report accepted in lieu of.

Information on this form is confidential for one year from the date of receipt subject to the provisions of the *Freedom of Information Act*.

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA-D

1. LOCATION OF PROJECT AREA [Outline clearly on accompanying maps of appropriate scale.]

The project area is located on canyon creek approximately 1 1/2 miles north of Adsit Lake.

2. PROGRAM OBJECTIVE [Include original exploration target.]

The objective of this program was to reassess an outcrop on canyon creek of what was thought to be dunite paralleling a serpentine dike discovered by this writer some years previous.

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS [Describe areas prospected and significant outcrops/float encountered. Mineralization must be described in terms of specific minerals and how they occur. These details must be shown on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale; prospecting traverses should be clearly marked.]

Prospecting was carried out along canyon creek and west of canyon creek where a serpentine dike crosses the creek striking East West. Large sills or pads of Listwanite lie to the north of this dike to the west of canyon creek an olivine basalt outcrops along canyon the creek and a tributary flowing off of the Ichthyosaur mtn. Small specks of iron were visible throughout the Listwanite which was identified by Paul Wodjdek regional Geologist as "Listwanite" It was assayed for Ag, Au, Pt, and Pd. by fire assay and geochemical assay. No significant results were noted. The sample identification No. on the Assay sheet is sample # 56165 B-15

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)
REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



4. GEOCHEMICAL RESULTS [Describe all survey types done (rock, soil, silt) and their objective. Show clearly on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale all sample sites along with all significant values. Any anomalous areas should be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, variable symbol sizes, or some other suitable technique. Include a discussion/interpretation of results. A copy of analysis/assay certificates **must** be included with sample numbers from map. Details of individual rock samples taken are encouraged. Significant geochemical values obtained must be stated.]

One rock sample was taken consisting of 5 lbs of rock and placed in a plastic sample bag. This sample was then split into $\frac{2}{3}$ lbs for assaying and $\frac{1}{3}$ lb kept for reference's.
A marked copy of the Assay is attached.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)
REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



5. GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS [Specify the objective of the survey, the method used and the work done. Discuss the results and show the data on an accompanying map of appropriate scale. Any anomalous areas must be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, or some other suitable technique.]

There were no Geophysical Results

5. OTHER RESULTS [Drilling - describe objective, type and amount of drilling done. Discuss results, including any significant intersections obtained. Indicate on a map of appropriate scale the drill-hole collar location, the angle of inclination and azimuth. Drill logs correlated with assay results must be included. **Physical Work** - describe the type and amount of physical work done and the reasons for doing it (where not self-evident). This includes lines/grids, trails, trenches, opencuts, underground work, reclamation, staking of claims, etc. Discuss results where pertinent.]

There were no other Results

Signature of Grantee

John R. Hume

Date

June 6th 2000



GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSIS CERTIFICATE



Epoch Holdings Ltd. File # A003009
P.O. Box 117, Dease Lake BC V0C 1L0 Submitted by: John R. Hope

