

British Columbia Geological Survey Geological Fieldwork 1999

#### Ancient Pacific Margin Part V: Preliminary Results of Geochemical Studies for VMS Deposits in the Big Salmon Complex, Northern British Columbia (104N/9, 16; 104O/11, 12, 13, 14)

By Stephen J. Cook and Heidi E. Pass

**KEYWORDS:** Geochemistry, soils, till, Big Salmon Complex, Arsenault, copper, VMS, exhalite.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The Yukon-Tanana Terrane extends southeasterly from eastern Alaska to northern British Columbia. Successful exploration for volcanogenic massive sulphide (VMS) deposits (e.g. Kudz Ze Kayah, Wolverine) within this prospective belt of rocks in the southern Yukon has focused attention on the VMS potential of its proposed southern extensions within northern British Columbia. Recent mapping of these Yukon-Tanana correlative rocks just south of the Yukon border, the Big Salmon Complex and the Dorsey Terrane, by Mihalynuk et al. (2000; 1998) and Nelson (2000; 1999), identified prospective Devonian-Mississippian stratigraphy and reinforced their potential for hosting VMS deposits. Volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits are a major source of copper, zinc, gold and silver in Canada and British Columbia, and over the period 1995-1998 massive sulphide exploration represented 11.3 to 37.3 per cent of exploration targets in the province each year (e.g. Schroeter, 1999). Other than baseline Regional Geochemical Surveys (RGS), no systematic interpretative work or detailed geochemical studies have been conducted in this part of northern British Columbia to characterize element signatures and geochemical dispersal of either VMS deposits or their volcanic host rocks within the surficial environment.

The purpose of this project is to highlight the potential for VMS mineralization in Yukon-Tanana correlative rocks of the Big Salmon Complex by characterizing the surficial geochemical responses of known VMS prospects and their felsic and mafic volcanic host rocks. These results, and those of RGS interpretation studies, will be used to formulate geochemical exploration models for the region. During the 1999 field season, seven geochemical case studies were conducted in the Big Salmon Complex using a variety of surficial media including stream sediments, moss mats, stream waters, soil profiles, vegetation and rock. This paper discusses available RGS data and briefly outlines preliminary geochemical results of the case studies. Only soil profile and rock results were available at the time of writing. Colluvial soil profiles at the Arsenault copper prospect (MINFILE 1040 011), for example, contain highly elevated levels of copper, molybdenum and selenium, among other elements. As well, soils on till and colluvium near exposures of barium-rich exhalative crinkled chert units contain elevated barium concentrations which, in tills, generally increase down profile. Remaining results, including stream water geochemical studies undertaken as part of a University of Victoria B.Sc. thesis (Pass, in preparation) will be presented at a later date.

This project is a component of the Ancient Pacific Margin NATMAP Project, and is being carried out in conjunction with integrated bedrock and surficial geology mapping programs (Mihalynuk *et al.*, this volume; Nelson, this volume; Dixon-Warren and Hickin, this volume). It is a northern counterpart to VMS geochemical studies conducted by Lett *et al.* (1999) in comparable rocks of the Kootenay Terrane in southern British Columbia.

## PROJECT COMPONENTS AND OBJECTIVES

This project has two components. The first involves office compilation and interpretation of existing RGS data, in both the Big Salmon Complex and adjacent northern British Columbia terranes, to identify those areas potentially favourable for VMS-associated alteration and mineralization. The second involves site-specific field and laboratory studies in the Big Salmon Complex. These field studies, begun in 1999, are the subject of this paper.

Multi-media case study investigations were conducted at regionally-anomalous groups of watersheds in two parts of the Big Salmon Complex in 1999 (Figures 1 and 2). A further five case studies were conducted with Dixon-Warren and Hickin (this volume) at known VMS prospects (*e.g.* Arsenault copper prospect), some recently-mapped felsic and mafic metavolcanic packages, and at barium-manganese-rich chert horizons (Figures 1 and 2). Objectives of these studies are to characterize geochemical signatures and responses of: i) VMS mineralization and associated hydrothermal alteration assemblages, and ii) potential felsic and mafic metavolcanic host units and exhalative horizons, in various surficial media such as soil profiles, tills, stream sediments, moss mats and stream waters to determine which may be suit-



Figure 1. General location map showing 1999 field case study locations.

able for geochemical exploration. Subsequent laboratory studies will focus on size fraction analysis and heavy mineral concentrates of clastic sediments and soils to aid in speciation of the mineralization, alteration and related elements in the weathering environment. Characterization of geochemical signatures and dispersal in this area will aid in further interpretation of regional geochemical data and will help in the development of more effective geochemical exploration methods. Identifying the most effective geochemical exploration methods for VMS deposits is the principal objective of this project.

#### GEOLOGICAL SETTING AND MINERAL DEPOSITS OF THE BIG SALMON COMPLEX

Bedrock mapping of the Jennings River and Atlin map areas was first carried out at 1:250 000 scale by Gabrielse (1969) and Aitken (1959), respectively. More recently, detailed 1:50 000 scale geological mapping has been conducted by Mihalynuk *et al.* (in press, 2000, 1998) in the Big Salmon Complex and by Nelson (2000, 1999) in the Dorsey Terrane to the southeast. The Big Salmon Complex underlies much of the study area (Figure 2) and is situated east of Teslin Lake in the northwest part of the Jennings River area and the northeast part of the Atlin area. Geology has been described by Mihalynuk *et al.* (this volume, 1998), and the following is taken from those accounts.

The Devono-Mississippian Big Salmon Complex is a sequence of five volcano-sedimentary rock units, all but the oldest of which are correlable with Yukon-Tanana Terrane rocks of the Finlayson Lake belt (Mihalynuk et al., 1998; Nelson et al., 1998). They comprise: i) a variable youngest succession of siliceous clastic rocks and minor carbonates; ii) grey to white limestone with tuff and conglomerate interbeds; iii) thinly bedded to laminated manganiferous 'crinkled' chert; iv) a succession of quartz-rich clastic rocks and immature greywacke, and v) a thick oldest succession of greenstone, primarily mafic to intermediate tuffs with lesser basaltic flows. The Early Mississippian Mount Hazel orthogneiss is exposed in the interior of the Big Salmon Complex. Intrusive rocks in the study area include, among others, the Early Jurassic Simpson Peak batholith and Coconino tonalite.

Surficial geology of the study area is described by Dixon-Warren and Hickin (this volume, 2000) and will not be discussed at length here. In general, thick till sequences mantle plateau areas and gentle slopes, with colluvium common on steep slopes and in areas of higher relief. Thick accumulations of fluvial sediments occur in valleys, and pockets of glaciolacustrine material are found along Teslin Lake and the Alaska Highway.

Known mineral occurrences the Big Salmon Complex area include the Arsenault copper prospect (MINFILE 104O 011) and several small copper showings described by Mihalynuk *et al.* (1998, this volume). These are discussed in more detail in the case study sections of this paper, and their locations are shown in Figure 2 and



on geochemical plot maps (Figures 4-6). For exploration purposes, Mihalynuk *et al.* (1998) suggested two stratigraphic intervals in the Big Salmon Complex as being most prospective for VMS-style mineralization: i) porphyritic blue quartz-eye dacite tuff similar to that at the Arsenault prospect, and ii) barium and manganese-bearing piedmontite schist of the crinkled chert unit, which is interpreted as partly exhalative in origin. Geochemical dispersal studies of the crinkled chert are an important component of this study.

#### RGS DATA RESULTS IN THE BIG SALMON COMPLEX AREA

The British Columbia Regional Geochemical Survey (RGS) program contains multi-element geochemical data for over 42 000 stream sediment sites covering approximately 65 per cent of the province. RGS stream sediment geochemical data is available for most of north-central and northwestern British Columbia, with the exception of the Dease Lake area (NTS 104J). RGS coverage is available for the Atlin (NTS 104N), Jennings River (NTS 104O) and McDame (NTS 104P) map areas, but is restricted to a small suite of trace elements such as copper, zinc and cobalt determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS). These are some of the earliest RGS surveys completed in the province. Samples were collected in 1977 and 1978 at a density of one site per 13 square kilometres, and the data was released in the following year (RGS, 1978, 1979). These results, and those of the adjoining Wolf Lake (NTS 105B) map area in the southern Yukon, were also presented graphically by the Geological Survey of Canada (NGR, 1981) as part of the 1:2 000 000 coloured compilation map series. The release of corresponding instrumental neutron activation analysis (INA) stream sediment data for gold, arsenic, antimony, rare earth and other elements in these three areas, reanalyzed by the British Columbia Geological Survey Branch as part of the RGS Archive Program, is scheduled for summer, 2000 (Jackaman et al., this volume; Jackaman, in preparation). Data packages will include the earlier AAS data, and results for all elements will be graphically portrayed using drainage basin geochemical maps.

As part of this study, a subset of 252 stream sediment and 33 lake sediment RGS sites covering all or part of six 1:50 000 map areas east of Teslin Lake (104N/9, 16; 104O/11, 12, 13, 14) was selected for regional data interpretation in the Big Salmon Complex area. Summary statistics and boxplots for zinc, copper, lead, cobalt and additional elements are given in Table 1 and Figure 3, respectively. Geochemical maps showing the regional distribution of copper and zinc are shown in Figures 4 and 5. Numerous stream sediment sites have elevated geochemical signatures, not associated with known showings, which may reflect the presence of buried VMS mineralization. No subdivision of statistics on underlying geology is attempted, as new geological maps of the area are currently being prepared (Mihalynuk *et al.*, 2000) to replace that of Mihalynuk *et al.* (1996; Figures 4 through 6).

The following methods were used for preparation and analysis of RGS stream sediments and lake sediments in the Atlin (RGS, 1978) and Jennings River (RGS, 1979) map areas. Stream sediments were prepared in and analyzed by contract laboratories. They were air-dried, sieved through a -80 mesh (<177 microns) screen, and ball milled prior to analysis for zinc, copper, lead, nickel, cobalt, silver, manganese, iron and molybdenum by AAS following aqua regia digestion. Tin and mercury (NTS 104N only) were also determined with an AAS finish. Tungsten was determined colorimetrically following pyrosulfate fusion and dithiolcarbonate complexing, and uranium was determined by neutron activation. Analytical data for lake sediments, obtained from relatively low-lying areas of the Teslin Lake region in both Atlin and Jennings River map areas, is available for zinc, copper, lead, nickel, cobalt, silver, manganese, iron, molybdenum, mercury (104N only), tungsten and uranium. These were determined by the same methods outlined for stream sediments. In comparison to stream sediments, loss on ignition (LOI) data is available for lake sediments, whereas tin data is not. No INA data will be available for lake sediment sites. Water geochemical data for uranium, fluoride and pH is, however, available for both streams and lakes in these map areas.

Similar sample preparation and analytical methods permit results of older RGS surveys of the late 1970s to be compared to those conducted more recently, despite some minor differences in the element suites. For instance, no data is available in the Atlin and Jennings River areas for LOI in stream sediments, or for sulphate in waters. Tungsten, formerly determined colorimetrically, is now determined by INA. Arsenic and antimony were not determined in the earlier surveys, but are routinely included in both AAS and INA analytical suites. Regional stream sediment geochemical data is also available for adjoining areas of the southern Yukon as Geological Survey of Canada Open File reports, but these are not considered.

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREAS**

Three types of geochemical case study investigations were conducted (Figure 1):

- 1) Watershed characterization of anomalous areas
- 2) Prospective felsic volcanic horizons and exhalative units (*e.g.* crinkled chert)
- 3) Mineral deposit or prospect case studies (*e.g.* Arsenault copper prospect)

Summary data for the number of samples of each of the various sample media collected over the case studies are given in Tables 2 and 3.

#### TABLE 1

#### SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR SELECTED ELEMENTS: RESULTS OF RGS STREAM AND LAKE SEDIMENT SURVEYS IN THE STUDY AREA, JENNINGS RIVER AND ATLIN MAP AREAS

Stream See	minents										
	Cu	Zn	Pb	Ag	Co	Fe	Mn	Mo	Ni	W	pН
	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	
Median	22	54	2	0.1	7	1.95	410	1	19.5	2	7.5
Mean	29.5	61.7	3	0.13	8.1	2.08	670.7	2	21.6	3.6	7.4
$\pm 1s$	30.3	41.5	3.4	0.08	5.3	0.84	1845.2	2.7	15.3	9.5	0.50
Min	4	14	1	0.1	1	0.60	80	1	2	2	5.5
Max	295	475	26	0.7	57	9.10	28500	23	162	120	8.4
C.V.	1.025	0.672	1.109	0.667	0.657	0.402	2.751	1.326	0.705	2.639	0.067
N=sites	252	252	252	252	252	252	252	252	252	252	252

Lake Sediments

Stream Sediments

	Cu	Zn	Pb	Ag	Со	Fe	Mn	Мо	Ni	W	pН
	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(ppm)	
Median	52	84	2	0.1	11	2.65	525	8	46	2	8.0
Mean	60	84.5	2	0.12	11.8	2.81	845.8	10.1	46.8	2	8.1
$\pm 1s$	29.4	28.4	1.5	0.07	6.8	1.54	1186.8	8.0	19.9	0	0.32
Minimum	16	22	1	0.1	1	0.30	165	2	12	2	7.3
Maximum	140	152	7	0.4	27	6.65	6550	43	104	2	8.5
C.V.	0.490	0.336	0.734	0.534	0.580	0.550	1.403	0.790	0.426	0.000	0.039
N=sites	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33	33

#### Watershed Characterization Studies

Watershed characterization studies were conducted in two areas of the Big Salmon Complex with elevated RGS multi-element geochemical signatures: the East Teslin Lake area of the Nisutlin Plateau and the Teh Creek area of the Cassiar Mountains (Figures 1 and 2). They are investigations of the comparative geochemical responses, in several adjoining watersheds, of different types of drainage sediment and water samples in order to quantify differences in geochemical contrast. The two study areas are paired in that they have similar underlying geology but are located in very different physiographic environments. Similar projects to verify original RGS anomalies and identify possible metal sources have also been undertaken elsewhere in British Columbia (Cook et al., 1992; Sibbick and Laurus, 1995; Cook, in preparation).

#### Area Selection

Element sum ranking of RGS data characteristic of volcanogenic massive sulphide (VMS) deposits was used to select the two areas. Briefly, the method used is as follows: zinc, copper, lead, silver, cobalt and iron data for both RGS stream sediment and lake sediment sites were independently summed using the following algorithm: Zn + Cu + (10\*Pb) + (100\*Ag) + Co + (10\*Fe). Lead and iron results were multiplied by a factor of 10, and silver by 100, to bring all elements to equivalent orders of mag-

nitude, as based on regional median concentrations. The resulting site scores for both streams and lakes were ranked and plotted (Figure 6), and two groups of adjoining watersheds in the upper five percentiles of combined data rankings selected for further study. Similar element combinations (Zn-Cu-Pb-Ag; Zn-Cu-Pb-Ag-Co) yielded comparable results. Neither of the two areas are known to host any VMS or related deposits, and no detailed geological mapping had been conducted here prior to 1997.

#### Field Methods

A variety of drainage sediment and stream water suites were sampled in each area. Original RGS stream sediment sites in each drainage were resampled, and several additional media including moss mats, bulk sieved (-18 mesh) sediments and moss mats, stream waters and suspended solids were also obtained at most sites. Some adjoining watersheds not included in the prior RGS surveys were incorporated into these studies. The water geochemical component in the two study areas, focusing on the suspended and dissolved loads in stream water, is the object of a B.Sc. Honours thesis by Pass (in preparation) at the University of Victoria.

#### a) East Teslin Lake Area (NTS 104N/16)

The study area is located in the Gladys River map area (NTS 104N/16) in the Nisutlin Plateau along the east shore of Teslin Lake, immediately south of the British Columbia-Yukon border (Photo 1). The approximately



Figure 3. Boxplots showing distribution of zinc, copper, lead, cobalt, silver and iron (AAS) in RGS stream sediments (n=252 sites) and lake sediments (n=33 sites) in the study area. See Table 1 for summary statistics.

20 kilometre-long area is heavily drift-covered and extends from Four Mile Lake in the north to Coconino Lake in the south (Figure 2). The plateau area is characterized by rolling till-covered terrain (maximum elevation: 1485 metres) which drops steeply to the southwest within a few kilometres of Teslin Lake, where the adjacent flats (elevation: approximately 700-760 metres) are floored by post-glacial glaciolacustrine silts and till (Dixon-Warren and Hickin, 2000, in press). Numerous small streams drain into small lakes and wetlands in the low-lying base of slope area. The plateau area and wetlands are heavily forested with stands of white and black spruce, respectively. Bedrock geology of this region was mapped as predominantly Mississippian greenstone and chlorite schist by Aitken (1959) and Gabrielse (1969). Recent mapping at 1:50 000 scale (Mihalynuk et al., 1998, in press) shows the area is underlain by mafic volcanic flows and tuffs such as greenstone and chlorite schist, with lesser meta-argillite and marble units, which strike in a northwesterly direction roughly parallel to Teslin Lake. Some felsic volcanics are in the northern part of the study area just south of the Yukon border.

RGS stream sediment geochemical data here between Four Mile and Coconino lakes exhibit an elevated copper-cobalt±iron±manganese trend which, for the most part, is within the upper five percentiles of data for most of these elements in the regional study area. Copper concentrations in three adjoining RGS streams here are in the range 90-114 ppm, approximately 4-5 times the regional median copper concentration (22 ppm). Furthermore, both Four Mile and Coconino Lakes, which bound these stream sediment sites to the north and south, contain elevated sediment Cu concentrations of 104 ppm and 140 ppm, respectively (Figure 4). Other, smaller lakes, in the low-lying area along Teslin Lake which receive drainage from the Nisutlin Plateau also exhibit locally high levels of copper in sediment. In particular, three small lakes northwest and southwest of Coconino Lake contain 54-90











Figure 6. Distribution of Zn-Cu-Pb-Ag-Co-Fe element sum rankings for RGS stream and lake sediments in the study area. Geology from Mihalynuk et al. (1996). MINFILE locations are shown by triangles.

TAI	BLE 2
SAMPLE SUMMARY MEDIA: 1999 GEOCHEM	IAL STUDIES IN THE BIG SALMON COMPLEX

		Number Wat	of Sites tershed tudies	Stratig U	graphic nits	Pr	Cu ospe	ects		
		Nisutlin Plateau	Teh Creek area	а	b	1	2	3	Total Sites	Total Samples
Routine Drainage Sediments	Stream sediments Moss mats	9 8	10 3	4 3	-	4* 4*	-	-	27 18	29 20
Sieved Drainage Sediments	Sieved stream sediments Bulk moss mats	4 5	7	3	-	2	-	-	16 5	16 5
Soil Profiles	<i>Total No. of Soil Profiles</i> Mineral Soil Horizons** Underlying rock or rubble	-	-	12 18 4	2 4 -	2 4 2	1 1 1	- - -	17 27 7	29 7
Waters	RGS-Suite ICP-MS (cations only) ICP-MS full package	9 - 9	10 - 10	5 5 -	- -	6 5 -	- - -	- -	30 10 19	34 10 23
Vegetation	Bark Twigs	-	-	3 3	-	- -	-	1 -	4 3	4 3
Rocks	Outcrop, till pit cobbles, etc.	3	4	8	1	1	2	3	22	22
a Crinkle chert localit b Jennings River qtz-se	ies ( <i>see</i> Table 3) r schist	1 Arsena 2 Hwy. 9 3 Teslin	ult Cu prospe 7 Cu prospec lakeshore Cu	ect st prospec	et	* 6 si ** fiş san Hic	ites to gures nples ckin (	otal (s do n of D this y	sediments a ot include i ixon-Warre	ind waters) inderlying till en and

#### TABLE 3 SAMPLE MEDIA SUMMARY: CRINKLED CHERT STUDY AREAS

		Num E = -l	ber o	f Sit	es	
		Lacn 1a	1b	ty Ar 2	rea 3	Totals
	~ 11			-	4.14	100000
Routine Drainag	eStream sediments	-	-	-	4*	4
Sediments	Moss mats	-	-	-	3*	3
Sieved Drainage	Sieved stream sediments	-	-	-	3	3
Sediments	Bulk moss mats	-	-	-	-	-
Soil Profiles	Number of Soil Profiles	4	4	4	-	12
	Mineral Soil Horizons**	• 5	8	5	-	18
	Underlying rock or rubb	le4	-	-	-	4
Waters	RGS-Suite	-	-	-	5	5
	ICP-MS (cations only)	-	-	-	5	5
	ICP-MS full package	-	-	-	-	-
Vegetation	Bark	-	-	-	3	3
	Twigs	-	-	-	3	3
Rocks	Outcrop, till pit cobbles, etc.	1	3	1	3	8
Crinkled chert sites:	<ul><li>1a Mount Francis North (N</li><li>1b Mount Francis North (N</li><li>2 Mount Francis South, sou Arsenault showing</li><li>3 Logtung Road-Logjam C</li></ul>	Iorth A Iorth A Ithwe reek a	Arsena Arsena st of t	ault a ault a he	rea) rea)	
** figures do not inc	lude underlying till samples	of Di	kon-W	Varre	n	

ppm copper in sediment. No RGS data were available, prior to this study, for the streams draining the adjacent plateau uplands here. In addition to the foregoing, elevated cobalt concentrations up to 16 ppm in stream sediments and 27 ppm in lake sediments are also present in this anomalous zone. Concentrations of other elements such as zinc, lead and silver which may be constituents of VMS deposits are generally lower. Only a single stream sediment site, for instance, contains elevated zinc up to 325 ppm. Nevertheless, combined Zn-Cu-Pb-Ag-Co-Fe element rankings (Figure 6) also show this trend.

