# Composition of Logtung Beryl (aquamarine) by ICPES/MS: A Comparison with Beryl Worldwide

by Mitchell G. Mihalynuk and Ray Lett

Keywords: Beryl, aquamarine, Logtung deposit, ICPMS, chemical analysis

## **INTRODUCTION**

Beryl is a beryllium-aluminum-silicate mineral. When it grows unimpeded, it forms hexagonal prisms. In its simplest form, its chemical formula is Be<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>[Si<sub>6</sub>O<sub>18</sub>], and it is clear or white in colour. Addition of Cr, V, Mn, or Fe into the formula can result in some of the world's most sought after gemstones: green emerald (Cr,  $\pm$ V), pink morganite (Mn), yellow heliodor (Fe), and blue aquamarine (Fe). Yet, no simple addition of these key elements will do; the amount and valence of the element and site of its occupancy within the crystal lattice are key to colour. Beryl's molecular structure is dominated by hexagonal rings comprised of six Si-O tetrahedra (Figure 1). Linking the rings are tetrahedrally coordinated Be (±Fe, Mn, Mg) and octahedrally coordinated Al (±Fe, Cr, V). The rings are stacked parallel to the crystal prism (z axis) producing hollow channels. This "zeolite" structure, named after a family of minerals known as molecular sieves, permits occupancy of water and cations (particularly alkalis, Na, Li, K, Cs, Rb) within the crystal lattice, but outside of the crystal formula unit. Thus, up to 8% alkalis (Deer et al., 1966) in igneous beryl, and an amazing 14% in hydrothermal beryl (Vianna et al., 2002b) can be accommodated along with a charge balance not accounted for in the formula unit. Schaller et al. (1962) described beryl chemistry as an isomorphic series between the end members  $Be_3Al_2Si_6O_{18}$ , (Na, Cs) $Be_2Al(Al, Li)Si_6O_{18}$ , and (Na,K,Cs) $Be_3R^{3+}R^{2+}Si_6O_{18}$  where  $R^{3+} = Al$ , Fe, Cr and Sc, and  $R^{2+} = Be$ , Fe, Mn and Mg. In consideration of the channel components, the formula may be generally presented as:  $R^{2+}Be_2R^{3+}Al[Si_6O_{18}].(H_2O)(Na,\Box).$ 

Refractive index of beryl increases with increasing content of alkalis in the channels as the channels would otherwise be empty space within the crystal structure. When iron occupies the channels it appears to be a quintessential agent in the colouration (*i.e.* the chromophore) of aquamarine gemstones (Price *et al.*, 1976; Vianna *et al.*, 2002a). A correlation between Fe content and colour of aquamarine has long been known, although some authors have attributed colouration to other agents such as Cs (Sosedko, 1957). Mössbauer spectroscopy in particular has helped to isolate the chromophore's position within the crystal lattice. Combining this technique with other spectroscopic methods it can be reasonably inferred that ferric iron with octahedral coordination produces a yellow colour, while

ferrous iron in the octahedral site has no effect on colour, and ferrous iron within channels produces a deep blue colour (Vianna *et al.*, 2002b). These same authors showed that in one small population of samples deep blue aquamarines have little  $Fe^{3+}$  and greener samples contain more  $Fe^{3+}$  or less  $Fe^{2+}$  in channels. The common process of heat-treating aquamarine to produce darker blue colour may work through charge transfer of  $Fe^{3+}$  to  $Fe^{2+}$ , thereby eliminating the greenish cast (Blak *et al.*, 1982).

In this paper we report on the chemical composition of blue beryl that is abundant at the Logtung tungsten-molybdenum deposit of northwestern BC (Figure 2). Mineralization is associated with a porphyritic quartz monzonite intrusion dated at ~58 Ma (U-Pb zircon; Mihalynuk and Heaman, 2002) although previous isotopic age determinations suggest an age of around 109  $\pm 2$  Ma (K-Ar muscovite; Hunt and Roddick, 1987) to 118  $\pm 2$  Ma (Rb-Sr; Stewart and Evensen, 1983).Observations reported on here are limited to a few person-days devoted mainly by Ministry of Energy and Mines personnel to mapping the area around the Logtung deposit as part of the National Mapping Program (Ancient Pacific Margin NATMAP, 1999-2000; Mihalynuk *et al.*, 2000). Geological work un-



Figure 1. Atomic structure of Beryl, modified after (Deer *et al.*, 1966). Atom loci are projected onto basal 0001 plane and the lower Si-O ring is shown by dashed bonds. Grey trapezoid outlines the unit cell. Ring channels may be occupied by water alkalis and ferrous iron.