SAMPLE#	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co	Mn	Fe	As	U	Au	Th	Sr	Cd	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P	La	Cr	Mg	Ba	Ti	B	Al	Na	K	W
	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	%	ppm	%	%	%	ppm
56151 B-1	5	18	42	43	.4	28	20	757	5.40	64	<8	<2	<2	79	.4	10	4	7	2.73	.075	13	9	.79	34	<.01	9	.29	.04	.14	<2
56152 B-2	4	35	15	73	<.3	43	22	1018	4.96	32	<8	<2	2	214	.6	11	<3	13	3.83	.123	20	10	.99	70	<.01	12	.49	.03	.19	2
56153 B-3	5	17	43	55	<.3	8	3	393	4.05	7	<8	<2	2	49	.2	5	<3	<1	1.10	.026	16	5	.19	41	<.01	13	.31	.04	.14	<2
56154 B-4	4	27	12	110	.7	16	11	1203	4.36	10	<8	<2	2	105	.8	3	<3	23	2.51	.111	20	9	.34	428	<.01	8	.44	.05	.21	3
56155 B-5	3	5	11	99	<.3	11	10	724	2.94	10	<8	<2	<2	274	.4	3	<3	60	2.64	.069	8	16	.93	558	<.01	7	1.83	.10	.14	2
56156 B-6	3	4	29	242	<.3	2	<1	788	2.29	3	<8	<2	27	28	.8	<3	3	<1	.12	.001	122	9	.02	693	<.01	6	.29	.08	.08	<2
56158 B-8	4	54	6	119	<.3	186	43	1090	6.99	3	<8	<2	4	122	.5	3	4	60	.89	.130	32	58	3.74	30	.44	<3	1.05	.36	.33	2
56159 B-9	1	61	9	130	<.3	191	49	1592	10.35	8	<8	<2	5	612	2.0	4	<3	152	5.15	.186	42	123	4.65	368	.70	<3	6.94	2.18	.96	4
56160 B-10F	5	46	13	107	<.3	119	30	867	5.73	8	<8	<2	3	120	.5	4	<3	91	.80	.164	22	123	2.66	38	.47	<3	1.45	.34	.35	3
56161 B-11F	3	107	12	92	<.3	16	19	715	4.16	3	<8	<2	<2	177	.4	<3	<3	90	1.98	.111	6	12	.83	86	.16	4	2.78	.34	.43	2
56162 B-12F	3	33	7	67	<.3	22	13	472	3.18	3	<8	<2	3	121	.2	<3	3	85	1.79	.096	11	40	.78	128	.22	3	2.25	.28	.74	<2
RE 56162 B-12F	3	31	9	68	<.3	23	13	474	3.19	<2	<8	<2	3	121	.2	<3	<3	84	1.80	.097	11	39	.78	128	.22	5	2.24	.28	.74	2
56163 B-13	1	48	9	74	<.3	24	20	757	5.16	7	<8	<2	<2	76	.7	4	<3	248	4.49	.076	3	140	1.48	23	.35	11	3.06	.03	.04	2
56164 B-14	1	66	4	71	<.3	54	28	890	5.76	6	<8	<2	<2	10	.7	3	<3	177	2.59	.046	2	73	2.33	25	.46	6	3.98	.03	.01	2
56165 B-15	1	10	<3	6	<.3	920	53	797	3.25	303	<8	<2	<2	619	.3	13	<3	13	5.96	.003	<1	259	10.55	74	<.01	3	.06	.01	.01	<2
<i>Canyon Creek</i> STANDARD C3	27	64	37	164	5.4	37	11	793	3.29	61	20	3	21	29	24.4	19	24	78	.56	.085	18	165	.61	145	.09	24	1.76	.04	.16	17
STANDARD G-2	2	4	4	45	<.3	9	4	576	2.10	<2	<8	<2	5	72	<.2	<3	<3	42	.67	.097	8	81	.63	234	.14	4	.99	.08	.47	3

GROUP 10 - 0.50 GM SAMPLE LEACHED WITH 3 ML 2-2-2 HCL-HNO3-H2O AT 95 DEG. C FOR ONE HOUR, DILUTED TO 10 ML, ANALYSED BY ICP-ES.
UPPER LIMITS - AG, AU, HG, W = 100 PPM; MO, CO, CD, SB, BI, TH, U & B = 2,000 PPM; CU, PB, ZN, NI, MN, AS, V, LA, CR = 10,000 PPM.
ASSAY RECOMMENDED FOR ROCK AND CORE SAMPLES IF CU PB ZN AS > 1%, AG > 30 PPM & AU > 1000 PPB
- SAMPLE TYPE: ROCK R150 60C Samples beginning 'RE' are Reruns and 'RRE' are Reject Reruns.

DATE RECEIVED: AUG 14 2000 DATE REPORT MAILED: *Aug 28/00* SIGNED BY: *C. Leong* D. TOYE, C. LEONG, J. WANG; CERTIFIED B.C. ASSAYERS

ASSAY CERTIFICATE



Epoch Holdings Ltd. File # A003009
P.O. Box 117, Dease Lake BC V0C 1L0 Submitted by: John R. Hope


SAMPLE#	Cu %	Pb %	Zn %	Ag** gm/mt	Au** gm/mt	Pt** gm/mt	Pd** gm/mt
<i>Dark Inls East</i> 56151 B-1	-	<.01	.01	.6	<.01	-	-
56152 B-2	-	<.01	.01	.5	-	-	-
56153 B-3	-	<.01	.01	<.3	<.01	-	-
56154 B-4	-	<.01	.01	1.3	-	-	-
56155 B-5	<.001	<.01	.01	<.3	<.01	-	-
56156 B-6	-	<.01	.02	1.2	-	-	-
<i>Dark Inls West</i> 56161 B-11F	-	-	-	1.5	<.01	-	-
56162 B-12F	-	-	-	<.3	<.01	-	-
RE 56162 B-12F	-	-	-	<.3	-	-	-
56164 B-14	-	-	-	1.9	<.01	-	-
<u>Canyon Creek</u> 56165 B-15	-	-	-	<.3	.01	<.01	<.01
STANDARD R-1	.835	1.23	2.25	99.7	-	-	-