Nine sites were sampled here in 1999. In addition to resampling original RGS sites, infill sampling was conducted north of, south of and between the three anomalous RGS creeks to better define anomalous watershed patterns and their relation to underlying geology. The pattern of elevated copper and other elements is largely restricted to westward or northward-draining watersheds, and background levels of copper in lake sediments, most of which are in the Teslin Lake area, are relatively high (median: 54 ppm) compared to other parts of British Columbia. RGS watersheds east of the plateau ridge axis contain much lower copper concentrations, up to about 36 ppm. No data for the background copper content of the greenstone unit is available.

#### b) Teh Creek Area (NTS 104O/11, 12)

The study area is located in a rugged area of the Cassiar Mountains (Photo 2), approximately 35 kilometres south of the Alaska Highway, along the border of the Klinkit Lake map area (NTS 104O/11) and another un-

![](_page_10_Picture_0.jpeg)

Photo 1. View to the northwest of the Nisutlin Plateau and Teslin Lake, with Dawson Peaks in background.

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

Photo 2. Fly camp in the Teh Creek area of the Cassiar Mountains.

named map area (NTS 104O/12). The area, approximately 7 kilometres by 7 kilometres, is centred on an unnamed mountain southeast of Teh Creek, a tributary of the Jennings River. The geological setting is similar to that of the East Teslin Lake area, but the physiographic setting is different. The area is characterized by steep, roughly east-west trending, ridges (maximum elevation: approximately 1900 metres) separated by tarn-filled cirgues (elevation: 1400-1600 metres). Much of the area is above treeline. Extensive talus and colluvium deposits cover the lower slopes. Stream drainage from the cirgues flows west and east into wide valleys and then to the Jennings River, in the latter case via Butsih and Klinkit creeks. Bedrock geology of the region, which is directly south of the southern contact of the Simpson Peak Batholith, was mapped as predominantly Carboniferous argillite, volcanic flows and tuffs by Gabrielse (1969). Recent detailed mapping (Mihalynuk et al., 2000) indicates that the northern and central parts of the study area are mainly underlain by argillite and volcanic rocks, respectively, while the southern portion is underlain by newly-recognized gabbroic and ultramafic units.

RGS stream sediment geochemical data in the study area exhibit an elevated copper-cobalt-iron±zinc±nickel trend which is within the upper five percentiles of data for most of these elements in the regional study area. Copper concentrations in four of five adjoining RGS streams at the core of the study area (Figure 4) are in the range 104-196 ppm (regional 95<sup>th</sup> percentile: 90 ppm), approximately 5-10x the regional median copper concentration (22 ppm). Sediment cobalt concentrations in the same four streams are in the range 13-26 ppm (regional 95<sup>th</sup> percentile: 14 ppm), and are as high as 28 ppm in other adjoining watersheds. Nickel concentrations are also very high in the southern part of the study area, in the range 44-162 ppm (regional 95<sup>th</sup> percentile: 42 ppm) in three adjoining watersheds. The elevated nickel and, in part, cobalt content of RGS stream sediments in the south part of the study area are attributed to the serpentinized ultramafic and gabbroic rocks exposed here.

Ten sites were sampled in this area during 1999. The field study area is centred on the copper-cobalt RGS trend and does not encompass all of the anomalous area. Nevertheless two different, and somewhat weaker, element trends are also apparent in watersheds to the east of the immediate study area, neither of which was investigated in the field. First, several watersheds to the immediate northeast and east display elevated element signatures more typical of sedex environments, with elevated zinc concentrations in the range 120-200 ppm (regional 95th percentile: 108 ppm), and silver concentrations locally in the range 0.4-0.7 ppm. By comparison, 86 per cent of the sites in the study region have only 0.1 ppm silver. Secondly, several watersheds located about 10 kilometres east of the present study area contain elevated lead or moderately elevated copper concentrations. Elevated lead concentrations of 11-12 ppm (regional 95<sup>th</sup> percentile: 10 ppm) are present in two of the watersheds, while moderately elevated copper concentrations of 62-98 ppm occur in five adjacent watersheds. In the latter case, most

copper concentrations are within the 90-95<sup>th</sup> percentile of the regional data set.

Combined Zn-Cu-Pb-Ag-Co-Fe element rankings (Figure 6) appear as a composite of the above copper-cobalt, zinc and copper trends for this area. These rankings are greatest in the core of the study area, where copper-cobalt levels are highest, and progressively decrease eastward in watersheds where zinc-silver, and then copper concentrations, predominate.

# Felsic Volcanic and Exhalative Units Case Studies

Case studies were conducted at two horizons with potential for hosting polymetallic VMS deposits, the crinkled chert unit and a quartz-sericite schist unit exposed along the Jennings River.

#### a) Crinkled Chert (NTS 1040/13)

A unit of crinkled chert occurs widely throughout the northwestern part of the Big Salmon Complex (Mihalynuk, 2000, this volume). The crinkled chert, or crinkle quartzite as it is also known, forms a distinctive marker horizon 25 to 60 metres thick and has been interpreted to have an exhalative origin (Nelson, 1997). It was first described by Nelson (1997) and Mihalynuk et al. (1998), and the following description is from those accounts. The crinkled chert unit is white to pink-weathering, thinly-bedded to laminated, and contorted; it has been mapped as metachert, quartz-piedmontite-muscovite schist, and quartz-muscovite schist. It is resistant to weathering and is distinguished by a localized pink to red colour attributed to the presence of piedmontite, a manganese-epidote (Mihalynuk et al., 1998). The most prominent exposures of the crinkled chert unit are in the Mount Hazel, Logjam Creek and Mt. Francis areas in the Smart River (NTS 104O/13) map area (Mihalynuk et al., 2000).

The crinkled chert unit was suggested by Mihalynuk et al. (1998) to be one of two Big Salmon Complex units most prospective for base metal massive sulphide exploration. An exposure of piedmontite-hematite metachert overlying metarhyolite (quartz-muscovite schist) on Hazel Ridge was reported by Nelson (1997) as analogous to chert iron formation (exhalite?) stratigraphy at the Wolverine deposit in the Yukon, where the mineralized horizon is above quartz-sericite schist and below chert-magnetite iron formation. To investigate surficial geochemical signature and response of these chert units in the Big Salmon Complex, geochemical orientation studies were conducted at three localities (Figure 2) in the Smart River (NTS 103O/13) area where the unit is exposed: i) north of Mt. Francis, ii) the southwest Mt. Francis area, and iii) the Logtung Road-Logjam Creek area. These studies involved mostly soil profiling at the first two sites, and stream sediment, water and vegetation sampling at the latter.

#### North of Mt. Francis

The study site is located on two small knobs, approximately 2 kilometres apart, situated about 3 kilometres north of the Arsenault property and about 9 kilometres south of the Swift River and the Alaska Highway. A total of eight soil profiles were obtained from the vicinity of crinkled chert exposures here (Mihalynuk, 2000), four on the eastern knob and four on the western knob. Thin colluvial soils and additional tills were also sampled at several locations at the western site (Dixon-Warren and Hickin, this volume).

#### Southwest Mt. Francis

The study site is situated on a small knob of a ridge on the southwest flank of Mt. Francis, approximately 8 kilometres southeast of Swift Lake. It forms the southernmost segment of a continuous band of crinkled chert mapped by Mihalynuk *et al.* (2000). Thin, mostly colluvial soils were sampled at four profile sites above this unit.

#### Logtung Road-Logjam Creek area

The study site is located adjacent to the Logtung deposit access road, west of Swan Lake, which joins the Alaska Highway about 3 kilometres west of Logjam Creek. The most comprehensive crinkled chert study was conducted here, where the unit is exposed on either side of the Logtung Road approximately 2.6 kilometres north of the highway. Rock samples were obtained from exposures east and west of the road, and outer bark and twigs of lodgepole pine (Pinus contorta) at both localities were also sampled. Outcrop, bark and twig samples were also obtained from a quartzite exposure just west of the 1.2 kilometre point on the Logtung road. Stream sediment and/or water samples were obtained at five sites in the area. Samples were collected from a small eastward-flowing stream draining the immediate area of the crinkled chert exposure, and at its confluence with Logjam Creek about 1.5 kilometres to the east. Sediments and waters were also obtained from Logjam Creek, just upstream of the Alaska Highway, and from an unnamed creek about 4 kilometres west of the Logtung road, opposite the intersection of the Arsenault access road with the highway. This stream catchment area overlies the same crinkled chert unit on the western limb of a regional fold (Mihalynuk et al., 2000).

#### b) Jennings River quartz-sericite schist (NTS 104N/09)

An exposure of quartz-sericite schist was examined on the north side of the Jennings River, about 4 kilometres east of Teslin Lake. Bedrock here is overlain by till and glaciolacustrine sediments which are exposed on the river bank. Ice flow here is from southeast to northwest (Dixon-Warren and Hickin, this volume). Two till profiles and two soil profiles were sampled above, and down ice of, this exposure, respectively.

#### **Mineral Prospect Case Studies**

Case studies were conducted at three potential mineral prospects in the Big Salmon Complex, the Arsenault copper prospect and two lesser showings described by Mihalynuk *et al.* (1998), the Highway 97 copper-bearing gossan and the Teslin lakeshore altered tuff.

#### a) Arsenault copper prospect (NTS 1040/13; MINFILE 1040 011)

Stream sediments, waters and soil profiles were sampled in several areas near the Arsenault copper prospect (Photo 3), the best-explored mineral prospect in the Big Salmon Complex of British Columbia. The Arsenault prospect (elevation: approximately 1440 metres) is located about 12 kilometres south of the Alaska Highway and 7 kilometres east of Swift Lake (Figure 2). The prospect was discovered in the 1940's and has been described in several assessment reports (e.g. Turnbull and Simpson, 1970; Sawyer, 1967, 1979; Phendler, 1982). It consists of stratabound disseminated to layered chalcopyrite, pyrrhotite and pyrite in complexly-deformed amphibolite-grade chlorite-actinolite schist. The mineral assemblage is skarn-like, but no significant plutonic bodies are exposed, and the prospect has been interpreted as initially volcanogenic in origin (Sawyer, 1979; Phendler, 1982; Traynor, 1999). More recent exploration work (Traynor, 1999) has focused on VMS potential of metasedimentary and metavolcanic rocks exposed on the central ridge of the property. Another prospect, the Arsenault East (MINFILE 104O 047), is located on the east slope of Mt. Francis and consists of a 10 metre-long chalcopyrite-bearing vein replacement zone developed in limestone. Mihalynuk et al. (1998) interpreted it as occurring in about the same 100 metre stratigraphic interval as the Arsenault prospect; chip sampling across the 2.5 metre width of the skarn-like zone returned copper, zinc and cobalt concentrations of 4.6 per cent, 0.3 per cent and 322 ppm, respectively.

Extensive soil sampling on the Arsenault property (Sawyer, 1967; Turnbull and Simpson, 1970) identified zones of elevated copper concentrations up to 3620 ppm (Figure 5 of Dixon-Warren and Hickin, this volume). In addition, several RGS sites in streams on the eastern and northern flanks of Mt. Francis have elevated copper, zinc or copper-zinc concentrations (Figures 4 and 5). For example, elevated copper concentrations of 70-104 ppm (95<sup>th</sup> percentile: 90 ppm) are present in two streams draining the eastern flank of Mt. Francis. Elevated zinc concentrations here are more widely distributed, with four sites draining the eastern and northern flanks of the ridge with 100-130 ppm (95<sup>th</sup> percentile: 108 ppm).

Six additional stream sediment and water sites were sampled in the Mt. Francis area during 1999 to supplement available RGS stream sediment data, including one site which was re-sampled. Four sites were sampled on the eastern flank of Mt. Francis, infilling areas between anomalous RGS streams and encompassing stream drainage from the Arsenault East prospect. Two sites were

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

Photo 3. Excavating soil profile 2 in a trench at the Arsenault copper prospect.

sampled to the west in intermittent streams draining the area of Arsenault mineralization, where two colluvial soils were profiled in old exploration trenches. Sediment and water geochemical data for this area will be reported at a later date.

#### b) Highway 97 Copper-bearing Gossan (NTS 1040/13; MINFILE 1040 054)

One soil profile and six till sites were sampled above a copper-bearing gossan exposed along the Alaska Highway (Highway 97) about 3.5 kilometres west of Swan Lake. Tills and soils were sampled north and south of the highway in conjunction with Dixon-Warren and Hickin (this volume) to test the dispersal, if any, of mineralized material from this site. The presence of chalcopyrite-bearing veins in Big Salmon Complex greenstone here was first reported here by Mihalynuk et al. (1998), and the following summary is taken from that account. Several north-northwest trending quartz-chlorite-magnetite-pyrite-chalcopyrite veins (maximum thickness: 30 centimetres) occur in a 3 metre wide gossanous zone in the Big Salmon Complex greenstone unit. Mihalynuk et al. (1998) reported chip samples taken over a 1.5 metre interval of 80 per cent vein material to contain 0.2 per cent copper, 165 ppm cobalt, 210 ppm arsenic and 45 ppm tungsten.

#### c) Teslin Lake border area (NTS 104N/16; MINFILE 104N 135)

Limited geochemical sampling was conducted at the Teslin Lake border area occurrence to investigate the distribution of copper and other elements here. The occurrence was described by Mihalynuk *et al.* (1998). It comprises a series of copper-bearing pyritic gossan zones in phyllitic to schistose mafic to felsic tuffaceous rocks, quartz-sericite schists and siltstones which are exposed over approximately one kilometre along the east shore of Teslin Lake. Individual gossanous layers are strongly pyritic (up to 10 per cent), with trace chalcopyrite occurring as clots and stringers. Mihalynuk *et al.* (1998) reported that a single grab sample returned 2.2 per cent copper and 28 ppm silver, but upon repeat sampling equally cupiferous zones could not be located.

No soil profiles or tills were developed or are present over the occurrences, and no active stream drainages occur here. Geochemical sampling was restricted to the outer bark of a single gnarled lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*) growing on pyritic bedrock, and to sampling gossanous bedrock.

# FIELD SAMPLING, PREPARATION AND ANALYTICAL METHODS

A brief description of field sampling, preparation and analytical methods for various sample media is given below:

#### **Soil Profiles**

A total of 17 soil profiles were sampled, mostly near exposures of the crinkled chert unit, to determine the relative distribution of trace elements among various soil horizons. In all, samples were obtained from 27 mineral soil horizons and 7 underlying bedrock or rubble levels (Table 1). Till samples, if present at any given site, were in most cases collected and data reported for by Dixon-Warren and Hickin (this volume) as part of surficial geological studies of the Big Salmon Complex area. Soils in many areas here, particularly those of greater relief, are thin and relatively juvenile due to colluvial movement. Many soil profiles comprise just a thin veneer of colluvium above bedrock.

Soil horizons at profile sites were sampled from pits, or from excavations in trench walls (e.g. Arsenault prospect; Photo 3). Horizons were sampled from the bottom up to avoid cross-contamination. Preparation and analytical procedures are identical to those of till samples (Dixon-Warren and Hickin, this volume). Sample preparation was conducted at Intertek Testing Services-Bondar Clegg, North Vancouver. Samples were air-dried and split into two equal parts. One half was archived. The second half was disaggregated and sieved through a -230 mesh (<63 micron) stainless steel sieve until sufficient material was obtained for analysis. Two splits of each sample were taken. One 10 gram split was submitted to Acme Analytical Laboratories Ltd., Vancouver, for two analytical suites: i) analysis of trace elements including zinc, copper, lead, silver, molybdenum, cobalt, iron, manganese and nickel (Table 5) by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) and inductively coupled plasma-emission spectroscopy (ICP-ES) techniques following aqua regia digestion, and ii) determination of major element oxides by lithium metaborate (LiBO<sub>2</sub>) fusion and an ICP-ES finish (Table 7). Loss on ignition (LOI), total carbon and total sulphur were also determined. A second, approximately 30 gram, split of each sample was submitted to Activation Laboratories, Ancaster, Ontario, for analysis of gold and 34 additional elements using thermal instrumental neutron activation analysis (INA). Data for 29 elements (gold, antimony, arsenic, barium, bromine, calcium, cerium, cesium, chromium, cobalt, europium, hafnium, iron, lanthanum, lutetium, molybdenum, neodymium, rubidium, samarium, scandium, selenium, sodium, tantalum, terbium, thorium, tungsten, uranium, ytterbium and zinc) are reported here in Table 6. Data for six other elements (silver, mercury, irridium, nickel, tin and strontium) are not reported due to inadequate detection limits, low element abundance and/or poor precision.

#### **Stream Sediments and Moss Mats**

Collection, preparation and analysis of stream sediment and moss mat samples was conducted to the standards of the British Columbia RGS program. Field duplicate samples were obtained in each block of 20 samples. Preparation of sediment and moss mat samples was done at Rossbacher Laboratory, Burnaby, using standard RGS

procedures. Stream sediments were air-dried (<35°C) and dry sieved to -80 mesh (< 177 microns) using stainless steel sieves. In preparation of moss mat samples (e.g. Gravel and Matysek, 1989), fine sediment is disaggregated from the moss fronds in a ceramic mortar, and passed through a -18 mesh (<1 millimetre) sieve prior to sieving to -80 mesh (<177 microns). Two splits of each sample were taken. One split was submitted to Acme Analytical Laboratories Ltd., Vancouver, for analysis of a suite of trace elements including zinc, copper, lead, silver, molybdenum, cobalt and iron by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) and inductively coupled plasma-emission spectroscopy (ICP-ES) techniques following aqua regia digestion. Gold is also determined directly by ICP-MS on the 1 gram sample used in this procedure; depending on sample mineralogy, not all of the gold may be released by the acid. Loss on ignition (LOI) was also determined. A second, approximately 30 gram, split of each sample was submitted to Activation Laboratories, Ancaster, Ontario, for total analysis of gold and 34 additional elements using thermal instrumental neutron activation analysis (INA). Analytical results for stream sediments and moss mats are not included in this paper, and will be released at a later date.

#### **Bulk Drainage Sediments**

Bulk stream sediment and moss mat samples were obtained, where possible, to aid in speciation of elements of interest (*e.g.* copper, zinc, barium, manganese) with respect to size and density fractions of the sediment, and to compare results between these two varieties of drainage sediment and routine -80 mesh sediments. Bulk sediments were obtained in the field by wet-sieving sediment material through a Nalgene -18 mesh (<1 millimetre) nylon sieve until a several-kilogram sample was obtained. Owing to the practical difficulties in attempting to wet-sieve fine-grained moss mat material in the field, a bulk moss mat sample was instead collected at these sites, where possible.

Sieved stream sediments were air-dried at the Analytical Science Laboratory of the Geological Survey Branch, Victoria, disaggregated, and mechanically dry-sieved to 5 size fractions (-10+40, -40+80, -80+140, -140+230 and -230 mesh). In the case of bulk moss mats, samples were transferred to large paper bags and air-dried in Victoria, and then submitted to Rossbacher Laboratory, Burnaby, for disaggregation and dry sieving to -18 mesh (<1 millimetre) using a nylon sieve. Prepared samples were returned to Victoria for size-fraction sieving, as above.

In both cases, the resulting sediment and moss mat size fractions were weighed, and representative splits submitted to Acme Analytical Laboratories Ltd., Vancouver, and Activation Laboratories, Ancaster, Ontario for analysis of trace and precious metals corresponding to those of sediments and moss mats outlined in the previous section. In addition, heavy mineral concentrates (HMC) will be prepared from some size fractions using heavy liquid techniques to separate any particulate barite and sulphide grains which may be associated with nearby VMS mineralization.

#### **Stream Waters**

At least two paired water samples were obtained at each stream site using high-density polyethylene (HDPE) bottles: i) a raw water sample (250 millilitre) similar to that routinely collected during RGS surveys, and ii) a filtered (45 millimetre, cellulose filter) and acidified water sample (125 millilitre) for major element and trace element analysis. All samples were kept in coolers for preservation. Unfiltered raw stream water samples were not subjected to any additional preparation procedures, and were analyzed for the standard RGS water analytical suite (uranium, fluoride, sulphate, pH) at CanTech Laboratories, Inc., Calgary. An aliquot of unfiltered water was retained for determination of conductivity and total dissolved solids (TDS) using a Corning Checkmate 90 conductivity/TDS meter.

In addition to the foregoing, sampling for anions, cations, dissolved mercury, particulate organic carbon and suspended solids (trace metals) were also conducted as part of watershed characterization studies in the Teslin Lake and Teh Creek areas (Photo 4). These sites are the study of a B.Sc. thesis at the University of Victoria on the geochemistry of dissolved, suspended and bed loads in streams by the junior author (Pass, in preparation). Collection and preparation methods used for these samples, which comprise the majority of stream water sites, are those of Telmer (1997) and are summarized below:

### Trace Metals in Suspended load / Stream Water Cations, Anions and Dissolved Mercury

Stream waters at depth were collected in a one litre Nalgene HDPE bottle, and filtered to 0.45 microns using vinyl filter paper (47 millimetre Millipore, HV Filter type) in a Swinnex 47 millimetre filter holder with a 50 millilitre plastic rubber-less syringe. Samples were filtered into a 125 millilitre HDPE bottle for a cation sample, a second 125 millilitre HDPE bottle for an anion sample, and into a 50 millilitre Fisher Brand disposable centrifuge tube for the dissolved mercury sample. Dissolved mercury is defined as all BrCl-oxidizable mercury forms and species in the filtrate of an aqueous solution that has been filtered through a 0.45 micron filter (EPA, 1999). Up to approximately one litre of additional water was filtered, but not retained (exact volume recorded), for the collection of sufficient suspended solid matter for trace metal analysis. The syringe type used was specially chosen as it lacked the black rubber plunger tip, common to most syringes, that could be a source of zinc contamination. Similarly, vinyl filter papers were used here as they are less likely to absorb the dissolved load, have a more repeatable tare and are resistant to acid digestion during analysis (K. Telmer, personal communication, 1999).