British Columbia Geological Survey 141 Geological Fieldwork 2003



Figure 2. Location of the Logtung porphyry, adapted from (Mihalynuk and Heaman, 2002).

der the NATMAP program was not specifically aimed at beryl, however, blue beryl was reported previously at the Logtung deposit (MINFILE number 104O 016) and we found to it be common, with crystals up to 1.5 cm in diameter and 3 cm long. Unfortunately, all large specimens observed were cloudy or heavily included. Clear crystals tended to be less than 3 mm in diameter.

If large, gem quality stones are to be found at Logtung, a more methodical and intense exploration program than our ancillary approach will be required. To help determine if such exploration is worthwhile, we analyzed a sample of Logtung beryl to compare its chemical affinity with known gem occurrences from around the globe. The chemistry and structure of beryl from some of these occurrences is very well characterized, providing a good benchmark for comparison.

#### Methods

About 2 grams of beryl were extracted from a single fist-sized sample, crushed with an agate mortar and pestle to a particle size of less than ~1 mm and then carefully sorted. Clear, inclusion-free grains were separated and powdered in the mortar and pestle, resulting in a final sample yield of 0.8 g. The powder was submitted to ACME Analytical Laboratories, Vancouver, for analysis by lithium metaborate (LiBO<sub>2</sub>)fusion followed by Inductively Coupled Plasma Emission and Mass Spectrometry (ICPES/MS).

A sample aliquot of 0.2g was fused at 900°C with LiBO<sub>2</sub>which enables complete dissolution of the residue in HNO<sub>3</sub> for analysis by ICPES/MS. This technique provides an analytical sensitivity with a small sample size that is comparable to wet chemical or microprobe analyses of beryl published previously (Table 1). Benefits of ICPES/MS include ease of sample preparation, and the large number of major and trace elements can be determined simultaneously. Drawbacks include the inability to analyze Li<sub>2</sub>O content because of the addition LiBO<sub>2</sub> and possible loss of Li during fusion, inability to distinguish Fe valance state, and lack of volatiles determination (e.g.  $H_2O$ ). As discussed above,  $H_2O+$  and  $H_2O-$  are principally restricted to crystal channels and do not enter into the crystal structure.  $Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+}$  can be estimated from cationic site occupancy balance. However, lack of Li<sub>2</sub>O is a serious drawback because the beryl crystal structure can incorporate a large proportion of Li. In the case of the Logtung beryl, Li may be an important constituent based upon the low oxide totals and the results of cationic ratio calculations (Tables 1 and 2).

## Results

ICPES/MS analytical results are reported at the bottom of Table 1. ICPES/MS detection limits reported are comparable or better than those reported for the results by other methods listed in Table 1. Figure 3a shows that the most abundant oxides of Si and Al are low in the Logtung beryl