GROUP 7AR - 1.000 GM SAMPLE, AQUA - REGIA (HCL-HNO3-H2O) DIGESTION TO 100 ML, ANALYSED BY ICP-ES.
- SAMPLE TYPE: ROCK R150 60C AG** AU** PD** & PD** BY FIRE ASSAY FROM 1 A.T. SAMPLE.
Samples beginning 'RE' are Reruns and 'RRE' are Reject Reruns.

DATE RECEIVED: AUG 14 2000

DATE REPORT MAILED: *Aug 28/00*

SIGNED BY: *C. Leong* D. TOYE, C. LEONG, J. WANG; CERTIFIED B.C. ASSAYERS



MAPS 1045/16 - 1045/15

Scale 1:50,000

Legend: x sample location
○ AREA prospected
— Strike of showing

True North

AREA-D

56165 B15

00-12 pg. 69

D. TECHNICAL REPORT

- One technical report to be completed for each project area.
- Refer to Program Regulations 15 to 17, pages 6 and 7.



SUMMARY OF RESULTS

- This summary section must be filled out by all grantees, one for each project area

Information on this form is confidential subject to the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act.

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA-E-1-2-3

LOCATION/COMMODITIES

Project Area (as listed in Part A) AREA-E MAP 1041/5 MINFILE No. if applicable _____

Location of Project Area NTS _____ Lat 58°15'63.5" Long 129°40'59"

Description of Location and Access Access was by helicopter The location is near the head waters of 2 creeks running north west into ZABACK CREEK

Prospecting Assistants(s) - give name(s) and qualifications of assistant(s) (see Program Regulation 13, page 6) Gerry Diakow Prospector former grant recipient

Main Commodities Searched For Cu. Co. Au. Ag.

Known Mineral Occurrences in Project Area 5 known mineral occurrences in the area Zn. Cu. Pb. Ag. Au. + Mo.

WORK PERFORMED

1. Conventional Prospecting (area) Prospecting was carried out near the head waters of 2 creeks in a large gossan next to argillites
2. Geological Mapping (hectares/section) _____
3. Geochemical (type and no. of samples) _____
4. Geophysical (type and line km) _____
5. Physical Work (type and amount) _____
6. Drilling (no. holes, size, depth in m, total m) _____
7. Other (specify) 14 rock samples

Best Discovery

Project/Claim Name AREA-F Commodities Cu. Co. Au. Ag.

Location (show on map) Lat. 58°15'63.5" Long 129°40'59" Elevation 1800 M.

Best assay/sample type None of significance

Description of mineralization, host rocks, anomalies The stuhini group covers the area prospect. A large gossan strikes in a East West direction through the area mineralization is mostly iron with some Pyrite in a volcanic tuff.

FEEDBACK: comments and suggestions for Prospector Assistance Program _____

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS

- Those submitting a copy of an Assessment Report or a report of similar quality that covers all the key elements listed below are not required to fill out this section.
- Refer to Program Regulation 17D on page 6 for details before filling this section out (use extra pages if necessary)
- Supporting data must be submitted with the following TECHNICAL REPORT or any report accepted in lieu of.

Information on this form is confidential for one year from the date of receipt subject to the provisions of the *Freedom of Information Act*.

Name John R Hope Reference Number AREA-E-1-2-3

1. LOCATION OF PROJECT AREA [Outline clearly on accompanying maps of appropriate scale.]

The project area targets were at the head of two streams flowing northwest into ZUBACK CREEK

2. PROGRAM OBJECTIVE [Include original exploration target.]

The objective of this program was to determine if any significant values in Cu, Co, and perhaps Ag, could be realized out of this Area. It is the highest anomaly area for Cobalt and the second highest for Copper on the entire cry lake Geochemical map sheet done in 1996.

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS [Describe areas prospected and significant outcrops/float encountered. Mineralization must be described in terms of specific minerals and how they occur. These details must be shown on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale; prospecting traverses should be clearly marked.]