The syringe and collection bottle were rinsed three times in stream water prior to use. Cation and anion sam-

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

Photo 4. Water sampling in the Teh Creek area, Cassiar Mountains.

ple bottles were transported into the field containing deionized water and were only opened and emptied once sampling began on site. These bottles were then rinsed three times with an aliquot of filtered water before sample collection. Prior to placing the filter paper into the filter holder, the latter and a pair of tweezers were generously rinsed with deionized water and the filter paper placed into the holder with tweezers. Subsequent to sampling, the filter paper was removed from the filter holder, using tweezers, and stored in a watertight HDPE scintillation vial (ESBE Scientific, 6.5 millilitre) for storage. After filtration, cation samples were acidified with 0.5 millilitre of Seastar Chemicals concentrated ultra pure nitric acid  $(HNO_3)$  at base camp that evening. Filtered water for mercury samples were added to centrifuge tubes containing 0.5 millilitres of bromium chloride (BrCl) (EPA, 1999), added each morning from a stock bottle using a Brinkmann Eppendorf repeater pipette with disposable tip. All samples were kept in a cooler following collection. Solution samples of cations, minor elements, rare earth elements and some major elements, as well as digested suspended solids, were analyzed using a VG Plasmaquad 2S ICP-MS. Dissolved anions and major cations were analyzed using a Dionex DX-120 liquid chromatograph (Isocratic), with a AS-14 ion separation column. Dissolved mercury was analyzed using a Perkin Elmer 50A Mercury Analyzer System (cold vapor flameless atomic absorption). The junior author conducted all analyses at the University of Victoria.

To minimize contamination and maintain sample purity, two bottles of nitric acid and bromium chloride were taken to the field. One set of chemicals was used for the Teslin Lake study area and individual case studies, and the other set for the Teh Creek study area. Chemicals were kept in a separate cooler for storage. Deionized water was transported to the field from the University of Victoria in two ten gallon Nalgene carboys and dispensed as needed into Nalgene LDPE squeeze bottles for daily fieldwork. New syringes and one-litre collection bottles were used as often as possible. To further minimize any potential contamination during transportation and storage, the entire suite of up to 6 samples types were packaged into a large watertight Whirlpak bag at each sampling site.

## Particulate Organic Carbon (POC) in the Suspended Load

Stream waters were collected at depth in a one litre Nalgene HDPE bottle in the exact position of the stream in which the above-mentioned trace metal, cation, anion and dissolved mercury samples were collected. The syringe was rinsed three times with stream water before sampling began, while the collection bottle was rinsed three times with steam water before and between samplings. For these samples a 60 millilitre VWR plastic syringe with black rubber plunger tip was used, as these syringes are easier to use and the samples were not analyzed for metal content. Glass fiber filter papers (0.45 micron, 47 millimetre, Millipore Brand) were inserted into the Swinnex 47 millimetre filter holder, pre-rinsed with deionized water, using similarly-rinsed tweezers. Stream water was filtered, although not retained, up to a volume of about one litre (exact volume recorded). Deionized water-rinsed tweezers were then used to place the filter into a watertight HDPE scintillation vial (ESBE Scientific, 6.5millilitre) for storage. The sample was then placed into a watertight Whirlpak bag with the other site samples.

#### Vegetation

A small number of vegetation samples were obtained, primarily near exposures of the crinkled chert unit along Logtung Road. In all, outer bark and twigs of lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*) were obtained at four and three sites, respectively. Sampling procedures were consistent with those of Dunn (1995, 1999). Outer bark samples were collected by vertically scraping the back of hand-held pruning snips along the bark, with the sample collected in a small paper bag held beneath. Twig samples were obtained by snipping about 30-40 centimetres of recent growth from the ends of tree branches, and collected in large paper grocery bags.

Vegetation samples were dried and then ashed at  $470^{\circ}-500^{\circ}$ C for 24 hours at the Geological Survey of Canada, Ottawa, using a Duncan pottery kiln. Needles were separated from the twigs and ashed separately. Ashed bark, twig and needle samples were submitted to Acme Analytical Laboratories Ltd., Vancouver for analysis of trace and precious elements by ICP-MS/ES techniques as outlined above for sediments and soils. Data will be reported at a later date.

#### Rocks

No systematic lithogeochemical studies were attempted by the authors, but a small number of outcrop grab samples, stream bed float and till pit cobbles were collected and analyzed for trace and precious elements. Rock samples were split into two bags. One was archived for later reference; the second was crushed and pulverized in the Geological Survey Branch Laboratory, Victoria using a jaw crusher and steel ring mill, respectively. One 10 gram split was submitted to Acme Analytical Laboratories Ltd., Vancouver, for trace element determination by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) and inductively coupled plasma-emission spectroscopy (ICP-ES) techniques following aqua regia digestion. A second sample split (30 gram) was submitted to Activation Laboratories, Ancaster, Ontario for INA determination of gold and additional elements. No major element oxide determinations were conducted. Selected ICP and INA rock geochemical data for crinkled chert samples only are given in Table 4.

	<b>CRINKLED CHERT</b>
TABLE 4	<b>SELECTED ROCK GEOCHEMICAL DATA:</b>

INA

Au A UTM UTME UTMN (ppb) ( ber Description Zone Nad83 Nad83 INA 1	13         till pit cobble**         9         346227         6636128         172           14         outcrop         9         346245         6636140         1           14         Analytical dup.         9         346226         6636140         1           14         Analytical dup.         9         346256         6636140         1           15         outcrop         9         346256         6636140         1	-11 outcrop 9 347106 6629383 1	-16 outcrop 9 355750 6645900 1 -17 outcrop 9 355600 6646000 1	:	Mo UTME UTMN (ppm) ( Nad83 ICPMS IC Nad83 ICPMS IC	C-13         till pit cobble**         9         346227         6636128         0.08         346227         6636140         0.06         346245         6636140         0.06         346245         6636140         0.06         346245         5636140         0.06         346245         5636140         0.06         346245         5636140         0.06         3462         346235         5636140         0.09         3462         346236         5636140         0.09         3462         346236         5636140         0.09         3462         346236         5636140         0.09         3462         346236         5636140         0.09         3462         346236         5636140         0.09         3462         346236         5636140         0.09         3462         346236         5636140         0.09         3462         346236	C-11 outcrop 9 347106 6629383 0.17	0-16 outerop 9 355750 6645900 0.08 0-17 outerop 9 355600 6646000 0.08	Ca UTME UTMN (%) Number Description Nad83 ICPMS IC	C-13         till pit cobble**         9         346227         6636128         0.04           C-14         outcrop         9         346245         6636140         0.02         0           C-14         Analyrical dup.         9         346245         6636140         0.02         0           C-14         Analyrical dup.         9         346245         6636140         0.01         0           C-15         outcrop         9         346250         6636140         0.01         0	C-11 outcrop 9 347106 6629383 0.17 (	2.16 outcrop 9 355750 6645900 0.06
Au2* As (ppb) (ppm) INA INA	325 9.7 - 6.9 - 6.1	- 52.	2.5	1	Cu Pl (ppm) (ppm) CPMS ICPMS	30.81 1.49 16.35 7.07 20.71 7.56 11.71 0.56	119.9 5.9	0.87 1.0 4.82 1.83	P La (%) (ppm CPMS ICPMS	0.006 3.0 0.006 3.0 0.003 0.1	0.011 2.8	0.01 3.7
Ba (ppm) INA	7 2900 9 19000 7 <i>1600</i> 2 2100	1 2100	6 1600 2 2700	I	b Zn ) (ppm) S ICPMS	9 19.5 7 7.9 5 11.6 5 6.2	4 45.1	6 36.3 2 42.3	a Cr ) (ppm) \$ ICPMS	2 104.2 0 59.7 7 128.6 5 134.3	8 121.0	7 85.7 2 86.4
Co (ppm) INA	16 11 10 5	39	21 22		Ag (ppb) ICPMS	00000	ŝ	s 5	Mg (%) ICPMS	0.28 0.10 0.15 0.08	0.33	0.37
Cr (ppm) INA	196 122 241 249	248	173 164		Ni (ppm) ICPMS I	34.4 8.7 13.8 10.7	51.7	31.7 33.8	Ba (ppm) ICPMS I	1057.7 2648.7 2719.5 1076.1	1068.9	299.1
Fe % INA I	$\begin{array}{c} 1.31 \\ 0.96 \\ 0.97 \\ 0.54 \end{array}$	2.06	1.61 1.69	i	Co (ppm) (j CPMS IC	9.2 <i>5.5</i> 2.5	21.7	15.0 17.6	Ti (%) (J CPMS IC	0.005 0.003 0.005 0.002	0.073	0.03
Na F % (pi NA IN	0.07 0.07 0.06 0.05	0.22	0.12 0.06	:	Mn ppm) PMS ICP	708 ( 853 ( 900 ( 218 (	2595 (	515 (	B ppm) PMS ICP	1 1 1	1	
th S pm) (pp	37 28 21 21	30	56 44	1	Fe (%) (pl MS ICP	0.39 0.20 9.33 0.22	0.70 2	0.64 0.75	Al (%) MS ICP	0.38 0. 0.14 0. 9.26 0.0	0.39 0.	0.48 0.
b Sc m) (ppn A IN/	0.6 8 6.5 4 5.3 4 0.1 2	3.6 11	0.7 8 0.9		As pm) (ppi MS ICPN	8.2 6.3 5.4 1.0 0 0	2.1 0	0.7 0	Na (%) ( <sup>9</sup> MS ICPN	008 004 007 0. 0. 0.	.0 600	007 0.
n) (ppm A INA	8.6 2 8.6 2 1.3 1. 7.0 <i>1.</i> 2.0 0.	.2	2.9 2.2		U A m) (ppl AS ICPM	1.0 2.0 1.0	).6	1.0	K V %) (ppn 4S ICPM	13 0. 06 0. 11 0. 10 0.	17 0.	0.0
U (mqq) (i INA	.3 0.5 6 2.3 6 2.3 5 0.5	6 0.5	9.0 2.0 2.0	i	u TI S ICPMS	1 0.4 1 0.5 2 0.9 1 0.1	1 0.5	1 1.6	W T (ppm) S ICPMS	2 0.02 2 0.02 2 0.04 2 0.03	2 0.05	2 0.04
W (ppm) INA	5 1 5 1 1 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	7 1	1 1	1	I Sr (ppm) ICPMS	4 19.3 ) 57.5 73.3 18.7	\$ 44.2	1 7.7 5 34.6	1 Hg ) (ppb) ; ICPMS	40,	5	1 5
La (ppm) INA	6.8 4.8 1.0	13.7	11.5 5.2	i	Cd (ppm) ICPMSI	0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	0.03	0.01 0.02	Se (ppm) ICPMS I	0.1 0.1 <i>0.1</i> 0.1	0.1	0.1
Ce (ppm) ( INA	24 21 20 4	41	36 24	i	Sb (ppm) CPMS I(	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.43 \\ 0.02 \end{array}$	2.38	0.32 0.35	Te (ppm) CPMS IC	0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02	0.02	0.02
Nd J) (Indd) INA I	7 16 12 5	12	10	i	Bi (ppm) ( CPMS IC	0.02 0.11 0.12 0.02	0.06	0.03	Ga (ppm) CPMS IC	1.6 0.6 1.2 0.8	3.0	2.1
Sm I ppm) (pj INA II	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	3.0	2.3 1.2	;	V (mqq) (PMS)	0000	13	5 7	S (%) PMS	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.06 \end{array}$	0.03	0.01
Eu Th pm) (ppi NA IN.	0.4 0 0.2 0 0.2 0 0.2 0	0.8 0	0.6 0 0.3 0									
dY c mqq) (m A INA	15 0. 15 0. 15 0.	.5 1.	15 L									
Lu (ppm) (DDM)	7 0.1 8 0.0 2 0.0	8 0.2	2 0.1									
Mass g	37.63 37.30 39.43 35.99	33.53	34.48									

#### QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES AND RESULTS

Field and analytical duplicate samples were included in the various sediment, soil, water and other analytical suites, along with control reference standards containing appropriate concentration ranges of copper, zinc and other base metals. In the water studies, field blank samples were taken using distilled water to monitor for potential contamination during sampling (Pass, in preparation). Only those results for soil profile and rock analytical suites are discussed here. Refer to Dixon-Warren and Hickin (this volume) for duplicate and triplicate results of associated C horizon till samples.

In the case of soil profiles, field duplicate results, denoted as Rep '10' (original) and Rep '20' (duplicate) samples in Tables 5-7, indicate acceptable levels of combined field, preparatory and analytical precision. For example, two field duplicate pairs returned copper concentrations of i) 1370.2/1258.9 ppm in a colluvial Bm horizon soil at the Arsenault prospect, and ii) 50.3/41.9 ppm in an Ah horizon at crinkled chert locality 1b, indicating that precision is acceptable at both the upper and lower ends of the concentration range. INA barium results for the same two field duplicate pairs are 160/220 ppm and 910/970 ppm, respectively. Interestingly, comparatively elevated and precise INA gold concentrations are also present in these two sample pairs, at 29/23 ppb and 16/20 ppb, respectively.

Results for two analytical duplicate pairs in soils (Tables 5-7) also show acceptable precision. Precision of analytical duplicate results is typically greater than that obtained for field duplicates because they are a measure of analytical precision only. To illustrate, coefficient of variation (CV) between analytical duplicates from the same high-copper soil horizon at the Arsenault prospect (996507) is just 0.8 per cent, compared to 6.0 per cent between the field duplicates mentioned above. Similar results occur for cobalt, manganese, arsenic, gold, molybdenum and numerous other elements, particularly for the aqua regia-ICP suite (Table 5). In the case of rocks (Table 4), a crinkled chert sample (99-SJC-14) from the North Mt. Francis area reported 19000 ppm barium (INA) versus 16000 ppm in a duplicate taken from the crushed field sample. The crinkled chert also returned concentrations of 853 ppm manganese (duplicate: 900 ppm), 11 ppm cobalt (duplicate: 10 ppm) and 6.5 ppm antimony (duplicate: 5.3 ppm).

Insertions of a CANMET certified reference material, soil standard SO-2, and of two internal Geological Survey Branch standards indicate acceptable levels of analytical accuracy for relevant elements in soils. To illustrate, a single insertion of SO-2 returned an INA barium concentration of 870 ppm, marginally lower than the certified value of  $966 \pm 67$  ppm, as well as 134 ppm zinc (certified value:  $124 \pm 5$  ppm) and 5.45 per cent iron (certified value:  $5.56 \pm 0.16$  per cent). Certified values are from Bowman (1994). More control standards results will be provided upon completion of all analytical work.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Only rock (Table 4) and soil profile (Tables 5-7) geochemical data were available at the time of writing. The following results are confined to case studies where several soil profiles were obtained, such as the crinkled chert sites. Drainage sediment, water and vegetation geochemical data obtained for watershed characterization studies in the Teslin Lake and Teh Creek areas will be given at a later date.

# Felsic Volcanic and Exhalative Units Case Studies

#### Crinkled Chert (NTS 1040/13)

Rock and soil geochemical results are reported here for the three crinkled chert study localities: north of Mt. Francis, south of Mt. Francis, and Logtung road. No soil profiles were conducted at Logtung road, where basal tills are poorly distributed (Dixon-Warren and Hickin, this volume), and results here are limited to bedrock geochemical data. Analytical results for a single till pit cobble are also shown, although they are excluded from the summary statistics.

#### i) Rock Geochemistry

Selected ICP-MS and INA rock geochemical data (Table 4) for five crinkled chert outcrop grab samples show that this unit is characterized by highly elevated barium concentrations (mean: 5500 ppm; median: 2100 ppm) in the range 1600-19000 ppm (INA). They also have moderately elevated, although variable, concentrations of manganese (median: 612 ppm) in the range 218-2595 ppm, and of cobalt (median: 21 ppm INA) in the range 5-39 ppm. Barium is a lithophile element and is most abundant in felsic magmatic rocks (up to 1200 ppm) where it may substitute for K<sup>+</sup>. Barium concentrations in sandstones, however, are in the range only 100-320 ppm (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 1992), with a reported median concentration of 170 ppm for sandstone and guartzite (Rose et al., 1979). Typical concentration ranges of manganese and cobalt in sandstones are 0.3-10 ppm and 100-500 ppm, respectively (Kabata-Pendias and Pendias, 1992).

One crinkled chert sample (99-SJC-14), from the North Mt. Francis area, returned 19000 ppm (1.9 per cent) barium, suggesting the presence of barite. An analytical duplicate of the same material returned similar barium results (16000 ppm). Results here support those of Mihalynuk *et al.* (1998), who reported the presence of elevated barium concentrations (mean: 2254 ppm; 2 samples) in the crinkled chert unit relative to other sedimentary rocks sampled. Mihalynuk *et al.* (1998) suggested that elevated barium content might be a useful means of distinguishing crinkled chert from other fine-grained quartzites in the Big Salmon Complex. Results here support that assertion. Elevated barium levels are present in crinkled chert samples from all three study areas (Table

ICP-M	
TABLE 5 SOIL PROFILE DATA:	

Sb (ppm)	0.92 7.58	0.59 0.52 0.30	0.75 0.61 0.80 0.33 0.28	0.46 0.09	0.80 0.55 0.14	0.39 0.51 0.77 0.15	0.52 0.83 0.04	0.26	0.32 0.40 0.52	0.44 0.40	0.23 0.24 0.90	0.77 0.36	0.24 0.32 0.31 0.34	0.36 0.34	0.42 0.45 0.39	0.18 0.37 0.40 0.35
Cd (ppm)	0.14 0.05	0.23 0.35 0.54	0.22 0.23 0.25 0.57 0.15	0.11 0.09	0.15 0.20 0.06	0.84 0.10 0.15 0.11	0.20 0.10 0.10	0.12	0.09 0.09 0.10	0.23 0.24	0.08 0.04 0.47	0.41 0.13	0.16 0.11 0.07 0.03	0.08	0.07 0.05 0.08	$\begin{array}{c} 0.19\\ 0.18\\ 0.14\\ 0.12\\ 0.12\end{array}$
Sr (ppm)	31.7 22.5	20.5 14.7 22.7	7.2 5.5 7.0 10.6 10.1	20.0 27.2	20.4 13.7 19.5	26.4 13.5 13.7 28.2	12.3 19.4 18.0	10.2	9.5 19.3 12.3	15.0 14.4	24.1 37.5 106.0	179.3 60.2	12.7 12.7 16.5 22.1	13.8 14.6	13.5 13.8 21.0	12.4 13.3 18.9 22.7
Th (ppm)	9.0 0.8	4.2 2.6 8.8	5.5 3.4 4.5 3.5	5.8 3.7	2.9 2.6 1.9	0.1 4.2 3.5	4.2 4.5 0.7	2.5	2.9 4.1 4.1	4.5 4.3	2.3 4.0 2.9	0.1 4.2	2.7 3.2 3.4 3.4	3.8 4.2	0.1 0.1 4.5	1.0 3.0 3.6 3.6
Au ppb	4 7	8 25 1	26 17 22 29 15	13 3	15 4 1	- 0 6 0	5 4 2	-	- 4 0	3 2	1 2 4	3.5	∝ − 0 €	- 5	s ≻ €	6 6
(mqq) U	0.9 0.2	1.2 1.4 1.1	2.8 2.2 6.5 3.4	0.8 0.9	0.7 0.5 0.8	0.9 0.5 0.6 1.0	0.6 0.9 0.1	9.0	0.7 1.0 0.7	0.7 0.7	0.4 1.3 1.7	7.7 0.6	0.4 0.4 0.5 0.5	0.6 0.6	2.6 2.8 0.7	0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6
As (ppm)	12.0 70.6	39.0 52.8 7.1	53.5 51.1 53.3 48.6 8.0	13.4 0.7	99.7 23.5 3.5	6.2 111.9 41.0 3.0	6.8 42.1 1.8	3.0	2.2 2.9 5.7	5.0 5.1	$1.2 \\ 1.7 \\ 10.4$	0.1 3.9	0.1 6.0 9.9 10.3	6.0 8.7	0.4 0.9 6.4	0.1 8.4 9.0 6.5
Fe (%)	2.75 7.09	10.15 16.64 0.94	22.98 18.99 22.68 32.84 17.02	5.09 2.48	4.60 3.50 3.73	1.08 3.39 3.32 3.92	2.55 3.74 6.20	3.19	4.29 3.24 3.18	3.34 3.32	3.06 2.98 3.67	0.26 3.63	1.33 3.29 2.91 2.53	3.49 2.78	0.56 0.73 2.31	0.93 3.63 3.12 2.49
Mn (ppm)	589 631	778 1082 221	1372 1327 1377 2869 678	368 306	317 271 679	115 397 456 437	333 375 381	451	625 521 419	483 476	394 373 790	113 455	116 283 374 521	292 380	23 28 338	223 290 392
Co (ppm)	12.6 24.6	45.4 36.7 7.4	31.7 29.3 30.7 74.4 16.7	15.1 22.9	17.9 13.9 9.1	4.2 10.9 12.9 8.4	13.8 16.5 23.9	12.7	14.5 17.8 16.2	16.3 16.0	14.0 13.6 23.0	1.6 15.2	3.7 11.2 18.1 13.7	10.1 12.4	1.4 1.7 9.0	2.6 13.9 17.3 12.2
Ni (ppm)	36.0 16.0	42.3 27.3 26.4	38.5 37.2 38.2 69.5 45.0	22.9 9.7	23.2 22.3 6.3	15.3 24.5 31.1 10.6	28.6 33.0 6.5	28.3	29.1 43.6 46.6	45.5 44.9	35.7 46.1 90.3	14.5 57.1	5.8 19.8 43.4 38.2	20.6 28.1	9.8 9.9 27.8	4.6 32.4 44.8 35.4
Ag (ppb)	59 290	120 170 159	319 225 306 664 733	86 50	266 164 38	288 42 68 215	41 55 83	36	32 24 168	30 26	84 42 242	327 105	17 26 21 27	33 18	331 286 41	12 44 12 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
Zn (ppm)	60.0 63.3	67.4 33.5 79.4	18.4 18.4 16.9 17.0 16.8	88.5 52.1	83.3 107.6 96.3	42.3 61.6 65.4 88.3	44.3 85.0 185.4	56.3	65.1 76.2 70.2	63.7 62.7	50.7 48.4 90.5	9.2 73.1	27.1 55.6 52.1 50.6	51.3 54.0	15.4 17.0 45.2	17.2 52.1 60.0 49.7
dq (mqq)	11.50 4.12	15.59 23.16 13.87	13.28 10.88 13.64 13.79 4.73	8.01 4.10	14.93 10.93 3.38	10.64 9.20 12.37 14.45	8.77 13.65 10.42	10.02	11.10 8.11 10.76	9.68 9.22	6.51 5.58 10.05	2.97 6.44	10.96 9.68 11.74 9.89	12.26 10.25	9.20 12.74 11.76	13.88 13.61 14.88 8.83
Cu (ppm)	42.08 228.92	585.60 809.30 195.73	1370.24 1258.87 1354.81 4977.16 1546.05	64.65 26.87	63.47 28.10 28.06	29.85 18.47 28.25 30.89	20.00 57.82 74.93	18.82	21.48 77.51 47.10	29.11 27.59	19.17 35.20 74.97	19.08 46.49	5.49 12.94 25.65 29.10	25.24 38.97	50.32 41.87 27.09	4.80 16.92 27.68 29.92
oM (ppm)	0.65 0.50	16.93 51.85 19.03	53.36 61.70 51.57 142.16 114.03	10.73 4.39	2.74 1.43 0.13	2.48 1.95 1.56 0.84	0.76 1.80 0.50	0.96	1.28 0.83 1.09	1.03 0.91	1.50 0.49 4.78	2.28 1.57	0.52 0.76 0.73 0.59	1.09 0.66	0.28 0.38 0.49	0.59 1.06 0.82 0.58
UTMN Nad83	6643867	6633107	6633132	6636661	6636759	6636712	6636773	6629382	6629382 6629382	6629382	6612977	6613045	6636128	6636074	6636115	6636214
UTME Nad83	358942	347508	347433	348635	348397	348444	348746	347107	347117 347157	347097	667080	667060	346227	346137	346065	3463 03
CM one	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6 6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Rep U.			10 20 80							80					10 20	
Material	disturbed (?) soil py rubble over bedrock	oxidized colluvium colluvium rubbly bedrock	oxidized colluvium colluvium analytical duplicate colluvium rubbly bedrock	till rubble	oxidized colluvium colluvium rubble over bedrock	oxidized till till py nubble	till rubble	oxidized till	oxidized colluvium (?) till oxidized colluvium	colluviated till (?) analytical duplicate	glaciolacustrine sediment till	glaciolacustrine sediment	Ē	till	till	til
Depth (cm)	0-15 15-25	0-20 20-50 50-65	0-45 <i>0-45</i> 45-65 65-90	30-37 >37	0-14 15-30 >30	0 - 8/10 8/10-30 30-45 >45	5-30 30-40 40-55	0-18	0-12 12-32 0-25	0-35	0 - 12/15 12/15 - 45 10-12m	0-18 18-50	0 - 5/7 5/7 - 15 15-35 35-65	2-20 20-60	0-12 0-12 16-45	0-5 5-15 15-30 30-40
Soil Horizon	Bm(Ap?) R	Bm R R	Bm Bm C R	C R	B R C	Ahe Bm/BC C	Bm R	Bm/C	Bm C Bm/C	Bm/C	Bm IC	C Ah	Ae Bf C	Bf C	Ah C	Ae Bf C B
Sample	996502 996503R	996504 996505 996506R	996507 996508 996501 996509 996510R	996034* 996526R	996511 996512 996513R	996514 996515 996032* 996517R	996518 996029* 996519R	996520	996522 996523 996524	996525 996521	996531 996532 996043*	996533 996534	996535 996536 996537 996046*	996538 996045*	996539 996540 996025*	996527 996528 996529 996038*