	0.	Ref. Method	]** 	Si02	Ti02	AI203 (	Cr203 F	'e2O3	V203	FeO	BeO	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na2O	K20	Rb2O	Cs20	Li20 ]	H2O+	H20-	Total
		1		64.76		18.91					12.83				1.23	0.23			0.14	1.96	0.01	100.07
		1		64.99		17.17		0.97			12.89		0.31		1.08	0.29				1.62	0	100.08
$ \begin{array}{                                     $		1		63.1	0.04	14.08				0.7	11.07	0.03	3.37	2.31	3.32	0.29				2.76	0	100.07
		2		99	0.03	19.34		2.04			10.75	0.02			0.04	0.03				0.59	0.38	99.22
		2		63.66		20.89		0.83			12.49	0.01	0.01		0.24	0.05				0.74	0.38	99.30
		2		63.94	0.01	20.98		2.04			10.08	0.02			0.32	0.09				1.15	0.47	99.11
		3		59.52	0.05	10.63	0.09	2.08		2.24	12.49	0.29	2.16	0.11	1.16	0.16		6.68	0.23	1.62		99.88
		4 micropro	be#	65.12		18.84	0.01		0.03	0.46	13.6		0.03		0.1				0.02	0.95		99.21
		4 micropro	be#	65.45		18.24				0.89	13.65		0.04		0.17				0.02	1.04		99.50
		4 micropro	obe#	65.83		18.7	0.02		0.01	1.13	13.65		0.02		0.14				0.02	1.04		100.56
$5^*$ $65.14$ $0.06$ $8.2$ $0.65$ $0.28$ $1.2.82$ $0.5$ $0.5$ $0.4$ $0.05$ $1.98$ $0.23$ $100.31$ $6^*$ $64.16$ $18.73$ $0.28$ $0.28$ $12.98$ $1.29$ $0.29$ $0.44$ $2.5$ $1.41$ $0.02$ $99.77$ $7$ $61.88$ $0.01$ $17.1$ $0.08$ $12.3$ $0.01$ $0.02$ $0.44$ $2.5$ $4.13$ $0.6$ $2.26$ $0.16$ $99.27$ $8$ $XRFEDS/ICP$ $67.0$ $18.3$ $0.22$ $0.66$ $13.5$ $0.01$ $0.00$ $0.01$ $0.06$ $0.1$ $10.7$ $0.22$ $0.44$ $2.5$ $4.13$ $0.6$ $2.26$ $0.16$ $99.27$ $8$ $XRFEDS/ICP$ $67.1$ $18.3$ $0.22$ $0.66$ $13.5$ $0.01$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $0.01$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $10.6$ $0.11$ $10.7$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $10.6$ $0.11$ $10.7$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $10.6$ $0.11$ $10.6$ $0.02$ $10.16$ $8$ $XRFEDS/ICP$ $67.1$ $17.9$ $0.22$ $12.9$ $0.01$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $10.6$ $0.01$ $10.6$ $0.11$ $10.6$ $0.11$ $10.16$ $8$ $XRFEDS/ICP$ $67.1$ $17.9$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $10.6$ $0.11$ $10.12$ $00.11$ $10.12$		4 micropro	be#	65.53		18.39	0.08		0.06	0.31	13.7		0.2		0.15				0.01	1.13		99.56
		5*		65.14	0.06	18.2		0.65		0.28	12.82		0.5		0.4	0.05				1.98	0.23	100.31
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		6*		64.16		18.73		0.28			12.98				1.27	0.39		0.42	0.08	1.44	0.02	99.77
8 XRF/EDS/ICP 67.0 18.3 0.25 0.66 13.5 0.01 0.09 0.04 0.13 0.01 0.06 0.1 1.05 101.00 0.01 0.006 0.09 0.99 101.80 8 XRF/EDS/ICP 67.3 18.0 0.22 0.65 13.6 0.03 0.1 0.03 0.12 0.01 0.006 0.09 0.99 101.80 8 XRF/EDS/ICP 67.3 18.2 0.53 12.9 0.05 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.04 0.11 1.22 0.81 101.60 8 XRF/EDS/ICP 65.4 15.8 0.12 1.69 0.05 0.03 0.12 0.02 0.04 0.11 1.22 0.81 101.60 8 XRF/EDS/ICP 65.4 15.8 0.12 1.68 0.10 0.06 0.03 0.12 0.02 0.04 0.11 1.22 0.81 101.60 8 XRF/EDS/ICP 65.4 15.8 0.12 1.68 0.10 0.70 0.03 0.57 0.57 0.02 0.57 0.02 0.57 0.02 0.94 0.11 1.22 0.81 101.60 8 XRF/EDS/ICP 65.4 15.8 0.12 1.66 12.1 0.01 0.7 0.03 1.35 0.14 0.06 0.35 0.52 0.24 1.85 100.30 8 XRF/EDS/ICP 66.5 17.7 0.05 1.53 0.10 0.01 0.7 0.03 1.35 0.14 0.06 0.35 0.52 0.21 101.60 9 XRF/EDS/ICP 66.8 17.7 0.05 1.58 0.01 0.7 0.01 0.7 0.03 1.35 0.14 0.06 0.35 0.52 0.21 101.60 9 XRF/EDS/ICP 66.8 17.7 0.05 1.53 0.01 0.01 0.7 0.03 1.35 0.14 0.06 0.35 0.52 0.21 101.60 9 0.36 0.35 0.55 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.52 0.54 101.60 9 0.36 0.35 0.55 0.52 0.52 0.53 0.55 0.52 0.54 101.60 9 0.36 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.55 0.5		7		61.88	0.01	17.1		0.08			10.54		0.22	0.44	2.5			4.13	0.6	2.26	0.16	99.92