Prospecting was carried out at the head of two creeks running North west into Zuback creek and ~~near~~ at the bottom of a north running ridge near the snowdrift creek Pluton. Samples near the head waters of the creeks were iron enriched and were in a rotten ~~and~~ andesite with some argillites to the south. A green hornblende was apparent near the snowdrift creek Pluton and had sulphides in localized areas containing brecciated tuff. None of the samples taken returned any significant results. It was apparent some work had been done in previous years in the area. There is such a huge ~~scam~~ gossan running through the area.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)

3. PROSPECTING RESULTS (continued)

that some areas where water is running out of this zone, it is red and is likely being leached by oxidization of the iron and sulphides leaching from the scarn. Sample # 605141 was anomalous in Cu. assaying 1298 P.P.M. Cu. no other significant values were noted for that particular region, which should of had much higher Cu. Co. values

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)

REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



4. GEOCHEMICAL RESULTS [Describe all survey types done (rock, soil, silt) and their objective. Show clearly on accompanying map(s) of appropriate scale all sample sites along with all significant values. Any anomalous areas should be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, variable symbol sizes, or some other suitable technique. Include a discussion/interpretation of results. A copy of analysis/assay certificates **must** be included with sample numbers from map. Details of individual rock samples taken are encouraged. Significant geochemical values obtained must be stated.]

All rock samples were taken from in place and consisted of approximately 4 to 5 lbs of rock placed in plastic sample bags. These samples were then split into $\frac{2}{3}$ for assaying and $\frac{1}{3}$ kept for reference.
A marked copy of the assays is attached.

D. TECHNICAL REPORT (continued)
REPORT ON RESULTS (continued)



5. GEOPHYSICAL RESULTS [Specify the objective of the survey, the method used and the work done. Discuss the results and show the data on an accompanying map of appropriate scale. Any anomalous areas must be indicated on maps by the use of contouring, or some other suitable technique.]

No. Geophysics were done.

5. OTHER RESULTS [Drilling - describe objective, type and amount of drilling done. Discuss results, including any significant intersections obtained. Indicate on a map of appropriate scale the drill-hole collar location, the angle of inclination and azimuth. Drill logs correlated with assay results must be included. **Physical Work** - describe the type and amount of physical work done and the reasons for doing it (where not self-evident). This includes lines/grids, trails, trenches, opencuts, underground work, reclamation, staking of claims, etc. Discuss results where pertinent.]

No other Results were obtained

Signature of Grantee

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "John P. Jones".

Date

Nov 5th 2003



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

iPL 00I1271

2036 Columbia Street
 Vancouver, B.C.
 Canada V5Y 3M1
 Phone (604) 879-7878
 Fax (604) 879-7898
 Email ipl@direct.ca

INTERNATIONAL PLASMA LABORATORY LTD

Client : Epoch Holdings Ltd.
 Project: None Given

9 Samples
 9=Rock

[127114:31:22:00100300] Out: Oct 03, 2000 Page 1 of 1
 In : Sep 27, 2000 Section 1 of 2

Sample Name	Type	Au g/mt	Ag g/mt	Pt g/mt	Pd g/mt	Ag ppm	Cu ppm	Pb ppm	Zn ppm	As ppm	Sb ppm	Hg ppm	Mo ppm	Tl ppm	Bi ppm	Cd ppm	Co ppm	Ni ppm	Ba ppm
56343 B-DR1 <i>Dease River</i>	Rock	0.01	<0.3	<0.01	0.01	0.1	179	8	61	<5	<5	<3	2	<10	<2	2.3	32	32	116
56344 B-DR2 <i>Dease River</i>	Rock	0.01	<0.3	0.04	0.04	0.2	216	7	27	<5	<5	<3	2	<10	<2	2.1	27	31	69
56345 B-DR3	Rock	<0.01	<0.3	<0.01	<0.01	0.2	380	7	41	<5	<5	<3	2	<10	<2	2.9	51	20	38
56346 B-DR4	Rock	0.01	<0.3	<0.01	0.01	0.2	358	8	45	<5	<5	<3	2	<10	<2	2.7	40	24	46
56347 B-TAN1 <i>ZUBACK CREEK</i>	Rock	<0.01	<0.3	<0.01	<0.01	0.2	41	9	63	<5	<5	5	3	<10	<2	2.2	19	9	102
56348 B-TAN2 <i>TANZILLA RIVER</i>	Rock	<0.01	<0.3	—	—	0.1	158	13	97	<5	<5	<3	5	<10	<2	1.0	25	16	23
56349 B-TAN3 <i>TANZILLA RIVER</i>	Rock	<0.01	<0.3	—	—	<0.1	57	10	145	<5	<5	<3	4	<10	<2	3.2	15	11	28
56350 B-TAN4 <i>ZUBACK CREEK</i>	Rock	<0.01	<0.3	—	—	<0.1	31	2	4	<5	<5	<3	2	<10	<2	0.7	12	5	11
56397 B-TAN5 <i>ZUBACK CREEK</i>	Rock	0.01	<0.3	—	—	<0.1	154	17	12	<5	<5	<3	18	<10	<2	2.2	26	8	14