# TABLE 5 CONTINUED SOIL PROFILE DATA: ICP-MS

St	udy Profi rea	ile Soil Profil Typ	e Sample e	e Sc Horizc	D lio nc	Depth (cm)	F Material	Rep UT. Zo.	M D O	TME ad83	UTMN Nad83 (	Bi ppm) (pp	v (ii	Ca %)	р I При При При	.а (ррі	2 € 2 €	fi (pp	Ba m)	Ti E % (ppm)	(%)	Na (%)	8 (%)	(mqq)	(mqq)	gH (ppb) (	Se (ppm) (	Te ppm) (j	Ga	s (%)
Hwy. 97 Cu Prospect 1040/13	-	Orthic eutric brunisol	996502 996503R	Bm(Al	p?) 0-1 15-:	-15 di -25 py rui	sturbed (?) soil bble over bedrock		9 35	\$8942 60	643867	0.34 2.39	50 0 78 0.	.65 0. .44 0.	050 21.	.4 3: 2 7(	5.5 0.0 0.4 1.2	52 24 28 3:	9.8 0.1	26 3 62 2	3 1.66	0.029	0.21	0.2 5.5	0.13	81 12	0.1 2.5	0.14	5.3 8.1	0.01
Arsenault Cu Prospect 1040/13	-	Orthic eutric brunisol	996504 996505 996506R	Bm C R	20 50	-20 oxi -50 -10 -65 ru	idized colluvium colluvium abbly bedrock		9 34	17508 6	633107	1.38 1.50 0.16	58 1 37 3. 25 0.	.11 0. .12 0. .82 0.	.078 12. 101 13. 169 16.	.2 32 .5 12 .9 60	4.3 0.4 4.8 0.2 4.0 0.7	52 9 32 6 77 5	8.5 0.1 6.9 0.0 1.4 0.1	02 2 64 2 20 1	2 1.66 2 1.18 0.99	0.013 0.008 0.043	0.06 0.02 0.33	0.4 1.4 0.3	0.08 0.04 0.06	49 37 8	19.3 18.9 0.6	1.45 1.68 0.14	5.6 5.7 4.0	).05 ).05 ).05
	7	Orthic eutric brunisol	996507 996508 996501 996509 996510R	Bm Bm C C	1 0-4 0-4 45-1 65-1	45 oxi 45 ana -65 ana -90 ru	dized colluvium colluvium Jytical duplicate colluvium abbly bedrock	10 20 80	9 3,	17433 61	633132	3.37 2.26 3.39 3.49 1.51	20 1 20 1. 20 1. 6 1. 10 0.	.41 0. 50 0. .02 0. .77 0.	078 12. 067 8. 078 11. 089 18. 048 6.	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	9.8 0.1 7.2 0.1 8.5 0.1 9.3 0.0 7.5 0.1	15 3. 16 3. 15 3. 15 1.	7.3 0.0 4.1 0.0 7.5 0.0 0.5 0.0 2.6 0.0	80 2 448 2 81 3 118 1 18 1 59 1	2 0.65 0.61 0.65 0.37 0.30	0.008 0.009 0.008 0.008 0.040	0.01 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.01	1.2 1.1 0.8 1.1 0.4	0.03 0.03 0.03 0.02 0.02	58 59 77 15	50.8 36.1 49.9 28.9 22.9	4.71 3.21 4.78 5.71 2.26	3.3 3.1 3.1 1.3 1.8 1.8	0.11 0.09 0.13 0.13
Crinkled Chert Locality 1a N of Mt. Francis		brunisol	996034* 996526R	RC	30- >3	+37 37	till rubble		9 34	18635 61	636661	0.13 0.08	56 0 23 0.	.12 0. .54 0.	.058 13. 065 9.	.8 2% .8 65	2.4 1.2 7.0 0.5	29 16 32 8.	0.6 0.1 4.2 0.0	22 1 92 1	3.37 2.00	0.034	0.22	0.2 0.2	0.24 0.23	48 6	1.3 0.3	0.11 0.02	8.2 6.4	0.11
1040/13	7	Orthic eutric brunisol	996511 996512 996513R	Bm C R C	15- 15- 8	-14 oxi -30 nubt 30 nubt	idized colluvium colluvium ble over bedrock		934	18397 61	636759	0.40 0.23 0.03	67 0 69 0. 92 0.	.13 0. .14 0. .67 0.	.059 8. 041 9. 065 4.	7 6. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 4.	7.1 0.5 8.9 0.5 5.7 1.7	84 13 52 11 77 6	1.0 0.0 4.3 0.1 0.8 0.1	84 3 36 2 37 1	3 3.22 2.31 2.87	0.019 0.012 0.121	0.09 0.08 0.07	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	0.14 0.11 0.02	121 105 14	0.8 0.4 0.3	0.17 0.07 0.04	6.8 7.9 7.5	0.09
	ŝ	Orthic eutric brunisol	996514 996515 996032* 996517R	Ahe Bm/B C R	e 0-8 3C 8/10 30-	8/10 0-30 45	oxidized till till py rubble		9 34	18444 6	636712	0.21 0.22 0.77 0.27	25 0 74 0 66 0. 81 1.	.65 0. 25 0. 23 0.	.143 12. .029 12. .038 11. .089 6.	1. 8. 6. 7. 1. 8. 4. 6. 1. 9. 6.	1.4 0.6 8.7 0.6 5.1 0.6 5.1 1.2	22 19 25 11 21 15 21 19	8.1 0.0 4.4 0.1 9.3 0.1 6.7 0.1	015 78 78 38 1 1 38 1	2 0.71 1.66 2.15 2.66	0.007 0.013 0.021 0.063	0.04 0.12 0.13 0.15	0.2 0.2 0.3 0.2	0.04 0.09 0.10 0.05	143 34 9 9	0.4 0.2 0.9 2.0	0.03 0.06 0.14 0.24	2.6 8.7 6.5	0.13 0.01 0.01 0.16
	4	Eluviated eutric brunisol	996518 ** 996029* 996519R	ъсB	30 30	-30 -40 -55	till rubble		9 34	18746 61	636773	0.26 0.38 0.12 2	50 0 74 0. 208 0.	.17 0. .29 0. .89 0.	030 12. 056 15. 048 2.	.3 3: .8 4: .5 4: .5	5.5 0.5 5.7 0.5 5.1 2.5	59 12 34 21 35 112	9.0 0.1 0.2 0.1 2.6 0.2	23 1 55 1 14 1	2.33 2.43 4.38	0.016 0.051 0.078	0.10 0.06 1.63	0.2 0.2 0.2	0.10 0.09 0.48	62 7	0.3 1.7 1.1	0.03 0.32 0.09	5.2 6.1 13.4	0.01
Crinkled Chert Locality 2		Orthic eutric brunisol	996520	Bm/(	C 0-1	8 2	oxidized till		9 34	1107 6	629382	0.20	65 0	.16 0.	055 13.	.0 4	3.0 7.0	82 12	3.5 0.1	73 2	1.84	0.009	0.15	0.2	0.13	65	0.3	0.04	7.0	0.03
SW MI. Francis 1040/13	m 7	Orthic eutric brunisol Orthic eutric	996522 996523 996524	Bm C Bm/C	0-1 12- 0-2	-12 oxidi ?-32 oxidi .25 oxid	ized colluvium (?) till dized colluvium		9 9 34 35	7157 66	629382 529382	0.67 0.25 0.24	92 81 0 66 0.	.14 0. .27 0. .14 0.	059 15. 050 15. 024 13.	8 -	5.7 0.5 2.0 0.5 8.0 0.8	79 16 39 31 16 38 31 16	3.1 0.3 9.9 0.2 4.4 0.1	56 2 37 2 36 3	2.27	0.010 0.017 0.011	0.10 0.13 0.11	0.2 0.2 0.2	0.17 0.16 0.16	8 8 6	0.3 0.3	0.11 0.08 0.05	7.11 1.7 6.4	50.0 10.0
	4	Drunisol Orthic eutric brunisol	996525 996521	Bm/(	C 0-3	-35 coi anai	lluviated till (?) lytical duplicate	80	9 34	1097 6	629382	0.21	67 0. 67 0.	.26 0. 26 0.t	065 14. <i>264 14.</i>	.6 5: 0 51	5.5 1.( .4 0.9	30 17 33 15,	1.4 0.1 8.3 0.1	91 5 28 2	) 2.01 1.87	0.013	0.13 0.13	0.2 0.2	0.15 0.14	47 43	0.3 0.2	0.06 0.07	6.5	10.0
Jennings River qtz-ser schist 104N/09	-	Orthic eutric brunisol**	996531 996532 996043*	Bm IC	1 0 - 1: 12/15 10-1:	12/15 5 - 45 glaciol 12m	lacustrine sediment till		9 66	57080 61	612977	0.14 0.14 0.19	75 0 67 0. 75 2.		.023 7. 049 15. 085 10.	.9 55 .9 65 .6 65	8.6 0.6 5.2 0.6 3.6 1.5	54 26 87 30 57 47	3.5 0.2 8.9 0.2 7.6 0.2	21 1 79 1 15 2	1 1.97 1.64 1.70	0.028 0.065 0.060	0.05 0.07 0.13	0.2 0.2 0.2	0.08 0.05 0.15	23 42 189	0.4 0.1 1.3	0.02 0.05 0.12	6.1 5.0 5.0	10.0
	6	Orthic melan brunisol	ic 996533 996534	Ah C	0-1	-18 -50 glaciol	lacustrine sediment		9 66	57060 6	613045	0.07 0.16	14 4 69 1.	.65 0. .07 0.	.056 1. 073 15.	.3 .8 .62	4.5 0.4 2.2 1.1	46 24 12 28	5.0 0.0 5.3 0.2	012 15 68 4	3 0.25 1 1.88	0.013	0.01	0.2 0.2	0.02 0.11	11 12	11.1 0.2	0.04 0.06	0.6	0.36
Crinkled Chert Locality 1b NW of Mt. Fran 1040/13	1 Icis	Humo-ferric podzol	996535 996536 996537 996046*	Ae Bf BC	5/7 - : 5/7 - : 35-(	.5/7 - 15 -35 -65	ţij		9.34	16227 6	636128	0.23 0.18 0.16 0.14	46 0 84 0 74 0. 73 0.	.17 0. 26 0. 30 0.	023 12. 052 10. 037 9.	0	0.3 0.5 1.3 0.5 5.9 0.5 4.7 0.8	21 15 72 23 30 43 31 45	8.2 0.1 2.1 0.1 7.4 0.2 8.2 0.1	90 1 69 1 07 2 96 1	1 0.85 1 2.09 1 2.74 1.98	0.009 0.018 0.029 0.057	0.03 0.08 0.10 0.09	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	0.06 0.07 0.09 0.09	33 42 23	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.7	0.03 0.05 0.07 0.06	6.7 7.6 6.4 5.5	10.0
	6	Humo-ferric podzol**	996538 996045*	, C	22	-20	till		9 34	6137 6	636074	0.23 0.23	87 0 69 0.	.17 0. .28 0.	.024 9. 043 8.	.8 4 9 35	1.7 0.1 9.0 0.5	74 40 35 33	6.3 0.2 6.6 0.1	222 2 58 1	2.62	0.016 0.048	0.11	0.2 0.3	0.10 0.08	40 23	0.2 0.6	0.04 0.06	10.0	10.0
	e	Orthic melan brunisol	ic 996539 <i>996540</i> 996025*	Ah Ah C	0-1 0-1 16	-12 -12 -45	ij	10 20	34	16065 61	636115	0.12 <i>0.17</i> 0.16	14 0 <i>17</i> 0. 67 0.	.14 0. <i>13 0.</i> .39 0.	202 13. <i>150 16.</i> 063 14.	.6 15 .7 32 .2 46	8.7 0.0 2.3 0.1 5.3 0.8	09 70 12 76: 32 51:	2.5 0.0 9.8 0.0 0.3 0.2	08 2 12 2 01 1	2 1.68 . 1.97 1.88	0.006 0.008 0.110	0.03 0.05 0.09	0.2 0.2 0.2	0.04 0.06 0.09	320 363 11	0.9 0.6 0.6	0.06 0.05 0.07	3.6 5.0 5.5	0.12 0.10
	4	Humo-ferric podzol	996527 996528 996529 996038*	Ae Bf C	0- 15-1 30-2	5 15 30	till till		9 34	16303 61	636214	0.20 0.17 0.22 0.14	42 0 77 0 75 0. 69 0.	.15 0. 21 0. 30 0.	017 10. 031 8. 023 10. 026 11.	1 21 11 31 1	22 0.1 72 0.5 72 0.5 1.6 1.6	15 13 70 18 33 28 71 210	4.8 0.1 5.9 0.1 9.4 0.2 0.7 0.1	69 1 79 2 110 2 92 1	1 0.65 2.49 2.296 1.75	0.008 0.016 0.029 0.048	0.05 0.09 0.11 0.07	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	0.05 0.06 0.10 0.08	8 8 8 8	0.1 0.2 0.2 0.6	0.03 0.05 0.05 0.06	5.7 7.1 6.4 5.1	10.0
<ul> <li>C-horizon till</li> <li>One sample (5</li> <li>** thin Aej hori</li> </ul>	s at 6 soil <sub>F</sub> 96025) is t zon presen	profiles from tho the first of three 1 at but not sample.	se of Dixon triplicate sa 1	-Warren mples.	n & Hicki See Dixc	kin (this volume on-Warren and	e) I Hickin (this volume) for fu	urther deta	ils.			UT Tei Mii	IM locati ntative su neral hor	ions accur oil types a 'izons only	ate to ~ 10 fter Canadi 7 are shown	0 metres ian Syste n; LFH h	am of Soil torizons (	l Classific not sample	ation (Ag ed) are no	riculture (	Canada, 1 1 here	987) not i	included	here						

# TABLE 6 SOIL PROFILE DATA: INA

Study Pr Area	rofile	Soil Profile Type	Sample	Soil Horizon	Depth (cm)	Material	Rep UTM Zone	UTME Nad83	UTMN Nad83 (	) (qdd	As (mqq	Ba ppm) (	Br C ppm) (%)	a (pp	o C m) (ppm	r Cs ) (ppn	i Fe 1) (%)	(mqq)	(mqq)	Na (%)	Rb (ppm)
Hwy. 97 Cu Prospect 1040/13	-	Orthic eutric brunisol	996502 996503R	Bm(Ap?) R	0-15 15-25	disturbed (?) soil py rubble over bedrock	6	358942	6643867	5 7	11.6 70.5	950 140	1.5 0.5		12 9 27 12(	1 3	3.63 9.15	3.5		1.29 3.03	90 17
Arsenault Cu Prospect 1040/13	1	Orthic eutric brunisol	996504 996505 996506R	R C Bm	0-20 20-50 50-65	oxidized colluvium colluvium rubbly bedrock	6	347508	6633107	25 29 1	35.3 48.0 7.1	450 260 470	5.9 3.1 0.5	4 1- 10	6 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	e	12.70 19.90 2.63	404	13 43 18	1.05 0.71 2.37	45 53 53
	5	Drthic eutric brunisol	996507 996508 996501 996509 996510R	Bm Bm C R	0-45 0-45 45-65 65-90	oxidized colluvium <i>colluvium</i> analytical duplicate colluvium rubbly bedrock	10 9 20 80	347433	6633132	29 23 35 35	50.1 51.8 51.8 45.6 11.6	160 220 80 50	6.4 5.7 6.6 1.9	00040	6 1 33 51 33 51 1		27.40 25.20 28.10 34.10 27.60		44 55 47 114 102	0.71 0.99 0.74 0.21 1.10	15 30 15 15 15
Crinkled Chert Locality 1a N of Mt. Francis 1040212	- ~	brunisol Orthic autric	996034* 996526R 996511	R C	30-37 >37 0-14	till rubble oxidized collucium	6 0	348635	6636661 6636759	21 4 4 21	16.1 1.8 04.8	770 260 880	9.5 0.5	- 6 -	111 25 111 6	40 0	7.45 3.73 5.58	44 4	3	0.88 1.53	73 35 40
C1/0+01	1	brunisol	996513R	Ш С Ж	0-14 15-30 >30	oxidized contuvium colluvium rubble over bedrock	7	1600+0	60/0000	10	26.4 26.4 4.8	680 560	17.5 0.5		9 5 9 6 8 6	101-	4.47 5.74	°0 €	- 7 -	1.32	60 31 31
	с -	Orthic eutric brunisol	996514 996515 996032* 996517R	Ahe Bm/BC C R	0 - 8/10 8/10-30 30-45 >45	oxidized till till py rubble	6	348444	6636712	1 0 1 1	6.6 14.5 42.3 4.1	720 710 960 1100	11.1 3.8 6.6 0.5	4	96126 1106	0.0000	1.88 4.36 5.27 5.34	4004	9 1 6 1	0.79 1.29 1.36 1.01	15 65 43
	4 eui	Eluviated tric brunisol**	996518 996029* 996519R	Bm C R	5-30 30-40 40-55	till rubble	6	348746	6636773		9.7 44.3 1.6	750 1100 3100	12.9 2 0.5	0 0 0	17 10 19 11- 25 81	4 2 5 5	3.83 5.37 8.88	6 2 2	1 10 1	1.46 1.52 0.70	70 73 107
Crinkled Chert Locality 2	1	Orthic eutric humicol	996520	Bm/C	0-18	oxidized till	6	347107	6629382	1	6.0	820	13.2	-	12	5	4.76	7	2	1.07	100
SW Mt. Francis 1040/13	5	Drthic eutric brunisol	996522 996523	C Bm	0-12 12-32	oxidized colluvium (?) till	6	347117	6629382	3	6.3 6.1	830 1500	26.2 4.7		21 115	4.0	5.71 4.54	5	1 2	1.26 1.56	75 57
	3	Orthic eutric hrmisol	996524	Bm/C	0-25	oxidized colluvium	6	347157	6629382	ŝ	8.3	1200	11.5	_	9 14	4	4.45	7	-	1.44	81
	4	Drthic eutric brunisol	996525 996521	Bm/C	0-35	colluviated till (?) analytical duplicate	9 08	347097	6629382	3 1	8.1 9.1	920 900	7.7 7.6		9 17: 9 175	4 3	4.68 4.90	7	3 1	1.36 1.41	61 64
Jennings River qtz-ser schist 104N/09	-	Drthic eutric brunisol**	996531 996532 996043*	Bm IC IIC	0 - 12/15 12/15 - 45 10-12m	glaciolacustrine sediment till	6	667080	6612977	3 1	4.4 3.7 12.3	840 930 1300	0.5 0.5 3.2	0.04	15 15 26 15 18	3 1	4.30 4.38 5.54	444	1 1 13	1.73 1.84 1.40	49 46 48
	2 0	rthic melanic brunisol	996533 996534	Ah C	0-18 18-50	glaciolacustrine sediment	6	667060	6613045	1 3	1.7 6.5	370 830	20.0 3.4	3	2 1 <sup>7</sup> 16 13	1 1	0.62 4.65	14		0.32 1.43	15 58
Crinkled Chert Locality lb NW of Mt. Francis 1040/13	1	Humo-ferric podzol	996535 996536 996537 996046*	Ae Bf C	0 - 5/7 5/7 - 15 15-35 35-65	ij	6	346227	6636128	8 0 8 6 8	5.6 14.5 13.6 12.2	770 750 980 1500	1.5 3.7 2.6 2.5	000-	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	2.52 4.77 4.38 4.45	8 9 <del>7</del> 9	0	1.84 1.73 1.49 1.78	63 60 56
	2	Humo-ferric podzol**	996538 996045*	C C	2-20 20-60	till	6	346137	6636074	64	9.7 11.4	880 920	4.1 1.4		10	0 0	4.65 4.49	in in	- 4	1.47 1.82	55 60
	3 O	rthic melanic brunisol	996539 996540 996025*	$^{Ah}_{C}$	0-12 0-12 16-45	till	10 20	346065	6636115	16 20 9	5.3 6.3 7.0	910 <i>970</i> 1300	13.3 12.7 1.6		4 2 4 6 11 5 4	0 0 0	1.10 1.37 4.31	9 17 19	- ~ ∞	0.48 0.75 2.06	15 32 59
	4	Humo-ferric podzol	996527 996528 996529 996038*	Ae Bf C	0-5 5-15 15-30 30-40	ij	6	346303	6636214	ω <del>4</del> ω 4	3.8 12.8 7.5 7.5	660 770 890 790	1.5 3.5 1.7	0000	6 0 7 5 6 13 2 13 2 13 2 8	~ 0 <del>7</del> 0	2.02 5.10 4.52 4.14	r ~ ~ ~	- 996	1.93 1.63 1.70 1.83	89 61 52 52
<ul> <li>C-horizon tills at 6 : One sample (996025 and Hickin (this volu</li> </ul>	soil profi 5) is the 1 ume) for	iles from those first of three tri further details.	of Dixon-V plicate sam	Varren & I ples. See	Hickin (this Dixon-War	volume) ren	** thi UTM Tental	in Aej horiz locations a iive soil tyj	zon present bu ccurate to ~ 10 bes after Canao	t not san 00 metre lian Sys	npled s tem of S	oil Clas	sification	(Agric)	ulture Ca	19	87)				