8         XRF/EDS/ICP $67.9$ 18.0 $0.22$ $0.65$ $13.6$ $0.03$ $0.12$ $0.01$ $0.06$ $0.99$ $101.80$ 8         XRF/EDS/ICP $67.3$ 18.2 $0.53$ $0.22$ $0.65$ $13.6$ $0.7$ $0.01$ $0.06$ $0.99$ $0.01$ $0.10$ $0.06$ $0.99$ $0.01$ $0.16$ $0.12$ $0.11$ $1.22$ $0.21$ $1.12$ $0.12$ $0.21$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.12$ $0.21$ $0.12$ $0.21$ $0.12$ $0.21$ $0.22$ $0.22$ $0.22$ $0.22$		8 XRF/ED	S/ICP	67.0		18.3		0.25		0.66	13.5	0.01	0.09	0.04	0.13		0.01	0.006	0.1	1.05		101.20
8         XRF/EDS/ICP $67.3$ 18.2 $0.53$ $12.9$ $0.05$ $0.2$ $0.05$ $0.53$ $0.12$ $0.11$ $1.36$ $0.61$ $101.90$ 8         XRF/EDS/ICP $67.1$ $17.9$ $0.62$ $12.9$ $0.05$ $0.26$ $0.02$ $0.64$ $0.11$ $1.22$ $0.81$ $101.60$ 8         XRF/EDS/ICP $65.4$ $15.3$ $0.12$ $1.66$ $12.1$ $0.01$ $0.26$ $0.25$ $0.27$ $0.02$ $0.74$ $1.85$ $101.60$ 8         XRF/EDS/ICP $65.5$ $15.3$ $0.19$ $2.35$ $12.3$ $0.01$ $0.2$		8 XRF/ED	S/ICP	67.9		18.0		0.22		0.65	13.6	0.03	0.1	0.03	0.12		0.01	0.006	0.09	0.99		101.80
8         XRF/EDS/ICP $67.1$ $17.9$ $0.62$ $12.9$ $0.01$ $0.26$ $0.02$ $0.57$ $0.02$ $0.01$ $1.22$ $0.81$ $101.60$ 8         XRF/EDS/ICP $65.4$ $15.8$ $0.12$ $1.66$ $12.1$ $0.01$ $0.7$ $0.02$ $1.35$ $0.14$ $0.06$ $0.35$ $0.52$ $0.24$ $1.85$ $100.30$ 8         XRF/EDS/ICP $65.5$ $17.3$ $0.19$ $2.35$ $12.3$ $0.01$ $0.8$ $0.5$ $0.35$ $0.5$ $0.2$ $1.85$ $101.30$ 8         XRF/EDS/ICP $66.5$ $17.7$ $0.05$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.5$ $0.5$ $0.5$ $0.2$ $0.12$ $101.30$ 8         XRF/EDS/ICP $66.5$ $17.7$ $0.05$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ $0.2$ <		8 XRF/ED	S/ICP	67.3		18.2				0.53	12.9	0.05	0.2	0.05	0.53		0.02	0.04	0.13	1.36	0.61	101.90
8         XRF/EDS/ICP         65.4         15.8         0.12         1.66         12.1         0.01         0.7         0.03         1.35         0.14         0.06         0.35         0.52         0.24         1.85         100.30           8         XRF/EDS/ICP         65.5         15.3         0.19         2.35         12.3         0.01         0.88         0.05         1.38         0.15         0.26         1.85         100.30           8         XRF/EDS/ICP         65.5         17.7         0.05         2.35         12.3         0.01         0.88         0.05         1.38         0.15         0.05         0.25         0.22         12.1         10.130           9         XRF/EDS/ICP         66.5         17.9         0.05         0.28         12.29         0.03         0.14         0.04         0.12         1.25         0.32         9.70           9         XRF/EDS/ICP         66.8         17.9         0.06         0.87         12.29         0.03         0.14         0.04         0.12         12.3         9.70           9         XRF/EDS/ICP         66.8         17.9         0.02         0.87         12.29         0.03         0.54         0.01		8 XRF/ED	S/ICP	67.1		17.9				0.62	12.9	0.01	0.26	0.02	0.57		0.02	0.04	0.11	1.22	0.81	101.60