Minimum Detection	0.01	0.3	0.01	0.01	0.1	1	2	1	5	5	3	1	10	2	0.1	1	1	2
Maximum Detection	9999.00	1000.0	99999.00	9999.00	100.0	20000	20000	20000	10000	1000	10000	1000	1000	10000	100.0	10000	10000	10000
Method	FA/AAS	FAGrav	FA/AAS	FA/AAS	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP	ICP

—=No Test Ins=Insufficient Sample Del=Delay Max=No Estimate Rec=ReCheck m=x1000 %=Estimate % NS=No Sample



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

iPL 00I1271

2036 Columbia Street
 Vancouver, B.C.
 Canada V5Y 3M1
 Phone (604) 879-7878
 Fax (604) 879-7898
 Email ipt@direct.ca

INTERNATIONAL PLASMA LABORATORY LTD

Client : Epoch Holdings Ltd.
 Project: None Given

9 Samples
 9=Rock

[127114:31:22:00100300] Out: Oct 03, 2000 Page 1 of 1
 In : Sep 27, 2000 Section 2 of 2

Sample Name	W ppm	Cr ppm	V ppm	Mn ppm	La ppm	Sr ppm	Zr ppm	Sc ppm	Ti %	Al %	Ca %	Fe %	Mg %	K %	Na %	P %
56343 B-DR1	<5	98	182	594	3	48	7	7	0.17	2.10	1.57	4.57	1.74	0.12	0.12	0.15
56344 B-DR2	<5	97	151	267	<2	42	5	7	0.16	1.14	1.15	4.07	0.89	0.08	0.08	0.06
56345 B-DR3	<5	42	234	407	<2	68	7	12	0.26	1.80	1.81	6.61	1.65	0.10	0.17	0.03
56346 B-DR4	<5	57	205	519	<2	74	6	13	0.27	2.07	2.23	4.64	1.90	0.08	0.14	0.04
56347 B-TAN1	<5	77	103	698	6	89	8	4	0.20	1.91	1.08	3.68	1.03	0.81	0.18	0.10
56348 B-TAN2	<5	34	174	1399	6	25	3	10	<0.01	3.75	0.47	8.09	2.72	0.07	0.06	0.17
56349 B-TAN3	<5	31	195	2077	6	19	3	12	<0.01	4.00	0.35	7.16	2.93	0.04	0.05	0.16
56350 B-TAN4	<5	89	12	19	<2	41	2	<1	<0.01	0.38	0.01	2.59	0.01	0.06	0.08	0.01
56397 B-TAN5	<5	36	11	9	<2	25	4	1	<0.01	0.47	0.07	4.91	0.01	0.17	0.04	0.04

*ZUBACK
CREEK*

Minimum Detection 5 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01

Maximum Detection 1000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 10000 1.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 10.00 5.00 5.00

Method ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP ICP


—=No Test Ins=Insufficient Sample Del=Delay Max=No Estimate Rec=ReCheck m=x1000 %=Estimate % NS=No Sample