(g)	30.24 34.44	80.56 33.30 41.43	31.07 33.25 22.17 34.35 31.35	27.15 37.22	22.91 24.86 33.65	4.22 25.77 26.42 28.45	27.23 25.61 87.39	24.46	21.93 28.48	29.50	28.63	27.32 28.73 26.40	13.18	28.27 29.87 29.85 27.62	27.26 29.05	11.63 12.84 24.23	24.21 27.33 30.68 28.54
Lu pm)	0.28	0.27	0.22 0.19 0.40 0.21 0.21	0.36	0.21	0.28	0.31 0.46 0.35	0.38	0.35	0.37	0.37	0.25	0.06	0.33 0.27 0.25 0.37	0.26	0.15	0.34
Yb (mq	2.0	1.8 2.0 3.4	1.4 ( 1.2 ( 1.3 ( 2.7 ( 1.4 (	2.4	1.4 1.5 1.6	1.7 1.8 2.3 2.2	2.0 3.1 1.7	2.5	2.3	2.5	2.5 (	1.7 2.1 2.7	0.4 (	2.2 1.8 1.6 2.5	1.7	1.0 1.2 3.0	23122
Tb pm) (p	0.6 0.5	0.6 0.5 0.9	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	0.5 0.7	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \end{array}$	0.5 0.5 0.6 0.5	0.5 0.7 0.5	9.0	0.5	0.7	0.7 0.7	0.5 0.7 0.7	0.5 0.6	0.6 0.5 0.5 0.5	0.5 0.7	0.5 0.5 0.8	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6
Eu pm) (p	0.9	1.0 1.6	0.7 0.6 0.9 0.9 0.6	0.9 0.9	0.7 0.7 0.8	0.9 0.8 1.1 0.9	1.0 1.4 0.8	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.2 1.2	0.9 1.5 1.5	0.3 1.2	1.1 0.8 0.9 1.2	0.8	0.8 0.9 1.5	0.9 1.0 1.2
Sm pm) (p	5.3 3.0	4.1 3.3 7.8	2.9 2.5 3.7 2.0	3.5 4.3	2.8 3.2 2.5	3.3.3 3.8 3.2 3.2	4.0 5.6 3.0	5.2	4.8 5.4	8.4	5.0 5.2	3.3 5.3 4.7	0.6 4.3	4.2 3.4 3.3 3.9	3.1 3.6	3.5 4.0 5.6	3.5 3.5 3.5 4.0
d) (ud PN	23 10	17 16 34	14 11 12 17 6	14 17	11 15 11	22 17 19	22 26 12	27	20 27	23	23 23	13 21 20	5 19	19 13 16	15 16	13 20 27	14 13 19
Ce pm) (p	58 20	48 41 92	33 29 26 17	47 57	33 40 30	45 59 30	52 65 23	70	61 66	64	65 66	31 37 48	33	42 34 50	30 45	33 35 64	45 42 55 55
La ppm) (j	32.6 10.0	24.7 22.3 53.6	19.7 15.4 19.9 9.6	26.2 35.2	17.5 20.9 16.2	25.3 24.6 25.8 16.9	26.3 32.2 12.7	35.4	30.3 31.5	32.1	31.8 33.7	18.8 25.6 23.5	4.4 22.0	26.3 20.3 18.0 23.6	19.0 21.2	20.4 23.2 30.1	22.4 19.6 21.2 25.7
l) (mqo nZ	107 112	105 50 123	50 55 55 55 75	124 99	130 150 138	102 103 129	132 117 237	88	50 86	126	104 161	123 128 139	50 114	50 101 92	95 95	59 66 112	90 94 99
l) (udd M	9	4 % 0	1 7 7 1		0 - 0			-	- ~	-	3 1				- 7		
I) (udo	2.4 0.5	3.0 3.2 4.1	4.5 3.5 8.4 5.8	2.3 2.5	1.4 2.1 2.2	2.8 2.5 2.5	1.6 2.5 0.5	2.4	2.8	2.2	2.5 2.9	1.7 2.5 2.6	10.7 1.3	2.2 2.0 2.6	2.5 1.4	5.4 4.6 2.3	2.4 1.5 2.2 2.2
Th (Indo	111.7 1.6	8.0 5.5 18.1	7.1 5.4 5.0 5.0 4.0	9.8 6.0	6.3 6.8 3.3	5.7 7.7 8.9 5.0	8.3 8.4 1.6	9.0	8.0 8.4	9.2	9.9 9.0	4.3 5.1 5.5	1.3 5.2	6.7 5.2 5.7 7.2	5.2 7.0	7.7 7.7 8.1	5.7 5.0 6.1 6.3
Ta ppm) (j	1.5 0.6	$\begin{array}{c} 0.9 \\ 0.5 \\ 1.2 \end{array}$	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	$1.0 \\ 1.4$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.7 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 1.4 \\ 1.3 \\ 0.8 \end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{c}       1.8 \\       1 \\       0.5     \end{array} $	1.6	$\frac{2.2}{1.2}$	1.6	1.6 0.5	1.5 1.1 1.1	0.5 1.3	1.4 1.5 0.5 0.9	$1.2 \\ 1.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.5 \end{array}$	$1.5 \\ 1.3 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.9$
Se (I) (I	ю ю	3 4 16 3 4	44 32 24 25 25	ς	<i>~~~~</i>	<i>~~~~~</i> ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	ю е е	3	<i>ლ</i> ლ	3	ю <i>ю</i>	m $m$ $m$	12 3		ς Ω	m = m	
Sc ppm) (j	13.7 17.0	12.5 7.5 19.6	5.8 5.8 6.0 6.2	18.9 21.1	16.7 12.0 31.0	9.6 12.4 16.1 18.8	13.3 18.4 37.0	15.8	14.7 19.1	15.6	16.7 17.5	14.0 17.0 17.1	2.1 14.9	11.6 15.5 16.2 18.6	13.9 18.4	21.7 20.5 19.0	11.6 16.6 17.4 16.7
l) (II (II	1.4 9.6	1.0 0.7 0.5	1.0 0.8 0.5 0.3 0.3	0.8	$1.5 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.0$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.4 \end{array}$	1.1 1.5 0.3	1.0	0.9 1.0	1.2	1.0 1.1	0.6 0.7 1.6	0.5 0.8	0.9 1.0 1.5	0.8 1.0	0.7 1.1 1.1	0.8 1.0 0.8 0.8
đ																	
UTMN Nad83 (pp	6643867	6633107	6633132	6636661	6636759	6636712	6636773	6629382	6629382	6629382	6629382	6612977	6613045	6636128	6636074	6636115	6636214
JTME UTMN Nad83 Nad83 (pp	358942 6643867	347508 6633107	347433 6633132	348635 6636661	348397 6636759	348444 6636712	348746 6636773	347107 6629382	347117 6629382	347157 6629382	347097 6629382	667080 6612977	667060 6613045	346227 6636128	346137 6636074	346065 6636115	346303 6636214
TM UTME UTMN one Nad83 Nad83 (pp	9 358942 6643867	9 347508 6633107	9 347433 6633132	9 348635 6636661	9 348397 6636759	9 348444 6636712	9 348746 6636773	9 347107 6629382	9 347117 6629382	9 347157 6629382	9 347097 6629382	9 667080 6612977	9 667060 6613045	9 346227 6636128	9 346137 6636074	9 346065 6636115	9 346303 6636214
Rep UTM UTME UTMN Zone Nad83 Nad83 (pp	9 358942 6643867	9 347508 6633107	10 9 347433 6633132 20 80	9 348635 6636661	9 348397 6636759	9 348444 6636712	9 348746 6636773	9 347107 6629382	9 347117 6629382	9 347157 6629382	9 347097 6629382 <i>80</i>	9 667080 6612977	9 667060 6613045	9 346227 6636128	9 346137 6636074	10 9 346065 6636115 20	9 346303 6636214
Rep UTM UTME UTMN Material Zone Nad83 (pp	disturbed (?) soil 9 358942 6643867 py rubble over bedrock	oxidized colluvium 9 347508 6633107 colluvium rubbly bedrock	oxidized colluvium 10 9 347433 6633132 co <i>lluvium 20</i> 90 347433 6633132 ambytizal duplicate <i>80</i> colluvium rubby bedrock	till 9 348635 6636661 rubble	oxidized colluvium 9 348397 6636759 colluvium rubble over bedrock	9 348444 6636712 oxidized tall till py tubble	9 348746 6636773 rubble	oxidized till 9 347107 6629382	oxidized colluvium (?) 9 347117 6629382 till	oxidized colluvium 9 347157 6629382	colluviated till (?) 9 347097 6629382 analytical duplicate 80	9 667080 6612977 Bjaciolaeustrine sediment till	9 667060 6613045 glaciolacustrine sediment	9 346227 6636128 till	9 346137 6636074 till	10 9 346065 6636115 20 till	9 346303 6636214 idl
Depth Rep UTM UTME UTMN (cm) Material Zone Nad83 (pp	0-15 disturbed (?) soil 9 358942 6643867 15-25 py nubble over bedrock	0.20 oxidized collavium 9 347508 6633107 20:50 collavium 50:65 nubbly bedroek	0-45         oxidized colluvium         10         9         347433         6633132           0-45         colluvium         20         9         347433         6633132           0-45         colluvium         20         9         347433         6633132           65-50         anabitatel duplicate         80         8         65-90         anbity bedrock           65-90         rubbity bedrock         6         9         9         9	30-37         till         9         348635         6636661           >37         rubble	0-14 oxidized colluvium 9 348397 6636759 15-30 colluvium >30 nubble over bedrock	0-8/10 9 348444 6636712 8/10-30 xidized till 9 348444 6636712 3045 yttl >45 py tubble	5-30 9 348746 6636773 30-40 till 9-55 rubble	0-18 oxidized till 9 347107 6629382	0-12 oxidized colluvium (?) 9 347117 6629382 12-32 till	0-25 oxidized colluvium 9 347157 6629382	0-35 colluviated till (?) 9 347097 6629382 analytical duplicate 80	1 - 12/15 9 66/2080 66/2977 2/15 - 4&uciolaeustrine sediment 10-12m til	0-18 9 667060 6613045 18-50 glaciolacustrine sediment	0-57 9 346227 6636128 57-15 15-35 it] 35-65 it]	2-20 9 346137 6636074 20-60 till	0-12 10 9 346065 6636115 0-12 20 20 1645 161615 1645 till	0.5 9 346303 6636214 5-15 153-00 1613-30 16302 9 346303 9 6636214 5-16 161 161 161 161 161 161 161 161 161
Soil Depth Rep UTM UTME UTMN Horizon (cm) Material Zone Nad83 (pp	R (5-25 py nibble over bedrock 9 358942 6643867 R 15-25 py nibble over bedrock	Bm         0-20         oxidized collavium         9         347508         6633107           C         20-50         collavium         8         30-65         nubbly bedrock           R         50-65         nubbly bedrock         30         3000	Bm         0-45         oxidized collavium         10         9         347433         6633132           Bm         0-45         collavium         20         9         347433         6633132           C         absolute         20         9         347433         6633132           C         45.65         collavium         20         90           C         45.65         collavium         80           R         65.90         rubbly bedrock	C 30-37 till 9 348635 6636661 R >37 rubble	Brn         0-14         oxidized colluvium         9         348.397         665.6759           C         15-30         colluvium         9         348.397         665.6759           R         >30         rubble over bedrock	Ahe         0 - 8/10         9         348444         6656712           Bm/BC         8/10-30         oidized till         9         348444         6656712           R         200         oidized till         9         348444         6656712           R         345         pytuble         9         348444         6656712	Bm         5-30         9         348746         6656773           C         30-40         till         8         40-55         rubble	Bm/C 0-18 oxidized till 9 347107 6629382	Bm         0-12         oxidized colluvium (?)         9         347117         6629382           C         12-32         till	Bm/C 0-25 oxidized colluvium 9 347157 6629382	Bm/C         0-35         colhviated till (?)         9         347097         6629382           analytical duplicate         80   <	Bm         0 - 12/15         9         667080         6612977           IC         12/15 - 4\$glaciolacustrine sediment         11         11         11           IC         10-12m         till         12         13         14	Ah         0-18         9         667060         6613045           C         18-50         glaciolacustrine sediment         9         9         9         9         9         9         9         9         9         9         9         9         9         9         9         9         9         18         9 </td <td>Ae         0 - 5/7         9         346227         6636128           BC         5.5-15         9         346227         6636128           BC         5.3-5         iall         34622         556</td> <td>Bf 2-20 9 346137 6636074 C 20-60 till</td> <td>Ah         0-12         10         9         346065         6636115           Ah         0-12         20         20         20         50</td> <td>Ae 0-5 9 346303 6636214 B f 5-15 B 15-30 C 30-40 iil</td>	Ae         0 - 5/7         9         346227         6636128           BC         5.5-15         9         346227         6636128           BC         5.3-5         iall         34622         556	Bf 2-20 9 346137 6636074 C 20-60 till	Ah         0-12         10         9         346065         6636115           Ah         0-12         20         20         20         50	Ae 0-5 9 346303 6636214 B f 5-15 B 15-30 C 30-40 iil
Sample Soil Depth Rep UTM UTME UTMN Horizon (cm) Material Zone Nad83 Nad83 (pp	966302 Bm(Ap <sup>2</sup> ) 0-15 disturbed (?) soil 9 358942 6643867 966503R R 15-25 py nibble over bedrock	96594 Bm 0-20 oxidized colluvium 9 347508 6633107 965905 C 20-50 colluvium 99566R R 50-65 nubbly bedrock	96507 Bm 0-45 oxidized collavium 10 9 347433 6633132 996508 Bm 0-45 oxidized collavium 20 996509 C 45-65 oxiliarid diplicate 80 996500 C 45-65 oxiliarium 996510R R 65-90 nubbly bedrock	966034* C 30-37 till 9 348635 6636661 966526R R >37 rubble	96511 Bm 0-14 oxidized colluvium 9 348397 6656759 96512 C 15-30 colluvium 996513R R >30 rubble over bedrock	996514 Ahe 0-8/10 996514 ahe 0-8/10 9 348444 6636712 996515 Bm/BC 8/10-30 oxidized till 996032* C 30-45 till 10 99617R R AdS py ubble	96518 Bm 5-30 9 348746 6636773 9 3022* C 30-40 till 9 348746 6636773 396029* R 40-55 rubble	996520 Bm/C 0-18 oxidized till 9 347107 6629382	966522 Bm 0-12 oxidized colluvium (?) 9 347117 6629382 96523 C 12-32 till	996524 Bm/C 0-25 oxidized colluvium 9 347157 6629382	966225 Bm/C 0-35 colhviated till (?) 9 347097 6629382 96521 analytical duplicate 80	996531 Bm 0-12/15 996532 IC 12/15-43jaciolaeustrine sediment 996532 IC 12/15-43jaciolaeustrine sediment 996043* IIC 10-12m inl	996533         Ah         0-18         9         667060         6613045           95634         C         18-50         glaciolacustrine sediment         9         667060         6613045	996535 Ac 0-5/7 9 46267 6636128 996536 Bf 5/7-15 996378 Bf 5/7-15 996946* C 35-65 till	996538 Bf 2-20 996638 C 20-60 till 996045* C 20-60 till	996539 Ah 0-12 10 9 346065 6636115 996540 Ah 0-12 20 20 996025* C 16-45 iill	996527 Ac 0-5 996528 Bi 5-15 996528 Bi 5-15 996528 Bi 5-30 996038* C 30-40 iill
Profile Soil Profile Sumple Soil Depth Rep UTM UTME UTNAN Type Horizon (cm) Material Zone Nad83 (pp	1 Orthic eutric 996502 Bm(Ap?) 0-15 disturbed (?) soil 9 358942 6643867 brunisol 996503R R 15-25 py nubble over bedrock	1         Orthic cutric         996504         Bm         0-20         oxidized collavium         9         347508         6633107           brunisol         996505         C         20-50         collavium         9         347508         6633107           996506         R         50-65         rubbly bedrock         9         9         9	2 Ordnic eutric         996507         Bm         0-45         oxidized collavium         10         9         347433         6633132           brunisol         996309         Bm         0-45         oxidized collavium         20         947433         6633132           996309         Em analytical duplicate         80         995599         C         45-65         collavium           996510         R         65-90         rubby becheck	1 brunisol 996034* C 30-37 till 9 348635 663661 996526R R >37 rubble	2         Orthic eutric         96511         Bm         0-14         oxidized colluvium         9         348397         6636759           brunisol         996512         C         15-30         colluvium         9         348397         6636759           996513R         R         >30         nubble over bedrock         9         9         3	3 Orthic eutric 96514 Ahe 0-8/10 9 348444 6636712 brunisol 966515 Bm/BC 8/10-30 oxidized till 960322 C 30-45 till 996517R R ⇒/5 py nubble	4 Eluviated 996518 Bm 5-30 9 348746 6636773 eutric brunisol***996029* C 30-40 till 996519R R 40-55 rubble	1 Orthic eutric 996520 Bm/C 0-18 oxidized till 9 347107 6629382 branicol	2 Orthie and 2 Ort	3 Orthic eutric 996524 Bm/C 0-25 oxidized colluvium 9 347157 6629382 hermical	4 Orthic entries 96525 Bm/C 0-35 collaviated till (?) 9 347097 6629382 brunisol 996521 analytical duplicate 80	1 Orthic eutric         996531         Bm         0-12/15           brunisol**         996532         IC         12/15-4\$gaciolacustrine sediment           996043*         IIC         10-12m         til	20rthic melanic         96533         Ah         0-18           brunisol         996534         C         18-50         glaciolacustrine sediment	I Humo-ferrie 96535 Ac 0-5/7 9 34627 6636128 podzol 96536 BF 5/7-15 996537 BC 1535 996046* C 35-65 til	2 Humo-ferrie 996538 Bf 2-20 podzol** 996045* C 20-60 till	3Orthic melanic         96539         Ah         0-12         10         9         346065         6656115           brunisol         996540         Ah         0-12         20         346065         6656115           996025*         C         16-45         till         20         346065         6656115	4 Humo-ferric 99527 Ae 0.5 9 346303 6636214 podzol 996229 BI 5-10 996239 BI 5-30 996338* C 30-40 till

Mineral horizons only are shown; LFH horizons (not sampled) are not included here