8         XRF/EDS/ICP         65.5         15.3         0.19         2.35         12.3         0.01         0.88         0.05         1.38         0.15         0.05         0.2         2.12         101.30           8         XRF/EDS/ICP         66.5         17.7         0.05         0.76         12.4         0.02         0.1         0.04         0.12         1.25         0.32         97.0           9         XRF/EDS/ICP         66.8         17.9         0.06         0.87         12.9         0.03         0.54         0.01         0.04         0.12         1.25         0.32         97.0           9         XRF/EDS/ICP         66.8         17.9         0.06         0.87         12.9         0.03         0.54         0.01         0.04         0.14         0.87         0.66         10.00           9         ICPES/MS         64.36         0.02         16.83         0.02         0.02         0.01         0.04         0.14         0.87         0.66         101.00           9         ICPES/MS         64.36         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.04		8 XRF/ED	S/ICP	65.4		15.8		0.12		1.66	12.1	0.01	0.7	0.03	1.35	0.14	0.06	0.35	0.52	0.24	1.85	100.30
8         XRF/EDS/ICP         66.5         17.7         0.05         0.76         12.4         0.02         0.1         0.09         0.36         0.01         0.04         0.12         1.25         0.32         99.70           9         XRF/EDS/ICP         66.8         17.9         0.06         0.87         12.9         0.03         0.1         0.04         0.14         0.87         0.66         10.100           9         ICPES/INS         64.36         0.02         16.83         0.02         0.3         0.1         0.04         0.14         0.87         0.66         10.100           9         ICPES/INS         64.36         0.02         16.83         10.838         0.02         0.2         0.01         0.04         0.14         0.87         0.66         101.00           4ctection limit         0.01         0.		8 XRF/ED	S/ICP	65.5		15.3		0.19		2.35	12.3	0.01	0.88	0.05	1.38	0.15	0.06	0.35	0.5	0.2	2.12	101.30
9         XRF/EDS/ICP         66.8         17.9         0.06         0.87         12.9         0.03         0.1         0.01         0.04         0.14         0.87         0.66         10.100           9         ICPES/MS         64.36         0.02         16.83         1.52         0.008         10.838         0.02         0.2         0.01         0.49         0.14         0.87         0.66         10.100           9         ICPES/MS         64.36         0.02         1.52         0.008         10.838         0.02         0.2         0.01         0.49         0.14         0.209         94.62           detection limit         0.01         0		8 XRF/ED	S/ICP	66.5		17.7		0.05		0.76	12.4	0.02	0.1	0.09	0.36		0.01	0.04	0.12	1.25	0.32	99.70
9         ICPES/MS         64.36         0.02         16.83         1.52         0.008         10.838         0.02         0.2         0.01         0.19         0.14         0.209         94.62           detection limit         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         0.01         94.62		9 XRF/ED	S/ICP	66.8		17.9		0.06		0.87	12.9	0.03	0.1	0.03	0.54		0.01	0.04	0.14	0.87	0.66	101.00
detection limit 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.001 0.01 0.007 0.01 0.001 0.01 0.		9 ICPES/	MS	64.36	0.02	16.83		1.52	0.008		10.838	0.02	0.2	0.01	0.49	0.1	0.014	0.209				94.62
	7.0	detection limi	it	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.01	0.0007	0.01	0.001	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.006	0.001				
		Scalve, r. 1.	., 174J, U	ש ה ב	leı, r., .	- 1 (1061		, 1.A., 1	3-0 :106	CIIAIICI	, W. I. U	dl., 170.										