SAMPLE#	Mo	Cu	Pb	Zn	Ag	Ni	Co	Mn	Fe	As	U	Au	Th	Sr	Cd	Sb	Bi	V	Ca	P	La	Cr	Hg	Ba	Ti	θ	Al	Na	K	W	Au*	
	ppb	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	ppm	%	%	ppm	ppm	%	ppm	%	%	%	%	%	ppm	ppb	
605131 } Chrome	<1	8	<3	22	<3	1522	82	613	3.78	4	<8	<2	<2	6	<2	<3	4	24	.20	.002	<1	1720	19.44	16<.01	23	.70	.01<.01	<2	<.2			
605132	2	16	122	10	.8	227	63	570	2.95	5	<8	<2	<2	22	<2	<3	<3	<1	.35	.004	<1	549	15.32	55<.01	25	.10	.01<.01	3	15.2			
605133 } Eagle	281	19398	29	26	5.4	20	20	49	5.36	41	<8	<2	2	7	<2	<3	<3	16	.02<.001	1	14	.02	59<.01	8	.21	.07	.06	3	576.1			
605134 } Malachite	15	14462	5	16	5.4	14	1	52	5.21	158	<8	3	<2	10	<2	<3	13	23	.04	.008	2	16	.01	55<.01	4	.20	.08	.05	2	907.6		
605135	54	11004	5	20	6.9	31	6	80	5.92	517	<8	<2	<2	15	<2	4	10	18	.04<.001	1	24	.20	85<.01	8	.30	.07	.08	5	701.4			
605140	3	108	10	78	14	4	7	1047	6.81	6	<8	<2	<2	154	<2	<3	<3	107	.32	.093	4	6	2.76	85	.01	6	2.86	.25	.12	3	15.0	
605141	7	1278	<3	135	.5	7	19	2397	7.28	<2	13	<2	<2	136	.2	<3	<3	248	1.60	.131	7	3	3.14	22	.18	8	6.16	.21	.01	4	5.2	
605142	4	17	3	59	.3	3	6	1428	4.42	10	<8	<2	<2	30	.2	<3	<3	68	2.00	.108	9	7	2.06	116	.06	<3	2.18	.57	.15	4	1.5	
605141	3	1	5	2	<3	3	11	35	2.29	2	<8	<2	<2	72	<2	<3	<3	10	.02	.006	1	6	.03	21<.01	<3	.42	.06	.10	<2	1.3		
605144	1	4	8	89	<.5	2	45	567	7.68	<2	<8	<2	<2	199	.2	<3	<3	45	.22	.041	10	9	1.39	77<.01	5	2.38	.10	.17	5			
605145	2	75	10	95	<.3	10	28	123	6.79	56	<8	<2	<2	26	<2	<3	<3	22	.14	.137	5	9	.29	38<.01	5	.83	.03	.23	<2			
605146	2	37	26	5	<.3	6	13	14	6.23	3	<8	<2	<2	54	.2	<3	<3	17	.17	.051	4	6	.05	55<.01	4	.96	.02	.23	2	2.5		
605147	<1	89	11	94	<.3	6	30	856	7.79	<2	8	<2	2	33	<2	<3	<3	70	.99	.200	3	3	1.64	108	.13	8	2.25	.08	.30	4	<.2	
605148	5	35	<3	20	<.3	8	11	391	2.72	8	<8	<2	2	71	<.2	<3	<3	50	.85	.092	6	12	.37	44	.21	<3	.74	.11	.16	<2	<.2	
605148	4	14	4	21	<.3	7	11	382	2.68	5	<8	<2	3	63	<.2	<3	<3	46	.82	.090	6	15	.36	43	.20	5	.72	.10	.16	<2	<.2	
STANDARD G-1/052	28	65	36	165	5	38	12	790	3.53	57	18	<2	23	20	23.6	17	24	74	.59	.095	18	173	64	148	.08	20	1.73	.04	.15	15	192.3	
STANDARD G-2	1	4	5	38	<.3	8	6	508	1.99	2	<8	<2	5	70	<.2	<3	<3	33	.62	.095	8	76	30	213	.12	4	.88	.08	.42	2		

sample type: ROCK #150 GOC. Samples beginning 'RE' are Retuns and 'RRE' are Reject Retuns.
 ALL BY ACID LEACHED, ANALYZE BY TOP-MS. (10 gm)

10-20-00 15:46 WET COAST CAPITAL CORP
 OCT 17 00 9:35 FR ACME LABS
 ID=004 682 6509
 P. 3



ZUBACK CREEK

SITKA
FOCASSI

FOREST

AREA-E
1-2-3

True North

KECHIKA

FOREST

TANZILLA RIVER

SNOWDRIFT CREEK

MAP 1041/S
TANZILLA BUTTE
Scale 1:50,000

Legend: x Sample locations
○ Area's prospected
■ Showing Strike
* assay results in red are anomalous