# TABLE 7 SOIL PROFILE DATA: MAJOR ELEMENTS

Sample	Soil Horizon	Depth (cm)	Material	Rep UTN Zor	d (	UTME Nad83	UTMN Nad83	SiO <sub>2</sub> (%)	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (%)	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (%)	MgO (%)	CaO (%)	Na <sub>2</sub> O (%)	K <sub>2</sub> O (%)	TiO <sub>2</sub> (%)	P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (%)	MnO (%)	Cr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (%)
996502 996503R	Bm(Ap?) R	0-15 15-25	disturbed (?) soil py rubble over bedrock		9 3	58942	6643867	68.38 -	11.59 -	5.06	1. <i>77</i> -	2.22 -	1.66	2.06	0.77 -	0.16 -	0.10	0.029
996504 996505 996506R	R C B	0-20 20-50 50-65	oxidized colluvium colluvium rubbly bedrock		9 3	47508	6633107	47.56 36.81 -	9.96 6.71 -	18.82 30.30	2.40 1.85 -	5.07 10.03	1.49 1.09 -	1.15 0.48 -	0.77 0.47 -	0.26 0.31 -	0.17 0.24 -	0.018 0.011 -
996507 996508 996509 996509 996510R	Bm Bm C R	0-45 0-45 45-65 65-90	oxidized colluvium colluvium analytical duplicate colluvium rubbly bedrock	10 20 80	9 3	47433	6633132	30.37 34.73 30.44 18.81 -	4.23 4.23 4.23 2.06	40.03 35.88 40.00 54.93 -	2.03 2.19 2.02 1.29 -	6.64 8.10 6.65 4.36 -	0.92 1.42 0.97 0.34 -	0.26 0.28 0.26 0.05 -	0.35 0.32 0.35 0.16 -	0.29 0.28 0.28 0.13 -	0.31 0.34 0.32 0.52 -	0.004 0.007 0.006 < .001
996034* 996526R	C R	30-37 >37	till rubble		9 3	4863.5	6636661	53.95 -	15.25 -	9.28 -	2.95 -	1.57	1.03	1.54	0.84	0.19 -	0.12 -	0.007
996511 996512 996513R	R C B	0-14 15-30 >30	oxidized colluvium colluvium rubble over bedrock		9 3	48397	6636759	49.55 57.78 -	15.29 12.13 -	8.24 6.22 -	2.03 1.46 -	1.61 1.65 -	1.69 1.79 -	1.64 1.46	0.71 0.87 -	0.16 0.12 -	0.07 0.06 -	0.018 0.027 -
996514 996515 996032* 996517R	Ahe Bm/BC C R	0 - 8/10 8/10-30 30-45 >45	oxidized till till py rubble		6	48444	6636712	35.86 65.14 63.07 -	6.38 11.24 12.32 -	2.40 6.35 6.38 -	0.49 1.90 2.27 -	1.72 2.02 1.85	1.05 1.70 1.61 -	1.05 1.84 1.59 -	0.63 1.01 0.92 -	0.66 0.09 0.13 -	0.04 0.09 -	0.015 0.030 0.014 -
996518 996029* 996519R	Bm C	5-30 30-40 40-55	till rubble		9 3	48746	6636773	62.78 63.36 -	12.36 14.89 -	5.03 7.25 -	1.82 2.28 -	2.12 1.90 -	1.88 2.01 -	1.67 1.76 -	0.83 0.95 -	0.12 0.17 -	0.08 0.07 -	0.028 0.015 -
996520	Bm/C	0-18	oxidized till		9 3	47107	6629382	58.27	12.62	6.46	2.12	1.57	1.43	2.17	1.08	0.18	0.09	0.031
996522 996523	C Bm	0-12 12-32	oxidized colluvium (?) till		9 3	47117	6629382	50.29 62.21	13.07 13.87	7.89 6.38	1.99 2.51	1.45 2.02	1.63 2.14	2.13 1.82	1.35	0.27 0.19	0.11	0.031
996524	Bm/C	0-25	oxidized colluvium		9 3	47157	6629382	62.36	13.17	5.96	2.27	1.62	1.86	1.90	0.99	0.09	0.08	0.031
996525 996521	Bm/C	0-35	colluviated till (?) analytical duplicate	80	9 3	47097	6629382	61.59 61.63	12.50 12.66	6.55 6.65	2.62 2.64	2.27 2.30	1.91 1.92	2.05 1.93	1.14 1.14	0.19 0.20	0.11	0.036 0.037
996531 996532 996043*	Bm IC	0 - 12/15 12/15 - 45 10-12m	glaciolacustrine sediment till		9 6	67080	6612977	65.91 65.17 58.52	12.18 12.31 11.43	5.68 5.83 7.22	1.91 2.28 3.60	2.78 3.47 5.20	2.32 2.55 1.86	1.25 1.44 1.48	1.14 1.11 1.07	0.06 0.16 0.24	0.08 0.08 0.13	0.030 0.029 0.023
996533 996534	C Ah	0-18 18-50	glaciolacustrine sediment		9 6	67060	6613045	9.07 60.57	2.11 12.45	0.74 6.83	1.02 2.91	8.68 3.77	0.37 2.19	0.31	0.12	0.20	0.02	0.001
996535 996536 996537 996046*	Ae Bf C	0 - 5/7 5/7 - 15 15-35 35-65	ij		9 3	46227	6636128	72.92 65.48 63.99 66.59	11.14 12.64 13.67 13.09	3.36 6.42 6.49 5.89	1.09 2.07 2.46 2.36	2.02 2.11 2.61 2.50	2.59 2.46 2.25 2.31	1.71 1.54 1.50 1.35	1.13 0.93 1.01 0.95	0.09 0.16 0.09 0.07	0.06 0.08 0.12 0.15	0.032 0.029 0.032 0.015
996538 996045*	Bf C	2-20 20-60	till		9 3	46137	6636074	63.67 63.51	13.18 13.92	6.65 6.00	1.95 2.53	1.91 2.29	2.19 2.44	1.47 1.43	1.02 0.83	0.06 0.12	0.08	0.030
996539 996540 996025*	Ah Ah C	0-12 0-12 16-45	til	10 20	9	46065	6636115	19.61 25.36 68.71	6.17 7.24 12.23	1.32 1.89 5.07	0.29 0.46 2.48	0.75 0.73 2.35	0.65 0.93 2.31	0.53 0.80 1.34	0.35 0.43 1.07	0.79 0.68 0.19	0.01 0.02 0.04	0.010 0.014 0.014
996527 996528 996529 996038*	Ae Bf	0-5 5-15 15-30 30-40	7		9 3	46303	6636214	71.39 62.26 64.14 67.10	11.29 13.40 14.09	2.76 6.89 6.07 5.57	0.77 1.97 2.36 2.36	1.89 2.24 2.30 2.66	2.68 2.06 2.22 2.48	1.74 1.49 1.58	1.05 0.88 0.90	0.13 0.04 0.09 0.08	0.07 0.08 0.09	0.019 0.020 0.034
of Dixon-W <sub>i</sub>	arren & Hi les. See D	ckin (this v ixon-Warre	/olume) en	** t UTN Tent	hin A M loca ative	ej horizon ttions accu soil types	i present but arate to ~ 10 after Canad	not sam 00 metres lian Syste	pled em of Soi	il Classif	fication (	Agricul	ture Ca	nada, 1	987)			

 \* C-horizon tills at 6 soil profiles from those of Dixon-Warren & Hickin (this One sample (996025) is the first of three triplicate samples. See Dixon-War

# TABLE 7 CONTINUED SOIL PROFILE DATA: MAJOR ELEMENTS

Sum (%)	99.76 -	99.96 99.76 -	99.67 99.72 99.87 99.29	99.40 -	99.90 	99.79 99.95 99.81 -	99.96 99.77 -	99.76	99.96 99.89	99.82	26.66 88.66	99.79 99.40 99.30	99.92 99.62	99.89 99.86 99.91 99.92	99.96 99.92	100.09 99.97 99.64	99.03 00.67
S/Tot (%)	0.03	0.03 0.05 -	0.11 0.03 0.06 0.12 -	0.12	0.09 0.06 -	0.18 0.04 0.01 -	0.02 0.02 -	0.05	0.07 0.04	0.01	0.04	0.01 0.02 0.16	0.34	0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01	0.01 0.01	0.16 0.15 0.01	0.02
7Tot (%)	0.92 -	2.68 1.93 -	2.34 2.00 2.32 1.38 -	2.07	4.65 4.69 -	1.90 1.75 2.41 -	2.67 0.54 -	4.29	6.29 1.19	1.85	1.89	1.09 0.40 1.07	1.20	0.77 0.87 0.46 0.27	1.16 0.66	6.50 1.90 0.22	1.37
101 (%)	5.8	12.2 11.4	14.2 11.9 14.3 16.6	12.5 -	18.7 16.2 -	49.4 8.4 9.4	11.1 4.9	13.6	19.6 7.3	9.3	8.5 8.5	6.3 8.3 8.3	77.2 3 7.7	3.6 5.8 4.4	7.6 6.6	69.5 51.3 3.6	5.1
Sc pm)	. 10	- 5	~~~~~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	6 -	9 -	10	e 8	10	10	10	12	11 15 7	2 14	9 10 7	10	15 14 8	10
d) (udd	- 10	10 10	10 10 10	- 13	10 10	10 12 -	10 - 13	10	10	10	10	10 14	10	10 12 12	10	10 10 14	10
I) (udć	- 26	19 20	15 13 16 33	- 15	13	15 17 16	16 23	20	20	20	22	19 28 21	< 10 25	19 18 17	17 16	12 13 23	20
Zr pm) (j	136 -	128 123	96 101 110 64	157 -	112 150 -	130 180 218 -	158 213 -	174	196 168	188	281	125 116 116	16 116	212 158 135 140	153 134	51 64 204	183
Sr (ppm) (p	- 184	157 101	55 51 56 39	128	148 173	128 199 203	214 202	148	135 209	181	195 195	262 309 290	257 275	222 190 219 235	188 167	84 87 241	229
Ni (mqq	- 45	39 32	46 42 42 67	27	28 24	20 33 34	39 45	34	33 51	48	54	58 72 107	21 63	23 24 53	31 34	20 22 35	33
UTMN Nad83 (	6643867	6633107	6633132	6636661	6636759	6636712	6636773	6629382	6629382	6629382	0029382	6612977	6613045	6636128	6636074	6636115	6636214
UTME Nad83	358942	347508	347433	348635	348397	348444	348746	347107	347117	347157	1601,95	667080	667060	346227	346137	346065	346303
Zone	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6 0	۷	6	6	6	6	6	6
Rep U			10 20 80								80					10 20	
Rep U Material	disturbed (?) soil py rubble over bedrock	oxidized colluvium colluvium rubbly bedrock	oxidized colluvium 10 colluvium 20 analytical duplicate 80 colluvium rubbly bedrock	till rubble	oxidized colluvium colluvium rubble over bedrock	oxidized till till py rubble	till rubble	oxidized till	oxidized colluvium (?) till	oxidized colluvium	colluviated till (?) analytical duplicate 80	glaciolacustrine sediment úll	glaciolacustrine sediment	fil	till	10 20 till	
Depth Rep U (cm) Material .	0-15 disturbed (?) soil 15-25 py rubble over bedrock	0-20 oxidized colluvium 20-50 colluvium 50-65 rubbly bedrock	<ul> <li>0-45 oxidized colluvium 10</li> <li>0-45 colluvium 20</li> <li>0-41 analytical duplicate 80</li> <li>45-65 colluvium</li> <li>65-00 rubbi bedrock</li> </ul>	30.37 till >37 rubble	<ul><li>0-14 oxidized colluvium</li><li>15-30 colluvium</li><li>&gt;30 rubble over bedrock</li></ul>	<ol> <li>8/10</li> <li>8/10-30 oxidized till</li> <li>30.45 till</li> <li>&gt;45 py rubble</li> </ol>	5-30 30-40 úil 40-55 rubble	0-18 oxidized till	0-12 oxidized colluvium (?) 12-32 till	0-25 oxidized colluvium	0-55 colluviated till (?) analytical duplicate 80	- 12/15 2/15 - 45 glaciolacustrine sediment 10-12m iill	0-18 18-50 glaciolacustrine sediment	0 - 5/7 5/7 - 15 15-35 iil	2-20 20-60 till	0-12 10 0-12 20 16-45 úill	0-5
Soil Depth Rep U Rep U torizon (cm) Material 3	m(Ap?) 0-15 disturbed (?) soil R 15-25 py rubble over bedrock	Bm 0-20 oxidized colluvium C 20-50 colluvium R 50-65 rubbly bedrock	Bm         0.45         oxidized colluviam         10           Bm         0.45         collurium         20           Bm         0.45         collurium         20           C         45-6         colluviam         20           R         0.45         colluviam         80           R         65-90         colluviam         80	C 30-37 till R >37 rubble	Bm         0-14         oxidized colluvium           C         15-30         colluvium           R         >30         rubble over bedrock	Ahe 0.8/10 3m/BC 8/10-30 oxidized till C 30-45 till R >45 tyr tubble	Bm 5-30 C 30-40 till R 40-55 rubble	Bm/C 0-18 oxidized till	Bm 0-12 oxidized colluvium (?) C 12-32 till	Bm/C 0-25 oxidized colluvium	Bm/C 0-55 colluviated til (?) analytical duplicate 80	Bm 0-12/15 IC 12/15-45 glaciolacustrine sediment IIC 10-12m iill	Ah 0-18 C 18-50 glaciolacustrine sediment	Ae 0-5/7 Bf 5/7-15 BC 15-35 C 35-65 til	Bf 2-20 C 20-60 till	Ah 0-12 10 <i>Ah 0-12</i> 20 C 1645 iil	Ae 0-5
Sample Soil Depth Rep U Horizon (cm) Material 3	96602 Bm(Ap?) 0-15 disturbed (?) soil 96603R R 15-25 py nubble over bedreek	966504 Bm 0-20 oxidized colluvium 966505 C 20-50 colluvium 96506R R 30-65 rubbly bedrock	995307 Bm 0–45 oxidized colluvium 10 995508 Bm 0–45 colluvium 20 995500 C 45-55 colluvium 20 995600 C 45-55 colluvium 995108 R 65-90 rubbiy bedrein	966034° C 30-37 till 96526R R >37 rubble	996511 Bm 0-14 oxidized colluvium 996512 C 15-30 colluvium 96513R R >30 nubble over bedrock	96514 Ahe 0-8/10 96515 Bm/BC 8/10-30 exidized till 966022* C 30-45 till 96677R R >45 py nibble	966518 Bm 5-30 966029* C 30-40 till 966519R R 40-55 rubble	996520 Bm/C 0-18 oxidized till	96522 Bm 0-12 oxidized colluvium (?) 96523 C 12-32 till	996524 Bm/C 0-25 oxidized colluvium	995.25 Bin/C 0-55 colluvated ful (?) 996521 analytical duplicate 80	906331 Bm 0-12/15 906532 IC 12/15-45 glaciolaeustrine sediment 906043* IIC 10-12m uil	996533 Ah 0-18 996534 C 18-50 glaciolacustrine sediment	996535 Ac 0-5/7 996536 Bf 5/7-15 996537 Bf 5/7-15 996637 Bf 5/7-15 996649 C 35-65 úll	996538 Bf 2-20 996045* C 20-60 till	996539 Ah 0-12 10 996540 Ah 0-12 20 996025* C 16-45 till	996527 Ae 0-5
Profile Soil Profile Sample Soil Depth Rep U Type Horizon (cm) Material 3	<ol> <li>Orthiz eutric 996502 Bm(Ap?) 0-15 disturbed (7) soil brunisol 996503R R 15-25 py rubble over bottock</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Orthis eutric 996504 Bm 0-20 oxidized colluvium brunisol 996505 C 20-50 colluvium 9965005R R 50-65 rubbly bedrock</li> </ol>	2 Orthie entrie         996507         Bm         0.45         oxidized oolluvium         10           brunisol         996508         Bm         0.45         colluvium         20           996500         C 45-65         colluvium         20           996509         C 45-65         colluvium           996509         C 45-65         colluvium	1 brunisol 996034° C 30-37 till 996508 R >37 nubble	2 Orthie eutric 996511 Bm 0-14 oxidized colluvium brunisol 996512 C 15-30 colluvium 996513R R >30 rubble over bedrock	3 Orthie eutric         96514         Ahe         08/10           brunisol         96615         BmBC         8/10-30         oxidized till           966032*         C         30-45         till           996517R         R         >45         py nbble	4 Eluviated 996518 Bm 5-30 eutric brunisol**956029* C 30-40 till 906519R R 40-55 nubble	1 Orthis eutric 996520 Bm/C 0-18 oxidized till hrmisod	2 Orbie eutric 996522 Bm 0-12 oxidized colluvium (?) brunisol 996523 C 12-32 úil	3 Orthis entrie 996524 Bm/C 0-25 oxidized colluvium bunicol contractor bunch 0.25 oxidized colluvium Architecture 0.055 bunch 0.35 colluminated of	4 Orthic entrie 9965.25 Bin/C 0-55 collavated tul (7) brunisol 996521 analytical duplicate 80	<ol> <li>Orthic eutric 996531 Bm 0 - 12/15 bunisol<sup>***</sup> 996532 IC 12/15 - 45 glaciolacustrine sediment 996043* IIC 10-12m till</li> </ol>	20rthic melanic 996533 Ah 0-18 brunisol 996534 C 18-50 glaciolaeustrine sediment	1 Humo-ferrie 996355 Ae 0-57 podzal 996356 Bf 57-15 99637 BC 1535 99694° C 35-65 till	2 Humo-ferrie 996538 Bf 2-20 podzol** 996045* C 20-60 úill	3Orthic melanic 996539         Ah         0-12         10           brunisol         996540         Ah         6-12         20           9960254         C         1645         £1         20	4 Humo-ferric 996527 Ae 0-5

4), but another quartzite otherwiser similar to crinkled chert returned only low barium and manganese concentrations.

No detailed lithogeochemical sampling of crinkled chert units was attempted. With regard to other elements, crinkled cherts here exhibit, in most cases, only background-level concentrations of copper (mean: 30.7 ppm), zinc (mean: 27.6 ppm), lead (mean: 3.4 ppm), molybdenum (mean: 0.10 ppm), selenium (mean: 0.1 ppm) and gold (mean: 1 ppb). However, one dark maroon-coloured sample (99-SJC-11) from the South Mt. Francis area has, in addition to elevated barium (2100 ppm), highest concentrations of copper (119 ppm), manganese (2595 ppm), iron (2.06 per cent INA), cobalt (39 ppm INA), arsenic (52.1 ppm INA) and antimony (3.6 ppm INA) relative to other pink or grey specimens sampled.

#### ii) Soil Profile Geochemistry

Twelve soil profiles were sampled at crinkled chert localities 1 and 2, in areas to the north and south of Mt. Francis, respectively. Barium concentrations up to 1500 ppm (INA) occur in till and colluvial C-horizon soils sampled at eight profiles (Table 6) north of Mt. Francis area (localities 1a,b). The highest concentrations here are present at site 1b (range: 790 - 1500 ppm) nearer the crinkled chert exposures, while somewhat lower barium concentrations (range: 680-960 ppm) occur in the more distal profiles sampled at site 1a. Similar barium levels (range: 820 - 1500 ppm) are present in thin till and colluvial C-horizon veneer above crinkled chert at site 2 south of Mt. Francis. INA reanalysis of remaining sample material from the horizon with the highest barium content here (996523; 1500 ppm) returned a similar 1600 ppm barium. There is no regional till barium data available for adjacent parts of northern British Columbia with which to compare these results. However, many are elevated relative to publicly-available barium data for regional geochemical surveys of central and southern British Columbia tills (Table 8), for which survey medians are in the range 380-850 ppm barium. Most soil manganese concentrations in this study do not differ appreciably from regional medians, which are in the range 508-805 ppm.

Barium concentrations increase down profile in most crinkled chert-area soils here (Table 6), particularly those in tills. Down profile increases in barium are seen in both till profiles at locality 1a, all four till profiles at locality 1b, and the sole multi-horizon till profile at locality 2. Two examples of relevant profiles, a brunisol and a podzol, from crinkled chert locality 1 are shown in Figure 7. In the first case (locality 1a, profile 3), barium concentrations increase down profile from 720 ppm in the near-surface Ahe horizon, to 960 ppm in C horizon till, and finally to 1100 ppm in underlying bedrock rubble. In the second case (locality 1b, profile 1), barium concentrations double down profile, increasing from 770 ppm in the

#### TABLE 8

BARIUM AND MANGANESE CONCENTRATIONS (PPM) IN VARIOUS BRITISH COLUMBIA TILLS

	Big Salmon Complex Dixon-Warren (this volume)*	Babine Porphyry Belt, <u>Nechako Plateau</u> Levson et al. (2000)	Fawnie Creek map area, <u>Nechako Plateau</u> Levson et al. (1994)	Chedakuz Creek map area, <u>Nechako Plateau</u> Weary et al. (1997)	Louis Creek-Chu Chua Creek area <u>Southcentral B.C.</u> <i>Bobrowsky et al. (1998)</i>	Adams Lake Plateau Area, Southcentral B.C. Bobrowsky et al. (1997)	Northern Vancouver <u>Island</u> Bobrowsky and Sibbick (1996)	Total Sites
Ba (ppm)								
Median	930	780	660	-	770	850	380	
Mean	942	786.7	677.7	-	773.8	885.5	394.8	
SD	263	153.8	105.9	-	279.0	317.9	167.9	
CV	-	0.195	0.156	-	0.4	0.4	0.4	
Minimum	210	360	430	-	50	260	50	
90th pctile.	-	980	820	-	1000 (87.3%)	1100 (87%)	600 (90.6%)	
95th pctile.	-	1100	850	-	1200 (95.5%)	1300 (94.2%)	680 (95.4%)	
Maximum	1500	1600	960	-	2200	4600	1500	
N=sites	45	937	171	-	331	500	434	2418
Mn (ppm)								
Median	440	715	508	538	569	733	805	
Mean	513	760.9	535.8	546.4	615.0	812.9	962	
SD	228	380.2	231.0	206	388.7	448.1	699.6	
CV	-	0.500	0.431	0.377	0.6	0.6	0.7	
Minimum	281	70	164	160	106	161	43	
90th pctile.	-	1238	834	786	869	1373 (90.5%)	1775 (90.3%)	
95th pctile.	-	1422	941	887	991	1773 (95.2%)	2320 (95.2%)	
Maximum	1273	3664	1259	1156	6061	2759	5041	
N=sites	45	937	171	151	331	496	435	2566

Determinations made on -230 mesh (<63 micron) fraction of tills

Barium: total barium determined by INA

Manganese: aqua regia digestion - ICP-ES (ICP-MS for data of Dixon-Warren and Hickin, this volume)

\* Not a regional-scale geochemical survey

surficial Ae horizon of the humo-ferric podzol (Photo 5) through to 1500 ppm in C horizon till. There appears to be a close relationship here between till geochemical results and the type of entrained material. A subangular to angular cobble of pink piedmontite schist (99-SJC-13) from this pit, one of several such clasts uncovered, returned similarly elevated barium and manganese concentrations of 2900 ppm (INA) and 708 ppm, respectively. Interestingly, it also returned 172 ppb gold (INA), whereas the enclosing soil horizons here have relatively low but uniform gold concentrations in the range 6-10 ppb. Subsequent reanalysis of remaining pulverized sample material by INA returned corroborative high barium and gold concentrations of 3300 ppm and 325 ppb, respectively.