TABLE 1. COMPOSITION OF BERYL FROM OCCURRENCES WORLDWIDE.

143

\* = in Deer, et al. (1966)

\*\* = blank if not reported, incompletely reported, or if a wide variety of wet chemical and spectrographic methods employed on an element by element basis. Mean SiO<sub>2</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> excluding Logtung analysis are: 65.12 and 17.7 Wt % respectively.

#-Li<sub>2</sub>O, BeO and H<sub>2</sub>O by standard wet chemical techniques; identical values for some oxides of samples 3 and 4 indicate not analyzed but averaged 1 and 4.

0.228 0.0690.030.022 0.0960.103 0.278 0.2470.6470.0110.049 0.535 0.018 0.025 0.027 0.077 0.291 0.0240.286 0.067 0.113 0.641 ÷ 2.0541.9862.055 1.969 1.9992.06 1.9691.832 1.819 2.045 2.25 2.323 2.462 1.942 2.035 2.008 2.088 1.992 1.922 1.9641.9481.937 qo 2.817 2.8992.586 2.269 3.029 3.037 2.692 2.625 3.048 3.022 3.003 2.856 2.823 2.849 3.002 2.924 2.948 3.271 3.063 2.929 2.501 2.981 Cations Th 11.002 10.766 0.803 11.047 11.385 11.118 11.528 10.998 11.547 11.034 11.04611.038 11.025 11.174 11.529 10.986 10.993 11.174 0.944 10.854 11.113 11 0.0040.0040.0010.0010.001 0.0010.001 0.001  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{b}}$ 0.287 0.017 0.002 0.002 0.0140.0140.002 0.0090.171 Ű 0.0460.021 0.017 0.018 0.012 0.027 0.0340.035 0.004 0.006 0.011 0.006 K 0.0010.012 0.235 0.0460.0040.003 0.005 0.003 0.005 0.009 0.002 Ga 0.22 0.194 0.612 0.0430.058 0.227 0.018 0.030.025 0.027 0.228 0.470.023 0.093 0.243 0.25 0.064 0.007 0.071 0.021 0.091 0.1 Na 0.0020.025 0.002 0.001 0.002 0.0020.002 0.002 0.0040.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 Mn 0.0560.068 0.0860.024 0.022 0.05 0.049 0.129 0.059 0.189 0.040.047 0.1840.035  $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ 0.39 0.373 E 0.0430.478 0.013 0.097 0.325 0.0690.032 0.012 0.027 0.035 0.014 0.029 0.001 0.004 0.005 0.003 0.027 0.123 Вg 2.8722.529 2.843 2.763 3.026 2.9892.836 2.8862.456 2.92 2.812 2.698 2.997 2.971 3.002 2.92 2.801 2.76 2.744 2.502 2.391 2.271 Be 0.006 0.007 0.001 0.001Ċ 0.158 0.068 0.142 0.1440.019 0.006 0.017 0.015 0.013 0.003 0.11 0.057 0.045 0.008  $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ 0.002 0.0040.0040.0010.003 0.001 0.001 Έ 1.9062.054 1.8751.5772.108 2.265 2.318 1.263 2.03 1.9641.995 1.975 1.9741.9541.894 1.937 1.913 1.727 1.683 2.041 1.941.92 P 6.19 5.9746.027 6.001 6.11 5.8625.998 6.003 5.983 5.965 5.998 5.937 6.003 6.068 6.083 6.0896.119 6.126 5.957 5.977 6.032 6.071 5 light green med. green pale green green-blue green-blue light blue med. blue light blue light blue light blue light blue dark blue dark blue Colour yellow bluish green green clear clear aqua blue pink MMI03-Be Logtung, BC Saskachewan Saskachewan New Zealand Switzerland Location S. Dakota Colorado Arizona l Nigeria 2 Nigeria 4 Nigeria Austria 3 Nigeria Sweden Brazil Brazil Brazil Brazil Brazil Brazil Brazil 3Bt schist 1 Ingersoll Sample 2Aqua Be 609 Be 522 Rbba2 Rbip2 Be551 DHZ4 Rbbal DHZ2 DHZ3 Rbip1 Rbsc1 DHZ1 Rblfl Rblf2

Notes: "Th" is total cations estimated in the tetrahedral site calculated as: Be + (Si-6) + Mg + Mn + FeO. "Oh" is total number of cations in the octahedral site calculated as: A12O3 + Cr2O3 + CFe2O3 + V2O3. "R+" is estimated total cations in channels calculated as: Na + K + excess FeO.

TABLE 2. NUMBER OF CATIONS IN BERYL ON THE BASIS OF 18 (0)



Figure 3. A. variance of  $SiO_2$  and  $Al_2O_3$  content of beryl about a visually estimated mode from analyses in Table 1 of 66 wt. %  $SiO_2$  and 18 wt. %  $Al_2O_3$ . Logtung analysis is highlighted by a shaded circle.

when compared to the visually estimated mean of 65 wt. %  $SiO_2$  and 18 wt. %  $Al_2O_3$  from the data set, possibly contributing to the low total and the 0.3 atomic formula unit deficit in the tetrahedral site (Th, Table 2).

Iron on the other hand, compares favourably with Fe contents in blue (and green) gem beryl worldwide (Figure 3b, c). Only beryl which lack blue or green colouration have lower Fe contents as listed in Table 1 and shown on Figures 3b and 3c.

#### Conclusions

Inductively Coupled Plasma Emission and Mass Spectrometry (ICPES/MS) permits analysis of small samples (0.2g) with low detection limits. As a result, the method is ideally suited to elemental determination of coarse, single crystals. Drawbacks of the method are acute for minerals that contain significant Li<sub>2</sub>O because fusion of the sample for ICPES/MS analysis requires addition of LiBO<sub>2</sub>. Where OH- is a key components of the crystal chemistry, it must be also be determined by a separate method. Nevertheless, ICPES/MS provides a quick and cost effective method for determining the elemental abundance of key chromophores. In the case of the Logtung beryl, Fe content compares with gem aquamarine (and emerald) elsewhere in the world. On the basis of Fe content, the Logtung beryl can be classified as aquamarine, although the presence of gem-quality aquamarine at this locality has yet to be established.

#### Acknowledgments

Dave Lefebure collected the sample of aquamarine and generously allowed us to destroy one of the large crystals for analysis. Sample collection was made possible by joint Federal and Provincial funding of the Ancient Pacific Margin NATMAP program.

## **References Cited**

Blak, A., Isotani, S. and Watanabe, S. (1982): Optical absorption and electron spin resonance in blue and green natural emerald; *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, Volume 8, pages 161-166.

- Deer, W., Howie, R. and Zussman, J. (1966): An Introduction to the Rock Forming Minerals; London, Longman Group Limited, 528 pages.
- Hunt, P.A. and Roddick, J.C. (1987): A compilation of K-Ar ages; in Radiogenic and isotopic studies: Report 1, Geological Survey of Canada, Paper 87-2, pages 143-210.
- Mihalynuk, M.G., Nelson, J.L., Roots, C.F., Friedman, R.M. and de Keijzer, M. (2000): Ancient Pacific Margin Part III: Regional Geology and Mineralization of the Big Salmon Complex (NTS 104N/9E, 16 & 104O/12, 13, 14W; *in* Geological Fieldwork 1999, Paper 2000-1, pages 27-46.
- Mihalynuk, M.G. and Heaman, L.M. (2002): Age of mineralizated porphyry at the Logtung deposit W-Mo-Bi-Be (beryl, aquamarine), northwest BC; *in* Geological Fieldwork, *BC Ministry of Energy and Mines*, Paper 2002-1, pages 35-39.
- Price, D., Vance, E., Smith, G., Edgar, A. and Dickson, B. (1976): Mossbauer effect studies of beryl; *Journal of Physics Colloquium*, Volume C6, Supplement 12, 37, pages 811-817.

- Schaller, W.T., Stevens, R.E. and Jahns, R.H. (1962): An unusual beryl from Arizona; *American Mineralogist*, Volume 47, pages 672-699.
- Sosedko, T. (1957): The change of structure and properties of beryls with increasing amounts of alkalis; *Memoir all Union Mineralogical Society*, Volume 86, pages 495-499.
- Stewart, J.P. and Evensen, N.M. (1983): The Logtung W (scheelite) - Mo deposit, S. Yukon: petrology and geochemistry of spatially associated felsic igneous rocks, Geological Association of Canada, A65.
- Vianna, P., da Costa, G., Grave, E., Evangelista, H. and Stern, W. (2002a): Characterization of beryl (aquamarine variety) by Mossbauer spectroscopy; *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, Volume 29, pages 78-86.
- Vianna, R., Jordt-Evangelista, H., da Costa, G. and Stern, W. (2002b): Characterization of beryl (aquamarine variety) from pegmatites of Minas Gerais, Brazil; *Physics and Chemistry of Minerals*, Volume 29, pages 668-679.