There are additional similarities between the two profiles beyond the greater barium concentrations at depth. First, differences in barium concentrations between the two uppermost horizons (*e.g.* Ahe and Bm; Ae and Bf) are minimal compared to the much greater differ-

ences between these and the underlying C horizon tills. This relation also holds for the barium distribution in till from some other areas, such as profile 1 at the Jennings River study area (Table 6). Secondly, several other elements also increase down profile. These include manganese, zinc and, generally, iron and arsenic. Manganese concentrations, for instance, in the two C horizon tills (437-521 ppm) are 4 times greater than in the eluviated Ae or Ahe horizons near the surface. Some elements are distributed differently between the two profiles. Copper, for instance, is relatively constant with depth in the brunisol but increases with depth in the podzol. In this case the podzolic Bf horizon (12.94 ppm) has 2 times the copper content of the near-surface Ae horizon (5.49 ppm), but less than half that of C horizon till (29.1 ppm). This is attributed to the characteristically much greater clay content of till relative to near-surface mineral horizons.

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

A) Crinkled Chert Site 1a: Soil Profile 3

Figure 7. Manganese and copper (ICP-MS) and barium and arsenic (INA) concentrations in A) brunisolic and B) podzolic soil profiles in till at crinkled chert site 1. *See* Tables 4-6 for complete data listings.

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

Photo 5. Soil profile 1 (humo-ferric podzol) at crinkled chert site 1b northwest of Mt. Francis.

With regard to colluvial soils profiled adjacent to crinkled cherts, most have just a single horizon so there is insufficient data to compare barium distributions in till versus colluvial profiles. However, barium behaves in an opposite manner at one brunisolic profile (2) at locality 1a, decreasing with depth from 880 ppm (colluvial Bm horizon) to 560 ppm in underlying bedrock rubble. This is attributed to the downslope movement of higher-barium colluvial material above bedrock with a lower barium content. Interestingly, the highest gold concentrations in crinkled chert-area soil profiles also occur here. Gold distribution is similar to that of barium, decreasing down profile from 27 ppb in near-surface soil to just 1 ppb in bedrock rubble (Table 6).

#### Jennings River Quartz-sericite Schist (NTS 104N/09)

A composite rock sample (99-SJC-12) across the approximately 1.5 metre-wide altered quartz-sericite schist horizon exposed on the north side of the Jennings River yielded only background-level metal concentrations of 20 ppm copper, 17 ppm zinc, 4.7 ppm cobalt and 320 ppm

barium (INA). The rock outcrop is overlain by 12-14 metres of till and glaciolacustrine sediment, forming a steep riverbank exposure. Irrespective of the low metal concentrations in outcrop, till copper concentrations reported here by Dixon-Warren and Hickin (this volume) are in the range 49-83 ppm, with higher concentrations occurring at higher levels in the till. Till barium (INA) levels are similarly high here, in the range 910-1400 ppm.

Results here provide a good example of how an understanding of surficial materials and Quaternary processes can be applied to interpreting geochemical data. Neither of the two soil pits dug at the top of the riverbank above altered quartz-sericite schist were deep enough to intercept till beneath about 2 metres of exotic glaciofluvial sediment. However, till was sampled at a site (996043) on the steep riverbank about 10-12 metres below soil profile 1, and about 2 metres above the altered horizon. Geochemical results for this composite profile (Tables 5 and 6) provide a good illustration of the potential differences in metal concentrations between surficial materials of varying origins, and of the pitfalls in sampling soils, even when potentially close to mineralization, without understanding their derivation. Differences in metal concentrations between the near-surface brunisolic Bm horizon, and the glaciolacustrine IC horizon from which it developed, are relatively minor when compared to metal concentrations in the underlying till. Till is a first-derivative product of bedrock, and is inferred to have formed here from the up-ice entrainment of relatively locally-derived material. Conversely, low-metal glaciolacustrine sediments have typically been transported great distances and been extensively reworked. Copper concentrations, for example, in the glaciolacustrine Bm and IC horizons (996531 and 996532) are relatively low (19-35 ppm), but are 75 ppm in the underlying till. Similarly, barium (840-930 ppm INA) and mercury (23-42 ppb) levels in the glaciolacustrine soils are considerably less than the corresponding till concentrations of 1300 ppm and 189 ppb, respectively. Similar differences between glaciolacustrine soil horizons and underlying till are also evident for molybdenum, lead, zinc, arsenic, silver and cobalt, among others.

#### **Mineral Prospect Case Studies**

#### Arsenault Prospect (NTS 1040/13; MINFILE 1040 011)

Highly elevated concentrations of copper, molybdenum and several other elements are present in two soil profiles conducted at old exploration trenches at the Arsenault prospect (Figure 8). Both profiles are characterized by brunisolic soils developed in a thin colluvial veneer above bedrock.

Highest metal concentrations are in soil profile 2 (Tables 5-7) in the eastern wall of the westernmost trench. This profile is characterized by a Cu-Mo-Fe-Co-As-Se-Au-Ag-Bi geochemical signature. Elevated copper concentrations increase down profile, from 1370 ppm in the near-surface Bm horizon to 4977 ppm in deeper colluvium (45-65 cm depth). Molybdenum and cobalt levels display similar relations, increasing down profile in the ranges 53-142 ppm, and 31.7-74.4 ppm, respectively. Similar down profile trends are also exhibited by iron (maximum: 32.8 per cent) and manganese (maximum: 2869 ppm). Angular bedrock rubble sampled from the bottom of the soil pit (996510R) contains lower, but nonetheless highly elevated concentrations of copper (1546 ppm), molybdenum (114 ppm) and iron (17.0 per cent).

Profile 1, on the east wall of the easternmost trench, is characterized by a more restricted Cu-Mo-Fe-Co-As-Se-Au geochemical signature. Elevated copper concentrations, although lower than in profile 2, similarly increase down profile, from 586 ppm in the colluvial Bm horizon to 809 ppm in underlying colluvium. Molybdenum, iron and arsenic display similar trends (Tables 5 and 6). As with profile 2, underlying gneissic bedrock rubble (996506R) contains comparatively lower but nonetheless elevated copper (196 ppm) and molybdenum (19 ppm) levels. However, a significant difference here are the lower cobalt, iron, arsenic, manganese, selenium and gold concentrations in rock relative to overlying colluvial soils. For example, rock cobalt content is only 7.4 ppm relative to a range of 36.7 - 45.4 ppm in soils.

Other interesting similarities between the two profiles, beyond their base metal signatures, are elevated concentrations of gold and selenium and relatively low concentrations of zinc and barium. Gold concentrations in the four colluvial soil horizons here (Tables 5 and 6) are

![](_page_28_Figure_4.jpeg)

A) Arsenault Property: Soil Profile 1

Figure 8. Copper, manganese, molybdenum and selenium concentrations (ICP-MS) in two colluvial soil profiles at the Arsenault copper prospect. *See* table 5 for complete data listings.

in the range 25-35 ppb (INA), and are relatively constant with depth. Selenium levels are high here. They are in the range 18.9-19.3 ppm in profile 1, and in the range 28.9-50.8 ppm in profile 2 where, interestingly, elevated selenium (22.9 ppm) is also present in the underlying rubbly bedrock. In contrast, relatively low zinc and barium concentrations in the mineral horizons do not exceed 67.4 ppm and 450 ppm, respectively.

The range of elements reported here and by Dixon-Warren and Hickin (this volume), although limited to a few sites, is much greater than those from previous soil geochemical surveys of the Arsenault prospect. Soil samples obtained by Sawyer (1967) and Turnbull and Simpson (1970) were collected using hand augers or mattocks, screened to -80 mesh using nylon screens, and analyzed for copper and/or molybdenum using colorimetric or acid digestion-AAS techniques. Turnbull and Simpson (1970) reported that elevated soil copper levels were coincident with known mineralization, particularly in the east-west trending ridge area where skarn-like copper mineralization is exposed at surface. However, no information is given about the types of surficial materials sampled (e.g. till versus colluvium) in either survey, which might assist in indicating the glacial or colluvial transport directions (e.g. downice or downhill) necessary for effective follow-up of geochemical anomalies. Similarly, there is no indication that any orientation studies were conducted which might indicate the most effective horizons and/or sieve size fractions to sample at this deposit. Soils of Turnbull and Simpson (1970) are reported to have been from the B-horizon, while those of Sawyer (1967) are not specified. Sawyer (1967) stated that sampling was intended to have been from a common horizon, but that the variable soil cover of the area made this difficult to achieve. Property-scale copper results of Sawyer (1967) are reproduced by Dixon-Warren and Hickin (this volume).

#### Highway 97 Copper-bearing Gossan (NTS 1040/13; MINFILE 1040 054)

A single profile sampled immediately above the gossan exposure, on the south side of the Alaska Highway, comprises a thin oxidized till (996502) above rubbly siliceous pyritic greenstone (996503R; Tables 4 and 5) in the bottom of the pit. Elevated concentrations of copper (229 ppm), cobalt (24.6 ppm), arsenic (70.6 ppm), antimony (9.6 ppm INA) and bismuth (2.39 ppm) are present in the greenstone rubble, but are considerably lower in the overlying soil material, which was likely disturbed and displaced during road construction. Only background levels of copper (42 ppm) are present. As outlined earlier, a similar though more pronounced geochemical signature was reported by Mihalynuk *et al.* (1998) for the gossanous outcrop here.

A similar suite of elevated metal concentrations was also obtained for an angular limonitic cobble (99-SJC-03) sampled from one of the till pits (996002; Dixon-Warren and Hickin, this volume) south of the highway. Elevated levels of copper (132 ppm), cobalt (27.4 ppm) and antimony (24.2 ppm INA) were obtained. Dixon-Warren (this volume) reported only background concentrations of copper in till pits north and south of the highway. Dispersal patterns, if any, of copper-bearing till from this locality may never be conclusively established, as the orientation of the Alaska Highway here is approximately parallel to the glacial transport direction (Dixon-Warren and Hickin, 2000).

#### Teslin Lake Border Area (NTS 104N/16; MINFILE 104N 135)

Three grab samples of gossanous bedrock (99-SJC-06 to -08) were obtained on the east shore of Teslin Lake. The most interesting results are from a site about 3 kilometres south of the Yukon border, where a grab sample (99-SJC-07) from an approximately 1 metre-wide pyrite-rich zone of altered limonitic schist returned highly elevated concentrations of gold (338 pppb) and arsenic (308 ppm), along with elevated antimony (4.7 ppm) and silver (0.4 ppm). These were determined by INA on a 33.59 gram sample. A comparable result of 309 ppb gold was also determined directly by aqua regia digestion - ICP-MS on a separate 1 gram split, suggesting that the gold present in the rock occurs in a very fine-grained form. No chalcopyrite was observed, as reflected in the very low copper content (7 ppm) of the sample. INA reanalysis of the remaining pulverized sample material (22.46 gram sample) returned corroboratory concentrations of 350 ppb gold, 325 ppm arsenic and 5.7 ppm antimony. A split of the original crushed sample material is presently being reanalyzed by fire assay methods at a second laboratory.

In the remaining cases, a grab sample of pyritic quartz-veined garnet mica schist (99-SJC-06) obtained from the northern end of the lake shore outcrop belt (Mihalynuk et al., 1998) returned moderately high arsenic levels (43.1 ppm INA), but only background concentrations of copper (39 ppm) and cobalt (5.7 ppm). Another grab sample of limonitic rock (99-SJC-08) from the vicinity of a prior assay site of Mihalynuk et al. (1998) yielded elevated levels of copper (148 ppm), cobalt (28.1 ppm), silver (0.35 ppm), arsenic (62.8 ppm INA) and antimony (4.6 ppm INA), somewhat lower than earlier-reported results of 2.2 per cent copper and 28 ppm silver. Relatively high levels of cerium (56-105 ppm INA) and lanthanum (31.6-59.4 ppm INA) occur in all three rocks. Barium concentrations are in the range 440-610 ppm (INA).

#### METALLOGENY OF YUKON-TANANA TERRANE VMS DEPOSITS: APPLICATIONS TO GEOCHEMICAL EXPLORATION IN THE BIG SALMON COMPLEX

Volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits are typically polymetallic and high grade but often areally small. They present a more difficult geochemical exploration target in glaciated regions relative to, for example, porphyry copper deposits, which are associated with large hydrothermal alteration systems. An understanding of the geological and mineralogical characteristics of VMS deposits in general as well as those found elsewhere in the Yukon-Tanana Terrane is a necessary prerequisite for successful geochemical exploration in the Big Salmon Complex. Each deposit type has geochemical signatures related to host rock geology, alteration type and sulphide ore mineralogy which can be used in locating the dispersed remnants of the deposits in the surficial environment. Kuroko-type copper-lead-zinc VMS deposits typically have thin chert or barite exhalative horizons at upper levels and at peripheries, and have footwall alteration zones with quartz, sericite or chlorite zoning outwards to clay minerals, albite and carbonate (Hoy, 1995). They may have multi-element geochemical signatures with elevated levels of copper, zinc, lead, barium, silver, gold and other elements. Cyprus-type copper VMS deposits in mafic volcanics typically show iron and manganese enrichment of footwall stringer zones and may have overlying exhalative horizons of iron-rich mudstone or chert (Hoy, 1995). These deposits may also have a simpler sulphide mineralogy and a more limited copper-zinc geochemical signature.

# Metallogeny of Yukon-Tanana Terrane VMS Deposits

Volcanogenic massive sulphide deposits and prospects occur in three main areas of the Yukon-Tanana Terrane other than the Big Salmon Complex (Hunt, 1997): the Finlayson Lake area (YT), the Dawson-Alaska border area (YT) and the Delta district of eastern Alaska. In the latter case, for instance, Devonian metavolcanic and metasedimentary rocks of the Delta district host more than 35 generally Kuroko-type copper-lead-zinc VMS deposits (Lange et al., 1993). During the 1990's, exploration of the Finlayson Lake belt has uncovered several new copper-zinc-lead massive sulphide deposits, including the Kudz Ze Kayah (KZK), Wolverine, Fyre Lake, and Money deposits. Exploration of the Finlayson Lake belt is at a more advanced stage than in the Big Salmon Complex. The following section outlines their distinctive geological features and the geochemical exploration methods used to help discover them, and offers some preliminary suggestions for geochemical exploration in the Big Salmon Complex.

Finlayson Lake belt VMS deposits occur primarily within the 'middle package' of the Yukon-Tanana Terrane: Late Devonian to mid-Mississippian Nasina Assemblage quartzite, schist, marble and metavolcanic rocks and their equivalents, (Hunt, 1997). The two largest, Kudz Ze Kayah (KZK) and Wolverine, are Kuroko-style VMS deposits within Early Mississippian felsic metavolcanic and carbonaceous sedimentary rocks. KZK (11 million tonnes grading 5.9% Zn, 0.9% Cu, 1.5% Pb, 130 grams per tonne Ag and 1.3 grams per tonne Au; Burke, 1999) and Wolverine (6.2 million tonnes grading 12.7% Zn, 1.3% Cu, 1.5% Pb, 371 grams

per tonne Ag and 1.76 grams per tonne Au; Burke, 1999) are characterized by relatively fine- to medium-grained pyrite-sphalerite-chalcopyrite-galena massive sulphide mineralization. High silver grades and selenium content are present at Wolverine. The Fyre Lake deposit (Kona deposit) is a Besshi-type copper-cobalt-gold deposit within a chlorite schist host (Blanchflower *et al.*, 1997; Hunt, 1997). Mineralization occurs as massive pyrite-pyrrhotite with subordinate chalcopyrite-sphalerite. The Money copper-zinc prospect is hosted by similar, but less metamorphosed, pillow basalt (Hunt, 1997), and may be either a Besshi or Cyprus-type VMS occurrence. The Eldorado prospect comprises massive sulphides, pyrrhotite-pyrite stringers and quartz-arsenopyrite lenses in black shale, argillite and phyllite (Hunt, 1997). Several additional VMS prospects, Ice, Mamu and Wolf, also occur in the Pelly Mountains volcanic belt (Hunt, 1997), which may be correlative with Yukon-Tanana rocks.

#### Some Geological Similarities: Host Rocks, Alteration Mineralogy and Ore Geochemistry

A common feature of several Finlayson Lake belt deposits, other than KZK, is their proximity to siliceous iron formation marker horizons interpreted to be exhalative in origin. The Wolverine deposit is associated with a siliceous exhalite and baritic magnetite iron formation (Murphy and Piercey, 1999). At Fyre Lake, massive sulphide float boulders and coincident soil geochemical and geophysical anomalies are spatially associated with several horizons of stratiform iron formation. These horizons, hosted by metamorphosed mafic volcanic and volcaniclastic rocks, have inferred surface traces over 3.2 kilometres in the Kona Creek cirque (Blanchflower et al., 1997). At the Wolf deposit, a semi-massive barite/carbonate exhalite zone occurs stratigraphically just above the overturned massive sulphide zone (Gibson et al., 1999). At Mamu, fragmental felsic metavolcanics contain pyrite-bearing horizons which weather to prominent gossans. The pyritic cherts or tuffs here are also thought to represent exhalative horizons (Doherty, 1997).

Direct comparisons of alteration and sulphide mineralogy between the Finlayson Lake belt and Big Salmon Complex are more difficult to make because of the relatively few prospects known south of the Yukon border. With regard to alteration, Mg-chlorite footwall alteration zones envelope pyrite-chalcopyrite-pyrrhotite stringer mineralization at Wolverine (Tucker *et al.*, 1997). Sulphide ore zones of Finlayson Lake area deposits such as KZK and Wolverine are characterized by high silver and selenium concentrations, and soil profiles at the Arsenault prospect have relatively high levels of selenium.

# Geochemical Exploration for VMS Deposits in the Finlayson Lake Belt

Stream sediment and soil geochemical methods together with surface indications of mineralized float played a major role in exploration of the Finlayson Lake belt. The discovery of KZK by Cominco resulted from the follow-up, in 1992 and 1993, of anomalous zinc, lead and copper results from an NGR stream sediment survey which had been conducted by the Geological Survey of Canada in 1988 (Northern Miner, 1997). Elevated soil geochemical results, together with the 1993 discovery of mineralized sulphide float, led to the geophysical and drilling programs which outlined the deposit. Interestingly, an earlier low-density regional stream sediment survey conducted by Cominco in the late 1970's had failed to locate the deposit (Northern Miner, 1997). Initial reconnaissance exploration by Atna Resources, which led to the 1995 discovery of the Wolverine deposit, was also based on government regional geochemical data (Northern Miner, 1995). Drilling of subsequent multi-element soil geochemical anomalies led to the discovery of the deposit (Tucker et al., 1997). NGR stream sediment geochemical signatures around KZK, Wolverine and related deposits were reported by Hunt (1998a).

Soil geochemical results, discovery of surface sulphide float and, in some cases, the position of natural vegetation 'kill zones' (Northern Miner, 1995; Hunt, 1998b) have also been instrumental in the discovery and development of other deposits in the Finlayson Lake belt. Doherty (1997) stated that elevated molybdenum in soils is considered to be an indicator of felsic-hosted VMS mineralization in the area. At Fyre Lake, a large massive sulphide boulder found in 1960 on an esker near the south end of Fire Lake led to the original discovery of outcropping mineralization in Kona Creek (Blanchflower et al., 1997). Massive sulphide float and coincident soil geochemical anomalies here were spatially associated with several horizons of stratiform iron formation (Blanchflower et al., 1997). Ferricrete was also reported from nearby creeks (Hunt, 1997). At the Money deposit, elevated copper (>100 ppm) in soils parallel prospective stratigraphy for several hundred metres, and pyritic sulphide boulders occur as float in adjacent Boulder Creek. According to Hunt (1997), the Cyprus-type Ice property was discovered from a single 2000 ppm copper in soil anomaly.

There is considerable scope for the use of other geochemical methods in the Big Salmon Complex, including lithogeochemistry and vegetation sampling. Lithogeochemical sampling was considered by Sebert and Hunt (1999) to be a useful tool in discriminating chlorite schist hosting mineralization at the Fyre Lake deposit from barren chlorite schist units. They stated that chlorite schist hosting the Kona zones originated from a boninitic protolith, distinctive from the tholeitic nature of other mafic metavolcanics of the area. Relative to other chlorite schist units, the Kona Cirque chlorite schist host exhibits a relatively distinctive major and trace element

geochemical signature (higher MgO, SiO<sub>2</sub>, Cr; lower TiO<sub>2</sub>, Zr) and a distinctive rare earth element (REE) pattern. A more comprehensive lithogeochemical study by Piercey et al. (1999), results of which are beyond the scope of this paper, outlined four volcanic rock units in the Finlayson Lake area as being most prospective for hosting VMS mineralization. In contrast to lithogeochemistry, there is little published information on the use of biogeochemical exploration methods in the Yukon-Tanana Terrane. Biogeochemical orientation studies were conducted at two Yukon sites by Hunt et al. (1997): Matson Creek, and Bradens Canyon south of Dawson. At Matson Creek, an unglaciated area, black spruce twig geochemical results for copper, zinc, silver, cadmium and lead are generally coincident with B-horizon soil geochemical anomalies associated with known mineralization. At the glaciated Bradens Canvon site, however, twig geochemical results for mostly white spruce were of background levels only. They did not correlate with either paired soil sample results or with anomalous concentrations of lead, zinc, copper and other elements in NGR stream sediments.

Soil geochemistry and surface prospecting have been used successfully in the Finlayson Lake belt, but much of the area where the deposits occur are bedrock-dominated with only thin till and colluvial veneers (Jackson, 1994). Thin soils are more likely to reflect the presence nearby mineralization than are thick tills, where glacial dispersal distances are typically greater. There is little detailed orientation study information available on surficial materials, soil types and glacial dispersal distances here which might be applied to till-covered parts of the Big Salmon Complex.

#### SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Geochemical studies were conducted in several localities near regionally anomalous RGS watersheds, known mineral prospects and felsic volcanic units during the 1999 field season. Preliminary results are limited here to those obtained for soil profiles and rocks. Colluvial soil profiles at the Arsenault copper prospect contain highly elevated levels of copper, molybdenum and selenium, among other elements. Till and colluvial soil profiles near exposures of barium-rich exhalative crinkled chert units contain elevated barium concentrations which, in tills, generally increase down profile.

Preliminary recommendations are given here for VMS geochemical exploration in the Big Salmon Complex (Table 9). They are based on limited data available at time of writing, and are derived in part from general geological characteristics and geochemical signatures of Kuroko-style VMS deposits (*e.g.* Hoy, 1995), and from those characteristics of the Finlayson Lake belt deposits in particular. Recommendations are modified from those of Allan *et al.* (1972) who, with reference to lake sediment geochemical exploration in the Slave Province, proposed that various scales of geochemical exploration (*e.g.* regional to detailed) for Archean polymetallic massive sulphide deposits in the Canadian Shield follow hierarchical geochemical indicators related to geology, alteration and mineralogy of progressively smaller target areas:

- favourable felsic volcanic belts
- exhalative sedimentary rocks
- VMS alteration assemblages
- VMS sulphide mineral zones

More comprehensive recommendations will be prepared at a later date. Any sampling plan, particularly for regional-scale surveys, should consider the relatively small areal extent of VMS deposits and use a greater sampling density than might be used for, for example, porphyry copper deposit exploration. Modern multi-element analytical techniques such as ICP-MS provide a much wider range of useful elements than were available to explorationists previously, when often only a few elements such as copper and molybdenum were routinely determined.

#### **PROPOSED FUTURE WORK**

Future studies and survey work will involve both office and field components, and will focus on i) thematic compilation projects, ii) continued deposit-scale geochemical studies, iii) release of RGS archive data, and iv) collection and release of new RGS data:

 i) Continued compilation and interpretation of existing RGS data for adjacent terranes in northern British Columbia, notably a) those parts of the southeastern Dorsey Terrane recently mapped by Nelson (this volume) and, b) those areas underlain by Slide Mountain Terrane and northern Cache Creek Terrane rocks across six 1:250,000 map areas (NTS 104I, K, M, N, O, P). There is considerable potential for new VMS discoveries in these relatively unexplored parts of the province. The Slide Mountain Terrane hosts the Ice deposit in the southern Yukon as well as the Lang Creek showing (MINFILE 104P 008) in northern British Columbia. In

#### TABLE 9 GEOCHEMICAL EXPLORATION FOR KUROKO-TYPE VMS DEPOSITS (AFTER ALLAN *ET AL*., 1972)

1) Favourable felsic volcanic belts	Elevated K, Si Locally elevated Cu, Zn and related elements Depleted in Mg, Fe, Ti, Ni
2) Exhalative carbonate and iron-rich sediments	Elevated Mn, Ba
3) Alteration zones	Elevated Mg and K, depleted Na and Ca, at quartz- sericite-chlorite-rich cores of footwall alteration pipes
4) Sulphide mineral zones	Elevated Cu, Zn, Pb, Ag, Ba, Fe, As, Se, related elements

the Cache Creek Terrane, Permo-Triassic bimodal mafic-felsic volcanics and subordinate sedimentary rocks host the Kutcho Creek (MINFILE 104I 060) copper-zinc deposit (Childe and Schiarizza, 1997; Barrett *et al.*, 1996). The VMS potential of parts of the Cache Creek Group has also been highlighted by recent mapping of rhyodacite units within the French Range Formation (Mihalynuk and Cordey, 1997). Thematic RGS geochemical maps will be prepared for each terrane to highlight those elements typically associated with VMS deposits and their host rocks.

- ii) Continuation of geochemical case studies in the Big Salmon Complex, and new case study investigations of geochemical dispersal at VMS and other prospects in the Dorsey, Cache Creek and Slide Mountain Terranes.
- iii) Release of new RGS stream sediment archive data for the Atlin (NTS 104N), Jennings River (NTS 104O) and McDame (NTS 104P) map areas is planned for early summer, 2000.
- iv) Stream sediment-lake sediment RGS coverage is proposed for the Dease Lake (NTS 104J) map area, the last remaining unsurveyed area in the region. Completion of RGS coverage there would provide necessary regional data to evaluate the VMS and Pogo-style deposit potential of the area, and allow completion of thematic geochemical maps for the Cache Creek Terrane.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The assistance of members of the Ancient Pacific Margin NATMAP Project, particularly A. Dixon-Warren, M. Mihalynuk and J. Nelson of the Geological Survey Branch, is gratefully acknowledged. C. Roots, Geological Survey of Canada, masterminded the logistics of the Morley Lake base camp. A. Hickin assisted with field work and later crushed and pulverized rock samples. Prof. K. Telmer, University of Victoria, provided distilled water for the project, loaned several items of water sampling equipment and supervised the B.Sc. thesis of the junior author. Special thanks are due to A. Paige of Fireweed Helicopters, Whitehorse, for his skillful flying during the course of the project. Vegetation samples were graciously ashed by C. Dunn, Geological Survey of Canada. G. McArthur, R.E. Lett, A. Dixon-Warren and M. Mihalynuk reviewed and commented on earlier versions of the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- Agriculture Canada Expert Committee on Soil Survey (1987): The Canadian System of Soil Classification, Second Edition; *Agriculture Canada*, Publication 1646, 164 pages.
- Aitken, J.D. (1959): Geology of the Atlin map-area, British Columbia (104/N); *Geological Survey of Canada*, Memoir 307.
- Allan, R.J., Cameron, E.M. and Durham, C.C. (1972): Reconnaissance Geochemistry Using Lake Sediments of a 36,000-Square-Mile Area of the Northwestern Canadian Shield; *Geological Survey of Canada*, Paper 72-50.
- Blanchflower, D., Deighton, J. and Foreman, I. (1997): The Fyre Lake Deposit: A New Copper-Cobalt-Gold VMS Discov-

ery; *in* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1996, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, *Indian and Northern Affairs Canada*, pages 46-52.

- Barrett, T.J., Thompson, J.F.H. and Sherlock, R.L. (1996): Stratigraphic, Lithogeochemical and Tectonic Setting of the Kutcho Creek Massive Sulfide Deposit, Northern British Columbia; *Exploration and Mining Geology*, volume 5, pages 309-338.
- Bobrowsky, P.T., Paulen, R., Little, E., Prebble, A., Ledwon, A. and Lett, R. (1998): Till Geochemistry of the Louis Creek -Chu Chua Area (92P/1E, 92P/8E); B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines, Open File 1998-6.
- Bobrowsky, P.T., Leboe, E.R., Dixon-Warren, A., Ledwon, A., MacDougall, D. and Sibbick, S.J. (1997): Till Geochemistry of the Adams Lake Plateau - North Barriere Lake Area (82M/4, 5); B.C. Ministry of Employment and Investment, Open File 1997-9.
- Bobrowsky, P.T. and Sibbick, S.J. (1996): Till Geochemistry of Northern Vancouver Island Area (92L/5, 6W, 11W, 12); B.C. Ministry of Employment and Investment, Open File 1996-7.
- Bowman, W.S. (1994): Catalogue of Certified Reference Materials; *Canada Centre for Mineral and Energy Technology*, Natural Resources Canada, CCRMP 94-1E.
- Burke, M. (1999): Yukon Mining and Exploration Overview-1998; *in* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1998, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, *Indian and Northern Affairs Canada*, pages 2-30.
- Childe, F.C. and Schiarizza, P. (1997): U-Pb Geochronology, Geochemistry and Nd Isotopic Systematics of the Sitlika Assemblage, Central British Columbia; *in* Geological Fieldwork 1996, *B.C. Ministry of Employment and Investment*, Paper 1997-1, pages 69-77.
- Cook, S.J., Jackaman, W. and Matysek, P.F. (1992): Follow-up Investigation of Anomalous RGS Stream Sediment Sites in Southeastern British Columbia: Guide to Potential Discoveries (82E, F, G, J, K, L); *B.C. Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources*, Exploration in British Columbia 1991, pages 51-59.
- Cook, S.J., Lett, R.E.W., Levson, V.M., Jackaman, W., Coneys, A.M. and Wyatt, GJ. (1998): Regional Lake Sediment and Water Geochemistry of the Babine Porphyry Belt, Central British Columbia (93L/9, 16; 93M/1, 2, 7, 8); *B.C. Ministry* of Employment and Investment, Open File 1997-17, 31 pages.
- Cook, S.J. (in preparation): Follow-up Investigations of Some Anomalous RGS Stream Sediment Sites in Central British Columbia (94L/16; 93N/4); *B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines.*
- Dixon-Warren, A. and Hickin, A. (this volume): Ancient Pacific Margin NATMAP Project Part IV: Surficial Mapping and Till Geochemistry in the Swift River Area, northwestern British Columbia; B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines, Geological Fieldwork 1999, Paper 2000-1.
- Dixon-Warren, A. and Hickin, A. (2000): Surficial Geology of the Swift River Area (104N/9, 16; 104O/NW); *B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines*, Open File 2000-5.
- Doherty, A. (1997): Mamu-Bravo-Kulan Claims: A VHMS Exploration Target based on Geochemical and Geophysical Anomalies in Mississippian Volcanics within Cassiar Platform (NTS 105F/7, 8, 9, 10); *in* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1996, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, *Indian and Northern Affairs Canada*, pages 56-61.
- Dunn, C.E. (1995): Biogeochemical Prospecting in Drift-Covered Terrain of British Columbia; *in* Drift Exploration in the Canadian Cordillera, P.T. Bobrowsky, S.J. Sibbick, J.M. Newell and P.F. Matysek, Editors, *B.C. Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources*, Paper 1995-2, pages 229-238.
- Dunn, C.E. (1999): Biogeochemical Exploration Methods in the Canadian Shield and Cordillera; *in* Drift Exploration in Gla-

ciated Terrain, Association of Exploration Geochemists, Short Course Notes, 19<sup>th</sup> International Geochemical Exploration Symposium, pages 164-181.

- EPA (1999): Method 1631, Revision B: Mercury in water by oxidation, purge and trap, and cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry; *United States Environmental Protection Agency*, internet report EPA-821-R-99-005, May 1999.
- Gabrielse, H. (1969): Geology of Jennings River map-area, British Columbia (104O); *Geological Survey of Canada*, Paper 68-55.
- Gibson, S.M., Holbek, P.M. and Wilson, R.G. (1999): The Wolf Property - 1998 Update: Volcanogenic Massive Sulphides Hosted by Rift-Related, Alkaline, Felsic Volcanic Rocks, Pelly Mountain, Yukon; *in* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1998, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, *Indian and Northern Affairs Canada*, pages 237-242.
- Gravel, J.L. and Matysek, P.F. (1989): 1988 Regional Geochemical Survey, Northern Vancouver Island and Adjacent Mainland (92E, 92K, 92L, 102I); *in* Geological Fieldwork 1988, *B.C. Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources*, Paper 1989-1, pages 585-591.
- Hunt, J.A. (1997): Massive Sulphide Deposits in the Yukon-Tanana and adjacent Terranes; *in* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1996, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, *Indian and Northern Affairs Canada*, pages 35-45.
- Hunt, J.A. (1998a): VMS Exploration in the Yukon-Tanana Terrane; *in* Pathways '98, Extended Abstract Volume, *Society of Economic Geologists*, pages 205-207.
- Hunt, J.A. (1998b): The Setting of Volcanogenic Massive Sulphide Deposits in the Finlayson Lake District; *in* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1997, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, *Indian and Northern Affairs Canada*, pages 99-104.
- Hunt, J.A., Dunn, C.E., Timmerman, J.R.M. and Zantvoort, W.G. (1997): Biogeochemical Prospecting in the Yukon-Tanana Terrane, Yukon Territory; *in* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1996, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, *Indian and Northern Affairs Canada*, pages 74-91.
- Hoy, T. (1995): Besshi, Cyprus and Noranda/Kuroko Massive Sulphide Types; in Selected British Columbia Mineral Deposit Profiles: Volume 1-Metallics and Coal, D.V. Lefebure and G.E. Ray, Editors, B.C. Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Open File 1995-20, pages 49-54.
- Jackaman, W. (in preparation): Regional Geochemical Surveys of the Atlin, Jennings River and McDame map areas (104N, O, P); B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines, RGS.
- Jackaman, W., Cook, S.J. and Lett, R. (2000): Regional Geochemical Survey Program: Review of 1999 Activities; *in* Geological Fieldwork 1999, *B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines*, Paper 2000-1.
- Jackson, L.E., Jr. (1994): Terrain Inventory and Quaternary History of the Pelly River Area, Yukon Territory; *Geological Survey of Canada*, Memoir 437.
- Kabata-Pendias, A. and Pendias, H. (1992): Trace Elements in Soils and Plants, Second Edition; *CRC Press*, 365 pages.
- Lange, I.M., Nokleberg, W.J., Newkirk, S.R., Aleinikoff, J.N., Church, S.E. and Krouse, H.R. (1993): Devonian Volcanogenic Massive Sulfide Deposits and Occurrences, Southern Yukon-Tanana Terrane, Eastern Alaska Range, Alaska; *Economic Geology*, Volume 88, pages 344-376.
- Lett, R.E., Jackaman, W. and Yeow, A. (1999): Detailed Geochemical Exploration Techniques for Base and Precious Metals in the Kootenay Terrane (82L/13, 14; 82M/4, 5; 92P/1); *in* Geological Fieldwork 1998, *B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines*, Paper 1999-1, pages 297 to 306.
- Levson, V.M., Giles, T.R., Cook, S.J. and Jackaman, W. (1994): Till Geochemistry of the Fawnie Creek Map Area (93F/03);

B.C. Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources, Open File 1994-18, 34 pages.

- Levson, V.M. and Stumpf, A. (2000): Till Geochemistry of the Babine Porphyry Belt; *B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines*, Open File 1999-12.
- Mihalynuk, M., Nelson, J., Roots, C., Friedman, R.M. and de Keijzer, M. (this volume): Ancient Pacific Margin Part III: Regional Geology and Mineralization of the Big Salmon Complex (104N/9,10 & 104O/12,13,14W); B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines, Geological Fieldwork 1999, Paper 2000-1.
- Mihalynuk, M. (2000): Geology of the Smart River Map Area (1040/13); *B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines*, Open File 2000-6.
- Mihalynuk, M., Bellefontaine, K., Brown, D., Logan, J., Nelson, J., Legun, A. and Diakow, L. (1996): Digital Geology, Northwestern British Columbia (94/E, L, M; 104/F, G, H, I, J, K, L, M, N, O, P; 114/I, O, P); *B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines*, Open File 1996-11.
- Mihalynuk, M. and Cordey, F. (1997): Potential for Kutcho Creek Volcanogenic Massive Sulphide Mineralization in the Northern Cache Creek Terrane: A Progress Report; *in* Geological Fieldwork 1996, *B.C. Ministry of Employment and Investment*, Paper 1997-1, pages 157-170.
- Mihalynuk, M., Nelson, J.L. and Friedman, R.M. (1998): Regional Geology and Mineralization of the Big Salmon Complex (104N/NE and 104O/NW); *in* Geological Fieldwork 1997, *B.C. Ministry of Employment and Investment*, Paper 1998-1, pages 6-1 to 6-20.
- Murphy, D.C. (1998): Stratigraphic Framework for Syngenetic Mineral Occurrences, Yukon-Tanana South of Finlayson Lake: A Progress Report; *in* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1997, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, *Indian and Northern Affairs Canada*, pages 51-58.
- Murphy, D.C. and Piercey, S.J. (1999): Finlayson Project: Geological Evolution of Yukon-Tanana Terrane and its Relationship to Campbell Range Belt, Northern Wolverine Lake Map Area, Southeastern Yukon; *in* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1998, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, *Indian and Northern Affairs Canada*, pages 47-62.
- NGR (1981): National Geochemical Reconnaissance Southern Yukon Territory and Northern British Columbia (104N, O, P; 105B); *Geological Survey of Canada*, 1:2,000,000 Coloured Compilation Map Series, Open File 733.
- Nelson, J.L. (1997): Last Seen Heading South: Extensions of the Yukon-Tanana Terrane into Northern British Columbia; *in* Geological Fieldwork 1996, *B.C. Ministry of Employment and Investment*, Paper 1997-1, pages 145-156.
- Nelson, J.L. (1999): Devono-Mississippian VMS Project: Continuing Studies in the Dorsey Terrane, Northern British Columbia; in Geological Fieldwork 1998, B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines, Paper 1999-1, pages 143-155.
- Nelson, J. (this volume): Ancient Pacific Margin Part VI: Still heading south: continuation and re-evaluation of potential VMS hosts in the eastern Dorsey Terrane, Jennings River (1040/1; 7,8,9,10); B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines, Geological Fieldwork 1999, Paper 2000-1.
- Nelson, J., Harms, T.A., Zantvoort, W., Gleeson, T. and Wahl, K. (2000): Geology of the southeastern Dorsey Terrrane, 104O/7, 8, 9, 10; *B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines*, Geological Survey Branch, Open File 2000-4.
- Nelson, J.L., Harms, T. and Mortensen, J. (1998): Extensions and Affiliates of the Yukon-Tanana Terrane in Northern British Columbia; *B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines*, Paper 1998-1, pages 7-1 to 7-12.
- Northern Miner (1995): 'Kudz Ze Kayah discovery augers well for exploration in Yukon'; *The Northern Miner*, November 27, 1995 issue, pages C1-C4.

- Northern Miner (1997): 'Perseverance pays for Cominco in Yukon'; *The Northern Miner*, September 15, 1997 issue, pages B1-B3.
- Pass, H.E. (in preparation): Geochemistry of the Suspended and Dissolved Loads in Streams of the Big Salmon Complex, Northern British Columbia; *University of Victoria*, unpublished B.Sc. thesis.
- Phendler, R.W. (1982): Report on Assessment Work (Diamond Drilling) on the Arsenault #1, #2, and #3 Claims, Jennings River Area, Atlin Mining Division, British Columbia; B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines, Assessment Report 10411.
- Piercey, S.J., Hunt, J.A. and Murphy, D.C. (1999): Lithogeochemistry of Meta-Volcanic Rocks from Yukon-Tanana Terrane, Finlayson Lake Region, Yukon: Preliminary Results; *in* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1998, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, *Indian and Northern Affairs Canada*, pages 125-138.
- RGS (1978): Regional Geochemical Survey, Atlin map area (NTS 104N); *B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines*, NGR 28.
- RGS (1979): Regional Geochemical Survey, Jennings River map area (NTS 104O); *B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines*, NGR 41.
- Rose, A.W., Hawkes, H.E. and Webb, J.S. (1979): Geochemistry in Mineral Exploration, Second Edition; *Academic Press*, 657 pages.
- Sawyer, J.B.P. (1967): Geological and Geochemical and Physical Report for Assessment Credit on the Top Claim Group, Swift Lake Area, B.C.; B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines, Assessment Report 1149.
- Sawyer, J.B.P. (1979): Report on the 1979 Drilling Program on the Arsenault Claims Copper Prospect, Jennings River Area, Atlin Mining Division, B.C.; B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines, Assessment Report 8022.
- Schroeter, T.G. (1999): British Columbia 1998 Mineral Exploration Review; B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines, Information Circular 1999-1, 18 pages.
- Sibbick, S.J. and Laurus, K.A. (1995): Investigation of a Natural Acid Rock Drainage and an Anomalous Mercury-Bearing Stream, Northern Vancouver Island (92L/12, 102I/9); *in* Geological Fieldwork 1994, *B.C. Ministry of Energy, Mines and Petroleum Resources*, Paper 1995-1, pages 67-70.
- Sebert, C. and Hunt, J.A. (1999): A Note on Preliminary Lithogeochemistry of the Fire Lake Area; *in* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1998, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, *Indian and Northern Affairs Canada*, pages 139-142.
- Telmer, K. (1997): Field and Laboratory Methodology: Ecogeochemistry in the Tapajos River Basin - Canada-Brazil International Cooperation Project; *Geological Survey of Canada*, unpublished internal report.
- Traynor, S. (1999): Tanana Exploration update on Southern Yukon/Northern B.C., Bigtop, Arsenault and King Lake Properties; unpublished promotional report.
- Tucker, T.L., Turner, A.J., Terry, D.A. and Bradshaw, G.D. (1997): Wolverine Massive Sulfide Project, Yukon; *in* Yukon Exploration and Geology 1996, Exploration and Geological Services Division, Yukon, *Indian and Northern Affairs Canada*, pages 53-55.
- Turnbull, I. and Simpson, J.G. (1970): Report on a Geochemical Survey and Physical Work on the Top Claim Group, Atlin Mining District; B.C. Ministry of Energy and Mines, Assessment Report 2976.
- Weary, G.F., Levson, V.M. and Broster, B.E. (1997): Till Geochemistry of the Chedakuz Creek Map Area, British Columbia (93F/7); B.C. Ministry of Employment and Investment, Open File 1997-1